

Skill Scores - Summary

1. **Without Optimal method** - Choosing a rolling window of 500 observations we generate reconciled forecast distributions for $h=1,2,3$ and only BU, OLS, WLS, and MinT methods were used for reconciliation.
2. **With Optimal G - Setup 1:** Here I choose an outer rolling window of 603 observations. Inside this, we choose an inner rolling window of 500 observations from which I produce incoherent prob. forecasts. Then roll the inner rolling window, 1 step ahead for 100 times and get 100 of such incoherent prob forecasts which are then used to learn optimal G. Finally we use this Optimal G along with other G matrices to reconcile the incoherent forecasts obtained by moving the inner rolling window another 1-step ahead. So in this setup, we will lose the first 100 observations in the evaluation.
3. **With Optimal G - Setup 2:** Here we choose an outer rolling window of 500 observations. Fit models and obtain reconciled prob forecasts using different G matrices including optimal G. The Optimal G matrix is learned using an inner rolling window of 398 observations and moving this window for 100 times within the outer rolling window. Here we do not lose any observations in evaluations. Therefore the scores from BU, OLS, WLS, and MinT are similar to those from 1.

Without Optimal Method

Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	12.42	9.51	7.01	4.80	5.70	5.88
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	7.76	-2.61	-17.16	21.19	17.30	13.33	15.02	15.34	14.85
MinT.Shr	7.73	-2.30	-16.43	21.20	17.28	13.36	15.10	15.34	14.99
OLS	5.60	-1.37	-10.37	16.72	13.29	10.18	9.23	9.42	8.93
WLS	6.72	-2.50	-15.22	18.99	15.36	11.68	11.50	12.32	11.56

Non-Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	7.45	7.52	7.11	-0.53	-0.90	-0.68
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	23.40	4.36	-38.84	13.02	14.35	13.61	1.55	1.98	1.73
MinT.Shr	22.71	4.10	-38.58	13.06	14.31	13.62	1.52	1.76	1.87
OLS	11.87	7.04	-2.55	10.02	10.54	10.18	0.29	0.35	0.28
WLS	20.11	1.52	-40.67	11.62	12.34	11.77	0.24	0.01	0.07

With Optimal G - Setup 1

Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	12.43	9.55	7.16	4.89	5.84	6.01
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	7.72	-2.61	-17.08	21.53	17.32	13.57	15.74	15.72	15.46
MinT.Shr	7.70	-2.64	-17.13	21.41	17.28	13.57	15.64	15.95	15.54
OLS	5.55	-1.56	-10.74	16.79	13.39	10.41	9.15	9.59	8.98
Optimal	5.30	2.85	4.27	8.02	13.72	15.06	-14.19	3.53	6.51
WLS	6.65	-2.81	-15.79	19.02	15.30	11.84	11.51	12.20	11.58

Non-Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	7.16	7.35	7.10	-0.46	-0.31	-0.25
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	22.88	4.59	-39.57	12.93	14.05	13.38	1.74	2.18	2.11
MinT.Shr	22.65	4.33	-39.90	12.91	14.11	13.34	1.59	2.19	2.19
OLS	12.11	7.46	-2.37	9.71	10.26	10.13	0.44	0.55	0.83
Optimal	19.13	9.19	-7.29	-3.33	3.45	2.38	-28.26	-19.42	-22.82
WLS	19.79	1.46	-42.25	11.18	11.98	11.48	0.43	0.48	0.56

With Optimal G - Setup 2

In this set up we take a rolling window of 500 observations and the G is learned using a inner rolling window of 398 observations. We are not losing any data points here. Therefore the scores from reconciliation methods except Optimal method are identical to the scores in **Without Optimal G**.

Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	12.34	9.50	6.98	4.80	5.70	5.85
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	7.76	-2.61	-17.16	21.16	17.27	13.33	14.90	15.25	14.92
MinT.Shr	7.73	-2.30	-16.43	21.14	17.29	13.35	15.00	15.45	15.03
OLS	5.60	-1.37	-10.37	16.68	13.32	10.13	9.02	9.56	8.98
Optimal	5.33	-1.89	-9.41	12.35	7.82	1.07	-4.99	-6.85	-15.14
WLS	6.72	-2.50	-15.22	18.92	15.37	11.63	11.30	12.25	11.53

Non-Gaussian DGP									
R.method	Log Score(%)			Energy Score(%)			Variogram Score(%)		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Base	NA	NA	NA	7.31	7.54	7.10	-0.45	-1.00	-0.72
Bottom up	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MinT.Sam	23.40	4.36	-38.84	13.11	14.28	13.60	1.73	1.97	1.99
MinT.Shr	22.71	4.10	-38.58	12.91	14.24	13.65	1.59	1.96	1.90
OLS	11.87	7.04	-2.55	9.98	10.55	10.15	0.19	0.19	0.46
Optimal	19.02	3.83	-19.63	0.12	1.32	-1.88	-19.18	-17.90	-21.05
WLS	20.11	1.52	-40.67	11.64	12.37	11.77	0.43	0.17	0.23