PHY354: Advanced Classical Mechanics

QiLin Xue

Fall 2021

Contents

1	Motivation	2
2	Lagrangian Mechanics	2
3	Principle of Stationary Action 3.1 Generalizations	5
4	Noether's Theorem 4.1 Systems of Objects 4.2 Mechanical Similarity	6 8
5	Integrals of Motion	9
6	Dynamics of Rigid Body Motion 6.1 Lagrangian	

1 Motivation

In previous courses, we focused on $\vec{F}=m\vec{a}$ and its consequences. In this course, we will do it in a more elegant way, i.e. via Euler, Lagrange, Hamilton, Jacobi, Noether. Some disadvantages of Newton's approach are:

- Can be difficult to apply to complex situations and extended objects
- Difficult to see how chaos theory arises
- Obscures relationship between quantum and classical mechanics

2 Lagrangian Mechanics

We first start with a few examples showing Lagrangian Mechanics in action, and we will later discuss generalizations and intricacies:

Example 1: Let us consider a simple system with a mass m on a spring with spring constant k. Instead of solving this by writing F = -kx, let us write the following strange combination of kinetic and potential energy, known as the **Lagrangian**:

$$L = T - V, (2.1)$$

where T is the kinetic energy and V is the potential energy. Using $T=\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2$ and $V=\frac{1}{2}kx^2$, we get:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - \frac{1}{2}kx^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Consider the following equation, known as the Euler-Lagrange Equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \tag{2.3}$$

which gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m\dot{x}) = -kx \implies m\ddot{x} = -kx. \tag{2.4}$$

Example 2: Suppose we have a general potential V = V(x). Then the E-L equation gives

$$m\ddot{x} = -\frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x}. (2.5)$$

Notice that $-\frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x}$ is just the force!

If we have more than one dimension, i.e. x, y, z, then we'll have 3 E-L equations, and we'll have to solve them separately. The Lagrangian would be

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\sum_{i}\dot{x}_{i}^{2} - V(x_{i})$$
(2.6)

and the 3 different E-L equations would be

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_i}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} \implies m\ddot{x}_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} \tag{2.7}$$

for i=1,2,3. These equations are just the 3 components of $m\vec{r}=-\vec{\nabla}V(\vec{r})$. The important idea here is that we can determine the equations of motion without knowing the forces! We just need to figure out the potential energy. We can try a more complex example:

Example 3: Suppose we have a mass on a spring that acts as a pendulum. The spring has equilibrium length ℓ and at

an angle θ , the spring has length $r = \ell + x$. We will work in polar coordinates x, θ . The kinetic energy is

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}^2 = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{x}^2 + (\ell + x)^2\dot{\theta}^2\right),\tag{2.8}$$

and the potential energy is

$$V = -mg(\ell + x)\cos\theta + \frac{1}{2}kx^2. \tag{2.9}$$

The E-L equation for x gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \tag{2.10}$$

$$m\ddot{x} = m(\ell + x)\dot{\theta}^2 + mg\cos\theta - kx. \tag{2.11}$$

The term $m(\ell+x)\dot{\theta}^2$ is the centripetal force, $mg\cos\theta$ is the radial gravitational force, and -kx is the spring force. The θ component is

$$\frac{d}{dt}m(\ell+x)^2\dot{\theta} = mg(\ell+x)\sin\theta\tag{2.12}$$

which corresponds to

$$\vec{\tau} = \frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\vec{ au}$ is torque and \vec{L} is the angular momentum.

3 Principle of Stationary Action

In principle, there are an infinite number of paths a particle can take from point A to point B. Traditionally, we will solve this by analyzing the forces at every single point to find the path it actually takes. We take an alternative approach. We define the action

$$S \equiv \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t \,, \tag{3.1}$$

where q is a generalized coordinate.

We assign each path a particular action, and we claim that the particle takes the path with the least action. However, this may be tricky since it depends on $q(t_0), q(t_0 + \epsilon), \dots, q(t_1)$, an infinite number of variables. The terminology here is that the action S is a functional of q(t), i.e. we write it as

$$S[q(t)] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} S(q(t_0), q(t_0 + \epsilon), \dots, q(t_1)).$$
(3.2)

We want to find the extremum of this action. For functionals, we can consider a small perturbation $q_a(t) = q_c(t) + a\beta(t)$. Then we claim that an extremum occurs when

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}S[\beta(t)] = 0, (3.3)$$

for any function $\beta(t)$. We will now offer a proof for the E-L equations.

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} S[q_a(t)] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(q_a(t), \dot{q}_a(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial a} (q_a(t), \dot{q}_a(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_a} \frac{\partial q_a}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_a}{\partial a} \right] \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_a} \beta(t) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \dot{\beta}(t) \right] \, \mathrm{d}t \,. \end{split}$$

Note that the second term can be simplified using integration by parts

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \dot{\beta}(t) dt = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \beta(t) \bigg|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \right) \beta(t) dt.$$

The first term goes to zero since the end and start points do not change. Our original derivative is then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}S[q_a(t)] = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_a} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \right) \right] \beta(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Since this integral is zero, and β can be arbitrary, then it must be true that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_a} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_a} \right) = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

which is the E-L equation. Note that this easily generalizes to multiple dimensions, and hold for any set of generalized coordinates. \Box

Remarks: The stationary point always refers to a local minimum or a saddle point, but never a maximum.

Furthermore, note that L is not unique. Here are some acceptable modifications:

- We can multiply L by a constant.
- ullet We can add a total time derivative to the Lagrangian: $L o L + rac{df}{dt}.$

Proof. The action will pick up a $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{df}{dt} dt$ term, which by the FTC, it adds a constant to the action, and thus the extremums will remain the same.

3.1 Generalizations

In general, if we have some integral dependent on a path

$$I \equiv \int_{x_1}^{x_2} F(y(x), y'(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (3.6)$$

then I is an extremum when

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \tag{3.7}$$

Example 4: Let's try a trivial problem: What is the shortest distance between 2 points on a plane? Consider a path ℓ that connects (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . The path length is then

$$\ell = \int_{P} \sqrt{1 + y'^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \tag{3.8}$$

which is an extremum when

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{\partial y} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{y'}{\sqrt{1+y'^2}} = 0, (3.10)$$

which is true when y'(x) = constant, which corresponds to a straight line.

3.2 Brachistochrome Problem

Consider a bead sliding down a frictionless wire, whose endpoints are (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . What shape of wire will minimize the time it takes to slide down?

We can formulate in terms of a variational calculus problem, i.e. by optimizing a "time functional" over all paths y(x). The time it takes is

$$T = \int_{1}^{2} dt$$

$$= \int_{1}^{2} \frac{ds}{v}$$

$$= \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{1 + (y')^{2}}}{v} dx,$$

or alternatively we can write it as

$$T = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{\sqrt{1 + (x')^2}}{v} \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \tag{3.11}$$

which turns out to be easier since $v = \sqrt{2gy}$. Then:

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2g}} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{1 + (x')^2}}{\sqrt{y}}}_{F(y,x')} dy.$$
 (3.12)

Using the E-L equation, we have

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dy}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$$
$$0 = -\frac{d}{dy}\frac{x'}{\sqrt{y}\sqrt{1 + (x')^2}}.$$

Since the derivative is 0, that means $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x'}=a$ must be a constant. Rearranging, we get

$$x' = \sqrt{\frac{y}{1/a^2 - y}} = \sqrt{y/(C - y)} \tag{3.13}$$

where $C \equiv 1/a^2$. Now solving for x(y), and using the substitution $y = c \sin^2 \theta$, we end up as:

$$y = \frac{c}{2}(1 - \cos 2\theta) \tag{3.14}$$

$$x = \frac{c}{2}(2\theta - \sin(2\theta)) + \beta. \tag{3.15}$$

There are two boundary conditions and two unknowns. If we instead chose to do the problem by integrating the functional with $\mathrm{d}x$, then we'll end up with a very messy second order product rule expression in the E-L equations. However, we can simplify things using a simple theorem.

Theorem: For $S[y] = \int \mathcal{L}(x,y,y') \, \mathrm{d}x$, if $\mathcal{L}(x,y,y') = \mathcal{L}(y,y')$, that is \mathcal{L} is independent of x, then

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y'}y' - \mathcal{L} = \text{constant}. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. Differentiate the quantity

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y'}y' - \mathcal{L}\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial L}{\partial y'}y' + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y'}y'' - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y}y' - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y'}y''$$
(3.17)

$$= \left(\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y'} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y}\right)y' = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

Applying this theorem, and using,

$$F(y, y') = \sqrt{\frac{1 + (y')^2}{y}},$$

we get

$$a = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y'} - F$$
$$0 = \frac{y'}{\sqrt{y}\sqrt{1 + (y')^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1 + (y')^2}{y}}.$$

After rearranging, we get a first order differential equation that is equivalent to the

3.3 Constrained Systems

Sometimes, we have a constraint. For example in a pendulum when working with x and y coordinates, we can introduce a holonomic constraint:

$$x^2 + y^2 - l^2 = 0. (3.19)$$

In general, holonomic constraints are in the form of $f(q_1,\ldots,q_n)=0$.

4 Noether's Theorem

Theorem: If a system has a continuous symmetry property, then there are corresponding quantities whose values are conserved in time.

A cyclic coordinate is one that does not explicitly appear in the Lagrangian. If q_i is cyclic, then the generalized/conjugate momentum is conserved, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_i = 0. (4.1)$$

In general, generalized momentum is not linear momentum. These forms of translational symmetry implies some conservation law, which is an example of Noether's Theorem.

Definition: A symmetry is a transformation such that the equations of motion do not change.

More precisely, we can do a transformation $q_i(t) \to Q_i(t, \lambda)$ where $q_i(t) = Q_i(t, 0)$. For example, a translation in the x direction is

$$x(t) \to x(t,\lambda) = x(t) + \lambda$$

$$y(t) \to y(t,\lambda) = y(t)$$

$$z(t) \to z(t,\lambda) = z(t).$$

and a time translation would be $q_i(t) \to Q_i(t,\lambda) = q_i(t+\lambda)$. Then, a transformation is a symmetry if the equations of motion for Q_i are the same as q_i . More precisely, we claim that this is a symmetry if

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}(Q_i, \dot{Q}_i, t) = \frac{df}{dt} \tag{4.2}$$

for some function $f(Q_i,\dot{Q}_i,t)$. We can now prove Noether's Theorem now:

Proof. We evaluate $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}$ at $\lambda = 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}\big|_{\lambda=0} &= \sum_i \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial Q_i} \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{Q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{Q}_i}{\partial \lambda} \right]_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \sum_i \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{Q}_i}{\partial \lambda} \right]_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \lambda} \right]_{\lambda=0} = \frac{df}{dt}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \lambda} - f \right) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

is conserved.

Example 5: Let us look at spatial translations. Consider the Lagrangian of a closed system (no external forces), which is unchanged if all particles are translated by the same amount. For every particle, we apply the transformation

$$\vec{r}_i(t) \rightarrow \vec{r}_i(t) + \lambda \hat{n} = \vec{R}_i(t,\lambda).$$
 (4.4)

The Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\vec{r}}_{i}^{2} - V(\{\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j}\}). \tag{4.5}$$

Note that L is unchanged for this transformation, so we can set f=0. The conserved property is then

$$\sum_{a} p_{a} \frac{\partial Q_{a}}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial Q_{i}}{\partial R_{i}} \hat{n}$$
$$= \sum_{i} \vec{p}_{i}.$$

The total momentum is $\vec{P} = \sum \vec{p_i}$, so Noether's theorem immediately tells us that the total momentum of a closed system is conserved.

Example 6: Let us look at time translations now. This is represented by $q_i(t) \to Q_i(t, \lambda) = q_i(t + \lambda)$. In general, L depends on t in two ways:

- 1. Via the generalized coordinate i and velocities.
- 2. Explicitly (for example, a time dependent potential energy).

However, if L has no explicit t dependence, then time translation does change L, but

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{\partial L(Q_i(\lambda), Q_i(\lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \\ &= \frac{\partial L(q_i(t+\lambda), \dot{q}_i(t+\lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \\ &= \frac{dL(q_i(t+\lambda), \dot{q}_i(t+\lambda))}{dt} \end{split}$$

since L has the same dependence on λ as on t! Therefore $\frac{dL}{d\lambda}=\frac{dL}{dt}$ so f=L, and so time translation is a symmetry. Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial Q_i(t+\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} q_i(t+\lambda) = \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \dot{q}_i \tag{4.6}$$

and so the conserved quantity is

$$\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} - f = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right] - L \equiv H, \tag{4.7}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian.

What exactly is the Hamiltonian? We claim that usually, the Hamiltonian is the total energy. We can check that since L=T-V, then

$$\sum \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \sum_i \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i}.$$
(4.8)

Consider some T that is quadratic in q_i . We use Euler's Theorem of Homogenous Functions, which says that if

$$f(\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n) = \alpha^n f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tag{4.9}$$

for some n, then $\sum_i x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = n f(x)$. Therefore, then T is homogenous with degree 2. Therefore:

$$\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} = \alpha T. \tag{4.10}$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$H = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} - \mathcal{L} = \alpha T - (T - V) = T + V.$$
(4.11)

However, note that the Hamiltonian is not necessarily the total energy. This can occur in an open system where there is some energy transfer, making T not quadratic.

Example 7: Consider a rotationally invariant system. We do so by applying an infinitesimal rotation $\delta\lambda$ about an axis \hat{n} . Note that $\delta\vec{r}\perp\hat{r}$ and $\delta\vec{r}\perp\hat{n}$. We can write:

$$\delta \vec{r} = \hat{n} \times \vec{r} \delta \lambda \implies \frac{d\vec{r}}{d\lambda} = \hat{n} \times \vec{r}.$$
 (4.12)

Applying Noether's Theorem, we get the conserved quantity to be

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i} \vec{p_i} \cdot (\vec{n} \times \vec{r_i}) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

However since $a \cdot (b \times c) = b \cdot (a \times c)$, we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i}\vec{p}_{i}\times\vec{r}_{i}=0. \tag{4.14}$$

This quantity is the angular momentum, which we will denote as \vec{M} .

Every closed system in three dimensions has 7 conserved quantities, known as integrals of motion. These are the energy, 3 components of linear momentum, and 3 components of angular momentum.

4.1 Systems of Objects

Conservation of momentum gives us information about the motion of the center of mass of a system. Consider 2 reference frames moving with relative velocity v. Then the velocity of a particle in K is relative to the velocity of the same particle in K' by

$$v_i = v_i' + v. \tag{4.15}$$

so we have

$$\sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} = \sum_{i} m_{i} (\mathbf{v}'_{i} + \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} + \mathbf{v} \sum_{i} m_{i}$$

$$(4.16)$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{p}' + \boldsymbol{v} \sum_{i} m_{i}. \tag{4.17}$$

We can always choose ${m K}'$ to be a frame where ${m p}'=0$ (for all time), i.e. ${m K}'$ moves with velocity

$$v = \frac{p}{\sum_{i} m_{i}} = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{R}_{com}. \tag{4.18}$$

Note that this is the conserved quantity corresponding to Galilean boosts! So this can also be directly derived via Noether's Theorem.

4.2 Mechanical Similarity

We've talked about symmetry transformations which leave L unchanged or take $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} + \frac{df}{dt}$ which leaves EOM unchanged. But, we also know that transformations which take $\mathcal{L} \to \alpha \mathcal{L}$ also leave EOM unchanged. Does this give us any useful information?

Suppose we have a system where the potential U is homogenous of degree K,

$$U_1(\alpha r_1, \dots, \alpha r_n) = \alpha^k U(r_1, \dots, r_n). \tag{4.19}$$

For example $U \propto \frac{1}{r} \implies k = -1$.

Suppose we have a transformation:

$$r_a \to \alpha r_a$$

 $t \to \beta t$.

This means the velocities get scaled to $v_a \to \frac{\alpha}{\beta} v_a$, so $T \to \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} T$ if T is quadratic in the velocities and $U \to \alpha^k U$. If

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} = \alpha^k \implies L \to \alpha^k L \implies \text{EOM unchanged}.$$

Therefore, if $\beta = \alpha^{1-k/2}$, then the EOM is invariant. If $r_a(t)$ is a solution to EOM, then there is a family of solutions:

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \alpha \mathbf{r}_a(\beta t).$$

This means that

$$\frac{t'}{t} = \left(\frac{\ell'}{\ell}\right)^{1-k/2}$$

for the same set of solutions.

5 Integrals of Motion

A free particle can have a maximum of 5 independent conserved quantities. While there are more conserved quantities, not all are independent of each other. Namely:

$$E = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}, \quad \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{M} = 0.$$

More generally, a system with d degrees of freedom can have a maximum of 2d-1 independent conserved quantities. We will use two arguments to prove this.

Proof. IF we have a system with d degrees of freedom. Then we need 2d initial conditions. But changing the initial time $t_0 \to t'_0$ is equivalent to changing one of the initial conditions. For a free particle,

$$egin{aligned} m{r}(t) &= m{r}_0 + m{v}_0(t-t_0) \ &= m{r}_0 + m{v}_0(t-t_0' + (t_0' - t_0)) \ &= m{r}_0 + m{v}_0(t' - t_0) + m{v}_0(t - t_0') \ &= m{r}_0' + m{v}'(t_0' - t_0)., \end{aligned}$$

so this transformation changes the initial position in the same direction as the velocity.

The catch is that conserved quantities are independent of t_0 ! Therefore, conserved quantities are independent of one of the initial conditions. Therefore, there are a maximum of 2d-1 independent conserved quantities.

Another way is to start with 1 degree of freedom. Then the phase space is a curve in a graph with axes q and \dot{q} . The conservation law then gives us the trajectory. However, if there was a second independent conservation law, then it would give another trajectory, which can't happen. This concept is easy to extend for d degrees of freedom, i.e. there is a bijection between the conservation laws and a trjaectory and a curve in a 2d dimensional space.

But why do we care? Because it is easier to solve with integrals of motion. For example, let's look at one dimensional motion.

Example 8: Let $\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2-U(x)$. Then the Euler-Lagrange equation immediately gives

$$m\ddot{x} = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx},$$

which is a second order differential equation. However, this is time invariant so the Hamiltonian is invariant. There are 2(1) - 1 = 1 conservation laws, so this is the only one. Therefore:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{X}^2 + U(x),$$

which gives a curve in phase space. For example, if we take SHO, we get:

$$\dot{x}^2 + \frac{k}{m}x^2 = \frac{2E}{m},$$

which gives an ellipse. Therefore, we get:

$$\dot{x} = \sqrt{\frac{2(E - U(x))}{m}} = \frac{dx}{dt},$$

which is a first order differential equation. Solving for t gives

$$t = \sqrt{m/2} \int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{E - U}} \, \mathrm{d}x + C.$$

This is known as reducing to quadratures.

If we have d degrees of freedoms and d independent integrals of motion, then we call the system **integrable**. If there are more than d independent integrals of motion, then we call the system **super-integrable**. If there are 2d-1 independent integrals of motion, then it is **maximally super-integrable**.

The above discussion gives a quick way of determining the period of oscillations for 1-dimensional motion:

$$T = 2\sqrt{m/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{E - U(x)}}$$

Example 9: We can now direct our attention to two particles in a central potential

$$U(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = U(|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}m_1\dot{r}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2\dot{r}_2^2 - U(|r_1 - r_2|).$$

Since this is a closed system, the center of mass defines an inertial frame, so we can take r_{com} as the origin. We can define $r=r_1-r_2$. Then:

$${m r}_2 = -rac{m_1}{m_1+m_2}{m r}, \quad {m r}_1 = rac{m_2}{m_1+m_2}{m r}.$$

In this reference frame, we got rid of three degrees of freedom. Therefore, we can rewrite the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 m_r - U(r).$$

Note that this system is not closed since the potential depends on the distance from origin, but we've reduced it to a 1-dimensional problem with 3 degrees of freedom. We want to apply integrals of motion, so there are a maximum of 5 integrals of motion.

6 Dynamics of Rigid Body Motion

An important kinematic idea is that the velocity of any point can be broken up into a translational component and a rotational component, i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{v}_a = \boldsymbol{v}_O + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{a},\tag{6.1}$$

which is true for any choice of O. Note that the angular velocity Ω is constant for any point on the rigid object.

6.1 Lagrangian

Consider the Lagrangian of a rigid body without the presence of a potential. To derive the kinetic energy, we begin with a thought experiment about the kinetic energy of a system of point masses,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} m_a v_a^2. {(6.2)}$$

However, we can write $oldsymbol{v}_a = oldsymbol{v} + oldsymbol{\omega} imes oldsymbol{r}_a$, so the kinetic energy becomes

$$T = \sum_{a} \frac{1}{2} m_a \left(\mathbf{v}^2 + 2\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_a) + (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_a)^2 \right). \tag{6.3}$$

The middle term is annoying, so we want to pick a point O such that it vanishes. There are two ways to do so:

- 1. Choose O such that v=0. This is the instantaneous axis of rotation.
- 2. Choose O to be the center of mass. To prove this, we can apply the triple product identity,

$$\boldsymbol{v} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{r}_a) = \boldsymbol{r}_a \cdot (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}). \tag{6.4}$$

Then the term becomes

$$\left[\sum_{a} m_a \boldsymbol{r}_a\right] \cdot (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}). \tag{6.5}$$

Note that $v \times \Omega$ don't depend on a, so we can factor it out. The first term in the product is then zero for the center of mass.

The last term is also annoying, but we can apply the identity:

$$(A \times B) \cdot (C \times D) = (A \cdot C)(B \cdot D) - (A \cdot D)(B \cdot C). \tag{6.6}$$

Then:

$$(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_a)^2 = \Omega^2 r_a^2 - (\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_a)^2. \tag{6.7}$$

Therefore, the kinetic energy is:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a}m_a\left(\Omega^2r_a^2 - (\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot\mathbf{r}_a)^2\right).$$
(6.8)

We can simplify this last term even more by breaking Ω, r_a into components and writing it as a double sum, i.e.

$$(\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_a)^2 = \left[\sum_i (\Omega_i r_{a,i}) \right]^2 \tag{6.9}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i} \Omega_{i} r_{a,i}\right] \left[\sum_{j} \Omega_{j} r_{a,j}\right] \tag{6.10}$$

$$=\sum_{i,j}\Omega_i\Omega_j r_{a,i}r_{a,j}.$$
(6.11)

Note that the Ω are independent of a, so we can factor them out and write them as $\sum_i \Omega_i^2 = \sum_{i,j} \Omega_i \Omega_j \delta_{ij}$. The rotational kinetic energy is then:

$$T_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \Omega_i \Omega_j \left[\sum_a m_a \left(\delta_{ij} r_a^2 - r_{a_i} r_{a_j} \right) \right]. \tag{6.12}$$

6.2 Moment of Inertia Tensor

The term in the square brackets depends on only the geometry of the object, and is known as the moment of inertia I_{ij} , which is a second-rank tensor. Therefore, the kinetic energy is:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}I_{ij}\Omega_i\Omega_j. \tag{6.13}$$

Note that second-rank tensors can be conveniently written as matrices, and:

$$\sum_{i,j} I_{ij} = \sum_{ij} \Omega_i I_{ij} \Omega_j = \mathbf{\Omega}^T \mathbf{I} \mathbf{\Omega}.$$
 (6.14)

One last thing to note is that $I_{ij}=I_{ji}$, so ${\it I}$ is symmetric. We can write it out explicitly:

$$I = \sum_{a} m_a \begin{pmatrix} y_a^2 + z_a^2 & -x_a y_a & -x_a z_a \\ -x_a y_a & x_a^2 + z_a^2 & -y_a z_a \\ -x_a z_a & -y_a z_a & x_a^2 + y_a^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.15)$$

If we have a continuous distribution, instead of writing $\sum_a m_a (y_a^2 + z_a^2)$, we would write $\int \rho(\boldsymbol{r})(y^2 + z^2) \mathrm{d}^3 r$ instead. Note that the moment of inertia tensor depends on the choice of axes, so is there a particular choice of axes that are the best? Well it turns out that for a rigid body, no matter how complicated, has a set of principal axes such that the moments of inertia tensor is

$$I = diag(I_1, I_2, I_3). (6.16)$$

Proof. A symmetric matrix can always be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix:

$$I^{diag} = OIO^T (6.17)$$

such that $O^TO = I$, where the diagonal elements of I^{diag} contains the eigenvalues of I.

Recall that the angular momentum of an object is:

$$egin{aligned} m{M} &= \sum_a m_a (m{r}_a imes m{v}_a) \ &= \sum_a m_a (m{r}_a imes (m{\Omega} imes m{r}_a)) \end{aligned}$$

Using the triple product identity $a \times (b \times c) = b(a \cdot c) - c(a \cdot b)$, we can rewrite:

$$r_a \times (\Omega \times r_a) = \Omega r_a^2 - r_a(\Omega \cdot r_a), \tag{6.18}$$

which looks a lot like the form the moment of inertia tensor is in!. We can write the ith component as

$$M_i = \sum_j \Omega_j \left[\sum_a m_a (r_a^2 \delta_{ij} - r_{a_i} r_{a_j}) \right] = \sum_i I_{ij} \Omega_j.$$

$$(6.19)$$

This is just a matrix applied to a vector, i.e.

$$M = I\Omega \tag{6.20}$$