

---

**Brief overview of issue:** During lecture, we showed that

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -bv^2 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\gamma E. \quad (2)$$

However, by setting these two equal to each other and using the substitution  $\gamma = \frac{b}{m}$ , we get  $E = mv^2$  which is clearly a contradiction.

**Resolution:** If we talk about the actual energy, it turns out that equation (2) is incorrect, even after making the approximation that  $\gamma \ll \omega_0$ . To be more precise, substituting  $x(t)$  and  $v(t)$  into  $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$ , we get (with no approximations):

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}mA_0^2 \exp(-\gamma t) \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \sin(2\omega_0 t) + \frac{\gamma\omega}{2} \cos(2\omega_0 t) + \omega_0^2 \right). \quad (3)$$

While  $E(t) = E_0 \exp(-\gamma t)$  is valid for  $\gamma \ll \omega$ , it's not necessarily true that we can determine  $E'(t)$  by taking the derivative of this approximation. If we start with equation (3) and take the derivative, it turns out that

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\gamma E(t) - \gamma E(t) \cos(\omega t) \quad (4)$$

where I have ignored higher order  $\gamma$  terms. The maximum value occurs at  $t = 0$ , and setting this equal to  $-bv^2$  gives

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad (5)$$

as expected. To fix this equation, we can write

$$\boxed{\frac{d\langle E \rangle}{dt} = -\gamma \langle E \rangle} \quad (6)$$

where  $\langle E \rangle$  is the time average energy (across one period). This is true since the time average values of the sinusoidal terms will go to zero.

Reference: [Morin](#)