

Question #1

$$a) \frac{\exists R. \exists S.T \subseteq B \cup C}{C \subseteq D}$$

$$\text{Using } t_x(C \subseteq D) = \forall_x t_x(c) \supset t_x(D) \rightarrow \forall_x t_x(\exists R. \exists S.T \supset) \supset t_x(B \cup C)$$

$$\underline{t_x(\exists R. \exists S.T)}$$

$$\text{Using } t_x(\exists P.C) = \exists_y P(x,y) \wedge t_y(c)$$

$$t_x(\exists R. \exists S.T) = \exists_y R(x,y) \wedge t_y(\exists S.T)$$

$$t_y(\exists S.T) = \exists_z S(y,z) \wedge \underline{t_z(T)} \xrightarrow{\text{Always TRUE, remove it}}$$

$$\underline{t_x(B \cup C)}$$

$$\text{Using } t_x(C \cup D) = t_x(C) \vee t_x(D)$$

$$t_x(B \cup C) = t_x(B) \vee t_x(C) = B(x) \vee C(x)$$

$$t_x(\exists R. \exists S.T) = \exists_y R(x,y) \wedge \exists_z S(y,z) = \exists_y \exists_z (R(x,y) \wedge S(y,z))$$

$$\boxed{\exists R. \exists S.T \subseteq B \cup C = \forall_x ((\exists_y \exists_z (R(x,y) \wedge S(y,z)))) \supset (B(x) \vee C(x))}$$

$$b) \frac{A \cap \neg B \subseteq \forall R.C}{C \subseteq D}$$

$$\text{using } t_x(C \subseteq D) = \forall_x t_x(c) \supset t_x(D) \rightarrow \forall_x t_x(A \cap \neg B) \supset t_x(\forall R.C)$$

$$\underline{\forall_x t_x(A \cap \neg B)}$$

$$\text{using } t_x(C \cup D) = t_x(C) \vee t_x(D)$$

$$t_x(A \cap \neg B) = t_x(A) \wedge t_x(\neg B) = A(x) \wedge \neg B(x)$$

$$\underline{t_x(\forall R.C)}$$

$$\text{using } t_x(\forall P.C) = \forall_y P(x,y) \supset t_y(c)$$

$$t_x(\forall R.C) = \forall_y R(x,y) \supset t_y(c)$$

$$= \forall_y R(x,y) \supset C(y)$$

$$\boxed{A \cap \neg B \subseteq \forall R.C = \forall_x (A(x) \wedge \neg B(x)) \supset \forall_y R(x,y) \supset C(y)}$$

$$c) \frac{A \cup \exists R.B}{C} \subseteq \frac{\exists S.T}{D}$$

$$\forall x ((A(x) \vee \exists y (R(x,y) \wedge B(y))) \supset \exists z (S(x,z))$$

$$\text{using } t_x(C \subseteq D) = \forall x.t_x(c) \supset t_x(D) \rightarrow \forall x.t_x(A \cup \exists R.B) \supset t_x(\exists S.T)$$

$$\underline{t_x(A \cup \exists R.B)}$$

$$\text{Using } t_x(C \cup D) = t_x(C) \vee t_x(D)$$

$$t_x(A \cup \exists R.B) = t_x(A) \vee t_x(\exists R.B)$$

$$t_x(\exists R.B) = \exists y. R(x,y) \wedge t_y(B) = \exists y. R(x,y) \wedge B(y)$$

$$t_x(A \cup \exists R.B) = A(x) \vee \exists y. R(x,y) \wedge B(y)$$

$$\underline{t_x(\exists S.T)}$$

$$\text{Using } t_x(\exists P.C) = \exists y. P(x,y) \wedge t_y(C)$$

$$t_x(\exists S.T) = \exists z. S(x,z) \wedge t_z(T)$$

Always TRUE, Remove it

$$\boxed{A \cup \exists R.B \subseteq \exists S.T = \forall x (A(x) \vee \exists y. R(x,y) \wedge B(y)) \supset \exists z. S(x,z)}$$

Question #2

a)

i. Cars are exactly those vehicles that have at least a wheel and are powered by an engine.

$$\text{Car} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \cap (\geq 1 \text{ hasPart. Wheel}) \cap \exists \text{ poweredBy. Engine}$$

ii. Bicycles are exactly those vehicles that have at least a wheel and are powered by a human.

$$\text{Bicycle} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \cap (\geq 1 \text{ hasPart. Wheel}) \cap \exists \text{ poweredBy. Human}$$

iii. Boats are exactly those vehicles that travel on water.

$$\text{Boat} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \cap \exists \text{ travelsOn. Water}$$

iv. Boats have no wheels.

$$\text{Boat} \subseteq \neg \text{ hasPart. Wheel}$$

v. Cars and Bicycles do not travel on Water.

$$\text{Car} \subseteq \neg \exists \text{ travelsOn. Water}$$

$$\text{Bicycle} \subseteq \neg \exists \text{ travelsOn. Water}$$

vi. Wheels are exactly those devices that have an axle and are capable of rotation.

$$\text{Wheel} \equiv \text{Device} \cap \exists \text{ hasPart. Axel} \cap \exists \text{ CapableOf. Rotation}$$

vii. Drivers are exactly those humans who control a vehicle.

$$\text{Driver} \equiv \text{Human} \cap \exists \text{ controls. Vehicle}$$

viii. Drivers of cars are adults.

$$\text{Driver} \cap \exists \text{ controls. Car} \subseteq \text{Adult}$$

ix. Humans are not vehicles.

$$\text{Humans} \subseteq \neg \text{ Vehicles}$$

x. Wheels and engines are not human.

xi. Humans are either adults or children.

xii. Adults are not children.

Wheel $\subseteq \neg$ Human

Human \equiv Adult \sqcup Child

Adult $\subseteq \neg$ Child

Engine $\subseteq \neg$ Human

b)

i. Bob is a human

Human(bob)

ii. Bob Controls QE2

Controls(bob, qe2)

iii. QE2 is a vehicle that travels on water

Vehicle(qe2)

TravelsOnWater(qe2)

c)

i. Boat $\sqcap \exists$ hasPart.Wheel is satisfiable w.r.t k

From TBox Boat $\subseteq \neg \exists$ hasPart.Wheel

Therefore, if there is an $x \in$ Boat then $x \in \exists$ hasPart.Wheel

Based on the definition of satisfiability:

C is satisfiable if there exists a model I such that C^I is not \perp

As there is no $x \in$ Boat that $x \in \exists$ hasPart.Wheel \rightarrow Boat $\sqcap \exists$ hasPart.Wheel = \perp \rightarrow unsatisfiable

This statement is FALSE

ii. Car \sqcap Bicycle is satisfiable w.r.t. k

Assuming Car \sqcap Bicycle is True then there exists an $x \in$ Car and $x \in$ Bicycle

From T-Box

Car \equiv Vehicle $\sqcap (\geq 1 \text{ hasPart.Wheel}) \sqcap \exists$ poweredBy.Engine $\rightarrow x \in \exists$ poweredBy.Engine

Bicycle \equiv Vehicle $\sqcap (\geq 1 \text{ hasPart.Wheel}) \sqcap \exists$ poweredBy.Human $\rightarrow x \in \exists$ poweredBy.Human

There is no axiom in the T-Box preventing x to be powered by both Engine and Human. Hence, Car \sqcap Bicycle $\neq \perp$ and therefore satisfiable.

This statement is TRUE

iii. \exists Controls.Car \cap Child is satisfiable w.r.t. k

Assuming \exists Controls.Car \cap Child is Satisfiable then there is an $x \in \exists$ Controls.Car and $x \in$ Child

From T-Box Driver \cap \exists Controls.Car \subseteq Adult

Driver \equiv Human \cap \exists Controls.Vehicle

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Human can be an Adult or a Child Based on Human} \equiv \text{Adult} \sqcup \text{Child} \\ \text{Based on definition of a Car (Car} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \sqcap (\geq 1 \text{ has Part. Wheel}) \sqcap \exists \text{ poweredBy. Engine}) \\ \text{Car} \subseteq \text{Vehicle} \rightarrow \text{And the driver controls the car} \end{array} \right.$

Based on Driver \cap \exists Controls.Car \subseteq Adult a driver that controls a car is subsumed by Adult
Since Adult and Child are disjoint (Adult \sqsubseteq ?Child). \exists Controls.Car \cap Child = ⊥

This statement is FALSE

iv. bob is an instance of (Adult \sqcap Driver) w.r.t. k We know that there exists an $x \in \exists$ Controls.Car and $x \in$ Adult

From T-Box

Driver \equiv Human \cap \exists Controls.Vehicle Human(bob) Controls(bob, eq2) Vehicle(eq2)

Based on the assertions above it can be concluded That Driver(bob)

From T-Box

Human \equiv Adult \sqcup Child \rightarrow Adult(bob) or Child(bob) \rightarrow Bob can be either a child or Adult

Since eq2 is a Vehicle and travelsOn.water, based on Boat \equiv Vehicle \sqcap \exists travelsOn.Water
it can be concluded that eq2 is a Boat.

Since, there is no Axiom that prevents a child from Driving a Boat there can exist a model where bob is a child and drives a Boat.

Therefore bob \in (Adult \sqcap Driver) is non True in every model of k.

This statement is FALSE

V. e_2 is an instance of Boat w.r.t K.

From K

TravelsOn.Water (e_2) $\rightarrow e_2$ is an instance of TravelsOn.Water

Vehicle (e_2) $\rightarrow e_2$ is an instance of Vehicle

From T-Box $\rightarrow \text{Boat} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \sqcap \exists \text{travelsOn.Water}$

This statement is TRUE

Hence, e_2 is an instance of Boat

vi. $\exists \text{Controls.Car}$ is subsumed by Adult w.r.t. K.

$\exists \text{Controls.Car} \sqsubseteq \text{Adult}$

From T-Box

$\text{Car} \equiv \text{Vehicle} \sqcap (\geq 1 \text{ has Part. Wheel}) \sqcap \exists \text{poweredBy.Engine} \rightarrow \text{Car} \sqsubseteq \text{Vehicle}$

Based on $\text{Driver} \equiv \text{Human} \sqcap \exists \text{controls.Vehicle} \rightarrow \text{Driver} \sqsubseteq \text{Human} \equiv \text{Adult} \sqcup \text{Child}$

Based on $\text{Driver} \sqcap \exists \text{Controls.Car} \sqsubseteq \text{Adult}$ a Driver that controls the car is subsumed by Adult

However, there is no axiom that states Car needs to be controlled by a driver. Therefore, there could exist a model that the car is self driving and doesn't have a driver. In which case $\exists \text{Controls.Car}$ is not subsumed by Adult.

This statement is FALSE

Question #3

Fluent

Contains (p, w_s)

$p = \text{pot}$

$\text{empty}(P)$: discard all water in the pot P

$w = \text{liters of water}$

$s = \text{situation}$

$\text{transfer}(P, P')$: Pour as much water as possible without spilling from pot P to P' . No change when $P=P'$

$\text{Capacity}(P)$: represent maximum Capacity of a pot P

a)

$\text{Poss}(\text{empty}(P), s) \equiv \text{True}$

$\text{Poss}(\text{transfer}(P, P'), s) \equiv \text{True}$

b) $\text{Poss}(\text{empty}(p), s) \Rightarrow \text{Contains}(p, 0, \text{do}(\text{empty}(p), s))$

$\text{Poss}(\text{transfer}(p, p'), s) \Rightarrow [\text{Contains}(p, w, s) \wedge \text{Contains}(p', w', s)] \wedge$
 $[\text{Contains}(p, w - \min(w, \text{Capacity}(p) - w'), \text{do}(\text{transfer}(p, p'), s)) \vee$
 $\text{Contains}(p', w' + \min(w, \text{Capacity}(p) - w'), \text{do}(\text{transfer}(p, p'), s))]$

c) New language Function $\rightarrow \text{amount}(p)$: amount of water in P at the current state

Operators:

$\text{Capacity}(P)$: Max amount of water pot P can hold $\text{Capacity}(P_1) = 5$ $\text{Capacity}(P_2) = 2$

$\text{empty}(p, \text{amount}(p))$

$\text{transfer}(p, \text{amount}(p), p', \text{amount}(p'))$

Preconditions: $\text{Contains}(p, \text{amount}(p))$, $\text{Pot}(p)$

Preconditions: $\text{Contains}(p, \text{amount}(p))$, $\text{Contains}(p', \text{amount}(p'))$, $\text{Pot}(p)$, $\text{Pot}(p')$

Delete list: $\text{Contains}(p, \text{amount}(p))$

Delete list: $\text{Contains}(p, \text{amount}(p))$

Add list: $\text{Contains}(p, 0)$

$\text{Contains}(p', \text{amount}(p'))$

Add list: $\text{Contains}(p, \text{amount}(p) - \min(\text{amount}(p), \text{Capacity}(p) - \text{amount}(p)))$

$\text{Contains}(p', \text{amount}(p') + \min(\text{amount}(p), \text{Capacity}(p) - \text{amount}(p)))$

Note: $\text{amount}(p)$ can be any value from 0 to $\text{Capacity}(p)$

d)

Initial State DataBase (DB)

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5)$, $\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$, $\text{Pot}(P_1)$, $\text{Pot}(P_2)$

Progressed databases

1. $\text{empty}(P_1, 5)$

DB after executing:

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5)$

$\text{Pot}(P_1) \rightarrow \text{Pot}(P_2)$

$\text{Pot}(P_1)$ in DB

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 0)$, $\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$

3. $\text{transfer}(P_1, 5, P_2, 5)$

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 0)$, $\text{Pot}(P_1)$ in DB

DB after executing:

$\text{Pot}(P_1) \rightarrow \text{Pot}(P_2)$

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5)$, $\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$

2. $\text{empty}(P_2)$

DB after executing:

$\text{Contains}(P_2, 2)$

$\text{Pot}(P_1) \rightarrow \text{Pot}(P_2)$

$\text{Pot}(P_2)$ in DB

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 0)$, $\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$

4. $\text{transfer}(P_2, 0, P_1, 5)$

$\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$, $\text{Pot}(P_2)$ in DB

DB after executing:

$\text{Pot}(P_1) \rightarrow \text{Pot}(P_2)$

$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5)$, $\text{Contains}(P_2, 0)$

5. transfer ($P_2, 0, P_1, 5$)

Contains ($P_2, 0$), Contains ($P_1, 5$), Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2) in DB

DB after executing:

Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)

$$\text{Contains}(P_2, 2 - \min(2, 5^0)) = \underline{\text{Contains}(P_2, 2)}$$

$$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5 + \min(2, 5^0)) = \underline{\text{Contains}(P_1, 5)}$$

6. transfer ($P_1, 5, P_2, 0$)

Contains ($P_1, 5$), Contains ($P_2, 0$), Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2) in DB

DB after executing:

Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)

$$\text{Contains}(P_1, 5 - \min(5, 2^2)) = \underline{\text{Contains}(P_1, 3)}$$

$$\text{Contains}(P_2, 0 + \min(5, 2^2)) = \underline{\text{Contains}(P_2, 2)}$$

For the rest, initial conditions are not satisfied.

e) Goal: Pot(P_2), Contains($P_2, 1$)

transfer ($P_2, 2, P_1, 4$)

transfer ($P_1, 1, P_2, 0$)

Goal': Goal + Preconditions - Add

Goal [Pot(P_2), Contains($P_2, 1$) +

Pre [Contains($P_1, 4$), Contains($P_2, 2$), Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2) -

Add [Contains($P_1, 5$), Contains($P_2, 1$)]

regressed Goal(Goal'):

Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2), Contains($P_1, 4$), Contains($P_2, 2$)

Goal' : Goal + Preconditions - Add

Goal [Pot(P_2), Contains($P_2, 1$) +

Pre [Contains($P_1, 1$), Contains($P_2, 0$), Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2) -

Add [Contains($P_1, 0$), Contains($P_2, 1$)]

regressed Goal(Goal):

Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2), Contains($P_1, 1$), Contains($P_2, 0$)

This is physically not possible as there is more than 5L of water in this world. Which is not possible.

f) Initial state DB₀:

Contains($P_1, 5$), Contains($P_2, 0$), Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)

Plan:

1. transfer ($P_1, 5, P_2, 0$)

2. empty ($P_2, 2$)

Preconditions: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2), in DB₀ therefore Contains($P_1, 5$), Contains($P_2, 0$) action is legal

Preconditions: Pot(P_2), Contains($P_2, 2$) in DB,

therefore action is valid.

DB₁: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)

Contains($P_1, 3$), Contains($P_2, 2$)

DB₂: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)

Contains($P_1, 3$), Contains($P_2, 0$)

3. transfer($P_1, 3, P_2, 0$)

4. empty($P_2, 2$)

Precondition: $Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)$,
in DB_2 therefore
 $Contains(P_1, 3), Contains(P_2, 0)$ action is legal

Preconditions: $Pot(P_2)$, $Contains(P_2, 2)$ in DB_3
therefore action is legal.

$DB_3: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2),$

$Contains(P_1, 1), Contains(P_2, 2)$

$DB_4: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)$

$Contains(P_1, 1), Contains(P_2, 0)$

5. transfer($P_1, 1, P_2, 0$)

Precondition: $Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)$,
in DB_4 therefore
 $Contains(P_1, 1), Contains(P_2, 0)$ action is legal

Goal reached in $DB_5: Pot(P_2), Contains(P_2, 1)$

$DB_5: Pot(P_1), Pot(P_2)$

$Contains(P_1, 0), Contains(P_2, 1)$