

# Applications of laser-wakefield scaling laws

This manuscript ([permalink](#)) was automatically generated from [berceanu/lwfa\\_scaling@e7b1619](#) on July 12, 2019.

## Authors

---

- **Andrei Berceanu**

 [0000-0003-4438-4440](#) ·  [berceanu](#)

Extreme Light Infrastructure - Nuclear Physics

## Abstract

---

We apply analytical scaling laws to the parameters of the FLAME laser system, in order to estimate the maximum obtainable electron energy and total charge in a laser-wakefield acceleration scenario. We also roughly predict the expected betatron radiation spectrum, emitted by the accelerated electrons. Finally, we apply the same analysis to the case of the envisioned GBS parameters.

## 1. FLAME parameters

---

The FLAME Laser System (FLAME) has a wavelength  $\lambda_L = 800$  nanometers. The laser operating pulse energy can range from 0.03 J up to 3 J, the pulse duration can range from 30 fs up to 300 fs. The spot at waist is of  $30\mu m$ . We can take the minimum pulse duration to be  $\tau_L = 30$  fs at FWHM in intensity.

## 2. Electron acceleration estimate

---

For optimal acceleration, we impose the condition that the dephasing length should be equal to the pump depletion length  $L_{\text{dephasing}} = L_{\text{depletion}}$  [1].

$$L_{\text{dephasing}} = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{\omega_L}{\omega_p} \right)^2 \frac{\sqrt{a_0}}{k_p} = L_{\text{depletion}} = \left( \frac{\omega_L}{\omega_p} \right)^2 c\tau_L$$

In practical units, we have  $\lambda_p[\mu m] = 3.34 \times 10^{10} (n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}])^{-1/2}$ , therefore  $k_p[\mu m^{-1}] = 2\pi/\lambda_p[\mu m] = 1.88 \times 10^{-10} (n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}])^{1/2}$  and  $\omega_p[\text{fs}^{-1}] = 5.64 \times 10^{-11} (n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}])^{1/2}$ . This allows us to obtain the ratio  $a_0/n_p$  from above.

$$\frac{a_0}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} = 1.79 \times 10^{-21} (\tau_L[\text{fs}])^2$$

### Plasma density and normalized vector potential

If we want to use any external guiding (eg via capillaries), then we also need to impose the condition for self-guided propagation of the laser:

$$a_0 \geq \left( \frac{n_c}{n_p} \right)^{1/5}$$

with the critical density  $n_c[\text{cm}^{-3}] = 1.12 \times 10^{21} / (\lambda_L[\mu m])^2$ , which is  $1.75 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-3}$  in our case. Substituting above and taking the lower limit, we get

$$n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}] = \frac{1.12 \times 10^{21}}{a_0^5 (\lambda_L[\mu m])^2}$$

Substituting  $n_p$  in the ratio  $a_0/n_p$  from above, we finally get:

$$a_0 \approx 2^{1/6} \left( \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{\lambda_L[\mu m]} \right)^{1/3}$$

and

$$n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}] = 6.29 \times 10^{20} \frac{1}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^{1/3}(\tau_L[\text{fs}])^{5/3}}$$

For FLAME parameters, we get  $a_0 \approx 3.7$  and  $n_p \approx 2.3 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3} = 13 \times 10^{-4} n_c$ . For  $a_0 \geq 4 - 5$  we also get self-injection from pure Helium. Helium has the ionization energies 24.59 eV ( $\text{He}^+$ ) and 54.42 ( $\text{He}^{2+}$ ), corresponding to laser intensities  $1.4 \times 10^{15}$ , respectively  $8.8 \times 10^{15} \text{W/cm}^2$  (Gibbon, "Short pulse laser interactions with matter", p. 22), and will therefore be easily ionized by the laser prepulse. Knowing the density, we get  $\omega_p = 8.63 \times 10^{-2} \text{fs}^{-1}$ , and more importantly,  $\omega_p^{-1} = 11.59 \text{fs}$ , which is the same order of magnitude as the pulse duration  $\tau_L = 30 \text{fs}$ . For  $\tau_L \gg \omega_p^{-1}$  (eg.  $\tau_L = 600 \text{fs}$ ), one would either be in the SMLWFA or DLA regime, depending on the value of  $a_0$ .

## Beam waist

We also need to match the beam waist  $w_0$  of the focused Gaussian laser pulse to the plasma, giving the condition  $w_0 k_p = 2\sqrt{a_0}$ , so

$$w_0[\mu\text{m}] = 1.06 \times 10^{10} \left( \frac{a_0}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} \right)^{1/2} = 44.84 \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{100}$$

For FLAME, we get  $w_0 \approx 13 \mu\text{m}$  at  $1/e^2$  intensity, so FWHM (1/2 intensity) =  $w_0 \sqrt{2 \ln 2} \approx 18 \mu\text{m}$ .

## Laser energy, power and intensity

We now estimate the required laser energy  $\varepsilon_L$ . The peak laser intensity in the focal plane is

$$I_L[10^{18} \text{W/cm}^2] \approx 6 \times 10^4 \frac{\varepsilon_L[\text{J}]}{\tau_L[\text{fs}](w_0[\mu\text{m}])^2}$$

so for our parameters  $I_L \approx 2.96 \times 10^{19} \text{W/cm}^2$ . For a relative scale, the atomic Coulomb field is on the order of  $10^{14} \text{W/cm}^2$  and relativistic effects become important for laser intensities above  $10^{17} \text{W/cm}^2$  ( $a_0 \geq 1$ ), while QED effects such as radiation reaction only become important for intensities beyond  $\sim 2 \times 10^{21} \text{W/cm}^2$ . We know that  $a_0 = 0.855 \lambda_L[\mu\text{m}](I_L[10^{18} \text{W/cm}^2])^{1/2}$ , so

$$\varepsilon_L[\text{J}] = 2.28 \times 10^{-5} a_0^2 (w_0[\mu\text{m}])^2 \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^2} = 5.68 \times 10^{-6} \frac{(\tau_L[\text{fs}])^{11/3}}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^{8/3}}$$

Therefore, for FLAME we need  $\varepsilon_L \approx 2.7 \text{J}$  on target. The laser power on target is

$$P[\text{TW}] = 2.41 \times 10^{18} \frac{a_0^3}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} \frac{1}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^2}$$

$$P[\text{TW}] = 2 \times 10^3 \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\tau_L[\text{fs}]} \varepsilon_L[\text{J}] = 5.34 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}]} \right)^{8/3}$$

so approximately 84 TW in our case.

## Acceleration distance

The optimum propagation distance is equal to  $L = L_{\text{dephasing}} (= L_{\text{depletion}})$ .

$$L[\text{mm}] = 3.34 \times 10^{17} \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^2} \frac{1}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} = 5.31 \times 10^{-4} \frac{(\tau_L[\text{fs}])^{8/3}}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^{5/3}}$$

which for FLAME amounts to  $L \approx 7$  mm.

## Maximum electron energy and charge

The maximum electron energy that can be reached under these circumstances is

$$\gamma = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{mc^2} = \frac{2}{3} a_0 \frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega_p^2}$$

$$\gamma = 7.44 \times 10^{20} \frac{a_0}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} \frac{1}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^2} = 1.33 \left( \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}]} \right)^2$$

$$\Delta\varepsilon[\text{GeV}] = 5.11 \times 10^{-4} \gamma = 6.8 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{\tau_L[\text{fs}]}{\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}]} \right)^2$$

For FLAME, we get  $\Delta\varepsilon = 0.95$  GeV and a Lorentz factor  $\gamma = 1870$ .

We assume the blowout radius  $R = w_0 = 44.84 \tau_L[\text{fs}]/100 = 18 \mu\text{m}$ . The number of accelerated electrons  $N_e \propto R^3 n_p$  is equal to the ionic charge of the bubble, so (Lu et. al, 2007)

$$N_e \approx 3.13 \times 10^8 \lambda_L[\mu\text{m}] (P[\text{TW}])^{1/2} = 2.28 \times 10^7 \frac{(\tau_L[\text{fs}])^{4/3}}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^{1/3}}$$

$$N_e \approx 4.85 \times 10^{17} \frac{a_0^{3/2}}{(n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}])^{1/2}}$$

giving a charge

$$Q_e[\text{pC}] = N_e \times e[\text{pC}] \approx 3.65 \frac{(\tau_L[\text{fs}])^{4/3}}{(\lambda_L[\mu\text{m}])^{1/3}}$$

For FLAME we get  $Q_e \approx 366$  pC  $\approx 0.36$  nC and  $N_e = 2.29 \times 10^9$  electrons. As a last note, with PW lasers, the higher laser energy can be focused to a larger focal spot matched by a lower plasma density.

## External guiding

3J,  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $n_p = 5.1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $w_0 = 21 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\tau_L = 47$  fs - needs external guiding (capillaries) - needs external injection - can reach  $\Delta\varepsilon = 2.4$  GeV after 52 mm of plasma

### 3. Betatron emission

---

After matching the laser spot size, and pulse duration to the plasma density, we can look at betatron emission [2]. The betatron oscillation radius  $r_\beta = 3 \mu\text{m}$  for a blowout radius  $R = 21 \mu\text{m}$ . Since the betatron oscillations are limited by the bubble size, it is reasonable to assume a linear dependence on  $R$  and therefore take  $r_\beta = 2.6 \mu\text{m}$  in our case.

We now need to work out the five relevant parameters for the wiggler: the relativistic electron factor  $\gamma$ , the number of electrons  $N_e$ , the strength parameter  $K$ , the period of the wiggler  $\lambda_u$  and the number of (betatron) periods  $N$ . We already know the first two parameters. Once we have the parameters, we can calculate the critical radiation energy  $\hbar\omega_c$ , the opening angle of the radiation  $\theta_r$  and the number of emitted photons per electron and per betatron period,  $N_\gamma$ . We can then get the total number of emitted photons per laser shot,  $N_\gamma \times N_e \times N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_r[\text{mrad}] &= 10^3 \times \frac{K}{\gamma} \\ N_\gamma &= 3.31 \times 10^{-2} K \\ \hbar\omega_c[\text{keV}] &= 1.86 \times 10^{-3} \frac{K\gamma^2}{\lambda_u[\mu\text{m}]}\end{aligned}$$

#### Wiggler parameters

In the case of betatron oscillations, the strength parameter is given by

$$K = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}} (k_p[\mu\text{m}^{-1}]) (r_\beta[\mu\text{m}]) = 1.33 \times 10^{-10} r_\beta[\mu\text{m}] (\gamma n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}])^{1/2}$$

so  $K \approx 26$  in our case, which puts us in the wiggler regime  $K \gg 1$ .

$$\lambda_u[\mu\text{m}] = \sqrt{2} \gamma^{1/2} (\lambda_p[\mu\text{m}]) = 4.72 \times 10^{10} \left( \frac{\gamma}{n_p[\text{cm}^{-3}]} \right)^{1/2}$$

so  $\lambda_u = 1334 \mu\text{m} = 1.33 \text{ mm}$ .

#### Radiation properties

We now easily obtain  $\theta_r = 14 \text{ mrad}$  and  $N_\gamma = 0.87 \text{ photons / (electron} \times \text{betatron period)}$ . While the electrons radiate throughout the whole acceleration process, the efficiency main contribution comes from the part of the trajectory where their energy is maximum, ie, after the distance  $L$ . If the electron is around its maximum energy for about  $N \sim 3$  betatron periods, the total number of emitted photons per shot will be  $N_\gamma^{\text{shot}} = N_\gamma \times N_e \times N = 0.87 \times 2.28 \times 10^9 \times 3 \approx 6 \times 10^9 \text{ photons}$ . Finally, the critical energy is in our case  $\hbar\omega_c = 128 \text{ keV}$ .

**Note:** The betatron amplitude  $r_\beta \propto \sqrt{a_0/n_p}$  and the number of betatron oscillations  $N \propto 1/\sqrt{n_p}$ .

### 4. Quantum effects

---

We are now ready to estimate the size of the quantum corrections. Quantum effects become important when the electron energy loss due to photon emission becomes comparable to the electron energy.

## Nonlinear quantum parameter

We first look at the so-called nonlinear quantum parameter  $\chi_0$  defined in (Di Piazza et al., 2012) for the case of an ultrarelativistic electron counter-propagating with respect to a plane wave:

$$\chi_0 = 5.9 \times 10^{-3} \Delta\epsilon [\text{GeV}] (I_L [10^{18} \text{W/cm}^2])^{1/2}$$

giving  $\chi_0 \approx 3 \times 10^{-2}$ .  $\chi_0$  can be interpreted as the amplitude of the plane wave's electric field in the electron rest frame (in units of the critical QED field  $m^2/e = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{V/cm} = 1.3 \times 10^6 \text{TV/m}$ ) and control quantum effects such as photon recoil and spin. Since  $\chi_0 \ll 1$ , these effects play a minor role here. In fact our electric field is just  $E_L [\text{TV/m}] = 3.21 a_0 / \lambda_L [\mu\text{m}] = 0.151 \text{TV/cm}$ . Inside the plasma, the maximum accelerating field achievable at a given plasma density is  $\approx a_0^{1/2} E_0$  (for  $a_0 \geq 2$ ), where  $E_0$  is the cold nonrelativistic wave-breaking field,  $E_0 [\text{V/cm}] \approx 0.96 (n_p [\text{cm}^{-3}])^{1/2}$ . In our case  $a_0^{1/2} E_0 = 2.84 \times 10^9 \text{V/cm}$ .

## Interaction duration

The other way to estimate quantum effects is by following (Corde et al., 2013), which explains that radiation reaction can be neglected when the electron - laser interaction duration is much smaller than the electron energy loss rate, or equivalently, the number of oscillations  $N \ll N_{\text{RR}}$ , with

$$N_{\text{RR}} = 2.7 \times 10^7 \frac{\lambda_u [\mu\text{m}]}{\gamma K^2}$$

so for FLAME\*\* parameters  $N_{\text{RR}} \sim 2.8 \times 10^4 \gg N$ .

## References

---

**1. Generating multi-GeV electron bunches using single stage laser wakefield acceleration in a 3D nonlinear regime**

W. Lu, M. Tzoufras, C. Joshi, F. S. Tsung, W. B. Mori, J. Vieira, R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva

*Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams* (2007-06-05) <https://doi.org/cpszsb>

DOI: [10.1103/physrevstab.10.061301](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevstab.10.061301)

**2. Femtosecond x rays from laser-plasma accelerators**

S. Corde, K. Ta Phuoc, G. Lambert, R. Fitour, V. Malka, A. Rousse, A. Beck, E. Lefebvre

*Reviews of Modern Physics* (2013-01-09) <https://doi.org/f4j98s>

DOI: [10.1103/revmodphys.85.1](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.85.1)