Online Contests Solutions

Saman Saadi

# Contents

		tCode Longest Substring Without Repeating Characters	
	1.1	Longest Substring Without Repeating Characters	
2	HackerRank		
	2.1	New Year Chaos	
	2.2	Minimum Swaps 2	
	2.3	Count Triplets	
	2.4	Fraudulent Activity Notifications	
	2.5	Merge Sort: Counting Inversions	
3	Top	$\mathbf{C}$ oder	
	3.1	SRM 428	
		3.1.1 The Palindrome	

iv CONTENTS

## Chapter 1

## LeetCode

# 1.1 Longest Substring Without Repeating Characters

The question is to find the length of the longest substring without repeating characters. For example in "abcabcbb" the answer is "abc".

We can use mathematical induction. Assume s[i..j-1] is a valid substring (it doesn't have any repetitive characters). Now we want to add s[j] to it. If s[j] is not in s[i..j-1], then we can easily add s[j] to the list and claim s[i..j] is also valid. Otherwise there is exactly one  $i \le k \le j-1$  that s[k] = s[j].

Because of s[j], we cannot extend s[i..j-1] anymore, but we may be able to extend s[k+1..j]. The running time of the following implementation is O(n):

```
int lengthOfLongestSubstring(string s) {
  int res = 0;
  unordered_map<char, int> mp;
  for (int i = 0, j = 0; i < s.length() && j < s.length(); ++j)
  {
    auto iter = mp.find(s[j]);
    if (iter != mp.end())
    {
        //Note that after mp.erase, iter will be invalid!
        //So we store the index in k
        int k = iter->second;
        for (; i <= k; ++i)
            mp.erase(s[i]);
    }
    mp[s[j]] = j;
    res = max(res, j - i + 1);
    }
    return res;
}</pre>
```

## Chapter 2

## **HackerRank**

### 2.1 New Year Chaos

You can find the question in this link.

We define  $index_i$  as the current index for person i. For example if we have 1,2,3,4 and 4 bribes 3, the queue looks like 1,2,4,3. So  $index_4=3$ . Since no body can bribe more than 2 times,  $index_i \geq i-2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider person n. No body can bribe that person. So  $n-2 \leq index_n \leq n$ . After we retruned that person to his actual place we can consider n-1. So we have  $n-3 \leq index_{n-1} \leq n-1$  (note that at this moment  $index_n=n$ ).

### 2.2 Minimum Swaps 2

See the problem statement in this link.

Note that this solution is based on Selection Sort in which the number of swaps are minimum. According to Wikipedia: "One thing which distinguishes selection sort from other sorting algorithms is that it makes the minimum possible number of swaps, n-1 in the worst case." Altourh Selection sort has minimum number of swaps among all sorts agorithms, it has  $O(n^2)$  comparisons. Since the final result is  $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ , it's like we have the set in sorted order so we can bypass comparisons and use Selection Sort advantage which is the minimum number of swaps.

We define  $index_i$  as the current index of number i. Suppose we have n numbers, so  $1 \le index_i \le n$ . The goal is to have  $index_i = i$ . Without losing generality suppose  $i < j \land index_i = j$ . There are two cases to consider:

- 1. If  $index_j = i$ , then by swapping  $arr_i$  and  $arr_j$ , we put both i and j in their corresponding positions.
- 2. If  $index_j = k \land k \neq i \land k \neq j$ . In this case by swapping  $arr_i$  and  $arr_j$  we only put i in its corresponding position. So we need to do an extra swap to put j in its correct position.

We can start from i=1 to i=n and make sure i is in correct position; otherwise we perform a swap. In each iteration we fix the position of one or two numbers. A good example is  $\{4, 3, 2, 1\}$ .

### 2.3 Count Triplets

Problem statement.

We use dynamic programming to solve it. For mathematical induciton we define cnt[num][n] like this:

$$cnt[a_{i_1}][0] = |\{a_{i_0} \in arr \mid a_{i_1} = a_{i_0} \times r \land i_1 < i_2\}|$$

$$cnt[a_{i_2}][1] = |\{(a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}) \in arr \times arr \mid a_{i_k} = a_{i_{k-1}} \times r \land i_{k-1} < i_k \text{ for } 1 \le k \le 2\}|$$

So the final answer is:

$$\sum_{n \in arr} cnt[n][1]$$

Then for each number n we have

$$cnt[n \times r][0] = cnt[n \times r][0] + 1$$
$$cnt[n \times r][1] = cnt[n \times r][1] + cnt[n][0]$$

Since r = 1, the order of assignments are very important.

```
long countTriplets(vector<long> arr, long r) {
    const auto n = arr.size();
    unordered_map<long, array<long, 2>> cnt;
    //cnt[a[j]][0] = |\{a[i]\}| in which i < j and
                      a[j] = a[i] * r
    //cnt[a[k]][1] = |\{a[i], a[j]\}| in which // i < j < k and
    //a[k] = a[j] * r and a[j] = a[i] * r
    long res = 0;
    for (const auto& num : arr)
        res += cnt[num][1];
        const auto next = num * r;
        cnt[next][1] += cnt[num][0];
        ++cnt[next][0];
    }
    return res;
}
```

### 2.4 Fraudulent Activity Notifications

#### Problem Statement

Basically we want a O(nlogn) algorithm to find median of a sequuence, when we removed the first element and add another one. So we need two binary search trees. In the first one the maximum element is the median itself and in the secon one the minimum element is the second median in case of d=2k or a value greater than median when d=2k+1. So if d=2k both of these binary search trees always have k element. When d=2k+1, the first one always has k+1 elements and the second one has k elements. Let's call them lessEqual and greaterEqual.

If both removing element and new element belong to the same tree, nothing extra is required. So we only need to remove one element and add the new one. If the removing element is from lessEqual, we must remove the minimum element from greaterEqual and add it to lessEqual. If the removing element is from greaterEqual, we must remove the maximum element from lessEqual and add it to greaterEqual. By doing that the maximum element is lessEqual is median. In case of d=2k, the minimum element in greaterEqual is the second median. The running time of this algorithm is O(nlogn).

```
int activityNotifications(vector<int> expenditure, int d)
    multiset<int, greater<int>> lessEqual;
   multiset<int> greaterEqual;
   vector<int> init(d);
    copy(expenditure.begin(), expenditure.begin() + d,
         init.begin());
    sort(init.begin(), init.end());
   const bool isEven = (d & 1) == 0;
   int medianIndex = (d - 1) / 2;
   int i:
    for (i = 0; i <= medianIndex; ++i)</pre>
        lessEqual.insert(init[i]);
    for (; i < d; ++i)
        greaterEqual.insert(init[i]);
   int res = 0;
   for (int i = d; i < expenditure.size(); ++i)</pre>
        const int median1 = *lessEqual.begin();
        if (isEven)
            const int median2 = *greaterEqual.begin();
            if (expenditure[i] >= (median1 + median2))
                ++res:
        }
        else
        {
            if (expenditure[i] >= 2 * median1)
                ++res:
        }
        const auto removed = expenditure[i - d];
        if (removed <= median1 &&
            expenditure[i] <= median1)</pre>
            lessEqual.erase(lessEqual.find(removed));
            lessEqual.insert(expenditure[i]);
        else if (removed > median1 &&
                 expenditure[i] > median1)
          greaterEqual.erase(greaterEqual.find(removed));
```

```
greaterEqual.insert(expenditure[i]);
        else if ( removed <= median1)</pre>
        {
            //For handling d=1, it should first:
            greaterEqual.insert(expenditure[i]);
            lessEqual.erase(lessEqual.find(removed));
            lessEqual.insert(*greaterEqual.begin());
            greaterEqual.erase(greaterEqual.begin());
        else
          //For handling d=1, it should be first:
          lessEqual.insert(expenditure[i]);
          greaterEqual.erase(greaterEqual.find(removed));
          greaterEqual.insert(*lessEqual.begin());
          lessEqual.erase(lessEqual.begin());
    return res;
}
```

### 2.5 Merge Sort: Counting Inversions

#### Problem Statement

We can solve it using merge sort. Suppose we have arr[left..right]. We break it into two subproblem arr[left..mid] and arr[mid+1..right]. Both of them are sorted. According to merge sort algorithm  $left \leq i \leq mid$  and  $mid+1 \leq j \leq right$ . In other words we already put arr[left..i-1] and arr[mid+1..j-1] into their correct positions. So when arr[j] < arr[i], it means  $arr[j] < arr[i] \leq arr[x]$  in which  $i+1 \leq x \leq mid$ . So we need to have mid-i+1 swaps.

```
long mergeSort(vector<int>& arr, int leftIndex,
               int rightIndex)
{
    if (leftIndex == rightIndex)
        return 0;
    long res = 0;
    int midIndex = (leftIndex + rightIndex) / 2;
    res = mergeSort(arr, leftIndex, midIndex);
    res += mergeSort(arr, midIndex + 1, rightIndex);
    vector<int> sorted(rightIndex - leftIndex + 1);
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = leftIndex, j = midIndex + 1, k = 0;
         i <= midIndex && j <= rightIndex;)</pre>
        if (arr[i] <= arr[j])</pre>
            sorted[k++] = arr[i++];
        {
```

```
res += midIndex - i + 1;
             sorted[k++] = arr[j++];
    }
    if (i <= midIndex)</pre>
        copy(arr.begin() + i,
             arr.begin() + midIndex + 1,
              sorted.begin() + k);
    else
        copy(arr.begin() + j ,
     arr.begin() + rightIndex + 1,
              sorted.begin() + k);
    copy(sorted.begin(), sorted.end(),
        arr.begin() + leftIndex);
    return res;
}
// Complete the count Inversions function below.
long countInversions(vector<int> arr) {
    return mergeSort(arr, 0, arr.size() - 1);
```

### Chapter 3

## TopCoder

### 3.1 SRM 428

#### 3.1.1 The Palindrome

We want to add the minimum number of characters to the end of string to make it a palindrome. The straightforward approach is to try add the first i characters in reverse for all  $0 \le i \le n-1$  in which n is the length of string. So we start from i=0 and check whether the string is palindrome. If it's not we check for i=1 and so on. The running time of this algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .

Since  $n \le 50$ , this algorithm is fast. We can make it  $O(n \log_2 n)$  if we use binary search tree to find the minimum i.

There is another approach. Let's assume we have string  $S = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ . We define  $S' = s_n \dots s_2 s_1$ . Suppose we can write string S as QP. In other words, S is the concatenation of two strings Q and P. We assume P is palindrome but Q is not. We can make S palindrome if we convert QP to QPQ' we call this

new String Z. Z is palindrome because if we reverse it we have:

Z: QPQ'Z': QP'Q'

Since we want the length of Q be minimum, we must find the maximal P:

As the previous implementation the running time is  $O(n^2)$  but it's easy to convert it to  $O(n \log_2 n)$  using binary search.

This implementation has a unique feature. We can convert it to an O(n) algorithm using KMP algorithm. Suppose S = QP where P is a palindrome. We want to find palidnrome postfix P which its length is maximum among all palindrome post-fixes. We need to run KMP pre-compute calculation on S' = P'Q'. Then we run KMP algorithm as if we want to find whether S' is a substring of S. Suppose we use i as an index for S and j as an index for S'. The algorithm start with i = 0 and ends when i = len(S) in which S'[0..j - 1] is P' or P (since it's palindrome).

```
vector<int> calculateNext(const string& B)
{
  vector<int> next(B.length());
  next[0] = -2;
  next[1] = -1;
  int i, j;
  for (i = 2; i < B.length(); ++i)
  {
     j = next[i - 1] + 1;
     for (; j >= 0 && B[j] != B[i - 1]; j = next[j] + 1);
     next[i] = j;
  }
  return next;
}

int find(string A)
{
  const string B = string(A.rbegin(), A.rend());
  const auto next = calculateNext(B);
```

3.1. SRM 428

```
int i, j;
for (i = 0, j = 0; i < A.length() && j < B.length();)
{
   if (A[i] == B[j])
        ++i, ++j;
   else if ((j = next[j] + 1) < 0)
   {
        //Since B.front() == A.back(), it's impossible
        //i == A.length() here:
        ++i, j = 0;
        j = 0;
   }
}
int palindromeLen = j;
return A.length() + A.length() - palindromeLen;
}</pre>
```