MA 110 - Ordinary Differential Equations

Santanu Dey

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 76 santanudey@iitb.ac.in

March 18, 2024

Outline of the lecture

- Picard's iteration
- Second order linear equations

Recall: Existence - Uniqueness Theorem

Let R be a rectangle containing (x_0, y_0) in the domain D,

- f(x, y) be continuous at all points (x, y) in $R: |x x_0| < a$, $|y y_0| < b$ and
- bounded in R, that is, $|f(x,y)| \le K \ \forall (x,y) \in R$.

Then, the IVP $y' = f(x, y), \ y(x_0) = y_0$ has at least one solution y(x) defined for all x in the interval $|x - x_0| < \alpha$, where

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ a, \frac{b}{K} \right\}.$$

In addition to the above conditions, if f satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to g in g, that is,

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \le M|y_1 - y_2| \ \forall (x, y_1), (x, y_2) \text{ in } R,$$

then, the solution y(x) defined at least for all x in the interval $|x-x_0| < \alpha$, with α defined above is unique ¹.

Recall: Picard's iteration method

² AIM : To solve

$$y' = f(x, y), \ y(x_0) = y_0$$
 (1)

METHOD

1. Integrate both sides of (1) to obtain

$$y(x) - y(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{x} f(t, y(t)) dt$$
$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{x} f(t, y(t)) dt$$
(2)

Note that any solution of (1) is a solution of (2) and vice-versa.

²Picard used this in his existence-uniqueness proof $\square \rightarrow \triangleleft \bigcirc \rightarrow \triangleleft \bigcirc \rightarrow \square \rightarrow \square \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Picard's method

2. Solve (2) by iteration:

$$y_{1}(x) = y_{0} + \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, y_{0}) dt$$

$$y_{2}(x) = y_{0} + \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, y_{1}(t)) dt$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{n}(x) = y_{0} + \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, y_{n-1}(t)) dt$$

3. Under the assumptions of existence-uniqueness theorem, the sequence of approximations converges to the solution y(x) of (1). That is,

$$y(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(x)$$

Examples

1. Let I be an open interval. If p(x), q(x) are continuous functions in \overline{I} , prove that y'(x) + p(x)y(x) = q(x), $y(x_0) = y_0$ for $x_0 \in I$ has a unique solution in a neighbourhood of x_0 Proof:

$$y'=q(x)-p(x)y.$$

Let J be an open interval containing y_0 . Then f(x,y)=q(x)-p(x)y which is continuous and bounded on $I\times J$. Also, this implies for all $(x,y_1),(x,y_2)\in I\times J$

$$|f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)| = |p(x)||y_2 - y_1| \le M|y_2 - y_1|$$

for some $M \ge 0$. Hence, by existence-uniqueness theorem, there exist a subinterval of I containing x_0 on which the IVP has a unique solution.



Examples

- 2. Solve : y' = xy, y(0) = 1 using Picard's iteration method.
 - The integral equation is $y(x) = 1 + \int_{x_0}^{x} ty(t) dt$.
 - The successive approximations are :

$$y_1(x) = 1 + \int_0^x t \cdot 1 \, dt = 1 + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

$$y_2(x) = 1 + \int_0^x t (1 + \frac{t^2}{2}) \, dt = 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{2 \cdot 4}.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n(x) = 1 + (\frac{x^2}{2}) + \frac{1}{2!} (\frac{x^2}{2})^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} (\frac{x^2}{2})^n. \text{ (By induction)}$$

$$y(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(x) = e^{x^2/2}.$$

Uniqueness of solution

Suppose ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are both solutions of

$$y' = f(x, y), \ y(x_0) = y_0$$

Thus, both these satisfy the integral equation

$$\phi_i(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x f(t, \phi_i(t)) dt$$
 $i = 1, 2.$

Thus we are using the picard's iteration theorem when the equation For $x \ge x_0$, first satisfies the Lipchitz's condition.

$$\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} (f(t, \phi_1(t)) - f(t, \phi_2(t))) dt.$$

Thus,

$$|\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)| \le \int_{x_0}^x |f(t, \phi_1(t)) - f(t, \phi_2(t))| dt.$$

Since f satisfies Lipschitz condition w.r.t. the second variable, we have

$$|f(t,\phi_1(t))-f(t,\phi_2(t))|\leq M|\phi_1(t)-\phi_2(t)|.$$

Uniqueness - proof contd..

That is,

$$|\phi_{1}(x) - \phi_{2}(x)| \leq \int_{x_{0}}^{x} |f(t, \phi_{1}(t) - f(t, \phi_{2}(t))| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{x_{0}}^{x} M|\phi_{1}(t) - \phi_{2}(t)| dt$$
(3)

Set
$$U(x)=\int_{x_0}^x |\phi_1(t)-\phi_2(t)|\ dt$$
. Then,

$$U(x_0)=0, \ U(x)\geq 0, \ \forall x\geq x_0.$$

Further, U(x) is differentiable and

$$U'(x) = |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)|.$$

Hence, (3) yields

$$U'(x) - MU(x) \leq 0.$$



Uniqueness Proof contd...

used the contradiction to prove that the solution we got was unique.

Multiplying the above equation by e^{-Mx} gives

$$e^{-Mx}U(x)' - Me^{-Mx}U(x) \le 0$$
 for $x \ge x_0$.

 $\Longrightarrow (e^{-Mx}U(x))' \le 0$ for $x \ge x_0$. Integrating this from x_0 to x we get $e^{-Mx}U(x) \le 0$ for $x \ge x_0$, i.e., $U(x) \le 0$ for $x \ge x_0$. So

$$U(x) = 0 \ \forall x \ge x_0 \Longrightarrow U'(x) \equiv 0 \Longrightarrow \phi_1(x) \equiv \phi_2(x)$$

which contradicts the initial hypothesis.

Use a similar argument to show for $x \le x_0$.

Thus,
$$\phi_1(x) \equiv \phi_2(x)$$
.

Summary - First Order Equations

- Linear Equations Solution
 - Reducible to linear Bernoulli
- Non-linear equations
 - Variable separable
 - Reducible to variable separable
 - Exact equations Integrating factors
 - Reducible to Exact
- Existence & Uniqueness results for IVP :

$$y' = f(x, y), \ y(x_0) = y_0$$

- · Peano's existence theorem
- Picard's existence-uniqueness theorem
- Picard's iteration method

Second order differential equations

Recall that a general second order linear ODE is of the form

$$a_2(x)\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + a_0(x)y = g(x).$$

An ODE of the form > 1 power of any differentiable of dependent

$$\frac{d^2 y^{\text{function.}}}{dx^2} + p(x) \frac{dy}{dx} + q(x)y = r(x)$$

is called a second order linear ODE in standard form.

Though there is no formula to find all the solutions of such an ODE, we study the existence, uniqueness and number of solutions

of such ODE's.



Homogeneous Linear Second Order DE

If $r(x) \equiv 0$ in the equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + p(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + q(x)y = r(x),$$

that is,

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + p(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + q(x)y = 0,$$

then the ODE is said to be homogeneous.

Otherwise it is called non-homogeneous.

Initial Value Problem- Existence/Uniqueness

An initial value problem of a second order homogeneous linear ODE is of the form:

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \ y(x_0) = a, y'(x_0) = b,$$

where p(x) and q(x) are assumed to be continuous on an open interval I with $x_0 \in I$, has a unique solution y(x) in the interval I.

Linearly independent functions & Wronskian

Definition

The functions $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$ are said to be linearly independent on an open interval I if Remember it is not for any particular x, but for all x in the domain I

$$(C_1\phi_1(x)+C_2\phi_2(x)=0 \ \forall x\in I\Longrightarrow C_1=C_2=0.$$

Definition

Wronskian determinant

The Wronskian $W(y_1, y_2)$ of two differentiable functions $y_1(x)$ and $y_2(x)$ is defined by

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x) := \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$



Test for I.i.

Suppose that

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$$

has continuous coefficients on an open interval 1. Then

- 1. two solutions y_1 and y_2 of the DE on I are linearly dependent iff their Wronskian is 0 at some $x_0 \in I$.
- 2. Wronskian =0 for some $x = x_0 \Longrightarrow W \equiv 0$ on I.
- 3. if there exists an $x_1 \in I$ at which $W \neq 0$, then y_1 and y_2 are linearly independent on I.

Proof

1. two solutions y_1 and y_2 of the DE on I are linearly dependent iff their Wronskian is 0 at some $x_0 \in I$.

Let y_1 , y_2 be linearly dependent. Then, $y_1(x) = ky_2(x)$, for some constant k. Then,

$$W(y_1, y_2) = W(ky_2, y_2) = \begin{vmatrix} ky_2 & y_2 \\ (ky_2)' & y_2' \end{vmatrix} \equiv 0.$$

Conversely, let $W(y_1, y_2) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in I$. That is,

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x_0) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x_0) & y_2(x_0) \\ y'_1(x_0) & y'_2(x_0) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Consider the linear system of equations :

$$k_1 y_1(x_0) + k_2 y_2(x_0) = 0$$

 $k_1 y_1'(x_0) + k_2 y_2'(x_0) = 0$

 $W(y_1,y_2)(x_0)=0\Longrightarrow \exists$ non-trivial $k_1\ \&\ k_2$ solving the above linear system.

Let $y(x) = k_1 y_1(x) + k_2 y_2(x)$ for this choice of k_1 and k_2 . Then y(x) solves the DE. Now, $y(x_0) = y'(x_0) = 0$.

By existence-uniqueness theorem, $y(x) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution of y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0; $y(x_0) = 0$, $y'(x_0) = 0$. Thus $k_1y_1(x) + k_2y_2(x) = 0$ with k_1, k_2 both not identically zero. Hence, y_1, y_2

are linearly dependent.

Uniqueness Proof

In connecting Linear dependence/independence of solutions of second order homogeneous linear ODE, we have observed that the uniqueness of the IVP played a crucial role (in result 1). So will see a proof of the uniqueness part of the existence uniqueness solution of the IVP.

Uniqueness: Let p(x), q(x) be continuous on an open interval I and $x_0 \in I$. Then $y(x) = 0, x \in I$ is the only solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, \ y(x_0) = 0 = y'(x_0).$$

Proof : Let y(x) is a solution of the IVP. Consider the function

$$E(x) = y(x)^2 + y'(x)^2, x \in I.$$

E is called an energy function.

Uniqueness Proof

For $x > x_0, x \in I$, let

$$M = \text{l.u.b.}\{|p(t)| + |q(t)||x_0 \le t \le x\}.$$

Then for $x_0 \le t \le x$

$$E'(t) = 2yy' + 2y'y''$$

$$= 2yy' - 2y'(p(t)y' + q(t)y)$$

$$= 2yy'(1 - q(t)) - 2p(t)(y')^{2}$$

$$\leq 2|yy'|(1 + M) + 2(y')^{2}M$$

$$\leq (y^{2} + (y')^{2})(1 + M) + 2(y^{2} + (y')^{2})M$$

$$= (1 + 3M)E(t).$$

i.e.

$$E'(t) - (1+3M)E(t) \le 0, \ x \ge t \ge x_0.$$

Uniqueness Proof

Multiply the above differential inequality by $e^{-(1+3M)t}$ we get

$$\left(e^{-(1+3M)t}E(t)\right)'\leq 0$$

Integrate the above from x_0 to x, we get

$$e^{-(1+3M)x}E(x) \le e^{-(1+3M)x}E(x_0) = 0 \implies E(x) = 0.$$

A similar argument implies E(x) = 0 for $x < x_0, x \in I$. Hence y(x) = 0 for all $x \in I$.