

CFS2160: Software Design and Development



Week 13: Some OO Concepts

That we perhaps glossed over.

Steve McGuire s.mcguire@hud.ac.uk



Object-Oriented?

Object-oriented?



In essence, OO programming is programming in terms of *objects*.

Objects have state (represented by their instance variables) and behaviours.

In general, objects represent things in the "real world".

Related objects form classes.

Object-oriented?



In essence, OO programming is programming in terms of *objects*.

Objects have state (represented by their instance variables) and

behaviours.

In general, objects represent things

Related objects form classes.

In the mid-1980s there was a fashion for applying this concept to databases.

It never really caught on.

Object-oriented?



In essence, OO programming is programming in terms of objects.

Objects have state (represented by their instance variables) and

behaviours.

In general, objects represent things

Related objects form classes.

However, you can think of a "class" as a table in a database.
And an "object" as a single row in that table.

Benefits?

It is claimed that objects are a more natural way to write programs, as the view is closer to how we view the world.

It is also claimed that using OO allows us to build up libraries of classes that can be used in many applications.

Benefits?



It is claimed that objects are a more natural way to write programs, as the view is closer to how we view the world.

It is also claimed that using OO allows us to build up libraries of classes that can be used in many applications.

These are claims.

Languages

C is a purely procedural language.

Objects appeared in Smalltalk.

C++ added objects into C (along with a bunch of other stuff).

Python has objects, but can be used purely procedurally.

Java can be used procedurally, but only really makes sense when you realise everything is an object.

Indeed.

Here is a class, with a constructor.

class ClubMember:

```
def __init__ (self, name, cash):
    self.name = name
    self.cash = cash
```



Indeed.

Here is a class, with a constructor.

And a method to return a string representation.

```
class ClubMember:
```

```
def __init__ (self, name, cash):
    self.name = name
    self.cash = cash

def __str__ (self):
    s = self.name + ' : ' + str (self.cash)
```

Indeed.

Here is a class, with a constructor.

And a method to return a string representation.

Now an object is created, and printed.

```
class ClubMember:
```

```
def __init__ (self, name, cash):
    self.name = name
    self.cash = cash

def __str__ (self):
    s = self.name + ' : ' + str (self.cash)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    gary = ClubMember ('Gary', 25)
    print (gary)
```

Indeed.

Here is a class, with a constructor.

And a method to return a string representation.

Now an object is created, and printed.

We can print the variables to display their values (their state).

```
class ClubMember:
```

```
def __init__ (self, name, cash):
    self.name = name
    self.cash = cash

def __str__ (self):
    s = self.name + ' : ' + str (self.cash)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    gary = ClubMember ('Gary', 25)
    print (gary)

print (gary.name, gary.cash)
```

Indeed.

Here is a class, with a constructor.

And a method to return a string representation.

No

We the What, no getters?
Well, no.
It's not Pythonic, you see.

```
class ClubMember:
```

```
def __init__ (self, name, cash):
    self.name = name
    self.cash = cash

def __str__ (self):
    s = self.name + ' : ' + str (self.cash)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    gary = ClubMember ('Gary', 25)
    print (gary)

print (gary.name, gary.cash)
```





Put simply, *encapsulation* is all about hiding the details of how a class works from users.

In terms of implementation, it means:

- > Details of the implementation of the state are hidden within the class ("private").
- > The state is manipulated via an interface ("public").



Put simply, *encapsulation* is all about hiding the details of how a class works from users.

In terms of implementation, it means:

- Details of the implementation within the class ("private").
- > The state is manipulated via

In Java terms: Instance variables are private. Methods are public.



Put simply, *encapsulation* is all about hiding the details of how a class works from users.

In terms of implementation, it means:

- Details of the implementation within the class ("private").
- > The state is manipulated via

Although methods that are only used inside the class are best made private.

Why Encapsulation?



It improves maintainability.

The inner details of a class can be enhanced, as long as the external interface stays the same.

The integrity of the state is protected.

Changes to state can be checked, and invalid changes rejected.

Why Encapsulation?

It improves maintainability.



> The inner details of a class can be enhanced, as long as the external interface stays the same.

The integrity of the state is protected

Changes to state can be chec rejected.

"Data Hiding" is a closely related term, which perhaps better describes what is going on.

Encapsulation in Action



Last term we started to use ArrayList.

We have no need to know *how* this collection works behind the scenes.

We just need to know how to use it.

As long as the interface stays the same, clever people can improve the implementation and all will be well.

ArrayList Java Documentation

Encapsulation in Action



Last term you used a class to represent cracker packers.

You then wrote a class to represent the cracker packer company itself; this class did not need to know how the first was implemented.

It just needed to know how to use it.

As long as the interface stays the same, you could improve the implementation and all will be well.



Example: Accessors and Mutators

Getters and Setters

Up to know, we have kind of automatically created accessors ("getters") and mutators ("setters") for every instance variable.

We have also seen how setters can include some simple logic, and how they can return a value to indicate success.

Getters and Setters

Up to know, we have kind of automatically created accessors ("getters") and mutators ("setters") for every instance variable.

But really we should only include those getters and setters that are needed.

Getters and Setters

Up to know, we have kind of automatically created accessors ("getters") and mutators ("setters") for every instance variable.

But really we should only include those getters and setters that are needed.

And we could have getters (and maybe even setters) that do not correspond to instance variables.

Imagine if a CrackerPacker needed to have a rating?

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".



Employees have a name and rating.

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".



private String name;
private int boxesPacked;

private int rating; private String ratingDesc;

Employees have a name and rating.

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".

No!

```
public class CrackerPacker {
```

Employees have a name and rating.

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".

The description cannot have a setter, but it can have a getter.



public class CrackerPacker {

private String name;
private int boxesPacked;
private int rating;

Employees have a name and rating.

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".

The description cannot have a setter, but it can have a getter.



```
public class CrackerPacker {
  private String name;
  private int boxesPacked;
  private int rating;
  public String getRatingDescription () {
    if (this.rating <= 2) {</pre>
      return "Poor";
     else if (this.rating <= 7) {
       return "OK";
     else {
       return "Excellent";
```

Employees have a name and rating.

Ratings run from 0 to 10.

Ratings 0 to 2 are "Poor", 3 to 7 are "OK", 8 to 10 are "Excellent".

The bu

Important

Any programmer using this class would have no idea that this String was not stored in the state.



```
public class CrackerPacker {
  private String name;
  private int boxesPacked;
  private int rating;
  public String getRatingDescription () {
    if (this.rating <= 2) {</pre>
      return "Poor";
     else if (this.rating <= 7) {
       return "OK";
     else {
       return "Excellent";
```



Cohesion

Cohesion



Cohesion is a concept relating to what a class does.

A class should do all of exactly one thing.

If a class's responsibilities (functions) represent a meaningful unit, it has *high cohesion*, which is good.

Otherwise, low cohesion is bad.

Cohesion



Cohesion is a concept relating to what a class does.

A class should do all of exactly one thing.

If a class's responsibilities (functions) represent a meaningful

unit, it has high cohesion, which is go

Otherwise, *low cohesion* is bad.

Quick Rule of Thumb

Describe what a class represents. If you use the word "and" it may well have low cohesion.

Cohesion is Good

Why is high cohesion good?



Simply, if a class implements exactly one meaningful thing it is likely to do it well.

Cohesion is Good

Why is high cohesion good?

An analogy:

- Washing machines wash clothes well.
- > Tumble dryers dry clothes excellently.
- Washer-dryers can be an absolute nightmare.

Cohesion is Good

Why is high cohesion good?



Another analogy:

- ➤ A Hi-Fi system made of separate components usually produces better sound than an "all in one" music system.
- ➤ Each component in a Hi-Fi is very good at its single function.

An Illustrative Example

A well written class for Cracker Packer members last term would implement all the behaviours of a packer.

Nothing more, nothing less.



```
public class CrackerPacker {
   private String name;
   private int boxesPacked;

public Member (String name, int boxes) {
   public String getName () ...
   public void setName () ...

public boolean setBoxesPacked (int boxes) ...
   public int getBoxesPacked () ...
```

An Illustrative Example

A well written class for Cracker Packer members last week would implement all the behaviours of a packer.

Nothing more, nothing less.

It does **not** print messages, provide a dialogue to create a member, send emails to members etc, ...



```
public class CrackerPacker {
   private String name;
   private int boxesPacked;

public Member (String name, int boxes) {
   public String getName () ...
   public void setName () ...

public boolean setBoxesPacked (int boxes) ...
   public int getBoxesPacked () ...
```

Cohesion is Good



Cohesion also applies at the level of methods.

A method should do exactly one, well defined, thing.

Example:

- > A "deposit" method should handle the logic of a deposit.
- ➤ A "deposit" method should not print messages, request new paying-in books, recommend new accounts ...





The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

Tightly Coupled classes are closely related, probably by calling each other's methods.

In this case, a change to one class could well imply the need for a change in the other.



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

Tightly Coupled classes are closely related, probably by calling each other's methods.

In this case, a change to one class co change in the other.

It follows that tight coupling is to be avoided if at all possible.



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

Tightly Coupled classes are closely related, probably by calling each other's methods.

In this case, a change to one class co change in the other.

Tightly coupled classes are unlikely to provide many opportunities for reuse.



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

Tightly Coupled classes are closely related, probably by calling each other's methods.

In this case, a change to one class co change in the other.

In the worst case, consider what happens if A is tightly coupled with B, which is tightly coupled with C, which is tightly coupled ...



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

Loosely Coupled classes may well interact, but are not reliant on the details of each other.

Ideally, changes in one class would not require changes in another.



The concept of *coupling* relates to how closely connected two classes are.

An analogy:

- The components of a Hi-Fi are loosely coupled, meaning that one can be replaced without affecting the others.
- Components in an all-in-one music system are tightly coupled, so if one fails the whole system is borked.

Good Design



Generally, we say that good OO design exhibits:

- High cohesion in the individual classes.
- Low coupling between classes.

Good Design



Generally, we say that good OO design exhibits:

- High cohesion in the individual classes.
- Low coupling between classes.

And the same applies to methods within a class.

Good Design



Generally, we say that good OO design exhibits:

- High cohesion in the individual classes.
- Low coupling between classes.

And the same applies to methods within a class.

This is kind of why you do "Design" alongside "Development" in this module, of course.





But obviously we won't get anywhere if classes cannot interact at all.

Low Coupling - High Cohesion

So what classes can be used in another class?



So what classes can be used in another class?

A class can use:

- Any class defined in the same package.
- > Any class defined in the Java libraries (with an import).
- > Any class defined in a related package (with an import).
- Any class defined anywhere (with a download and an import).



So what classes can be used in another class?

A class can use:

- Any class defined in the same package.
- > Any class defined in the Java
- Any class defined in a related
- Any class defined anywhere (import).

It neatly follows that related classes belong in the same package.

A Bank class can use a BankAccount class defined in the same package.

Bank.java BankAccount.java



A Bank class can use a BankAccount class defined in the same package.

The Bank class can create BankAccount objects.



Bank.java

BankAccount ba = new BankAccount ();

BankAccount.java

A Bank class can use a BankAccount class defined in the same package.

The Bank class can create BankAccount objects.

Note that BankAccount is completely unaware of Bank.

BankAccount is therefore potentially reusable.



Bank.java

BankAccount ba = new BankAccount ();

BankAccount.java

A Bank class can use a BankAccount class defined in the same package.

The Bank class can create BankAccount objects.

Bank may also use ArrayLists, provided the class is imported.

(ArrayList has no idea that it is going to be used with BankAccount objects.)



Bank.java

```
import java.util.ArrayList;
private accounts ArrayList <BankAccount>;
BankAccount ba = new BankAccount ();
```

BankAccount.java

Non-standard Classes



There are many, many, other classes out there.

See for example Apache's StringUtils:

https://commons.apache.org/proper/commons-lang/apidocs/org/apache/commons/lang3/StringUtils.html

Libraries such as this are often referred to as *dependencies*, which can be managed by special tools (Maven, Gradle).



toString

toString

The special toString method returns a String representation of an object.

It can be in any format the programmer requires.



```
public class Employee {
  private String name;
  private int rating;
  public String toString () {
    String s = "";
    s += this.name + " is ";
    s += this.getRatingDescription ();
    return s;
```

toString

The special toString method returns a String representation of an object.

It can be in any format the programmer requires.

(If you were looking closely earlier on you will have seen that Python has one too, called __str__).



```
public class Employee {
  private String name;
  private int rating;
  public String toString () {
    String s = "";
    s += this.name + " is ";
    s += this.getRatingDescription ();
    return s;
```



Static Methods

Static Context

There will come a time when your IDE will tell you that you cannot use a "non-static method" in a "static context".



Static Context

There will come a time when your IDE will tell you that you cannot use a "non-static method" in a "static context".

The fix is **not** to insert static in the method signature, unless this is what you need, and you understand what it does.

Really.

I mean that.

Static Methods



All the methods we have written so far (except one) were invoked on objects.

A static method is not invoked on an object.

Static methods and attributes, also known as Class methods and attributes, can be accessed by ClassName.methodName() and have an effect on all instances of that class.

Think of it as just a handy bit of code you want to give a name to.

Hello World

```
package week10.greeters;
public class ObjectGreeter {
     public ObjectGreeter () {}
     public void sayHelloWorld () {
         System.out.println ("Hello, World");
     public static void main (String[] args) {
         ObjectGreeter og = new ObjectGreeter ();
         og.sayHelloWorld ();
```



Static Hello World

```
package week10.greeters;

public class StaticGreeter {
    public static void sayHelloWorld () {
        System.out.println ("Hello, World");
    }

    public static void main (String[] args) {
        sayHelloWorld ();
    }
}
```





Library Classes: The Scanner

Keyboard Input



The Scanner class provides mechanisms to read input from the keyboard.

Keyboard Input



The Scanner class provides mechanisms to read input from the keyboard.

Actually, it reads from *streams*. Streams might be the keyboard, a file, or anything else that generates data.

Keyboard Input



The Scanner class provides mechanisms to read input from the keyboard.

Actually, it reads from *streams*. Streams might be the keyboard, a file, or anything else that generates d

System.out we have met.
System.in is the keyboard
(usually).
System.err is the error stream.

Static Hello World Revisited

```
package week10.greeters;
import java.util.Scanner;
public class NamedGreeter {
     public static void sayHelloWorld (String name) {
         System.out.println ("Hello, " + name);
     public static void main (String[] args) {
         Scanner in = new Scanner (System.in);
         System.out.print ("Hello. Who are you? ");
         String name = in.nextLine ();
         sayHelloWorld (name);
```



IntelliJ Demo Time



