

In the interaction picture, density matrices evolve with time due to the interaction hamiltonian, while operators evolve with the system (S) and environment (B) hamiltonians. An arbitrary operator  $O \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is represented in this picture by the dependent operator  $\hat{O}(t)$  and its time evolution is

$$\hat{O}(t) = e^{i(H_S + H_B)t} O e^{-i(H_S + H_B)t}. \quad (1)$$

The time evolution of the total density matrix is given in this picture by

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_T(t)}{dt} = -i\lambda [H_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(t)]. \quad (2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_T = H_S \otimes \mathbb{1}_B + \mathbb{1}_S \otimes H_B + \lambda H_I \\ \text{notation} \equiv H_S + H_B + \lambda H_I \end{array} \right.$$

This equation can be easily integrated to give

$$\hat{\rho}_T(t) = \hat{\rho}_T(0) - i\lambda \int_0^t ds [\hat{H}_I(s), \hat{\rho}_T(s)] \quad (3)$$

To avoid the problem that we have to integrate the density matrix over all the previous time, we introduce (3) into (2) giving

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_T(t)}{dt} = -i\lambda [H_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(0)] - \lambda^2 \int_0^t ds [H_I(t), [H_I(s), \hat{\rho}_T(s)]] \quad (4)$$

Introducing again the equation (3) into (4) and making the assumption that  $\lambda \ll 1$ , so

$$\frac{d\rho_I(t)}{dt} = -i\lambda [H_I(t), \hat{\rho}_I(t)] - \lambda^2 \int_0^t ds [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(s), \hat{\rho}_I(t)]]$$

We are interested in finding an equation of motion for  $\rho_S$ , so we trace out over the bath degrees of freedom

$$\frac{d\rho_S(t)}{dt} = -i\lambda \cdot \text{tr}_B [\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{\rho}_I(t)] - \lambda^2 \int_0^t ds \cdot \text{tr}_B \left\{ [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(s), \rho_I(t)]] \right\}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} -i\lambda \cdot \text{tr}_B [H_I, \rho_I(0)] &= -i\lambda \sum_i \text{tr}_B \left( \lambda V_S^i \otimes V_B^i \cdot \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(0) - \lambda \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(0) \cdot V_S^i \otimes V_B^i \right) \\ &= \sum_i V_S^i \cdot \rho_S(0) \underbrace{\text{Tr}_B [V_B^i \cdot \rho_B(0)]}_{\langle V_B^i \rangle} - \rho_S(0) \cdot V_S^i \cdot \underbrace{\text{Tr}_B [\rho_B(0) \cdot V_B^i]}_{\langle V_B^i \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume that the integration can be extended to infinity without affecting the result.

Now, taking the expression (4) from Budini's article

$$\frac{d\rho_S(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S, \rho_S(t)] - \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B \left\{ [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S(t) \otimes \rho_B^e]] \right\} \quad (4)$$

where  $H_I(-\tau) = e^{-i(H_B+H_S)\tau} \cdot H_I \cdot e^{+i(H_B+H_S)\tau}$  and  $\rho_B^e$  is the equilibrium density matrix of the bath, we introduce the Jacobi identity into eq. (4)

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [X, [Y, Z]] = [[X, Y], Z] - [Y, [Z, X]]$$

and identifying  $X$  with  $H_I$ ,  $Y$  with  $H_I(-\tau)$  and  $Z$  with  $\rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e$ ,

$$2 [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] = [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] + [[H_I, H_I(-\tau)], \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e] + [H_I(-\tau), [H_I, \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]]$$

$$\therefore [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] + [[H_I, H_I(-\tau)], \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e] + [H_I(-\tau), [H_I, \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] \right\},$$

such that eq (4) turns into

$$\frac{dp_S(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S, \rho(t)] - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B \left\{ [[H_I, H_I(-\tau)], \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e] + [H_I, [H_I(-\tau), \rho_S \otimes \rho_B]] + [H_I(-\tau), [H_I, \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]] \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Now, using that

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [X, Z]] = \{ \{XY\}^\dagger, Z \}^\dagger - 2(XZY + YZX) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{where} \\ \{X, Y\}^\dagger = XY + YX \\ \text{is the anticommutator} \end{array} \right.$$

the equation (5) reduces to

$$\dot{\rho}_S = -i[H_S, \rho_S(t)] - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B \left\{ \underbrace{[[H_I, H_I(-\tau)], \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e]}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{\{ \{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger, \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \}^\dagger}_{\textcircled{2}} - 2(H_I \cdot \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \cdot H_I(-\tau) + H_I(-\tau) \cdot \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \cdot H_I) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} &: \text{Tr}_B \left\{ [H_I, H_I(-\tau)] \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e - \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e [H_I, H_I(-\tau)] + \{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e + \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger \right\} = \\ &= \text{Tr}_B([H_I, H_I(-\tau)] \rho_B^e) \rho_S - \rho_S \cdot \text{Tr}_B([H_I, H_I(-\tau)] \rho_B^e) + \text{Tr}_B(\{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger \rho_B^e) \rho_S + \rho_S \cdot \text{Tr}_B(\{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger \rho_B^e) \\ &= \underbrace{\left[ \text{Tr}_B([H_I, H_I(-\tau)] \rho_B^e), \rho_S \right]}_{\textcircled{3}} + \left\{ \text{Tr}_B(\{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^\dagger \rho_B^e), \rho_S \right\}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating the term  $\textcircled{3}$  in the von-Neumann term, we can write the result in the form



$$\frac{dp_s(t)}{dt} = -i[H_{\text{eff}}, p_s(t)] - \{D, p_s(t)\}^+ + F[p_s(t)] \quad (6)$$

where

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_s - i \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B([H_I, H_I(-\tau)] p_B^e) \quad (7)$$

$$D = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B(\{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^+ \cdot p_B^e) \quad (8)$$

$$F[p_s(t)] = \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B(H_I \cdot p_s \otimes p_B^e \cdot H_I(-\tau) + H_I(-\tau) \cdot p_s \otimes p_B^e \cdot H_I). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, eq (4) has a Korakowsky - Lindblad (KL) form, in which

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \gamma=1}^{N^2-1} \alpha_{\alpha\gamma} V_\gamma^\dagger V_\alpha \quad F[\bullet] = \sum_{\alpha, \gamma=1}^{N^2-1} \alpha_{\alpha\gamma} V_\alpha \bullet V_\gamma^\dagger,$$

$D$  is called the dissipative operator and  $F$  the fluctuating superoperator. In order to obtain the matrix  $\alpha_{\alpha\gamma}$ , let's consider

$$H_I = \sum_{\beta=1}^n V_\beta^s \otimes V_\beta^B, \quad n \leq N^2-1. \quad (10)$$

Using the fact that  $H_I$  is hermitian and introducing the notation

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}(-\tau) \equiv \text{Tr}_B(\rho_B^e \cdot V_\alpha^{B\dagger} \cdot V_\beta^B(-\tau)) \quad (11)$$

we have

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B([H_I, H_I(-\tau)] \rho_B^e)$$

$$H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B(H_I \cdot H_I(-\tau) \cdot \rho_B^e - H_I(-\tau) H_I \cdot \rho_B^e)$$

$$H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \cdot \text{Tr}_B\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n (V_\alpha^S \otimes V_\alpha^B) \cdot (V_\beta^S(-\tau) \otimes V_\beta^B(-\tau)) \cdot \rho_B^e - (V_\beta^S(-\tau) \otimes V_\beta^B(-\tau)) \cdot (V_\alpha^S \otimes V_\alpha^B) \cdot \rho_B^e\right)$$

$$H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \text{Tr}_B(V_\alpha^B \cdot V_\beta^B(-\tau) \cdot \rho_B^e) V_\alpha^S \cdot V_\beta^S(-\tau) - \text{Tr}_B(V_\beta^B(-\tau) \cdot V_\alpha^B \cdot \rho_B^e) V_\beta^S(-\tau) V_\alpha^S$$

$$H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \int_0^\infty d\tau (\chi_{\alpha\beta} \cdot V_\alpha^S \cdot V_\beta^S(-\tau) - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^* \cdot V_\beta^S(-\tau) V_\alpha^S)$$

$$H_S - i\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \int_0^\infty d\tau (\chi_{\alpha\beta} V_\alpha^{S\dagger} V_\beta^S(-\tau) - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^* V_\beta^{S\dagger} V_\alpha^S) \quad (12)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} H_I^\dagger = H_I \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} V_\beta^{S\dagger} = V_\beta^S \\ V_\beta^{B\dagger} = V_\beta^B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \text{Tr}_B \left( \{H_I, H_I(-\tau)\}^+ \rho_B^e \right) = \\
& = \text{Tr}_B \left( H_I \cdot H_I(-\tau) \rho_B^e + H_I(-\tau) \cdot H_I \cdot \rho_B^e \right) \\
& = (\text{proceeding analogous to the last term}) \\
& = \left( \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-\tau)} V_\alpha^{st} V_\beta^s(-\tau) + \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{*(-\tau)} V_\beta^{st}(-\tau) \cdot V_\alpha^s \right) \checkmark \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \text{Tr}_B \left( H_I \cdot \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \cdot H_I(-\tau) + H_I(-\tau) \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e H_I \right) = \\
& = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \text{Tr}_B \left( V_\alpha^s \otimes V_\alpha^b \cdot \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \cdot V_\beta^s(-\tau) \otimes V_\beta^b(-\tau) + V_\beta^s(-\tau) \otimes V_\beta^b(-\tau) \cdot \rho_S \otimes \rho_B^e \cdot V_\alpha^s \otimes V_\alpha^b \right) \\
& = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \text{Tr}_B \left( V_\alpha^b \cdot \rho_B^e \cdot V_\beta^b(-\tau) \right) \cdot V_\alpha^s \cdot \rho_S \cdot V_\beta^s(-\tau) + \text{Tr}_B \left( V_\beta^b(-\tau) \cdot \rho_B^e \cdot V_\alpha^b \right) \cdot V_\beta^s(-\tau) \rho_S \cdot V_\alpha^s \\
& = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{*(-\tau)} V_\alpha^s \cdot \rho_S \cdot V_\beta^{st}(-\tau) + \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-\tau)} V_\beta^s(-\tau) \rho_S \cdot V_\alpha^{st} \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

$$F[\rho_S(t)] = \lambda^2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\infty d\tau \left( \chi_{\alpha\beta}(-\tau) \cdot V_\beta^s(-\tau) \rho_S V_\alpha^{st} + \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{*(-\tau)} V_\alpha^s \cdot \rho_S \cdot V_\beta^{st}(-\tau) \right) \quad (14)$$

Finally, defining the matrix  $C_{\beta\gamma}(-\tau)$  from

$$V_{\beta}^s(-\tau) \equiv e^{-i\tau H_0} V_{\beta}^s e^{+i\tau H_0} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N^2-1} C_{\beta\gamma}(-\tau) V_{\gamma}^s \quad (15)$$

and replacing it into eq (12),

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_0 - \frac{i\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \left( \chi_{\gamma\beta}(-\tau) C_{\beta\alpha}(-\tau) - \chi_{\alpha\beta}^*(-\tau) C_{\beta\gamma}^*(-\tau) \right) V_{\gamma}^{st} V_{\alpha}^s$$

where we have used the fact that the indices in eqs (12), (13) and (14) are dumb. Now, we

have the matrix

$$a_{\alpha\gamma} = \lambda^2 \sum_{\beta} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \left( \chi_{\gamma\beta}(-\tau) C_{\beta\alpha}(-\tau) + \chi_{\alpha\beta}^*(-\tau) C_{\beta\gamma}^*(-\tau) \right).$$