
BENCHTEMP: A General Benchmark for Evaluating Temporal Graph Neural Networks

Authors' Response to All Reviewers

- 1 **Main paper (MP):** <https://openreview.net/pdf?id=rnZm2vQq31>
- 2 **Appendix (APP):** [https://openreview.net/attachment?id=rnZm2vQq31&name=](https://openreview.net/attachment?id=rnZm2vQq31&name=supplementary_material)
- 3 [supplementary_material](https://openreview.net/attachment?id=rnZm2vQq31&name=supplementary_material)
- 4 Dear reviewers:
- 5 We sincerely appreciate all your feedback and valuable comments! We have made dedicated efforts
- 6 to improve our paper quality according to your valuable comments and suggestion, respectively.
- 7 In this work, we conduct a comprehensive benchmark termed BENCHTEMP on the state-of-the-art
- 8 TGNN models.
- 9 The major contributions of this work are summarized below.
- 10 1. **(Sec. 1 in MP)** We present BENCHTEMP, a general benchmark for evaluating temporal graph
- 11 neural network (TGNN) models over a wide range of tasks and settings. We release the datasets,
- 12 code, and leaderboard.
- 13 • Datasets - <https://zenodo.org/record/8267846>.
- 14 • Code - <https://github.com/qianghuangwhu/benchtemp>.
- 15 • BENCHTEMP Leaderboards - [https://my-website-6gnpiaym0891702b-1257259254.](https://my-website-6gnpiaym0891702b-1257259254.tcloudbaseapp.com/)
- 16 [tcloudbaseapp.com/](https://my-website-6gnpiaym0891702b-1257259254.tcloudbaseapp.com/).
- 17 2. • **(Sec. 3.1 in MP, Sec. F in APP)** We collect/construct **21** benchmark temporal graph datasets
- 18 with a unified preprocess to ensure dataset consistency. We have made engineering efforts to
- 19 unify "Node Feature Initialization" and "Node Reindexing".
- 20 • **(Sec. F in APP)** In particular, we have included four datasets (**eBay-Large, DGraphFin,**
- 21 **YouTubeReddit-Large, Taobao-Large**), with up to several million edges and nodes.
- 22 • **(Sec. F in APP)** Besides, we are working on sharing the *eBay-Small* and *eBay-Large* datasets
- 23 in a way that ensures availability and justifies the research purpose. eBay provide a Google form
- 24 for the applicants: <https://forms.gle/bP1RmyVJ1C6pgyS66> (the applicants can remain
- 25 anonymous).
- 26 3. **(Sec. 3.2 in MP)** We proposed a unified benchmark pipeline. In this way, we standardize the entire
- 27 lifecycle of benchmarking TGNNs.
- 28 • Pipeline
- 29 – Dynamic *link prediction* task pipeline:
- 30 *Dataset -> DataLoader -> EdgeSampler -> Model -> EarlyStopMonitor -> Evaluator ->*
- 31 *Leaderboard.*
- 32 – Dynamic *node classification* task pipeline:
- 33 *Dataset -> DataLoader -> Model -> EarlyStopMonitor -> Evaluator -> Leaderboard.*

Submitted to the 37th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2023) Track on Datasets and Benchmarks. Do not distribute.

- 34 4. **(Sec. 4 in MP, Sec. D and Sec. F in APP)** We extensively compare seven representative TGNN
35 models on the benchmark datasets, regarding different tasks, settings, metrics (*AUC*, *AP*, *Average*
36 *Rank*) , and efficiency (*Runtime*, *RAM*, *GPU*, *Inference time*)(New)). Note that *Average Rank* and
37 *Inference Time* are two **new** metrics.
- 38 • **(Sec. 4.2 in MP, Sec. F.1.1 in APP)** Dynamic *link prediction* task on **21** temporal graph datasets
 - 39 – Diverse settings: Transductive, Inductive, Inductive New-Old, Inductive New-New.
 - 40 – Prediction performance and mode efficiency.
 - 41 • **(Sec. 4.3 in MP, Sec. F.1.2 in APP)** Dynamic *node classification* task on **6** temporal graph
42 datasets
 - 43 – **(Sec. 4.3 in MP)** Implementation of the dynamic node classification task on five datasets
44 (Reddit, Wikipedia, MOOC, eBay-Small, and eBay-Large) with binary node labels (label: 0
45 and 1).
 - 46 – **(Sec. G in APP)** For the **first** time in TGNNs, we evaluate the dynamic **multi-class** node
47 classification task on the **large-scale** DGraph dataset with multiple node labels (label: 0, 1,
48 2, and 3)
 - 49 – Comparison of the model efficiency on dynamic node classification task.
 - 50 • **(Sec. 4 in MP, Sec. F in APP)** Evaluation of both model performances and efficiency on the
51 newly added **large-scale** datasets.
- 52 5. **(Sec. 4 in MP, Sec. F in APP)** We thoroughly discuss the empirical results and draw insights for
53 future studies on TGNNs..
- 54 • **(Sec. 4 in MP, Sec. F in APP)** Experimental results reveal that *NeurTW performs poorly on*
55 *efficiency and the joint-neighborhood operation of NAT does not perform well on the node*
56 *classification task compared to its superior performance on the link prediction task.*
 - 57 • **(Sec. 4 in MP, Sec. F in APP)** Memory-based TGNNs (JODIE, DyRep, and TGN) are unfit for
58 temporal graphs *with a large amount of nodes.*
 - 59 • **(Sec. H in APP)** We further conduct **ablation studies** to verify the effectiveness of neural
60 ordinary differential equations (*NODEs*) of NeurTW on datasets with a large time granularity
61 and time intervals.
 - 62 • **(Sec. I in APP)** The strategy of **random subgraph sampling** with a constant number of edges
63 demonstrates demonstrate that the effectiveness of the CAWN based on temporal walk changes
64 in response to changes of graph density.
 - 65 • **(Sec. J in APP)** Furthermore, we have discussed BENCHTEMP with **Historical Negative**
66 **Sampling** and **Inductive Negative Sampling** and leave it for future work.

68 Thank you and best regards!

70 Yours sincerely,

71 Authors

72
73
74