# RB-SFA: High Harmonic Generation in the Strong Field Approximation via *Mathematica*

© Emilio Pisanty 2014-2015. Licensed under GPL and CC-BY-SA.

# **Usage and Examples**

#### Loading the package

You can use this software

- · within the RB-SFA notebook itself by simply running the initialization cells of that notebook, or
- · from an external notebook by loading it as a package.

In the latter case, place a copy of the package file RB-SFA.m on the same directory as your notebook and run the loading command

```
Needs["RBSFA`", FileNameJoin[{NotebookDirectory[], "RB-SFA.m "}]]
```

You can also call the package from another directory by suitably modifying the directory call. If you plan on using this package in the long term you can use the File > Install prompt, in which case the package is simply loaded as Needs["RBSFA"], though this is not particularly recommended.

#### Simple usage

For basic usage, simply call the main numerical integrator, makeDipoleList, with the vector potential you want to use, and provide any parameters you wish to specify using the FieldParameters option.

```
AbsoluteTiming [ simpleDipole = makeDipoleList \left[ VectorPotential \rightarrow Function \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} Sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \\ FieldParameters \rightarrow \left\{ F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 0.057 \right\} \right]; \\ \left] \\ \left\{ 2.06305, Null \right\}
```

Calling the function with insufficient parameters will produce error messages:

$$\label{eq:makeDipoleList} \begin{split} \text{makeDipoleList} \left[ \text{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \text{Function} \Big[ \text{t,} \left\{ \frac{\text{F}}{\omega} \text{Sin}[\omega \, \text{t}] \,, \, 0 \,, \, 0 \right\} \Big] \right] \\ \text{makeDipoleList::pot:} \end{split}$$


The vector potential A provided as VectorPotential  $\rightarrow$  Function  $\left[t,\left\{\frac{F\,Sin\left[\omega\,t\right]}{\omega},0,0\right\}\right]$  is incorrect or is missing FieldParameters . Its usage as A[3.482993423326028`] returns  $\left\{\frac{F\,Sin\left[3.48299\,\omega\right]}{\omega},0,0\right\} \text{ and should return a list of numbers} \ .$ 

\$Aborted


The symbol  $\omega$  is taken to be the carrier frequency, and is set by default to  $\omega$  = 0.057 atomic units, corresponding to a wavelength of 800 nm. If the carrier frequency is changed, this must be specified on **both** the field parameters and the explicit option for the integrator, as

 $\texttt{makeDipoleList}\left[\texttt{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Function}\Big[\texttt{t}, \Big\{\frac{\texttt{F}}{\omega}\texttt{Sin}[\omega\,\texttt{t}]\,,\,0\,,\,0\Big\}\Big]\,,$ FieldParameters  $\rightarrow \{F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 0.0456\}$ , CarrierFrequency $\rightarrow 0.0456$ 

To see the spectrum, use the getSpectrum and the spectrumPlotter commands, such as  $\verb|spectrumPlotter [getSpectrum [Most[simpleDipole]], Joined \rightarrow False||$ 




Note here the use of Most on the dipole when a monochromatic field is indicated. This ensures that the signal is actually periodic (i.e. it eliminates repetition between the initial and final points, which are separated by exactly one period). If this is not done, the spectrum is much noisier:



The default options are built for a periodic pulse for which simple functions of the vector potential can be integrated analytically, and for which only a single period of integration is necessary. More periods can be specified using the TotalCycles option. Similarly, the PointsPerCycle option controls the number of points per period.

```
AbsoluteTiming
                                                biggerDipole = makeDipoleList \left[ VectorPotential \rightarrow Function \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} Sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \right. 
                                                                                                                                                                                         \texttt{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \{\texttt{F} \rightarrow \texttt{0.05}, \, \omega \rightarrow \texttt{0.057}\}, \, \texttt{TotalCycles} \rightarrow \texttt{4}, \, \texttt{PointsPerCycle} \rightarrow \texttt{150} \, \Big] \, ; \, \texttt{TotalCycles} \rightarrow \texttt{4}, \, \texttt{PointsPerCycle} \rightarrow \texttt{150} \, \Big] \, ; \, \texttt{TotalCycles} \rightarrow \texttt{4}, \, \texttt{PointsPerCycle} \rightarrow \texttt{150} \, \Big] \, ; \, \texttt{TotalCycles} \rightarrow \texttt{4}, \, \texttt{PointsPerCycle} \rightarrow \texttt{150} \, \Big] \, ; \, \texttt{TotalCycles} \rightarrow \texttt{1
        {22.4014, Null}
```

To get a correct spectrum plot, give these settings to the spectrum plotter.




You can specify a Target chemical species using the option

#### ?Target

Target is an option for makeDipoleList which specifies chemical species producing the HHG emission, pulling the ionization potential from the Wolfram ElementData curated data set.

i.e. using the syntax

$$\begin{split} \text{heliumDipole} &= \text{makeDipoleList} \left[ \text{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \text{Function} \Big[ \text{t}, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \text{Sin}[\omega \, \text{t}], \, 0, \, 0 \right\} \Big], \\ &\quad \text{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \{ F \rightarrow 0.05, \, \omega \rightarrow 0.057 \}, \, \text{Target} \rightarrow \text{"Helium} \, \text{"} \Big]; \\ &\quad \text{xenonDipole=makeDipoleList} \left[ \text{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \text{Function} \Big[ \text{t}, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \text{Sin}[\omega \, \text{t}], \, 0, \, 0 \right\} \Big], \\ &\quad \text{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \{ F \rightarrow 0.05, \, \omega \rightarrow 0.057 \}, \, \text{Target} \rightarrow \text{"Xenon"} \Big]; \end{split}$$



For convenience, the function getlonizationPotential gives a public-facing access to this functionality, via ?getIonizationPotential

getIonizationPotential[Target] returns the ionization potential of an atomic target, e.g. "Hydrogen", in atomic units.

so that e.g.

```
 \left\{ \texttt{\#, UnitConvert} \big[ \texttt{Quantity} \big[ \texttt{\#, "Hartrees"} \big] \,, \, \texttt{"Electronvolts"} \big] \right\} \& \texttt{@getIonizationPotentia} \left\{ \texttt{"Hydrogen"} \right] 
\{0.49971, 13.598 \,\mathrm{eV}\}
```

An ionization potential can also be specified directly:

? IonizationPotential

IonizationPotential is an option for makeDipoleList which specifies the ionization potential Ip of the target.

To see the available options for this function (and others), use

#### Options[makeDipoleList]

```
\{ PointsPerCycle \rightarrow 90, TotalCycles \rightarrow 1, CarrierFrequency \rightarrow 0.057, \}
  Preintegrals \rightarrow Analytic, Reporting Function \rightarrow Identity, Gate \rightarrow Sine Squared Gate \left[\frac{1}{2}\right],
  nGate \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}, \epsilon Correction \rightarrow 0.1, IonizationPotentia\Rightarrow 0.5, Target \Rightarrow Automatic,
  \texttt{DipoleTransitionMatrixElement} \rightarrow \texttt{hydrogenicDTME}, \ \texttt{PointNumberCorrection} \rightarrow \texttt{0}, \ \texttt{Verbose} \rightarrow \texttt{0} \ \Big\}
```

All options have suitable information messages.


#### ?VectorPotential

VectorPotential is an option for makeDipole list which specifies the field's vector potential. Usage should be VectorPotential→A, where A[t]//.pars must yield a list of numbers for numeric t and parameters indicated by FieldParameters-pars.

#### Using numerical integration for the preintegrals

To simulate a pulse with an envelope, it can be convenient to perform the preintegrals numerically, using the option Preintegrals→"Numeric". These cases are generally slower but mainly because they require many more periods of integration.

```
AbsoluteTiming
   numericallyIntegratedDipole =
        makeDipoleList [VectorPotential \rightarrow Function[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega} envelope[t] Sin[\omegat], 0, 0}],
           FieldParameters \rightarrow \{\omega \rightarrow 0.057, F \rightarrow 0.055, envelope \rightarrow cosPowerFlatTop[0.057, 8, 16]\},
           TotalCycles → 8, Preintegrals → "Numeric " ;
{15.6354, Null}
```



When using flat top pulses, and other waveforms that depend on Piecewise functions, it is possible that the function will return errors caused by an Indeterminate derivative being evaluated at the corners of the envelope.

```
AbsoluteTiming
   flatTopPulseDipole=
        makeDipoleList [VectorPotential \rightarrow Function[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega} envelope[t] Sin[\omegat], 0, 0}],
           FieldParameters \rightarrow \{\omega \rightarrow 0.057, F \rightarrow 0.055, envelope \rightarrow flatTopEnvelope[0.057, 8, 2]\}
           TotalCycles → 8, Preintegrals → "Numeric " ;
{17.7842, Null}
In these cases, use a numeric test to diagnose what's happened
Tally[flatTopPulseDipole/._?NumberQ → √]
\{\{\{\langle , \vee, \vee \rangle, 721\}\}
```

and if the function is returning non-numeric values, it can help to fiddle with the PointNumberCorrection option.

#### ? PointNumberCorrection

PointNumberCorrection is an option for makeDipole list which specifies an extra number of points to be integrated over, which is useful to prevent Indeterminate errors when a Piecewise envelope is being differentiated at the boundaries.

#### Parallelized environments

The numerical integration can be parallelized over via the use of ParallelTable and similar commands. Care must be taken to ensure that all parallel kernels have the package definitions available (using DistributeDefinitions, ParallelNeeds, or similar constructs). If a variable or function is used to store the results, this must be synchronized using SetSharedFunction or SetSharedVariable, as usual.

```
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
 SetSharedFunction[wavelengthScanDipole];
 ParallelTable
                 Print AbsoluteTiming [
                                                       wavelengthScanDipole[\lambda] =
                                                                                           \text{makeDipoleList} \left[ \text{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ FieldParameters } \rightarrow \text{Function} \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right], \text{ Field
                                                                                                                                   \{F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 45.6/\lambda\}, CarrierFrequency \Rightarrow 45.6/\lambda, PointsPerCycle \Rightarrow 400;
                    , {λ, 800, 1600, 100}
  {65.3966, Null}
  {67.5219, Null}
  {67.9824, Null}
  {68.8184, Null}
  {70.7804, Null}
  {70.9155, Null}
  {71.6026, Null}
  {43.4543, Null}
  {41.8719, Null}
  {Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null}
```

# Show Table $spectrumPlotter [getSpectrum [Most[wavelengthScanDipole[<math>\lambda$ ]]], PlotStyle $\rightarrow$ Blend[{Blue, Green, Red}, $\lambda/800-1$ ], CarrierFrequency $\rightarrow 45.6/\lambda$ , Joined→ False, FrequencyAxis→ "Frequency", PointsPerCycle→ 400] , {λ, 800, 1600, 100}]] -15 -20 -25 10

## Writing output to file

For very large calculations (many integration points per cycle, in particular), the limiting factor is available memory. In these situations, it can help to write the data directly to a file on disk. This is slower (by a factor of about 2) but it has a roughly constant RAM footprint, so it enables calculations of a bigger size than would be possible otherwise. (Of course, this can also be done from non-parallelized calls!) This is done via the ReportingFunction option:

#### ? ReportingFunction

ReportingFunction is an option for makeDipole list which specifies a function used to report the results, either internally (by the default, Identity) or to an external file.

In essence, the integration loop consists of a Table construct, which goes over the time t at which the integral is performed, and an inner integration construct. Setting an option ReportingFunction→f interposes the function f between these two steps, as


Table[ f[ integrator[t] ] , {t, tlnitial, tFinal}]

The default is f=ldentity, which returns its input untouched, but it can also be replaced by a Write construct that can shunt its input to the hard disk without telling the kernel what it is, so it is not kept in memory.

```
Quit
```

```
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
directory= NotebookDirectory[];
filename [F ] :=
     FileNameJoin [{directory, "Field scan data at F="<>ToString[F] <> ".txt"}];
ParallelTable
  Print AbsoluteTiming
       makeDipoleList [VectorPotential \rightarrow Function[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega} \operatorname{Sin}[\omega t], 0, 0\right\}],
             FieldParameters \rightarrow \{\omega \rightarrow 0.057\}, CarrierFrequency\rightarrow 0.057, PointsPerCycle\rightarrow 400,
             ReportingFunction Function Write filename [F], #]]
   , {F, 0.05, 0.2, 0.025}
{66.2765, Null}
{68.2327, Null}
{68.4573, Null}
{69.0505, Null}
{69.6457, Null}
{69.8211, Null}
{70.4778, Null}
{Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null}
The data in the files can then be pulled in quite simply using e.g.
Do[intensityScanDipol&F] = ReadList[filename [F]], {F, 0.05, 0.2, 0.025}]
This tends to litter the directories by creating lots of files for different parameters, so it is usually cleaner to Save
them into a single file, e.g. using
Save[FileNameJoin[{NotebookDirectory[], "Field scan collected data.txt"}],
   intensityScanDipole
which in turn can then be pulled in using
<< (FileNameJoin [{NotebookDirectory[], "Field scan collected data.txt"}]);
```

```
Show Table
    spectrumPlotter [getSpectrum [Most[intensityScanDipol♠F]]], CarrierFrequency→0.057,
      Joined→False, PointsPerCycle→400, PlotStyle→Blend[{Black, Red}, F/0.2]]
    , {F, 0.05, 0.2, 0.025}]]
```



As written, though, this has the disadvantage that each subkernel must access the hard drive for every timestep of the computation, which obviously responsible for (at least most of) the slowdown. A middle ground is also possible by choosing an appropriate ReportingFunction: a function which will cache a specific number k of results on RAM, and then write them to file all in one go. This is on the development to do (wish) list, and will hopefully be implemented soon - if time allows.

## Time and memory use

The benchmarks below were taken on a desktop machine with 8-thread, 4-core Intel i7-3770 CPU at 3.40GHz, 16GB RAM, running Mathematica 10.0.1 over Ubuntu 14.04. The time taken per computation depends most strongly on the PointsPerCycle used to sample and integrate, and the dependence is therefore quadratic.

```
timingsList = Table
     n, AbsoluteTiming
           MaxMemoryUsed [makeDipoleList [VectorPotential \rightarrow Function[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega}\sin[\omega t], 0, 0\right\}],
                 FieldParameters \rightarrow \left\{ F \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{n}{100}} \ 0.053, \omega \rightarrow 0.057 \right\}, PointsPerCycle\rightarrown]]]}
     {n,
        100,
        1000,
        100}
{{100, {2.36167, 4905296}}, {200, {9.40602, 19018888}},
   \{300, \{20.6878, 43497000\}\}, \{400, \{37.2461, 78976528\}\}, \{500, \{57.5847, 118770848\}\},
   {600, {82.7101, 173233496}}, {700, {112.082, 232621352}}, {800, {150.096, 301125912}},
   \{900,\,\{187.298,\,387140808\}\},\,\{1000,\,\{233.122,\,473885368\}\}\}
```

# **Timings**

150

100

50

200

400

PointsPerCycle

600

800

1000

```
 \texttt{timingsModel} = \texttt{LinearModelFit} \Big( \texttt{Flatten/@timingsList} \Big) \big[ \! \big[ \texttt{All, \{1,2\}} \big] , \big\{ 1, n, n^2 \big\}, \big\{ n \} \big] ; \\
      ListPlot[
           (Flatten/@timingsList)[All, {1, 2}]
       Plot[timingsModel [n], {n, timingsList[[1, 1]], timingsList[[-1, 1]]}]
   , Frame \rightarrow True, PlotLabel \rightarrow Row \left[\left\{\text{"time in seconds=", timingsModel }\left[100\,\text{"}\left(\frac{\text{PointsPerCycle}}{100}\right)\,\text{"}\right]\right\}\right],
   FrameLabel \rightarrow \{"PointsPerCycle", "Time in seconds"\}, ImageSize <math>\rightarrow 600
                              in seconds=2.3726 ( PointsPerCycle )2 - 0.530343 ( PointsPerCycle )+0.831196
   200
```

#### Maximum memory used

```
= LinearModelFit (Flatten/@timingsList) [All, \{1, 3\}], \{1, n, n^2\}, \{n\}];
Show {
     ListPlot[
         (Flatten/@timingsList) [All, {1, 3}]
      Plot[memoryModel [n], {n, timingsList[[1, 1]], timingsList[[-1, 1]]}]
   , Frame \rightarrow True, PlotLabel \rightarrow
      \text{Row} \Big[ \Big\{ \text{"Memory used=", Simplify [memoryModel [100."(\frac{PointsPerCycle}{100})"] 10^{-6}"MB"]} \Big\} \Big], 
   FrameLabel \rightarrow {"PointsPerCycle", "Memory used"}, ImageSize \rightarrow 600
   5 \times 10^{8}
   4 \times 10^{8}
   3 \times 10^{8}
   1×108
                                                                                                             1000
                                                      PointsPerCycle
```

#### In parallel

Inside parallel environments the timings are somewhat slower, by a factor of about 1.8. The timings below were taken with 7 Mathematica kernels running in parallel.

```
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
```

```
parallelTimingsList = ParallelTable
                   n, AbsoluteTiming | MaxMemoryUsed |
                                            \texttt{makeDipoleList}\left[\texttt{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Function}\Big[\texttt{t}, \left\{\frac{\texttt{F}}{\omega}\texttt{Sin}[\omega\,\texttt{t}]\,,\,0\,,\,0\right\}\Big],\,\texttt{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \texttt{Fince}\Big[\texttt{vectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Function}\Big[\texttt{t},\,\left\{\frac{\texttt{F}}{\omega}\texttt{Sin}[\omega\,\texttt{t}]\,,\,0\,,\,0\right\}\Big],\,\texttt{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \texttt{Fince}\Big[\texttt{vectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Fince}\Big[\texttt{vectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Fince}\Big]
                                                              \left\{ F \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{n}{100}} \ 0.053, \omega \rightarrow 45.6/\lambda \right\}, CarrierFrequency \rightarrow 45.6/\lambda, PointsPerCycle \rightarrow n]]]
                   , \{\lambda, 770, 830, 10\}, \{n, 100, 1000, 100\}
 \{\{\{100, \{4.71833, 7949312\}\}, \{200, \{18.2567, 19028920\}\}, \}\}
                   {300, {39.6818, 43507248}}, {400, {68.0825, 75529984}}, {500, {108.27, 118772272}},
                   {600, {154.871, 173234856}}, {700, {211.182, 232622488}}, {800, {275.072, 301126208}},
                   {900, {350.061, 387140984}}, {1000, {424.31, 473885664}}},
          {{100, {3.7169, 7949264}}, {200, {17.4824, 19028912}}, {300, {40.1556, 43507248}},
                   {400, {68.1348, 75529984}}, {500, {109.399, 118772272}},
                   {600, {153.631, 173234976}}, {700, {211.956, 232622248}},
                   {800, {279.29, 301126208}}, {900, {353.1, 387141104}}, {1000, {429.039, 473885664}}},
          \{\{100, \{4.70831, 7949264\}\}, \{200, \{17.3451, 19028912\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.1826, 43507248\}\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.1826, 43507248\}, \{40.182
                   {400, {68.9951, 75529984}}, {500, {109.87, 118772272}}, {600, {156.143, 173234976}},
                   {700, {209.361, 232622488}}, {800, {279.29, 301126208}},
                   {900, {350.784, 387141104}}, {1000, {418.914, 473885664}}},
          \{\{100, \{4.27991, 7949264\}\}, \{200, \{18.6273, 19028912\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{40.4553, 43507248\}\}
                   {400, {67.5833, 75529984}}, {500, {107.493, 118772272}}, {600, {151.738, 173234976}},
                   {700, {211.565, 232622368}}, {800, {276.237, 301126208}},
                   {900, {352.376, 387141104}}, {1000, {429.842, 473885664}}},
          \{\{100, \{4.80072, 7949264\}\}, \{200, \{17.9797, 19028912\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}, \{300, \{38.2944, 43507248\}\}
                   {400, {68.7844, 75529984}}, {500, {105.406, 118772272}}, {600, {151.986, 173234976}},
                   {700, {216.018, 232622488}}, {800, {279.116, 301126208}},
                   {900, {361.033, 387141104}}, {1000, {424.589, 473885664}}},
          \{\{100, \{4.24956, 7949264\}\}, \{200, \{17.1782, 19028912\}\}, \{300, \{40.1991, 43507248\}\},
                   {400, {67.2693, 75529864}}, {500, {108.852, 118772032}},
                   {600, {156.147, 173234736}}, {700, {210.96, 232622008}}, {800, {279.971, 301126208}},
                   {900, {352.399, 387141104}}, {1000, {423.345, 473885664}}},
          {400, {67.7538, 75529984}}, {500, {107.413, 118772272}}, {600, {156.833, 173234976}},
                   {700, {213.902, 232622488}}, {800, {276.711, 301126208}},
                   {900, {352.447, 387140984}}, {1000, {423.858, 473885544}}}}
parallelTimingsListAveraged =
         Table [ \{parallelTimingsList^{\intercal}[k, 1, 1], Mean[parallelTimingsList^{\intercal}[k, All, 2]] \}, \}
                   \{k, Length[parallelTimingsList^{\dagger}]\}
\left\{\left\{100, \left\{4.42209, \frac{55644896}{7}\right\}\right\}, \left\{200, \left\{17.7852, \frac{133202392}{7}\right\}\right\},\right.
         \{300, \{39.803, 43507248\}\}, \{400, \{68.0862, \frac{528709768}{7}\}\}, \{500, \{108.101, \frac{831405664}{7}\}\}, \{68.0862, \frac{528709768}{7}\}\}
         \left\{600, \left\{154.479, \frac{1212644472}{7}\right\}\right\}, \left\{700, \left\{212.135, 232622368\right\}\right\}, \left\{800, \left\{277.955, 301126208\right\}\right\}, \left\{800, \left\{277.955, 301126208\right\}\right\}
         \left\{900, \left\{353.171, \frac{2709987488}{7}\right\}\right\}, \left\{1000, \left\{424.842, \frac{3317199528}{7}\right\}\right\}\right\}
```

**Timings** 

```
parallelTimingsModel =
     Show {
     ListPlot
        Plot[parallelTimingsModel[n],
        \left\{\texttt{n,parallelTimingsListAveraged[[1,1]],parallelTimingsListAveraged[[-1,1]]}\right\}
   , Frame → True,
  PlotLabel \rightarrow \text{Row}\left[\left\{\text{"time in seconds=", parallelTimingsModel }\left[100\text{"}\left(\frac{\text{PointsPerCycle}}{100}\right)\text{"}\right]\right\}\right],
   FrameLabel \rightarrow {"PointsPerCycle", "Time in seconds"}, ImageSize \rightarrow 600
                 time in seconds=4.19986 (\frac{\text{PointsPerCycle}}{100})<sup>2</sup> + 1.07899 (\frac{\text{PointsPerCycle}}{100}) – 1.55094
   400
   300
seconds
.⊑
   200
Time
   100
                                         400
                                                          600
                                                                            800
                                                                                             1000
```

PointsPerCycle

Memory

```
parallelMemoryModel =
     Show {
    ListPlot
        (Flatten/@parallelTimingsListAveraged)[All, {1, 3}]
     ],
     Plot[parallelMemoryModel [n],
       \{n, parallelTimingsListAveraged[1, 1], parallelTimingsListAveraged[-1, 1]]\}
  , Frame \rightarrow True, PlotLabel \rightarrow
     \text{Row} \Big[ \Big\{ \text{"Memory used=", Simplify [parallelMemoryModel [100."(\frac{PointsPerCycle}{100})"]} \, 10^{-6} \, \text{"MB"]} \Big\} \Big], 
  FrameLabel \rightarrow {"PointsPerCycle", "Memory used"}, ImageSize \rightarrow 600
  5×10
  4 \times 10^{8}
  3 \times 10^{8}
  1×108
```

# Cutting off the long trajectories

This section shows, as an example of the use of the package, the use of the integration gate to eliminate the contribution from long trajectories. This can be tested by the reduced presence of quantum path interference patterns in the spectrum, and more practically by examining the dependence of the quantum phase on the field intensity.

PointsPerCycle

#### The gating cutoff time

Given the classical trajectory,

```
trajectory[\omegat_, \omegat0_] := (x[\omegat] /. First@DSolve[
                 \{x''[\omega t] = Cos[\omega t], x'[\omega t0] = 0, x[\omega t0] = 0\}
                 , x, \omega t
             1)
```

the recollision kinetic energy and excursion time can be found as

```
\texttt{recollisionKE}[\omega \texttt{t0}\_?\texttt{NumericQ}] := \Big( \texttt{D}[\texttt{trajectory}[\omega \texttt{tt}, \omega \texttt{t0}], \omega \texttt{tt}]^2 /. \omega \texttt{tt} \rightarrow \omega \texttt{t} \Big) /.
        First[Quiet[NSolve[{trajectory[\omega t, \omega t0] == 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \le \omega t < 2\pi}, \omega t]]]
recollisionExcursionTime[ωt0_?NumericQ]:=
    (\omega t - \omega t 0) / . First[Quiet[NSolve[{trajectory[\omega t, \omega t 0] == 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \le \omega t < 2\pi}, \omega t]]]
```

and the excursion time at the cutoff can be found by maximizing the kinetic energy.

```
FindMaximum [recollisionKH\omegat0], {\omegat0, 0.3}]
recollisionExcursionTime[\omegat0] /. Last[%]
\{1.58657, \{\omega t0 \rightarrow 0.313408\}\}
0.650239
```

In other words, the cutoff trajectories occur at excursion times of  $\omega \tau = 0.65 \times 2 \pi$ , i.e. at a gate number of 0.65 cycles.

#### Calculation

The actual calculation,


This calculation runs a standard linearly-polarized field with intensity between 0.8 and 2×10<sup>14</sup> W/cm<sup>2</sup>, with a fine intensity resolution. We compare the standard, non-gated calculation against a calculation with nGate set to 0.65, as per the above, and a sharp sin<sup>2</sup> cutoff of 0.05 cycles.

```
intRange= Range[0.8, 2., 0.002];
nppsl = 150;
SetSharedFunction quantumPhaseScan , fourierDipole;
LaunchKernels[];
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
nFlat = 0.65;
nGateRamp = 0.05;
```

```
DateString[]
AbsoluteTiming |
          ParallelTable
                             quantumPhaseScan [trajectories, int] = makeDipoleList [
                                                         VectorPotential \rightarrow Function \left[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega} Sin[\omega t], 0, 0\right\}\right], 
                                                        FieldParameters \rightarrow \left\{ F \rightarrow \sqrt{\text{int }} 0.053, \omega \rightarrow 0.057 \right\},
                                                         {\tt PointsPerCycle} \! \rightarrow \! {\tt nppsl},
                                                         \label{eq:continuous} If \Big[ trajectories === "Short", Sequence@@ \Big\{ Gate \rightarrow SineSquaredGate[nGateRamp ], Gate Association \\ Ga
                                                                                     nGate \rightarrow (nFlat + nGateRamp), ### &[], ### &[]]
                             , {int, intRange}, {trajectories, {"Short", "Long"}}];
DateString[]
Wed 2 Dec 2015 19:28:50
 {1216.8, Null}
Wed 2 Dec 2015 19:49:07
 and the energy-domain dipole.
 AbsoluteTiming [
          Table[
                             fourierDipole[trajectories, int] = Fourier[
                                                         Re[quantumPhaseScan [trajectories, int] [1;; -2, 1]]
                                                          , FourierParameters \rightarrow {-1, 1}];
                              , {int, intRange}, {trajectories, {"Short", "Long"}}];
 {0.093762, Null}
```

#### **Analysis**

```
Block | {background},
   background = ListLogPlot
         Flatten Table
                \left\{ \texttt{Range} \left[ \texttt{0,nppsl/2-1} \right], \texttt{Abs} \left[ \texttt{fourierDipole} \left( \texttt{trajectories,m} \left[ \texttt{intRange} \right] \right] \left[ \texttt{1;;nppsl/2} \right] \right]^2 \right\}^\intercal
                , \{m, \{Min, Max\}\}, \{trajectories, \{"Short", "Long"\}\}, 1
          , ImageSize \rightarrow 420
          , PlotStyle → {{Red, Opacity[0.5]}, {Blue, Opacity[0.5]}, {Red}, {Blue}}
          , PlotLabel → "Harmonic dipole, Short vs Long;\nsolid at "<>ToString[Max[intRange]] <>
                "\times 10^{14} W/cm <sup>2</sup> pale at "<>ToString[Min[intRange]] <>"\times 10^{14} W/cm <sup>2</sup>."
          ,FrameLabel → {"Harmonic order", ""}
          , Frame → True
   SlideView Table
         Row[{
                Show [{
                       \texttt{RegionPlot}[\texttt{Abs}[\phi] > 1, \{\texttt{int}, \texttt{Min}[\texttt{intRange}], \texttt{Max}[\texttt{intRange}]\}, \{\phi, -1.2, 1.2\}, \texttt{PlotStyle}\}
                             GrayLevel[0.9], Method \rightarrow {"AxesInFront" \rightarrow False}, BoundaryStyle \rightarrow None],
                      ListPlot
                          Table
                             Flatten Table
                                     \{ \#, \; \{ \#[\![1]\!], \#[\![2]\!] + 2 \}, \; \{ \#[\![1]\!], \#[\![2]\!] - 2 \} \} \; \& @
                                       \left\{\inf, \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left[\text{fourierDipole}\left\{\text{trajectories, int}\right]\right]\right\}
                                    , {int, intRange[1;;-1]}, 1
                             , {trajectories, {"Short", "Long"}}|
                          , PlotStyle → {{PointSize(0.01], Red}, {PointSize(0.01], Blue}}
                          , Joined→ False
                   , PlotRange \rightarrow 1.2 {-1, 1}, AspectRatio \rightarrow 0.6
                   , PlotRangePadding→ {None, Automatic }
                   , Axes → True
                   , ImageSize \rightarrow 450
                    , PlotLabel \rightarrow "H" <> ToString[HO] <> " phase, Short vs Long"
                   , FrameLabel → {"Intensity/10<sup>14</sup> W cm ^{-2}", "Harmonics phase/π"}
                Show[\{background\}, GridLines \rightarrow \{\{HO\}, None\}]
         , \{HO, 1, npps1/2, 2\}, 7
```



The short- and long-trajectory calculations are in red and blue respectively. It is clear that, in the plateau regions, the gated calculation has a much smoother dependence of the harmonic phase on the field intensity. On the other hand, the cutoff is perfectly preserved. These are the hallmarks that the contributions from long trajectories have been mostly eliminated.

# Nondipole contributions

Nondipole contributions can be specified by setting a nonzero vector potential gradient:

#### ? VectorPotentialGradient


"VectorPotentialGradient is an option for makeDipole list which specifies the gradient of the field's vector potential. Usage should be VectorPotentialGradient→GA, where GA[t]//.pars must yield a square matrix of the same dimension as the vector potential for numeric t and parameters indicated by FieldParameters $\rightarrow$ pars. The indices must be such that GA[t][i,j] returns  $\partial_i A_i[t]$ ."

If, for example, the travelling-wave form of the vector potential is of the form  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{F}{\omega} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(kz - \omega t)$ , then at the origin the vector potential is  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{F}{\omega} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t)$  and it has a single nonzero entry in its gradient matrix  $\nabla \mathbf{A}$ , i.e.  $\partial_z A_x = -\frac{kF}{\omega} \sin(\omega t)$ . This is entered into the VectorPotentialGradient option as

```
nonDipoleContributions makeDipoleList
             VectorPotential \rightarrow Function \left[t, \left\{\frac{F}{\omega} \cos[\omega t], 0, 0\right\}\right],
              \label{eq:VectorPotentialGradient} VectorPotentialGradient \rightarrow Function \left[t, \left\{\{0,0,0\}, \{0,0,0\}, \left\{-\frac{k\,F}{\omega} \text{Sin}[\omega\,t],0,0\right\}\right\}\right], 
             FieldParameters \rightarrow \{F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 0.057, k \rightarrow \omega/c, c \rightarrow 137\}
```

 $\verb|spectrumPlotter [getSpectrum [Most[nonDipoleContributions]], Joined \rightarrow False, ImageSize \rightarrow 500]|$ -20 -25 -30

At low wavelengths, the first obvious effect is the appearance of even harmonics. This is the expected behaviour, with the harmonics along the laser propagation direction. (Informally, the magnetic pushing on the wavepacket acts on the propagation direction on both halves of each laser period. This off-axis recollision causes the dipole to oscillate in the propagation direction with an even symmetry. More formally, the dynamical symmetries of the problem permit even (but not odd) harmonics along this direction.) This is indeed what is observed:



# Benchmarking the nondipole contributions

#### Nondipole contributions in a crossed-beam setup

This section explores the harmonic emission by a crossed-beam setup, with nondipole contributions, as a benchmarking step for the latter. The crossed-beam setup was proposed by X.-M. Tong and S.-I. Chu in Phys. Rev. A 58 no .4, R2656 (1998), and it was explored in a nondipole setting by V. Averbukh et al. in Phys Rev. A 65, 063402 (2002). The results below reproduce those of Averbukh et al.

In short, we consider the harmonic emission by a circularly polarized pulse propagating along the z direction, at frequency  $\omega$ , and a linearly polarized pulse of frequency  $r \omega$  propagating along the x direction and polarized along the z direction.

```
\left[ \text{crossedBeamsA } \left[ x_{, z_{]} = \text{Function} \right] t
                     \left\{ \frac{\text{F1}}{\omega} \text{Cos} \left[ \text{kz-}\omega \, \text{t} \right], \frac{\text{F1}}{\omega} \text{Sin} \left[ \text{kz-}\omega \, \text{t} \right], \frac{\text{F2}}{\text{r}\omega} \text{Sin} \left[ \text{rkx-}\text{r}\omega \, \text{t+}\Theta 0 \right] \right\}
                 ] [t] // MatrixForm
(crossedBeamsGA [x_] = Function[t, Evaluate[{
                              D[crossedBeamsA [x, z][t], x] /. \{z \rightarrow 0\},
                              D[crossedBeamsA [x, z][t], y] /. \{z \rightarrow 0\},
                              D[crossedBeamsA [x, z][t], z] /. \{z \rightarrow 0\}
                           }]]
        )[t]//MatrixForm
      F1 \cos [kz-t\omega]
      F1Sin[kz-tω]
  F2 Sin[krx+\theta 0-rt\omega]
                            0
```

The dipole selection rules allow harmonic orders of the form 2 r/± 1, with /= 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., with polarization in the x, y plane, and harmonics of order r(2/+1), with l=0, 1, 2, 3, ..., polarized along the z direction.

allowedHarmonics  $[r_{, \{1, 2\}}] := Select[Union[2rRange[0, 100] + 1, 2rRange[0, 100] - 1], # > 0 &]$ 

```
allowedHarmonics [r_{,} \{3\}] := r(2Range[0, 100] + 1)
For the calculation, then, some preliminaries,
\alphaRange = {0, 1/137};
nppcb = 240;
crossedBeamsParameters [rr] := \{F1 \rightarrow 0.1, F2 \rightarrow 0.2, \omega \rightarrow 0.057, \theta0 \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow rr, k \rightarrow \alpha\omega\};
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
SetSharedFunction[crossedBeamsResults ];
and the calculation itself for r = 2 and r = 5.
Print[DateString[]]
ParallelTable AbsoluteTiming [
     crossedBeamsResults [r, α] = makeDipoleList [
              VectorPotential→crossedBeamsA [0,0], VectorPotentialGradient→crossedBeamsGA [0],
              FieldParameters → crossedBeamsParameters [r]
              , DipoleTransitionMatrixElement \rightarrow Function[\{p, \kappa\}, gaussianDTME[p, 1/1.3]
              , CarrierFrequency\rightarrow0.057, PointsPerCycle\rightarrownppcb
           ];
   [, \{r, \{2, 5\}\}, \{\alpha, \alpha \}]]
Print[DateString[]]
Thu 1 Oct 2015 15:42:15
\{\{\{29.6518,\, \text{Null}\},\, \{34.7323,\, \text{Null}\}\},\, \{\{28.9947,\, \text{Null}\},\, \{34.9678,\, \text{Null}\}\}\}
Thu 1 Oct 2015 15:43:20
```

Results for r = 2, comparable to Fig. 1 in Averbukh et al. Dashed lines mark the dipole-allowed harmonics. The lefthand column has nondipole contributions turned off ( $\alpha = 0$ ), and the right-hand column includes the nondipole contributions and observes a massive increase in the amplitude of the dipole-forbidden harmonics.

```
Grid[Table[
      ListLogPlot[
          getSpectrum [crossedBeamsResults [2, \alpha] [1;; -2, part], \omegaPower \rightarrow 2] [2;;]
          , Joined \rightarrow False, ImageSize \rightarrow 600, PlotTheme \rightarrow "Detailed", PlotRange \rightarrow Full
          , GridLines→ {allowedHarmonics [2, part], None}
          , PlotLabel \rightarrow Row[{"\alpha=", \alpha, ", part ", {"x", "y", "z"}[[part]]}]
       ], \{part, \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}\}, \{\alpha, \alpha Range\}]]
                                                        \alpha=0, part {x, y}
10-11
                                                                                                                            10-10
                                                                                                                            10<sup>-15</sup>
10-21
                                                                                                                            10-20
                                                                                                                            10-25
                         20
                                                                                                    100
                                                         \alpha=0, part {z}
 10^{-2}
                                                                                                                             10-
                                                                                                                             10^{-9}
10-12
                                                                                                                            10-14
10-22
                                                                                                                            10-19
                                                                                                                            10-24
10-32
                         20
                                                                                 80
                                                                                                    100
                                                                                                                      120
```

Results for r = 5, comparable to Fig. 2 in Averbukh et al.

```
Grid[Table[
       ListLogPlot[
           \texttt{getSpectrum} \ \left[\texttt{crossedBeamsResults} \ [5,\alpha] \ [\![1\,;;-2\,,\texttt{part}]\!], \omega \texttt{Power} \rightarrow 2 \,\right] \ [\![2\,;;]\!]
            , Joined \rightarrow False, ImageSize \rightarrow 600, PlotTheme \rightarrow "Detailed", PlotRange \rightarrow Full
           , GridLines→ {allowedHarmonics [5, part], None}
            , PlotLabel \rightarrow Row[{"\alpha=", \alpha, ", part ", {"x", "y", "z"}[part]}]
        ], \{part, \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}\}, \{\alpha, \alpha Range\}]]
                                                                \alpha=0, part {x, y}
 100
10<sup>-8</sup>
                                                                                                                                              10-14
10<sup>-18</sup>
                                                                                                                                              10<sup>-19</sup>
10-28
                                                                                                                                              10-24
                                                                                                                  100
                                                                  \alpha=0, part {z}
   10
10-9
                                                                                                                                               10
10-19
                                                                                                                                              10-19
10-29
                                                                                                                                              10-29
```

100

#### Multiple plateaus in HHG in ions

This section benchmarks this code against the results of N.J. Kylstra et al. reported in J. Phys B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 34 no. 3, L55 (2001); In particular, we study HHG in the He<sup>+</sup> ion at high intensity ( $I = 5.6 \times 10^{15}$  W cm<sup>-2</sup>) and reasonable (800nm) wavelength.

```
\left( \text{kylstraA}[z_{-}] = \text{Function}\left[\text{t, } \left\{ \frac{\text{F}}{\omega} \text{Sin}\left[\frac{\omega \, \text{t-kz}}{4}\right]^2 \, \text{Sin}\left[\omega \, \text{t-kz}\right], \, 0, \, 0 \right\} \right] \right) [\text{t}] \, // \, \text{MatrixForm}
 (kylstraGA = Function[t, Evaluate[{
                           D[kylstraA[z][t], x]/. \{z \rightarrow 0\},
                           D[kylstraA[z][t], y] /. \{z \rightarrow 0\},
                           D[kylstraA[z][t], z] /. \{z \rightarrow 0\}
        )[t]//MatrixForm
    F \sin[kz-t\omega] \sin\left[\frac{1}{4}(-kz+t\omega)\right]^2
nppk = 1500;
DateString[]
AbsoluteTiming
    kylstraTest = makeDipoleList
                \label{lem:vectorPotential} VectorPotential Gradient \rightarrow kylstraGA,
                \text{FieldParameters} \rightarrow \left\{ \text{F} \rightarrow \sqrt{5.6 \times 10^{15} \big/ 10^{14}} \text{ 0.053, } \omega \rightarrow \text{0.057, k} \rightarrow \alpha \omega, \alpha \rightarrow \text{1/137} \right\},
                IonizationPotentia → 2,
                PointsPerCycle\rightarrownppk, TotalCycles\rightarrow2
DateString[]
Thu 1 Oct 2015 18:25:47
{1619.16, Null}
Thu 1 Oct 2015 18:52:46
```

Plotting the results. The x component (along the laser polarization) is in black, the z component (along the laser propagation) is in red.

```
Show
   Table
       spectrumPlotter \ \left[\texttt{getSpectrum}\ \left[\texttt{kylstraTest}\right[\![1\,;;\,-2\,,\,\texttt{part}]\!],\,\omega\texttt{Power}\to2\right],\,\texttt{Joined}\to\texttt{True},
           PointsPerCycle \rightarrow nppk, TotalCycles \rightarrow 2, PlotStyle \rightarrow (part /. \{\{1, 2\} \rightarrow Black, \{3\} \rightarrow Red\})]
        , {part, {{1}, {3}}}]
    , PlotRange → All
-15
-20
-25
                                                                                                                                                      600
```

The results are a good qualitative match to the dipoles reported by Kylstra et al., with the notable exception of the low-order harmonics below n≤50.

On the other hand, taken naively this code cannot be applied to the harder targets described in that paper (Li 2+ and Be<sup>3+</sup>, at intensities between 0.9 and 3.6×10<sup>17</sup> W cm<sup>-2</sup>), which have cutoffs of order as high as 35 000, which requires several days to several months of calculation using the naive scaling. (That said, using a smarter choice of ReportingFunction, judicious use of parallelization and lots of waiting, those targets are probably within reach of this code.)


# Perodicity of nondipole contributions

Quit

```
crossedBeamsA [t_] = First/@Sum [
                                                           \frac{F}{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\theta] \\ 0 \\ -s\sin[\theta] \end{pmatrix} \cos[k\{s\sin[\theta], 0, \cos[\theta]\}, \{x, y, z\} - \omega t - s\phi]
                                                             , {s, {-1, 1}}] // MatrixForm;
   (crossedBeamsGA [t_] = Evaluate[\{
                                                             D[crossedBeamsA [t], x],
                                                             D[crossedBeamsA [t], y],
                                                             D crossedBeamsA [t], z
                                                   }]) // MatrixForm ;
crossedBeamsParameters = \{x \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow 0, z \rightarrow 0, F \rightarrow \sqrt{0.5} \ 0.053, \omega \rightarrow 0.057, \theta \rightarrow 10.^{\circ}, k \rightarrow \alpha\omega\};
DateString[]
 Table[
          First AbsoluteTiming [
                                symmetryTestDipole [\alpha, \phi] = makeDipoleList
                                                             {\tt VectorPotential} {\to} {\tt crossedBeamsA} \ , {\tt VectorPotentialGradient} {\to} {\tt crossedBeamsGA} \ , \\ {\tt 
                                                             FieldParameters → crossedBeamsParameters
                                                             PointsPerCycle→160
                                                   ];
           , \{\alpha, \{0, 1/137\}\}, \{\phi, \{0, 30^\circ\}\}
DateString[]
Fri 16 Oct 2015 12:09:53
 \{\{5.97834, 6.65576\}, \{8.31512, 11.9895\}\}
Fri 16 Oct 2015 12:10:26
```

```
Grid[Table[
       spectrumPlotter [
           getSpectrum [symmetryTestDipole [\alpha, \phi][1;; -2, {1, 2, 3}]]
           , Joined→ False, PointsPerCycle→ 160,
           ImageSize \rightarrow 450, PlotLabel \rightarrow \{\alpha, \phi\}, PlotRange \rightarrow \{-36, 0\}
        [\alpha, \{\alpha, \{0, 1/137\}\}, \{\phi, \{0, 30^\circ\}\}]]
                                                                                                                                                        \{0, 30^{\circ}\}
-10
                                                                                                        -10
                                                                                                        -15
-15
-20
                                                                                                        -20
-25
                                                                                                        -25
-35
                                                    40
                                                                            60
                                                                                                   80
                                                                                                                                    20
                                                \left\{\frac{1}{137}, 0\right\}
                                                                                                                                                       \left\{\frac{1}{137}, 30^{\circ}\right\}
                                                                                                        -15
-15
-20
                                                                                                        -20
-25
                                                                                                        -25
                                                                                                        -30
-30
-35
     0
                            20
                                                    40
                                                                            60
                                                                                                   80
                                                                                                                                    20
                                                                                                                                                            40
```

Compare this with the unacceptably high noise floor from the previous version for the case  $\alpha = 1/137$ ,  $\phi = 30$ °.



# Debugging and benchmarking tools

If something goes funny with your calls, then before you start taking makeDipoleList apart you can try using its Verbose option to diagnose the internal functions it is using. In particular:

■ Setting Verbose→1 makes makeDipoleList print the Information of the key internal functions it is using, before it goes on to the integration loop.

 $make \texttt{DipoleList}\left[\texttt{VectorPotential} \rightarrow \texttt{Function}\Big[\texttt{t}, \Big\{\frac{\texttt{F}}{\omega}\texttt{Sin}[\omega\,\texttt{t}]\,,\,0\,,\,0\Big\}\Big]\,,$ FieldParameters  $\rightarrow \{F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 0.057\}$ , Verbose  $\rightarrow 1$  [1; 10]

RBSFA`Private`A

RBSFA`Private`A[RBSFA`Private`t\$\_] = {0.877193Sin[0.057RBSFA`Private`t\$],0,0}

RBSFA`Private`GA

 $\label{eq:rbsfa} $$RBSFA`Private`t$_] = \{ \{0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0\} \} $$$ 

```
RBSFA`Private`ps
RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t_?RBSFA`Private`gridPointQ
    RBSFA`Private`tt_?RBSFA`Private`gridPointQ:=
  RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] =
     - (Inverse [IdentityMatrix[Length [RBSFA`Private`A[RBSFA`Private`tInit]]] -
                   (RBSFA`Private`GAIntInt[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] +
                          Transpose(RBSFA`Private`GAIntInt(RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt))/
                      (RBSFA`Private`t-RBSFA`Private`tt-iRBSFA`Private`\epsilon)].
               (RBSFA`Private`AInt[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] -
                   RBSFA`Private`bigPScorrectionInt[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt]) /
            (RBSFA`Private`t-RBSFA`Private`tt-iRBSFA`Private`∈))
 RBSFA`Private`pi
RBSFA`Private`pi[RBSFA`Private`p_, RBSFA`Private`t_] :=
  RBSFA`Private`p+RBSFA`Private`A[RBSFA`Private`t] -
    RBSFA`Private`GAInt[RBSFA`Private`t].RBSFA`Private`p-RBSFA`Private`GAdotAInt[RBSFA`Private`t]
 RBSFA`Private`S
RBSFA`Private`S[RBSFA`Private`t_?RBSFA`Private`gridPointQ
    RBSFA`Private`tt_?RBSFA`Private`gridPointQ:=
  \frac{1}{2} \text{(Norm [RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt]]} 2 + RBSFA`Private`\(\kappa^2\)
       (RBSFA`Private`t-RBSFA`Private`tt) + RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt].
       RBSFA`Private`AInt[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] +
     \frac{1}{2}RBSFA`Private`A2Int[RBSFA`Private`t,RBSFA`Private`tt] -
     (RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt].RBSFA`Private`GAIntInt[RBSFA`Private`t,
              RBSFA`Private`tt].RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] +
         RBSFA`Private`ps[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt].
            RBSFA`Private`bigPScorrectionIntRBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt] +
         RBSFA`Private`AdotGAdotAInt[RBSFA`Private`t, RBSFA`Private`tt])
 RBSFA`Private`AInt
RBSFA`Private`AInt[RBSFA`Private`t\$\_] = \{-15.3894 Cos[0.057 RBSFA`Private`t\$], 0, 0\}
RBSFA`Private`AInt[RBSFA`Private`t$_, RBSFA`Private`tt$_] =
  {15.3894 Cos[0.057 RBSFA`Private`tt$] -15.3894 Cos[0.057 RBSFA`Private`t$],0,0}
 RBSFA`Private`A2Int
RBSFA`Private`A2Int[RBSFA`Private`t$_] =
  0.769468 (0.5 RBSFA`Private`t$-4.38596 Sin[0.114 RBSFA`Private`t$])
RBSFA`Private`A2Int[RBSFA`Private`t$_, RBSFA`Private`tt$_] =
  -0.769468 (0.5 RBSFA`Private`tt$-4.38596 Sin[0.114 RBSFA`Private`tt$])+
     0.769468 (0.5RBSFA`Private`t$-4.38596Sin[0.114RBSFA`Private`t$])
 RBSFA`Private`GAInt
RBSFA`Private`GAInt[RBSFA`Private`t$_] = {{0,0,0},{0,0},{0,0,0}}
RBSFA`Private`GAInt[RBSFA`Private`t$_, RBSFA`Private`t$_] = { {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0} }
(abridged.)
```


```
\{\{-0.0198736+0.00113629\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}}\},\,\{-0.0166186-1.44349\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}}\},\,\{-0.0166186-1.44349\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathbf{1}}\}\}
                     \{-0.0132498-5.34015\,\dot{\text{i}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\text{i}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\text{i}}\},\,\{-0.00957477-10.5721\,\dot{\text{i}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\text{i}},\,0.+0.\,\dot{\text{i}}\},\,
                     \{-0.00563402-15.8027\,i,0.+0.\,i,0.+0.\,i\}, \{-0.00185819-19.9418\,i,0.+0.\,i,0.+0.\,i\},
                       \{0.00123386 - 22.4066\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,\}\,,\,\{0.00353492 - 23.1295\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,,\,0.+0.\,\dot{\mathtt{i}}\,
                       \{0.0053967 - 22.4 \,\dot{\text{i}}, \, 0.+0. \,\dot{\text{i}}, \, 0.+0. \,\dot{\text{i}}\}, \, \{0.00707192 - 20.6646 \,\dot{\text{i}}, \, 0.+0. \,\dot{\text{i}}, \, 0.+0. \,\dot{\text{i}}\}\}
```

■ Setting Verbose→2 makes makeDipoleList output its key internal functions and shut down before the integration takes place. Its results can be caught as follows:

```
{A[t_], GA[t_], ps[t_, tt_], pi[p_, t_], S[t_, tt_], AInt[t_], AInt[t_, tt_],
        A2Int[t_], A2Int[t_, tt_], GAInt[t_], GAInt[t_, tt_], GAdotAInt[t_],
        GAdotAInt[t_, tt_], AdotGAInt[t_], AdotGAInt[t_, tt_], GAIntInt[t_],
        GAIntInt[t_, tt_], bigPScorrectionInt[t_], bigPScorrectionInt[t_, tt_],
        AdotGAdotAInt[t_], AdotGAdotAInt[t_, tt_], integrand[t_, t_]
     } = makeDipoleList \left[ VectorPotential \rightarrow Function \left[ t, \left\{ \frac{F}{\omega} Sin[\omega t], 0, 0 \right\} \right] \right]
        FieldParameters \rightarrow \{F \rightarrow 0.05, \omega \rightarrow 0.057\}, Verbose \rightarrow 2];
```

This then enables examination of e.g. the action:

```
Block \left[ \{ \omega = 0.057 \} \right],
   Plot3D
      Im [S[t, tt]]
      , \{t, 0, 3\frac{2\pi}{m}\}, \{tt, 0, 3\frac{2\pi}{m}\}
      , PlotRange → Full, ImageSize → 600, PlotTheme → "Classic", PlotPoints → 100
```




See the implementation notes in the code for makeDipoleList for further definitions of what each term entails.


#### Bicircular fields

As a slightly less trivial example, consider a bicircular field: two counter-rotating, circularly polarized fields of different frequencies. The 'standard' case - as first demonstrated experimentally - has one field as the second harmonic of the fundamental, with both at equal intensities. The resultant harmonics appear at all integer orders except those divisible by three, with the 3n+1 harmonics polarized as the fundamental, and the 3n-1 harmonics polarized as the second-harmonic driver.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bicircularA[t_-]} := \left( \frac{\text{F1}}{\omega 1} \left\{ \text{Cos[t} \omega 1] \, \text{Sin[}\alpha \right], \, -\text{Cos[}\alpha \right] \, \text{Sin[t} \omega 1] \right\} + \frac{\text{F2}}{\omega 2} \left\{ \text{Cos[}\beta \right] \, \text{Cos[}\omega 2 \, \text{t]}, \, \text{Sin[}\beta \right] \, \text{Sin[}\omega 2 \, \text{t]} \right\} \\ \text{bicircularParameters} &= \left\{ \text{F1} \rightarrow 0.075, \, \text{F2} \rightarrow 0.075, \, \alpha \rightarrow 45\,^{\circ}, \, \beta \rightarrow 45\,^{\circ}, \, \omega 1 \rightarrow 45.6/800, \, \omega 2 \rightarrow 45.6/400 \right\}; \\ \text{AbsoluteTiming [bicircularTest= makeDipoleList [VectorPotential \rightarrow bicircularA];} \\ \text{FieldParameters} &\rightarrow \text{bicircularParameters}, \, \text{TotalCycles} \rightarrow 4 \right]; \\ \left\{ 8.45739, \, \text{Null} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The function biColorSpectrum takes the spectrum and plots it, separating the two circular polarizations into different colours.





# Bicircular fields with a sine-squared envelope


To benchmark the original calculations, we compared them with the output of full MCTDH calculations. Here we used a sin<sup>2</sup> envelope as the TDSE numerics require a finite pulse; the calculations take correspondingly longer but they are still very manageable (two/three minutes per calculation for a fifteen-cycle pulse, resolving up to ~70 harmonics). One distinctive feature is that the harmonics near the cutoff are broader, because less cycles contribute to those energies.

```
\texttt{bicircularEnvelopeA[t_]} := \texttt{cosPowerFlatTop} \big[ \omega 1, \texttt{TotalCycles}, 2 \big] [\texttt{t}]
                     \left(\frac{\texttt{F1}}{\omega 1} \left\{ \texttt{Cos}[\texttt{t}\,\omega \texttt{1}] \, \texttt{Sin}[\alpha] \, , \, -\texttt{Cos}[\alpha] \, \texttt{Sin}[\texttt{t}\,\omega \texttt{1}] \right\} + \frac{\texttt{F2}}{\omega 2} \left\{ \texttt{Cos}[\beta] \, \texttt{Cos}[\omega 2\,\texttt{t}] \, , \, \texttt{Sin}[\beta] \, \texttt{Sin}[\omega 2\,\texttt{t}] \right\} \right);
bicircularParameters = \{F1 \rightarrow 0.075, F2 \rightarrow 0.075, \alpha \rightarrow 45^{\circ}, \beta \rightarrow 45^{\circ}, \omega 1 \rightarrow 45.6/800, \omega 2 \rightarrow 45.6/400\};
```


If (as in this case) the field depends on a number-of-cycles parameter, care must be taken that it matches the num option of the main call.

```
AbsoluteTiming [
  bicircularEnvelopeDipole makeDipoleList [VectorPotential→bicircularEnvelopeA
          FieldParameters \rightarrow Join[bicircularParameters, {TotalCycles \rightarrow 15}],
          PointsPerCycle \rightarrow 150, TotalCycles \rightarrow 15];
{141.302, Null}
```

Plotting the spectrum, and a zoom at the plateau:



The comparable MCTDH spectrum, for identical conditions, looks like this:



#### Original RB-SFA: 'rotating' bicircular fields

#### Calculation

Here the fundamental laser driver has been set at an elliptical polarization (as in the original experiment, A. Fleischer et al., Nature Photon. 8, 543 (2014)), which helps investigate the spin-angular-momentum conservation properties of HHG. In the model proposed in the original paper (Phys. Rev. A 90, 043829 (2014)), the photon model is validated by splitting the elliptical field itself into two circular components, which can then be tuned independently:

```
rotatingBicircularAt_] := envelope[t] \left(\frac{F2}{\omega_2} \left\{ \cos \left[\beta\right] \cos \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right], \sin \left[\beta\right] \sin \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right] \right\} + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \left\{ \cos \left[\beta\right] \cos \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right], \sin \left[\beta\right] \sin \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right] \right\} + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \left\{ \cos \left[\beta\right] \cos \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right], \sin \left[\beta\right] \sin \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right] \right\} + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \left\{ \cos \left[\beta\right] \cos \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right], \sin \left[\beta\right] \sin \left[\omega_2 t - \phi_1\right] \right\}
                                   \frac{\text{F1}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\omega 1} \cos \left[ \alpha - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \left\{ \cos \left[ \omega 1 \, \text{t} + \phi 1 \right], \, -\sin \left[ \omega 1 \, \text{t} + \phi 1 \right] \right\} +
                                                        \frac{1}{(1+\delta) \omega 1} \sin \left[\alpha - \frac{\pi}{4}\right] \left\{\cos \left[(1+\delta) \omega 1 t - \phi 1 + \phi 2\right], +\sin \left[(1+\delta) \omega 1 t - \phi 1 + \phi 2\right]\right\}\right);
DistributeDefinition[s'RBSFA`"];
directory=FileNameJoin [{NotebookDirectory[], "Temp Data"}];
filename [\delta_{-}] :=
              FileNameJoin [{directory, "data 25.09 detuning scan at \delta="<>ToString[\delta] <>".txt"}];
Length[\deltaRange = Range[0, 0.25, 0.001]]
251
```


To test the validity of the photon model, we ran a scan over the detuning  $\delta$ , using the calculation below.

```
Print["Total = ", Length[\deltaRange], " points at ~230s/point will be done at approximately ",
  DateString[AbsoluteTime []+Length[\deltaRange] *230./7], "."]
ParallelTable[
     Print AbsoluteTiming [
           makeDipoleList [
                VectorPotential → rotatingBicircular ♣
                FieldParameters \rightarrow \{\alpha \rightarrow 35^{\circ}, \beta \rightarrow 45^{\circ}, F1 \rightarrow 0.075, F2 \rightarrow 0.075, \omega1 \rightarrow 0.057,
                      \omega 2 \rightarrow 1.95 \times 0.057, \phi 1 \rightarrow 0, \phi 2 \rightarrow 0, envelope \rightarrow flatTopEnvelope[\omega 1, 26, 3]\},
                CarrierFrequency→0.057, TotalCycles→26, PointsPerCycle→115,
                nGate → 1.8, PointNumberCorrection → 1, Preintegrals → "Numeric ",
                ReportingFunction\rightarrow Function[Write[filename [\delta], #]]
              ];]];
     Print[DateString[]];
      , \{\delta, \delta \text{Range}\};
DateString[]
NotebookSave[]
Total time 2h 32min. (Desktop machine with 8-thread, 4-core Intel i7-3770 CPU at 3.40GHz, 16GB RAB, running 7
Mathematica kernels in parallel.)
Expand this cell to see the calculation log.
The results can be pulled in from the files using this:
Do [detunedDipole[\delta] = ReadList[filename [\delta]], {\delta, \delta Range}]
Or saved into a single location using this:
Save [FileNameJoin [{NotebookDirectory[], "Detuning scan collected data.txt"}], detunedDipole
DumpSave [
     FileNameJoin [{NotebookDirectory[], "Detuning scan collected data.mx "}], detunedDipole];
and pulled in from the single location using this:
<< (FileNameJoin [{NotebookDirectory[], "Detuning scan collected data.txt"}]);
```

DateString[]

A sample spectrum looks like this:

biColorSpectrum [detunedDipole[0.001RandomInteger [{0,1000}]], CarrierFrequency→45.6/800, TotalCycles → 3, PointsPerCycle→115]



# Plots from the original paper

The plots from the original paper were produced using the code below. For simplicity we pre-define an interpolation function.

 $\texttt{conditions:} = \texttt{Sequence} \Big[ \texttt{CarrierFrequency} + 45.6 / 800, \texttt{TotalCycles} + 26, \texttt{PointsPerCycle} + 115 \Big]$ 

```
Remove [detuningInterpolation]
With [\{length = Length | getSpectrum | [detunedDipole[0.], Polarization \rightarrow \{1, i\}]]\},
           AbsoluteTiming [
                      Table[
                                              detuningInterpolation[\epsilon] = Interpolation[
                                                                     Flatten[Table[
                                                                                           {{
                                                                                                                                       harmonicOrderAxis [TargetLength → length, conditions],
                                                                                                                                        Table [\delta, \{length\}]
                                                                                                                \texttt{Log} \left[\texttt{10,getSpectrum} \ \left[\texttt{detunedDipole}[\delta] \,,\, \texttt{Polarization} \!\rightarrow \! \{\texttt{1,ei}\}\right]\right]
                                                                                           , \{\delta, \delta \text{Range}\}], 1]]
                                             , \{\epsilon, \{1, -1\}\}\};
 {2.99829, Null}
Some plotting admin:
\texttt{CMRmap} = \texttt{Function}[x, \texttt{Blend}[\{ \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, \_, ] ;
CMRwithMin[minIn_ , minOut_: 1./9] :=
          Function \left[x, CMRmap \left[If \left[x < minIn, \frac{minOut}{minIn}x, minOut + \left(1 - minOut\right) \frac{x - minIn}{1 - minIn}\right]\right]\right]
min = 6. \times 10^{-9};
\max = 5. \times 10^{-7};
colorfunction = CMRwithMin[min /max];
HOTicks[\epsilon_{-}] :=
            \left(\left\{\#,\, \text{If}\left[\varepsilon=1,\, \text{Style}\left[\#,\, \text{Black}\right],\, \text{""}\right],\, \left\{0.02,\, 0\right\},\, \left\{\text{Thickness}[0.005],\, \text{Gray}\right\}\right\} \, \&\, / @\, \text{Range}[12,\, 18,\, 1]\right) \sim 1.000 \, \text{m}^{-1}
                      Join~ \left\{ \#, \#, \{0.01, 0\}, \left\{ \text{Thickness}[0.004], \text{Gray} \right\} \right\} \& / @Range \left[ 11 + \frac{1}{2}, 18 + \frac{1}{4}, 1/4 \right] \right\}
downTicks = \{\{0, Style[0, Black], 0\}, \{0.25, Style[0.25, Black], 0\}\} \sim Join \sim \{0, Style[0, 
                                   \{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]\} \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.005], Gray\}\} \& /@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05]) \sim (\{\#, Style[\#, Black], \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\}, \{0.015, 0\},
                                  Join \{ \{ \#, \#, \{0.01, 0\}, \{Thickness[0.004], Gray \} \} \& / @Range[0.01, 0.24, 0.01] \} 
upTicks=({#, "", {0.015, 0}, {Thickness[0.005], Gray}} &/@Range[0.05, 0.20, 0.05])~
                                  Join~({#, "", {0.01, 0}, {Thickness[0.004], Gray}} &/@Range[0.01, 0.24, 0.01]);
```

The plot itself:

```
Row[Table
        splittingsScan[\epsilon] = RegionPlot
                 , \{\delta, 0, 0.25\}, \{HO, 11.25, 18.5\}
                 , AspectRatio\rightarrow 1.2
                 , PlotRangePadding \rightarrow None
                 , ImagePadding \rightarrow 1 {{35+15\epsilon, 20}, {70, 6}}
                 , ImageSize \rightarrow {Automatic , 550}
                 , PlotPoints\rightarrow600
                 , FrameStyle \rightarrow Automatic
                 , FrameLabel \rightarrow
                     \left\{ \text{Style} \left[ "\frac{\omega"}{\omega} - 1", \text{Black, 12} \right], \text{ If } \left[ \epsilon = 1, \text{Style} \left[ "\text{Harmonic Order", Black, 16} \right], "" \right] \right\}
                 , ColorFunctionScaling\rightarrow False
                 , FrameTicks \rightarrow \left\{ \left\{ \texttt{HOTicks[1], HOTicks[-1]} \right\}, \left\{ \texttt{downTicks, upTicks} \right\} \right\}
                 , ColorFunction \rightarrow Function \left[\{\delta, HO\}, colorfunction \left[\frac{10^{detuningInterpolation[\epsilon][HO, \delta]}}{max}\right]\right]
                 , PlotLabel \rightarrow
                     \texttt{Style}\big[\texttt{StringJoin}\big[\epsilon\,/\,\cdot\,\big\{1\,\rightarrow\,\texttt{"Right"}\,,\,\,-1\,\rightarrow\,\texttt{"Left"}\big\},\,\,\texttt{"-circular harmonics "}\big]\,,\,\,\texttt{Black}\,,\,\,16\big]
        , \{ \epsilon, \{1, -1\} \} 
(Removed to keep file size low.)
```