

Paulie: A Python package to study Lie algebraic properties of quantum systems

Konstantin Golovkin^{1*}, Oxana Shaya^{2*}, Vincent Russo³, and Mainak Roy⁴

¹ Independent Researcher, Russia ² Institute for Information Processing, Leibniz University Hannover, Germany ³ Unitary Foundation, United States ⁴ Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The control of quantum systems lies at the heart of quantum computation and is studied via the dynamical Lie algebra (DLA), i.e. the Lie algebra that is generated by terms in the system's Hamiltonian. Tensor products of Pauli matrices (Pauli strings) form a basis of Hermitian operators and as such appear as generators in trotterized Hamiltonian simulation. Moreover they naturally arise as effective control Hamiltonians in trapped-ion systems and also occur in measurement-based quantum computation. While a conceptually complete classification of systems that are generated by Pauli strings is known, this classification was not packaged as an explicit, scalable procedure, and therefore remained difficult to deploy in concrete design tasks. Within the Python package `paulie` we present an efficient algorithm for this classification that directly allows to study the controllability of Pauli string generated systems. We showcase the utility of our framework by numerically providing the optimal universal generator sets. The package also includes further utilities for circuit compilation tasks as well as for studying short-term dynamics.

Statement of need

The dynamical Lie algebra (DLA) is generated via nested commutation of the interaction terms in the system's Hamiltonian. It constitute the tangent space of the associated Lie group which captures the possible trajectories of the evolving quantum system. Therefore, the DLA is a pivotal tool to study the symmetries and dynamics of quantum systems and occurs in various fields within quantum information theory such as quantum control ([Zeier & Schulte-Herbrüggen, 2011](#)), simulation ([Goh et al., 2025](#)) and quantum machine learning [Ragone et al. \(2024\)](#).

Pauli strings form a basis for Hermitian operators and as such appear as generators in trotterized Hamiltonian simulation ([Trotter, 1959](#)). They also arise as native gate sets in trapped ion systems ([Nam et al., 2020](#)) and occur in measurement-based quantum computation ([Raussendorf et al., 2003](#)).

A recent line of work ([Kökcü et al., 2024](#); [Wiersema et al., 2024](#)) culminated in a classification of DLAs generated by arbitrary sets of Pauli strings ([Aguilar et al., 2024](#)). While conceptually complete, this classification was not packaged as an explicit, scalable procedure, and therefore remained difficult to deploy in concrete design and compilation tasks. This work provides a bridge as we provide an efficient algorithm that takes any set of Pauli strings and outputs its DLA. This allows the direct study of controllability of quantum systems generated by Pauli strings. In addition equivalences of generating sets can be easily checked and transitions

41 between algebras by changing the generator set studied. We demonstrate the utility of the
42 framework by constructing universal generator sets with optimal generation rate.

43 Preliminaries

44 A state of an isolated physical system is represented at a fixed time t by a vector $|\psi\rangle$ in a
45 Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , that is, a complex vector space endowed with an inner product. The time
46 evolution of the state is determined by the Schrödinger equation $i \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = H |\psi\rangle$, where we
47 set $\hbar = 1$. H is the Hamiltonian that describes the observable corresponding to the total
48 energy of the system. An observable is a Hermitian linear map acting on \mathcal{H} . The solution
49 to this differential equation with initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and time-independent Hamiltonian H is
50 $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi_0\rangle$. We specify a set of operators $\mathcal{G} = \{h_j\}_{j \in J}$ that describe the ways in
51 which we can control the quantum system and that corresponds to the individual interaction
52 terms of the Hamiltonian. The reachable states are then of the form

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-ih_{l_m} t_{l_m}} \dots e^{-ih_{l_1} t_{l_1}} |\psi_0\rangle \quad (1)$$

53 for some index set $\{l_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset J$. The associated matrix Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , that is, a real subspace of
54 complex matrices that is closed under the matrix commutator $i[h, g] = i(hg - gh)$, is dubbed
55 dynamical Lie algebra (DLA). Hence, the elements of \mathcal{G} span all elements in \mathfrak{g} through nested
56 commutators, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\text{Lie}}$. In case the generator set \mathcal{G} consists of tensor products of
57 Pauli matrices (Paulistrings), \mathfrak{g} is termed Pauli Lie algebra.

58 The classical compact simple Lie algebras are

$$\mathfrak{su}(d) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} | x = -x^\dagger, \text{tr } x = 0\} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(d) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} | x = -x^T\} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathfrak{sp}(2d) = \{x \in \mathfrak{su}(2d) | x = -\Omega x^T \Omega^T\} \quad (4)$$

59 where the symplectic form is denoted as

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_d \\ -\mathbb{I}_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

60 Considering a system out of n qubits, the Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$. A generator set that
61 spans all of $\mathfrak{su}(2^n)$ is called universal, and the system is fully controllable. To characterise
62 spin chains, we employ the following graph

63 **Definition 1** *The anticommutation graph has \mathcal{G} as a node set and the edges are between the*
64 *generators that anticommute $E = \{(p, q) | [p, q] \neq 0\}$.*

65 Classification algorithm

66 Optimal universal generator set

67 We target to find among all universal generator sets those that have optimal generation
68 rate, i.e. the sets that generate $\mathfrak{su}(2^n)$ the fastest. In (Smith et al., 2025) it is derived that
69 these are exactly generating sets with a fraction of anticommuting pairs of generators out of
70 the total number of pairs to be approximately 0.706. Analytically this fraction maximizes a
71 particular q-Pochhammer symbol. We can reframe the problem in terms of the anticommutation
72 graph. Optimal universal generating sets have an anticommutation graph with approximately
73 $\lfloor 0.706 \cdot \binom{n}{2} \rfloor$ edges. To search for such graphs, we start with the canonical graph of $\mathfrak{su}(2^n)$. We
74 can obtain the canonical graph by the algorithm described in the previous section. The canonical
75 graph is the anticommutation graph with minimal connectivity, hence we are guaranteed to

76 be below the target anticommutation fraction. We iterate over the edge set of the canonical
 77 graph and perform a contraction such that the anticommutation fraction increases and become
 78 closer to the target value until we reach the target value.

79 Citations

80 Citations to entries in paper.bib should be in [rMarkdown](#) format.

81 If you want to cite a software repository URL (e.g. something on GitHub without a preferred
 82 citation) then you can do it with the example BibTeX entry below for (?).

83 For a quick reference, the following citation commands can be used: - @author:2001 ->
 84 "Author et al. (2001)" - [@author:2001] -> "(Author et al., 2001)" - [@author1:2001;
 85 @author2:2001] -> "(Author1 et al., 2001; Author2 et al., 2002)"

86 Figures

87 Figures can be included like this: Caption for example figure. and referenced from text using
 88 [section](#) .

89 Figure sizes can be customized by adding an optional second parameter: Caption for example
 90 figure.

91 Acknowledgements

92 The authors thank the Unitary Foundation for supporting the package through two microgrants.
 93 We thank Hendrik Poulsen Nautrup and Christoph Hirche for discussions.

94 References

- 95 Aguilar, G., Cichy, S., Eisert, J., & Bittel, L. (2024). *Full classification of pauli lie algebras*.
 96 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.00081>
- 97 Fontana, E., Herman, D., Chakrabarti, S., Kumar, N., Yalovetzky, R., Heredge, J., Sureshbabu,
 98 S. H., & Pistoia, M. (2024). Characterizing barren plateaus in quantum ansätze with
 99 the adjoint representation. *Nature Commun.*, 15(1), 7171. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49910-w>
- 100
- 101 Goh, M. L., Larocca, M., Cincio, L., Cerezo, M., & Sauvage, F. (2025). Lie-algebraic
 102 classical simulations for quantum computing. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, 7, 033266. <https://doi.org/10.1103/3y65-f5w6>
- 103
- 104 Kökcü, E., Wiersema, R., Kemper, A. F., & Bakalov, B. N. (2024). *Classification of dynamical*
 105 *lie algebras generated by spin interactions on undirected graphs*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.19797>
- 106
- 107 Meyer, J. J., Mularski, M., Gil-Fuster, E., Mele, A. A., Arzani, F., Wilms, A., & Eisert, J.
 108 (2023). Exploiting symmetry in variational quantum machine learning. *PRX Quantum*, 4,
 109 010328. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.010328>
- 110 Nam, Y., Chen, J.-S., Pienti, N. C., Wright, K., Delaney, C., Maslov, D., Brown, K. R., Allen,
 111 S., Amini, J. M., Apisdorf, J., Beck, K. M., Blinov, A., Chaplin, V., Chmielewski, M.,
 112 Collins, C., Debnath, S., Hudek, K. M., Ducore, A. M., Keesan, M., ... Kim, J. (2020).
 113 Ground-state energy estimation of the water molecule on a trapped-ion quantum computer.
 114 *Npj Quantum Information*, 6(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-020-0259-3>

- 115 Ragone, M., Bakalov, B. N., Sauvage, F., Kemper, A. F., Marrero, C. O., Larocca, M., & Cerezo,
116 M. (2024). A Lie algebraic theory of barren plateaus for deep parameterized quantum
117 circuits. *Nature Commun.*, 15(1), 7172. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49909-3>
- 118 Raussendorf, R., Browne, D. E., & Briegel, H. J. (2003). Measurement-based quantum
119 computation on cluster states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 68, 022312. [https://doi.org/10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.022312)
120 [PhysRevA.68.022312](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.022312)
- 121 Smith, I. D., Cautrès, M., Stephen, D. T., & Poulsen Nautrup, H. (2025). Optimally generating
122 $\mathfrak{su}(2^N)$ using pauli strings. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 134, 200601. [https://doi.org/10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.200601)
123 [PhysRevLett.134.200601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.200601)
- 124 Trotter, H. F. (1959). On the product of semi-groups of operators. *Proceedings of the*
125 *American Mathematical Society*, 10(4), 545–551.
- 126 Wiersema, R., Kökcü, E., Kemper, A. F., & Bakalov, B. N. (2024). Classification of dynamical
127 lie algebras of 2-local spin systems on linear, circular and fully connected topologies. *Npj*
128 *Quantum Information*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-024-00900-2>
- 129 Zeier, R., & Schulte-Herbrüggen, T. (2011). Symmetry principles in quantum systems theory.
130 *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 52(11). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3657939>

DRAFT