

Counting Irreducible Representations of General Linear Groups and Unitary Groups

Qiutong Wang

Zhejiang University

August 11, 2025

- Introduce the counting method developed by Dan Barbasch, Jia-Jun Ma, Binyong Sun, and Chen-Bo Zhu.
- Show it's application in counting the irreducible representations of general linear groups and unitary groups.

Background

- G : connected reductive algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} ;
- G is a real Lie group together with a Lie group homomorphism $\iota : G \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ with open image and finite kernel;
- $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0$ are Lie algebras of $G(\mathbb{C}), G$;
- ${}^a\mathfrak{h}$: the abstract Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , with root lattice $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}$, weight group $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and analytic weight lattice Q_{ι} ($Q_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq Q_{\iota} \subseteq Q^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq {}^a\mathfrak{h}^*$);
- W : the abstract Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} act on ${}^a\mathfrak{h}$;
- $\text{Rep}(G)$: the category of Casselman-Wallach representations of G ;
- $\text{Irr}(G)$: set of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects in $\text{Rep}(G)$.

- There is a partition of $\text{Irr}(G)$ with respect to infinitesimal characters:

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Irr}_\lambda(G),$$

according to work of Harish-Chandra, each set $\text{Irr}_\lambda(G)$ is finite;

- According to complex associated variety (annihilator variety), there is a further partition of $\text{Irr}_\lambda(G)$:

$$\text{Irr}_\lambda(G) = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{O} \in G(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \text{Nil}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Irr}_\lambda(G; \mathcal{O}).$$

Goal: Describe the size of each set $\text{Irr}_\lambda(G; \mathcal{O})$ in terms of combinatorial data.

Theorem (Barbasch, Ma, Sun, Zhu)

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Irr}_\nu(G; \mathcal{O})) \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(W(\Lambda); \mathcal{O})} [1_{W_\nu} : \sigma] \cdot [\sigma : \mathrm{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))],$$

where 1_{W_ν} denotes the trivial representation of the stabilizer W_ν of ν in W . The equality holds if the Coxeter group $W(\Lambda)$ has no simple factor of type F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , or E_8 , and G is linear or isomorphic to a real metaplectic group.

In their paper, they use this formula to count the number of special unipotent representations.

Double Cells, Special Representations

Coherent Continuation Representation

$\mathcal{R}_{\text{hol}}(G(\mathbb{C}))$: Grothendieck ring of finite-dimensional holomorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{C})$.

$\mathcal{K}(G)$: Grothendieck group of $\text{Rep}(G)$ which has a $\mathcal{R}_{\text{hol}}(G(\mathbb{C}))$ module structure via tensor product.

Coherent family

Let $\Lambda = \nu + Q_{\ell} \subseteq {}^a\mathfrak{h}^*$, a Λ -coherent family is a map

$$\Phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(G),$$

such that:

- for any $\nu \in \Lambda$, $\Phi(\nu) \in \mathcal{K}_{\nu}(G)$,
- for any $F \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{hol}}(G(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\nu \in \Lambda$,
 $F \cdot (\Phi(\nu)) = \sum_{\mu \in \Delta(F)} \Phi(\nu + \mu)$ (where $\Delta(F)$ is the set of weights of F counted multiplicity).

Let $W(\Lambda) \subseteq W$ denote the integral Weyl group with respect to Λ .

Coherent continuation representation

Let $\text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))$ denote the complex vector space of all coherent families on Λ . It is a representation of $W(\Lambda)$ under the action

$$(w \cdot \Psi)(\nu) = \Psi(w^{-1}\nu),$$

for any $w \in W(\Lambda)$, $\Psi \in \text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))$, $\nu \in \Lambda$.

For any $\nu \in \Lambda$ we have the evaluation map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ev} : & \text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G)) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_\nu \\ & \Psi & \longmapsto \Psi(\nu) \end{array}$$

Theorem (Schmid, Zuckerman)

ev is surjective for each $\nu \in \Lambda$, and bijective when ν is regular.

Theorem(Vogan's green book)

Suppose $\nu \in {}^a\mathfrak{h}^*$ dominant, $M \in \mathcal{K}_\nu(G)$ is an irreducible representation. Then there exist a unique coherent family $\overline{\Psi}$ characterised by the following properties:

- $\overline{\Psi}(\nu) = M$;
- If μ is dominant, then $\overline{\Psi}(\mu)$ is irreducible or zero.

There is a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\overline{\Psi}_i\}$ of $\text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))$ such that for any regular dominant μ , $\overline{\Psi}_i(\mu)$ is an irreducible representation with infinitesimal character ν .

We call $(\text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G)), \mathcal{B})$ a **basal representation**.

We can also define basal subrepresentations.

For any subset \mathcal{S} of $\text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))$, denote by $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ the minimal basal subrepresentation containing \mathcal{S} .

Define an equivalence relation on \mathcal{B} by: $\overline{\Psi_i} \approx \overline{\Psi_j}$ if and only if $\langle \overline{\Psi_i} \rangle = \langle \overline{\Psi_j} \rangle$.

The equivalence classes of \mathcal{B} with respect to this relation are called Harish-Chandra cells.

Cell representations

Let \mathcal{C} be a cell in \mathcal{B} and put $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \cap \mathcal{B}$. Define the cell representation attached to \mathcal{C} by

$$\text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))(\mathcal{C}) := \langle \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rangle / \langle \overline{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \mathcal{C} \rangle$$

Hypothesis

The set $\{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W(\Lambda)) \mid \sigma \text{ occurs in } \text{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))(\mathcal{C})\}$ is contained in the double cell containing the special representation $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sharp(\mathrm{Irr}_\nu(G)) &= \dim \mathcal{K}_\nu(G) \stackrel{\text{Vogan}}{=} \dim \mathrm{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))_{W_\nu} \\
 &= [1_{W_\nu} : \mathrm{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))] \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(W(\Lambda))} [1_{W_\nu} : \sigma] \cdot [\sigma : \mathrm{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))],
 \end{aligned}$$

If S is a Zariski closed $G(\mathbb{C})$ -stable subset of $\mathrm{Nil}(\mathfrak{g})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sharp(\mathrm{Irr}_{\nu,S}(G)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(W(\Lambda))} [1_{W_\nu} : \sigma] \cdot [\sigma : \mathrm{Coh}_{\Lambda,S}(\mathcal{K}(G))] \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Hypothesis}}{=} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_S(W(\Lambda))} [1_{W_\nu} : \sigma] \cdot [\sigma : \mathrm{Coh}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))].
 \end{aligned}$$

Combinatorial Notations