

Courtney Kirkham, Mark Lero, Dean Dixon, Jonathan Bowie, Nicholas Kinderman

CSC 424 – Software Engineering II

Spring 2017

Prof. Lindy Nelson

Sprint Retrospective – Sprint 2

Table of Contents

I. [What went well?](#)

II. [What went wrong?](#)

III. [What could improve?](#)

I. What went well?

Communication got better. Scrum master prompting helped team remember to get work done. Work meetings were more focused.

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

II. What went wrong?

Miss-estimation of when and how much team members could get done. Team members inexperience makes it difficult to create product backlog and accurately estimate work required for different tasks.

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

III. What can we improve?

Future stories should be more specific and manageable. Team members need to reach out sooner when encountering issues. Team members need to communicate about roadblocks and conflicts of interest as soon as possible with team.

[Return to Table of Contents](#)