

Topics in Wireless Communication

FACULTY ADVISOR: DR. P. UBAIDULLA

BY AYUSH KUMAR DWIVEDI

SPCRC, IIIT HYDERABAD

Base Paper

- Hien Quoc Ngo, Erik G. Larsson and Thomas L. Marzetta, '**Energy and Spectral Efficiency of Very Large Multiuser MIMO Systems**', in proc. at IEEE Transactions on Communication, April 2013

System Model

$$\mathbf{y} = \sqrt{p_u} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$$

$$g_{mk} = h_{mk} \sqrt{\beta_k}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D}^{1/2}$$

The received vector after using the linear detector is given by: $\mathbf{r} = \sqrt{p_u} \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{n}$.

The received signal at the k^{th} user is given by:
$$r_k = \sqrt{p_u} \mathbf{a}_k^H \mathbf{g}_k x_k + \sqrt{p_u} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^K \mathbf{a}_k^H \mathbf{g}_i x_i + \mathbf{a}_k^H \mathbf{n}$$

For separation into streams:


$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{y}.$$

We consider three conventional linear detectors MRC, ZF, and MMSE, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{G} & \text{for MRC} \\ \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{G}^H \mathbf{G})^{-1} & \text{for ZF} \\ \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{G}^H \mathbf{G} + \frac{1}{p_u} \mathbf{I}_K)^{-1} & \text{for MMSE} \end{cases}$$


Spectral Efficiency vs No. of BS Antennas - PCSI

- Lower bounds and numerically evaluated values of the spectral efficiency for different numbers of BS antennas for MRC, ZF, and MMSE with **perfect CSI**.
- In this example there are $K = 10$ users, the coherence interval $T = 196$, the transmit power per terminal is $p_u = 10$ dB, and the propagation channel parameters were $\sigma_{shadow} = 8$ dB and $\nu = 3.8$



Spectral Efficiency vs No. of BS Antennas - IPCSI

- Lower bounds and numerically evaluated values of the spectral efficiency for different numbers of BS antennas for MRC, ZF, and MMSE with **imperfect CSI**.
- In this example there are $K = 10$ users, the coherence interval $T = 196$, the transmit power per terminal is $P_u = 10$ dB, and the propagation channel parameters were $\sigma_{shadow} = 8$ dB and $\nu = 3.8$



Inference


- As M increases : spectral efficiency takes following trend for the two cases of power scaling

	$P_u = E_u/M$	$P_u = E_u/\sqrt{M}$
Perfect CSI	Constant value	Grows without bound (logarithmically fast with M)
Imperfect CSI	Decrease to zero	Converges to a nonzero limit

- These results confirm that we can scale down the transmitted power of each user as E_u/M for the perfect CSI case, and as E_u/\sqrt{M} for the imperfect CSI case when M is large.
- Performance of :
 - ZF is better at high SNR
 - MRC is better at low SNR


Spectral Efficiency vs No. of BS Antennas - PCSI

- Spectral efficiency versus the number of BS antennas M for MRC, ZF, and MMSE processing at the receiver, with perfect CSI and with imperfect CSI (obtained from uplink pilots).
- In this example there are $K = 10$ users, users are served simultaneously, the reference transmit power is $E_u = 20 \text{ dB}$, and the propagation channel parameters were $\sigma_{shadow} = 8 \text{ dB}$ and $\nu = 3.8$
- When comparing MRC and ZF:
 - When the transmitted power is proportional to $1/\sqrt{M}$, the power is low enough to make MRC perform as well as ZF.
 - When the transmitted power is proportional to $1/M$, MRC performs almost as well as ZF for large M .



Spectral Efficiency vs No. of BS Antennas - IPCSI

- We consider the same setting as previous figure, but we choose $E_u = 5 \text{ dB}$.
- This figure provides the same insights as previous figure. The gap between the performance of MRC and that of ZF (or MMSE) is reduced.
- This is so because of the relative effect of crosstalk interference (the interference from other users) as compared to the thermal noise is smaller here than in previous figure.



Thank you.
