



لائحة السلامة الاشعاعية للمرضى مستشفى الملك فهد – المدينة المنورة قسم الفيزياء الطبية

إعداد مختار محمد الأنصاري





Introduction

It is imperative that healthcare organisations work continuously and collaboratively to accomplish the optimisation of patient protection. One of the fundamental aspects of the optimisation of patient protection are the diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) and the quality control of radiation source machines. Diagnostic reference levels have been defined as 'a level used in medical imaging to indicate whether, in routine conditions, the Dose to the patient or the quantity of Radioactive Material administered in a specified radiological procedure is unusually high or low for that procedure. These diagnostic reference levels are specific and tailored according to patient age, organ or area on the body being examined and the clinical indication so that doses are optimised for the clinical purposes of the examination. Identification and establishment of reference levels serve as a tool to monitor the practice to ensure patient protection, further the quality control of radiation's source machines will ensure that all the radiations that produced will be optimised and accurate. These optimizations will help the ministry of health to address the differences in doses between healthcare facilities for a certain examination in a specific group of patients and promote practice improvements.

The Project

The project was led and managed by the Medical Physics Department in cooperation with the MOH. For diagnostic and therapeutic radiology as well Interventional radiology, OR, Endoscopy and Urology. Patient radiation doses that were collected in this project were for dental examinations, mammography, Interventional neuro-radiology(IR), Fluoroscopy, and computed tomography (CT). The data was obtained from King Fahd Hospital-Madinah. The data collection would be through the pictures archiving and communication system otherwise known as PACS and directly from the modalities. With the completion of the data collection phase, data will be analysed to establish the diagnostic reference levels and patients skin dose for the deterministic dose level.





Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs):

> X-Ray Examinations

X-Ray Adult diagnostic Reference Level (Ak, mGy)		
Examination	Average	
PA chest (23cm), with grid	0.15	
AP Abdomen (22 cm)	3.4	
AP lumbosacral spine (22 cm)	4.2	

> Fluoroscopy (FR)

Maximum Legal Entrance Exposure rate (R/min)			
Examination Average			
Normal Fluoroscopy	10		
Special Activated Fluoroscopy	20		

> Interventional Radiology (IR)

Patient Skin Dose (PSD, Gy)			
Examination Average			
Deterministic effect	2		

> Computerised Tomography (CT)

CT Adult diagnostic Reference Level (CTDIvol, mGy)		
Examination	Average	
CT Brain	75	
CT Brain + Contrast	80	
CT Chest	21	





CT Abdomen & Pelvis	25

> Mammography

Mammography diagnostic Reference Level (AGD, mGy)			
Examination Average			
Mammography- craniocaudal (CC)	3		
45 mm breast thickness			

> Dental

• Intra Oral (posterior view (molar))

Dental Intra Oral diagnostic Reference Level (ESD, mGy)		
Examination Average		
Adult Intra Oral	0.753	
Paediatric Intra Oral	0.598	





• Panoramic (OPG)

Dental Panoramic diagnostic Reference Level (ESD, mGy)		
Examination Average		
Adult OPG	4.48	
Paediatric OPG	2.94	

Adult Nuclear Medicine Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) Administered Activity, in MBq:

Adult Nuclear Medicine Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) Administered Activity in MBq			
Examination	Average		
Bone Scan	826		
Myocardial Perfusion 2 day (Rest)	782		
Myocardial Perfusion 2 day (Stress)	829		
Thyroid	180		
Lung Perfusion	184		
Parathyroid Scan	666		
Hepatobiliary Scan	181		
Lymphoscintigraphy	42		
Whole Body Scan	181		
Renal Scan-Static	135		
Renal Scan-Dynamic- MAG3	190		
Renal Scan-Dynamic- DTPA	151		
Renal Scan-Dynamic- EC	173		





Action Plan to Optimizing Dose

The patients dose monitoring applying to protect the patients from the unnecessary radiation doses. In case of preventive the exceeding of radiation doses, we have to applying the pre-processing optimization plan that including the following steps:

Modality	Action	Who	when
СТ	Optimizing the CT parameters (e.g mAs, ST, No.of Images etc) to estimate the $CTDI_{Vol}$ before exposure and be sure that value is less than DRL.	Tech + Radiologist + Physicist	
CT + X-ray	Justifying the requests and the repetition of the radiological exam	Physician	
X-ray	Ensure that Automatic Exposure control AEC working properly	Physicist	
CT + X-ray + Fluoroscopy	Ensure that all the exams taken within precise collimation. Applying in all procedures (e.g OR, IR, FR, endoscopy and urology)	Tech + Physician	Immediately
Fluoroscopy	Optimizing the Fluoroscopy parameters (e.g pulse rate, mA, kvp , FOVetc) to be sure that value is less than entrance exposure rate.	Tech+ Physician	,
All	Cover the unnecessary parts of patients by lead shielding before exposure and don't allow entrance of the patients accompany unless there is a justification and let him/her wear lead apron	Tech	
All	All stuff should be educated about how to deal with radiation safely	Physicist	

References

- https://rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/InformationFor/HealthProfessionals/1_Radiology/Optimiza tion/diagnostic-reference-levels.htm
- http://www.icrp.org/docs/DRL_for_web.pdf
- https://www.aapm.org/pubs/ACRAAPMCollaboration.asp