BENCHTEMP: A General Benchmark for Evaluating Temporal Graph Neural Networks

Authors' Response to Reviewer Uv1K

Opportunities For Improvement: This work has a limitation in that it focuses solely on datasets with a small number of nodes. It has been acknowledged that certain Dynamic Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) struggle to handle large-scale graphs efficiently in terms of both runtime and numerical performance. Notably, the largest dataset considered in this study is Taobao, which comprises 82,566 nodes. However, real-world temporal graphs typically consist of significantly larger node counts, presenting a potential challenge for the applicability of these benchmarks w.r.t. real-world scenarios. To make the setting more realistic, you can add large-scale datasets, such as the DGraph dataset from [1] and YouTube-Reddit dataset from [2].

[1] DGraph: A Large-Scale Financial Dataset for Graph Anomaly Detection.

[2] Predicting Information Pathways Across Online Communities.

2 General Response:

- 3 We appreciate your great feedback! we have included new datasets with up to several million edges
- and nodes. We have carefully thought through your comments and added six datasets (eBay-Small,
- ⁵ eBay-Large, Taobal-Large, DGraphFin, YouTubeReddit-Small, YouTubeReddit-Large), including
- 6 four large-scale datasets (eBay-Large, Taobao-Large, DGraphFin, YouTubeReddit-Large) and cor-
- 7 responding experiments and detailed discussions in the updated paper. The eBay datasets are a
- 8 collection of the user transactions on **eBay e-commerce platform**. We thank eBay company for
- 9 sharing their datasets in our research. Considering user privacy and security, eBay datasets could
- only be shared among collaborators. Any researchers who are interested in the eBay datasets,
- please email our team. For easy access, all datasets have been hosted on the open-source platform
- zenodo (https://zenodo.org/) with a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.5281/zenodo.8267846
- 13 (https://zenodo.org/record/8267846). We provide details below.

Comment 1

This work has a limitation in that it focuses solely on datasets with a small number of nodes.

Response:

- We thank the reviewer for the strong support! We have added six datasets (eBay-Small, eBay-
- Large, Taobal-Large, DGraphFin, YouTubeReddit-Small, YouTubeReddit-Large), including four
- large-scale datasets (eBay-Large, Taobao-Large, DGraphFin, YouTubeReddit-Large). The statis-
- tics of the new datasets are shown in Table 1. For easy access, all datasets have been hosted on
- 20 the open-source platform zenodo (https://zenodo.org/) with a Digital Object Identifier (DOI)
- 21 10.5281/zenodo.8267846 (https://zenodo.org/record/8267846).
 - Submitted to the 37th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2023) Track on Datasets and Benchmarks. Do not distribute.

Table 1: Dataset statistics of the new datasets.

	Domain	# Nodes	# Edges
eBay-Small	E-commerce	38,427	384,677
YouTubeReddit-Small [1]	Social	264,443	297,732
eBay-Large	E-commerce	1,333,594	1,119,454
DGraphFin [2]	E-commerce	3,700,550	4,300,999
Youtube-Reddit-Large [1]	Social	5,724,111	4,228,523
Taobao-Large [3, 4]	E-commerce	1,630,453	5,008,745

- **eBay-Small** is a subset of the eBay-Large dataset. We sample 38,427 nodes and 384,677 edges from eBay-Large graph according to edge timestamps.
 - YouTubeReddit-Small is a collection of massive visual contents on YouTube and long-term community activity on Reddit. This dataset covers a 3-month period from January to March 2020. Each row in the dataset represents a YouTube video v_i being shared in a subreddit s_j by some user u_k at time t [1]. Nodes are YouTube videos and subreddits, edges are the users' interactions between videos and subreddits. This dynamic graph has 264,443 nodes and 297,732 edges.
 - eBay-Large is a million-scale dataset consisting of 1.3 million nodes and 1.1 million edges, which
 comprises the selected transaction records from the eBay e-commerce platform over a two-month
 period. eBay-Large is modeled as a user-item graph, where items are heterogeneous entities which
 include information such as phone numbers, addresses, and email addresses associated with a
 transaction. We selecte one month of transactions as seed nodes and then expand each seed node
 two hops back in time to enrich the topology while maintaining consistency in the distribution of
 seed nodes.
- **DGraphFin** is a collection of large-scale dynamic graph datasets, consisting of interactive objects, events and labels that evolve with time.It is a directed, unweighted dynamic graph consisting of millions of nodes and edges, representing a realistic user-to-user social network in financial industry. Nodes are users, and an edge from one user to another means that the user regards the other user as the emergency contact person [2].
- Youtube-Reddit-Large dataset covers 54 months of YouTube video propagation history from January 2018 to June 2022 [1]. This dataset has 5,724,111 nodes and 4,228,523 edges.
- **Taobao-Large** is a collection of the Taobao user behavior dataset intercepted based on the period 8:00 to 18:00 on 26 November 2017 [4]. Nodes are users and items, and edges are behaviors between users and items, such as favor, click, purchase, and add an item to shopping cart. This public dataset has 1,630,453 nodes and 5,008,74 user-item interaction edges.

47 A Experiments

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

34

35

We conduct extensive experiments on the tasks of *dynamic link prediction* and *dynamic node classifi-*49 *cation*. The experimental setup is the same as in the paper.

A.1 Link Prediction Task

We run the link prediction task on 7 TGNN models and the new datasets under different settings (Transductive, Inductive New-Old, and Inductive New-New). The AUC and AP results for each new datasets are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. For the four large-scale datasets (eBay-Large, Taobao-Large, DGraphFin, YouTubeReddit-Large), we observe the similar results as in the paper. Specifically, NAT and NeurTW achieve the top-2 performance on almost all datasets under transductive and inductive settings.

57 A.2 Node Classification Task

The eBay-Small and eBay-Large datasets have node labels, so we conduct dynamic node classification experiments on both the eBay-Small and eBay-Large datasets. The AUC results are shown in Table 4.

Table 2: ROC AUC results of new datasets on the *dynamic link prediction task*. The best and second-best results are highlighted as **bold red** and <u>underlined blue</u>. We do not highlight the second-best if the gap is > 0.05 compared with the best result.

	Transductive							
Model Dataset	JODIE	DyRep	TGN	TGAT	CAWN	NeurTW	NAT	
eBay-Small YouTubeReddit-Small eBay-Large DGraphFin Youtube-Reddit-Large Taobao-Large	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9946 \pm 0.0002 \\ \underline{0.8519 \pm 0.0007} \\ 0.9614 \pm 0.0 \\ 0.8165 \pm 0.0024 \\ 0.8532 \pm 0.0003 \\ 0.7726 \pm 0.0005 \end{array}$	0.9941 ± 0.0006 0.8499 ± 0.0012 0.9619 ± 0.0001 0.8171 ± 0.0016 0.8529 ± 0.0006 0.7724 ± 0.001	0.9984 ± 0.0003 0.8432 ± 0.0032 0.9642 ± 0.0003 0.8683 ± 0.0023 0.8458 ± 0.0025 0.8464 ± 0.0008	0.9838 ± 0.0006 0.8441 ± 0.0014 0.5311 ± 0.0003 0.6112 ± 0.0165 0.8536 ± 0.0026 0.5567 ± 0.0047	0.9985 ± 0.0 0.7586 ± 0.0031 0.9442 ± 0.0003 0.5466 ± 0.0103 0.7466 ± 0.0012 0.7771 ± 0.0068	0.9991 ± 0.0 0.9003 ± 0.0031 0.9608 ± 0.0 0.8611 ± 0.0035 0.916 ± 0.0025 0.859 ± 0.0091	0.9978 ± 0.0003 0.8259 ± 0.005 0.9658 ± 0.0002 0.8258 ± 0.0001 0.8605 ± 0.0009 0.8188 ± 0.001	
eBay-Small YouTubeReddit-Small eBay-Large DGraphFin Youtube-Reddit-Large Taobao-Large	$ \begin{bmatrix} 0.9696 \pm 0.0007 \\ 0.7582 \pm 0.0003 \\ 0.7536 \pm 0.0014 \\ 0.6884 \pm 0.0051 \\ 0.7539 \pm 0.0005 \\ 0.7075 \pm 0.0009 \end{bmatrix} $	0.9674 ± 0.0018 0.7545 ± 0.0009 0.7515 ± 0.0006 0.6876 ± 0.001 0.7554 ± 0.0003 0.7042 ± 0.0006	0.9913 ± 0.0004 0.7276 ± 0.0033 0.7657 ± 0.0026 0.6439 ± 0.0089 0.7243 ± 0.0016 0.6812 ± 0.0032	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.9964 ± 0.0001 0.7533 ± 0.0016 0.9459 ± 0.0001 0.5479 ± 0.009 0.7327 ± 0.0016 0.7787 ± 0.0103	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9982 \pm 0.0 \\ \hline 0.8978 \pm 0.0032 \\ 0.9608 \pm 0.0 \\ \hline 0.8635 \pm 0.0021 \\ 0.9128 \pm 0.0031 \\ \hline 0.869 \pm 0.010 \\ \end{array}$	0.9998 ± 0.0001 0.9876 ± 0.0049 0.9999 ± 0.0001 0.7955 ± 0.0201 0.9863 ± 0.006 0.9933 ± 0.0008	
	Inductive New-Old							
eBay-Small YouTubeReddit-Small eBay-Large DGraphFin Youtube-Reddit-Large Taobao-Large	0.9862 ± 0.0003 0.7695 ± 0.001 0.6109 ± 0.0244 0.5768 ± 0.0071 0.7844 ± 0.0015 0.7023 ± 0.0015	0.9836 ± 0.0016 0.7655 ± 0.0018 0.5906 ± 0.0087 0.5735 ± 0.0007 0.7894 ± 0.0017 0.6953 ± 0.0022	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9947 \pm 0.0009 \\ 0.7396 \pm 0.0034 \\ 0.8134 \pm 0.0105 \\ 0.5564 \pm 0.0021 \\ 0.7623 \pm 0.0031 \\ 0.6771 \pm 0.0055 \end{array}$	0.9712 ± 0.002 0.7242 ± 0.0004 0.6363 ± 0.0605 0.5742 ± 0.013 0.7457 ± 0.0062 0.5104 ± 0.0106	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9985 \pm 0.0 \\ 0.7573 \pm 0.0022 \\ \underline{0.9569 \pm 0.0007} \\ 0.5646 \pm 0.0224 \\ 0.7511 \pm 0.0022 \\ 0.7674 \pm 0.005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9988 \pm 0.0 \\ 0.922 \pm 0.0002 \\ \textbf{0.8973} \pm \textbf{0.0} \\ 0.7702 \pm 0.0043 \\ 0.9356 \pm 0.0004 \\ 0.8458 \pm 0.0043 \end{array}$	0.9999 ± 0.0 0.9967 ± 0.0014 1.0 ± 0.0 0.8693 ± 0.0066 0.9958 ± 0.0025 0.9965 ± 0.0005	
	Inductive New-New							
eBay-Small YouTubeReddit-Small eBay-Large DGraphFin Youtube-Reddit-Large Taobao-Large	0.9388 ± 0.0009 0.7436 ± 0.0015 0.7526 ± 0.0013 0.7307 ± 0.0007 0.6932 ± 0.0026 0.7243 ± 0.0001	0.9366 ± 0.0037 0.7436 ± 0.0018 0.7500 ± 0.0005 0.7323 ± 0.0002 0.7022 ± 0.0007 0.7247 ± 0.0001	0.9838 ± 0.0007 0.7265 ± 0.0055 0.7639 ± 0.0027 0.6843 ± 0.0131 0.6703 ± 0.0024 0.6885 ± 0.0024	0.9556 ± 0.0007 0.749 ± 0.0011 0.5196 ± 0.0002 0.5649 ± 0.0248 0.7269 ± 0.0 0.5256 ± 0.0054	0.9937 ± 0.0 0.7479 ± 0.004 0.9542 ± 0.0003 0.5417 ± 0.0099 0.6942 ± 0.0028 0.7922 ± 0.0118	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{0.9975 \pm 0.0} \\ \underline{0.864 \pm 0.0071} \\ \underline{0.9615 \pm 0.0} \\ \textbf{0.9051 \pm 0.0028} \\ \underline{0.8716 \pm 0.0077} \\ \underline{0.8906 \pm 0.0088} \end{array}$	0.9997 ± 0.0004 0.9868 ± 0.0049 0.9999 ± 0.0001 0.7584 ± 0.0323 0.9796 ± 0.0103 0.9969 ± 0.0002	

Table 3: AP results of new datasets on the *dynamic link prediction task*. The best and second-best results are highlighted as **bold red** and <u>underlined blue</u>. We do not highlight the second-best if the gap is > 0.05 compared with the best result.

				Transductive				
Model	JODIE	DyRep	TGN	TGAT	CAWN	NeurTW	NAT	
eBay-Small	0.9938 ± 0.0004	0.9936 ± 0.0006	0.9983 ± 0.0003	0.9819 ± 0.0009	0.9981 ± 0.0	0.9991 ± 0.0	0.9975 ± 0.0002	
YouTubeReddit-Small	0.8612 ± 0.0009	0.8594 ± 0.0012	0.8421 ± 0.0041	0.8515 ± 0.0012	0.7625 ± 0.0042	0.9112 ± 0.0021	0.8325 ± 0.0068	
eBay-Large	0.9318 ± 0.0002	0.9322 ± 0.0002	0.9357 ± 0.0006	0.5239 ± 0.0002	0.9144 ± 0.0004	0.9307 ± 0.0	0.9398 ± 0.0004	
DGraphFin	0.7705 ± 0.0009	0.7705 ± 0.0024	0.8571 ± 0.0009	0.6441 ± 0.0123	0.5431 ± 0.0095	0.8637 ± 0.0014	0.7956 ± 0.0012	
Youtube-Reddit-Large	0.8622 ± 0.0007	0.8632 ± 0.0004	0.8476 ± 0.0022	0.8591 ± 0.0026	0.7475 ± 0.0017	0.9222 ± 0.0013	0.8628 ± 0.0015	
Taobao-Large	0.7164 ± 0.0003	0.7142 ± 0.0008	0.844 ± 0.0011	0.5761 ± 0.0023	0.7616 ± 0.0069	0.8568 ± 0.016	0.7904 ± 0.0008	
	Inductive							
eBay-Small	0.9638 ± 0.0007	0.9619 ± 0.0017	0.9898 ± 0.0005	0.9675 ± 0.0007	0.9953 ± 0.0002	0.9982 ± 0.0	0.9998 ± 0.0001	
YouTubeReddit-Small	0.7866 ± 0.0007	0.7833 ± 0.0009	0.7387 ± 0.0069	0.7551 ± 0.0002	0.7568 ± 0.0031	0.9086 ± 0.0022	0.9872 ± 0.0056	
eBay-Large	0.6989 ± 0.0018	0.6973 ± 0.0007	0.7096 ± 0.0030	0.518 ± 0.0002	0.9174 ± 0.0001	0.9308 ± 0.0	0.9999 ± 0.0001	
DGraphFin	0.6563 ± 0.002	0.6567 ± 0.0009	0.624 ± 0.006	0.5866 ± 0.0123	0.5428 ± 0.0082	0.8626 ± 0.0012	0.7053 ± 0.0185	
Youtube-Reddit-Large	0.7796 ± 0.0009	0.7818 ± 0.0009	0.73 ± 0.0029	0.7587 ± 0.0025	0.7353 ± 0.0022	0.9192 ± 0.0022	0.9849 ± 0.0071	
Taobao-Large	0.6763 ± 0.0011	0.6746 ± 0.0011	0.6664 ± 0.0012	0.5315 ± 0.0027	0.7533 ± 0.011	0.8596 ± 0.0205	0.9941 ± 0.0007	
	Inductive New-Old							
eBay-Small	0.9849 ± 0.0007	0.9836 ± 0.0013	0.9931 ± 0.0008	0.9682 ± 0.0028	0.9985 ± 0.0001	0.999 ± 0.0	0.9999 ± 0.0	
YouTubeReddit-Small	0.7963 ± 0.0013	0.7937 ± 0.0014	0.729 ± 0.0086	0.7296 ± 0.0013	0.762 ± 0.0041	0.9244 ± 0.0015	0.9966 ± 0.0016	
eBay-Large	0.5670 ± 0.0186	0.5870 ± 0.0074	0.8024 ± 0.0060	0.6504 ± 0.0385	0.9592 ± 0.0008	0.8458 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 0.0	
DGraphFin	0.6005 ± 0.0048	0.5872 ± 0.0059	0.5753 ± 0.0062	0.5927 ± 0.0058	0.5669 ± 0.0269	0.7572 ± 0.0025	0.8184 ± 0.0088	
Youtube-Reddit-Large	0.808 ± 0.0014	0.8142 ± 0.0019	0.7472 ± 0.0043	0.7526 ± 0.0097	0.7553 ± 0.0025	0.9368 ± 0.0009	0.9953 ± 0.0028	
Taobao-Large	0.7009 ± 0.0013	0.698 ± 0.0014	0.6879 ± 0.0008	0.5254 ± 0.0074	0.7597 ± 0.0053	0.8459 ± 0.0103	0.9969 ± 0.0004	
	Inductive New-New							
eBay-Small	0.923 ± 0.001	0.9226 ± 0.0024	0.98 ± 0.0007	0.9505 ± 0.0009	0.991 ± 0.0001	0.9973 ± 0.0	0.9997 ± 0.0004	
YouTubeReddit-Small	0.7578 ± 0.0015	0.7582 ± 0.0021	0.7564 ± 0.0043	0.7718 ± 0.0023	0.7498 ± 0.004	0.8868 ± 0.0034	0.9861 ± 0.0063	
eBay-Large	0.6976 ± 0.0016	0.6957 ± 0.0007	0.7078 ± 0.0031	0.5154 ± 0.0001	0.93 ± 0.0003	0.9318 ± 0.0	0.9999 ± 0.0001	
DGraphFin	0.6802 ± 0.0005	0.6811 ± 0.0002	0.6526 ± 0.0098	0.5831 ± 0.0184	0.5379 ± 0.0071	0.8977 ± 0.0014	0.6529 ± 0.0249	
Youtube-Reddit-Large	0.7038 ± 0.0024	0.7115 ± 0.0007	0.6979 ± 0.002	0.7414 ± 0.0012	0.6965 ± 0.004	0.8848 ± 0.0023	0.9761 ± 0.0134	
Taobao-Large	0.6738 ± 0.0005	0.6742 ± 0.0005	0.6611 ± 0.0011	0.53 ± 0.0023	0.7521 ± 0.0127	0.8738 ± 0.0145	0.9973 ± 0.0001	

We can observe the similar results as in the paper. NeurTW achieves the best performance on both eBay-Small and eBay-Large datasets. NAT performs poorly on the node classification task.

62 A.3 Efficiency

Table 4: ROC AUC results for the *dynamic node classification task* on the eBay datasets. The top-2 results are highlighted as **bold red** and <u>underlined blue</u>.

Dataset	JODIE	DyRep	TGN	TGAT	CAWN	NeurTW	NAT
eBay-Small eBay-Large	0.9274 ± 0.0017 0.7244 ± 0.0002	0.8677 ± 0.0356 0.7246 ± 0.0	0.913 ± 0.0025 0.6586 ± 0.0129	$\frac{0.9342 \pm 0.0002}{0.672 \pm 0.0016}$	0.9305 ± 0.0001 0.7710 ± 0.0002	0.9529 ± 0.0002 0.7859 ± 0.0	0.6797 ± 0.0115 0.5304 ± 0.0011




Figure 1: Inference time comparison per 100,000 edges.

Considering many real world applications, we add **the inference time** metric to evaluate the efficiency of methods. The inference time comparison per 100,000 edges is shown in Figure 1. According to the figure, we can observe the similar model efficiency results as in the paper. In terms of the inference time, JODIE, DyRep, TGN and TGAT are faster, while CAWN and NeurTW are much slower. NAT is relatively faster than temporal walk-based methods through caching and parallelism optimizations, achieving a good trade-off between model quality and efficiency.

References

- 70 [1] Yiqiao Jin, Yeon-Chang Lee, Kartik Sharma, Meng Ye, Karan Sikka, Ajay Divakaran, and Srijan Kumar.
 71 Predicting information pathways across online communities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02259*, 2023.
- [2] Xuanwen Huang, Yang Yang, Yang Wang, Chunping Wang, Zhisheng Zhang, Jiarong Xu, Lei Chen, and
 Michalis Vazirgiannis. Dgraph: A large-scale financial dataset for graph anomaly detection. Advances in
 Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:22765–22777, 2022.
- 75 [3] Ming Jin, Yuan-Fang Li, and Shirui Pan. Neural temporal walks: Motif-aware representation learning on continuous-time dynamic graphs. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
- [4] Han Zhu, Xiang Li, Pengye Zhang, Guozheng Li, Jie He, Han Li, and Kun Gai. Learning tree-based deep
 model for recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1079–1088, 2018.