Predicción del precio de venta de vehículos de 2ª mano en función de sus características

Icia Carro Barallobre, Karen Salazar Gutiérrez, Laura Llorente Sanz




SAMSUNG


Preprocesamiento de los datos (I)

Nombre fichero	Núm coches x			_		
	Features		Brand			
Audi.csv	10668 x 9		Audi			
Bmw.csv	10781 x 9		BMW			
Cclass.csv	3899 x 7	1	Mayaadaa	17018 x 10		
Merc.csv	13119 x 9		Mercedes			
Ford.csv	17965 x 9		Ford	22440 ~ 40		108540
Focus.csv	5454 x 7	\rfloor		23419 x 10		
Hyundi.csv	4860 x 9		Hyundi			
skoda.csv	6267 x 9		Skoda			
toyota.csv	6738 x 9		Toyota			
vauxhall.csv	13632 x 9		Vauxhall			
VW.CSV	15157 x 9		VW			

Preprocesamiento de los datos (II)

price





Ingeniería de características I

Variable Derivada: Antigüedad



Model	Year	Price	Transmission	Mileage	fuelType	Tax	Mpg	engineSize	Brand	Old
	Drop							10		
L										
		108540								


Dataset

Exploración de la variable objetivo: Price


count	108540			
mean	16890			
std	9756			
min	450			
25%	10229			
50%	14698			
75%	20940			
max	159999			

Matriz de correlación

(Variables No categóricas)




Comparación price - old




El precio del vehículo disminuye a medida que el coche es más antiguo

Comparación price - fuelType




El tipo de fuel no influye significativamente en el precio del vehículo

Comparación price - brand



La marca del vehículo influye en el precio: Audi, BMW y Mercedes son las más caras

Comparación price - engineSize



A mayor tamaño del motor del vehículo mayor precio

Ingeniería de características II

Variables continuas

- old
- mileage
- tax
- mpg
- engineSize




Scale --> media=0, desv=1 (asumimos normalidad)

Variables categóricas


- model
- transmission
- fuelType
- brand



One-Hot-Encoding




Reducción de dimensiones







Principal
Component
Analysis
(PCA)

Con 16 componentes explicamos el 90% de las variables




Matriz de correlación







División del dataset




División del dataset



Regresión: ElasticNet






Regresión: ElasticNet

```
In [9]: alpha = [0.001, 0.0001, 0.00001]
         l1 ratio = [0.001, 0.0001, 0.00001, 0.000001]
         parameters = {'alpha': alpha, 'l1 ratio': l1 ratio}
In [10]: from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
         from sklearn.linear model import ElasticNet
         gridCV = GridSearchCV(ElasticNet(), parameters, cv=5, n_jobs = -1) # "n_jobs = -1" means "use all the CPU cores".
         gridCV.fit(X train, Y train)
         best_alpha = gridCV.best_params ['alpha']
         best l1_ratio = gridCV.best_params ['l1_ratio']
         print("Best alpha : " + str(best_alpha))
         print("Best l1_ratio : " + str(best_l1_ratio))
         Best alpha: 0.0001
         Best 11 ratio : 1e-06
In [11]: elasticNet best = ElasticNet(alpha=best alpha, l1 ratio=best l1 ratio, random state=4815, fit intercept=False)
         elasticNet best.fit(X train, Y train)
         Y pred = elasticNet best.predict(X test)
         print( "Best RMSE : " + str(np.round(mean_squared_error(Y_test,Y_pred,squared=False, multioutput='raw_values'),3)))
         Best RMSE : [17525.614]
```

- ✓ Se puede probar con diferentes valores para alpha y l1_ratio.
- ✓ Mediante el mismo proceso se pueden obtener los mejores hiperparámetros para este modelo y conjunto de datos.
- ✓ En esta ocasión son l2 igual a 0,0001 y l1_ratio igual a 0,000001.

Regresión: Redes Neuronales Densas (I)




Input layer

RMSE = 4344, MAE = 2901 RMSE = 4420, MAE = 2717 RMSE = 4113, MAE = 2644


RMSE = 3966, MAE = 2500

RMSE = 3875, MAE = 2418



1 hidden layer

RMSE = 3911, MAE = 2476 RMSE = 3759, MAE = 2348 RMSE = 4113, MAE = 2644




2 hidden layer


RMSE = 3486, MAE = 2172,

Regresión: Redes Neuronales Densas (II)

Datos de entrenamiento



KFOLDS = 5



Datos de prueba

Evaluación

