Automated Termination Proving: Contributions to Graph Rewriting via Extended Weighted Type Graphs and Morphism Counting

Qi QIU

LIRIS, UMR 5205 CNRS
Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France
Supervisor: Xavier URBAIN

Motivation & Goal

Distributed systems:



Ensuring correctness is difficult.

- The Needham-Schroeder protocol proved insecure 17 years after its publication.

Failures can be catastrophic: 🚑 🚆 ✈ 🚀

This thesis: automated verification.

- Minimal user effort
- No expertise required
- Mathematically rigorous

Graph Transformation

Modelization of distributed systems

System configurations: graphs





Algorithm behaviors:

graph transformation according to local knowledge

Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



A spanning tree is obtained when the rule cannot be applied.

Graph Transformation

Graph transformation rule:



Replace the left-hand side by the right-hand side.

Spanning-tree construction:



A spanning tree is obtained when the rule cannot be applied.

Does the transformation process terminate for any initial graph?

Termination of Graph Transformation Systems

- No graph G_0 can be transformed forever

$$G_0 \Rightarrow G_1 \Rightarrow \cdots$$

- Aligns with the notion of program termination:

  "every execution (on any input) halts."

- Undecidable in general [9]
  - Automated techniques for specific subclasses

Termination by interpretations [11, 1]

Interpret graphs as natural numbers.

Show each transformation step decreases the value.

Number of edges:



Number of nodes:



Number of edges labeled by a:



Limitation



Can its termination be proved by interpretations?

- Need a formal definition of graph transformations.

Graph Morphisms: Structure-Preserving Functions



Colors show edge correspondence.



Numbers show node correspondence.

Commutative Diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xleftarrow{b} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & {\scriptstyle d}\downarrow \\ G & \xleftarrow{c} & C \end{array}$$

commutes if $a \circ b = c \circ d$.



Pushouts: Gluing Graphs Along an Interface

The **pushout** of (α, β) is



Pushouts: Gluing Graphs Along an Interface

The **pushout** of (α, β) is (β', α') with

- $\square$ABDC commutes,



Pushouts: Gluing Graphs Along an Interface

The **pushout** of (α, β) is (β', α') with

- $\square$ABDC commutes,
- universality: for all (γ, γ'),if $\square ABEC$ commutes, then there is a unique δ such that $\triangle BDE$ and $\triangle CDE$ both commute.



Pushouts: Gluing Graphs Along an Interface



Graph Rewriting with Double-Pushout (DPO)

The first algebraic approach to graph rewriting [4]

One of the most studied approaches to graph rewriting [5]

Graph Rewriting with Double-Pushout (DPO) [4]

$L \xleftarrow{l} K \xrightarrow{r} R$

Rewriting rule with interface K



Graph Rewriting with Double-Pushout (DPO) [4]



Rewriting rule with interface K



Graph Rewriting with Double-Pushout (DPO) [4]



Rewriting rule with interface K



Graph Rewriting with Double-Pushout (DPO) [4]



Rewriting rule with interface K

rewriting step $G \Rightarrow H$



An Invalid Rewriting Step



Weighted Type Graph Method [2, 3, 7]

Termination by interpretation

Parameter: an object T in the category, called type graph

Terminology: every graph is "typed" as morphisms to T

Interpretation:

$$\begin{aligned} G &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}(G, T) \\ &\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{weight}(\mathcal{F}(G, T)) \\ &\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{aggregator}(\mathrm{weight}(\mathcal{F}(G, T))) \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

How to choose the type graph T?
What is the morphism weight?
What is the graph weight?

Type Graph with Weights on Edges



Morphism Weight

The weight of a morphism $h : G \to T$ is

$$\sum_{e \in \mathsf{Edge(G)}} \mathrm{weight}(h(e))$$



$$\mathrm{weight}_T(h_1) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

Graph Weight

The weight of a graph L is

$$\min\{h : L \to T \mid \text{weight}_T(h)\}$$



$\text{weight}_T(h_2) = 1 + 0 = 1$

$\text{weight}_T(L) = \min\{1, 0\} = 0$

$\text{weight}_T(h_1) = 0 + 0 = 0$



has three morphisms to T:







$$\mathsf{weight}_T(R) = \mathsf{min}\{1+0+1, 0+0+1, 0+0+0\} = 0.$$

Termination Criterion [3]



A rule terminates if there is T such that for all t_K, if there is t_L such that $\triangle KLT$ commutes, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{min}\{\mathbf{weight}_T(t_L) \mid t_L.\ \triangle\ KLT \text{commutes}\}\\ >&\mathbf{min}\{\mathbf{weight}_T(t_R) \mid t_R.\ \triangle\ KRT \text{commutes}\}\end{aligned}$$

How to find a suitable weighted type graph?

Searching for Weighted Type Graphs over $\mathbb{N}$ [11, 3]

User-specified parameters:

- k nodes
- maximum edge weight $n \in \mathbb{N}$

The problem amounts to checking the satisfiability of an existential Presburger arithmetic theory with:

- $k^2 m$ binary variables where m is the number of labels
- $k^2 m$ integer variables

Challenge:

- expertise: impossible to guess k and maximum weight n
- complexity: $2^{k^2 m} \cdot n^{k^2 m}$ possible assignments of weights

Problem of the Size of the Search Space

With natural numbers as weights:

# nodes (k)	# labels (m)	# weights	# possibilities
2	2	2	$\approx 10^4$
3	3	3	$\approx 10^{21}$
4	4	4	$\approx 10^{57}$
5	5	5	$\approx 10^{125}$

Problems can solved by Z3 in exponential-time with respect to the number of variables $2k^2m$.

Usability Improvement: Using Real Numbers as Weights

Using positive real numbers as weights

Additional constraint: there is $\delta > 0$ such that every rewriting step decreases the weight by at least δ.

Searching for Weighted Type Graphs over ~~$\mathbb{N}$~~ $\mathbb{R}$

User-specified parameters:

- k nodes
- ~~edge weights in $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$~~

The problem amounts to checking the satisfiability of an ~~existential Presburger arithmetic theory~~ existential theory of the reals with binary variables:

- $k^2 m$ binary variables where m is the number of labels
- $k^2 m$ ~~integer~~ real variables

Challenge:

- impossible to guess k and ~~maximum weight n~~
- complexity: ~~$2^{k^2 m} \cdot n^{k^2 m}$ possible assignments of weights~~ there are $2^{k^2 m}$ linear programs which have polynomial-time average-case complexity.

Complexity Comparison

With weights in $\mathbb{N}$:

# nodes (k)	# labels (m)	# weights	# possibilities
2	2	2	$\approx 10^4$
3	3	3	$\approx 10^{21}$
4	4	4	$\approx 10^{57}$
5	5	5	$\approx 10^{125}$

With weights in $\mathbb{R}$:

# nodes (k)	# labels (m)	# variables	# linear programs in $\mathbb{R}$
2	2	8	$\approx 10^2$
3	3	27	$\approx 10^8$
4	4	64	$\approx 10^{19}$
5	5	125	$\approx 10^{32}$

Linear programs can be solved in polynomial time with respect to the number of variables on average.

Experimental Results

	$A_{\mathbb{N}}$	$a_{\mathbb{R}}$	$T_{\mathbb{N}}$	$t_{\mathbb{R}}$	$N_{\mathbb{N}}$	$n_{\mathbb{R}}$
[6, Example 6.3]					2.74	1.16
[6, Example D.3]	2.25	1.18			2.24	1.18
[9, Example 3.8]	2.95	1.90	2.94	1.87	3.49	1.87
[8, Example 4]	4.26	3.19	4.24	3.13	5.82	timeout
[8, Example 5]	5.54	5.55	5.53	5.50	9.11	5.62
[3, Example 4]	2.44	2.46	2.47	2.54	4.58	2.46
[3, Example 5]					7.80	timeout
[3, Example 6]					9.75	timeout
[2, Example 1]	2.26	1.18			2.24	1.18
[2, Example 4]	2.25	1.22	2.24	1.18	2.25	1.19
[2, Example 5]	4.23	3.23	4.25	3.28	5.82	timeout

Implementation

LyonParallel

Search parallel with 6 configurations

A Limitation of the Weighted Type Graph Method



Type graph fails: existence of surjections from R to L.
All existing automated methods fail.
Remark: the number of occurrences of L strictly decreases.

Capability Improvement: Morphism Counting

Termination by interpretation

Parameter: a graph X

Interpretation of a graph G : number of morphisms from X to G

Inclusions: morphisms h with $h(x) = x$ for all x.



Subgraph

Graph Rewriting with Injective Rules

A rewriting rules consists of two inclusions.

$\varphi =$ 

An equivalent rewriting rule expresses the same transformation.

$\varphi' =$ 

A rewriting step with φ is defined by a DPO diagram with inclusions and φ'.



Pre-Graphs

Graph:



Pre-graphs obtained by removing node 2:



All edges are dangling.

Decomposition of Graphs in Rewriting Steps



This coloring provides a classification of morphisms in rewriting steps by image node colors.

X-occurrences by Image Node Colors

An X-occurrence is an injective morphism from X.



X-occurrence are classified by the colors of their image nodes:

- white: only white;
- orange: only white and at least one orange;
- blue: only white and at least one blue;
- red: only white and at least one red;
- blue-and-orange: at least one blue and at least one orange;
- blue-and-red: at least one blue and at least one red

Morphisms by Image Node Colors

Let X be the graph $\bullet \xrightarrow[a]{} \bullet \xrightarrow[a]{} \bullet$.



Blue X-occurrences: 2 $\xrightarrow{a}$ 6 $\xrightarrow{a}$ 7

Red X-occurrences: none.

Blue-and-red X-occurrences: 5 $\xrightarrow{a}$ 2 $\xrightarrow{a}$ 6

A New Sufficient Condition for Termination [10]



A rule terminates if, for every rewriting step, there are

- strictly more orange X-occurrences than red X-occurrences,
- more blue-and-orange X-occurrences than blue-and-red X-occurrences.

Challenge: C' is unknown

Analysis of Implicit Occurrences



Blue-and-red X-occurrences: 

Blue-and-Orange X-occurrences: 

Sufficient Condition for the Second Condition [10]



There more blue-and-orange X-morphisms than blue-and-red X-morphisms, if all subgraphs of  that can form an blue-and-red *X*-occurrence in any rewriting step can be mapped to distinct subgraphs in  while preserving elements in  .

Termination of Motivating Example



Termination proved by counting morphisms from $\bullet \xrightarrow{s} \bullet \xleftarrow{s} \bullet$.

Imcomparable with Existing Methods



Existing automated methods fail.

Fail in some cases where other methods succeed.

LyonParallel

Automated tool in Ocaml

Iterative elimination of graph rewriting rules

Available : `https://github.com/Qi-tchi/LyonParallel`

Process Flowchart of LyonParallel



Conclusion and Future Work

Contributions

- Extended the Weighted Type Graph Method to improve usability.
- Proposed a termination criterion applicable to new cases.
- Extended Morphism Counting to count morphisms with a forbidden context.
- Implemented an automated tool for termination analysis.

Future work

- Short term:
  - Extend Morphism Counting to count morphisms with multiple forbidden contexts.
  - Parallelizing Type Graph/Subgraph Counting in the tool.
- Mid term: Equip our tool with a certificate-generation mechanism.
- Long term: Extend to other graph rewriting frameworks (e.g., PBPO+)

References I

[1] Franz Baader and Tobias Nipkow. *Term rewriting and all that*. Cambridge University Press, 1998. ISBN: 978-0-521-45520-6.

[2] H. J. Sander Bruggink. "Towards Process Mining with Graph Transformation Systems". In: *Graph Transformation*. Ed. by Holger Giese and Barbara König. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2014, pp. 253–268. ISBN: 978-3-319-09108-2.

[3] H. J. Sander Bruggink et al. "Proving Termination of Graph Transformation Systems using Weighted Type Graphs over Semirings". In: *CoRR* abs/1505.01695 (2015). arXiv: 1505.01695.

References II

[4] Hartmut Ehrig, Michael Pfender, and Hans Jurgen Schneider. "Graph-Grammars: An Algebraic Approach". In: *14th Annual Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory, Iowa City, Iowa, USA, October 15-17, 1973*. IEEE Computer Society, 1973, pp. 167–180. DOI: 10.1109/SWAT.1973.11.

[5] Hartmut Ehrig et al. *Fundamentals of Algebraic Graph Transformation*. Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer, 2006. ISBN: 978-3-540-31187-4. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-31188-2.

[6] J. Endrullis and R. Overbeek. *Generalized Weighted Type Graphs for Termination of Graph Transformation Systems*. 2024. arXiv: 2307.07601v2 [cs.LO].

References III

[7] Jorg Endrullis and Roy Overbeek. "Generalized Weighted Type Graphs for Termination of Graph Transformation Systems". In: *Graph Transformation - 17th International Conference, ICGT 2024, Held as Part of STAF 2024, Enschede, The Netherlands, July 10-11, 2024, Proceedings*. Ed. by Russ Harmer and Jens Kosiol. Vol. 14774. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2024, pp. 39–58. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-64285-2_3.

[8] Detlef Plump. "Modular Termination of Graph Transformation". In: *Graph Transformation, Specifications, and Nets - In Memory of Hartmut Ehrig*. Ed. by Reiko Heckel and Gabriele Taentzer. Vol. 10800. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2018, pp. 231–244. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-75396-6_13.

References IV

[9] Detlef Plump. "On Termination of Graph Rewriting". In: *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, 21st International Workshop, WG '95, Aachen, Germany, June 20-22, 1995, Proceedings*. Ed. by Manfred Nagl. Vol. 1017. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 1995, pp. 88–100. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-60618-1_68.

[10] Qi Qiu. "Termination of Injective DPO Graph Rewriting Systems Using Subgraph Counting". In: *Graph Transformation*. Ed. by Jörg Endrullis and Matthias Tichy. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025, pp. 3–23. ISBN: 978-3-031-94706-3.

References V

[11] Hans Zantema, Barbara König, and H. J. Sander Bruggink. "Termination of Cycle Rewriting". In: *Rewriting and Typed Lambda Calculi - Joint International Conference, RTA-TLCA 2014, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 14-17, 2014. Proceedings*. Ed. by Gilles Dowek. Vol. 8560. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2014, pp. 476–490. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-08918-8_33.

Pre-Graph Operations

Union of two pre-graphs $C \subseteq G$ and $R \subseteq G$, denoted $C \cup R$.



Relative complement of R in H where $R \subseteq H$, denoted $H \setminus R$.