

This document shows practice problems for the final exam. The data sets for these problems are in the file “data_practice_problems_final.xlsx” available at the module **Final Exam** on Bruin Learn. The solutions to these problems are not available. To this end, you may compare your answers with those of your classmates.

Problem 1

The data from a patient satisfaction survey in a hospital are available on the file sheet *hospital*. The regressor variables are the patient’s age, an illness severity index (higher values indicate greater severity), an indicator (dummy) variable denoting whether the patient is a medical patient (0) or a surgical patient (1), and an anxiety index (higher values indicate greater anxiety).

- Fit a multiple linear regression model to the satisfaction response using age, illness severity, and the anxiety index as the regressors.
- Estimate σ^2 .
- Find the standard errors of the regression coefficients.
- Construct a t-test on each regression coefficient. What conclusions can you draw about the predictors in this model? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- Find 95% confidence intervals on the regression coefficients. Interpret the confidence interval of one of the predictors under study.
- Does the data contain high leverage points in the data? Are these good or bad?
- Conduct a full residual analysis.
- Based on the residual analysis, are your conclusions in (d) and (e) reliable?

Problem 2

An article in IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement [“Measurement and Calculation of Powered Mixture Permittivities” (2001, Vol. 50, pp. 1066–1070)] reported on a study that had analyzed powdered mixtures of coal and limestone for permittivity. The errors in the density measurement was the response. The data are in the sheet *density_experiment*.

- Fit a multiple linear regression model to these data with the density as the response.
- Estimate σ^2 and the standard errors of the regression coefficients.
- Use the model to predict the density when the dielectric constant is 2.5 and the loss factor is 0.03.
- Test for the significance of the full regression model using $\alpha = 0.05$. What is the p-value for this test?
- What portion of variability is explained by the full model?
- Construct a t-test on each regression coefficient. What conclusions can you draw about the predictors in this model? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.

- g. Conduct regression diagnostics on this model.
- h. Based on the regression diagnostics, are your conclusions in (d) and (e) reliable?

Problem 3

An article in the Journal of Pharmaceuticals Sciences [“Statistical Analysis of the Extended Hansen Method Using the Bootstrap Technique” (1991, Vol. 80, pp. 971–977)] presents data on the observed mole fraction solubility of a solute at a constant temperature and the dispersion, dipolar, and hydrogen-bonding Hansen partial solubility parameters. The data are shown in the file sheet *solubility*, where y is the negative logarithm of the mole fraction solubility, x_1 is the dispersion partial solubility, x_2 is the dipolar partial solubility, and x_3 is the hydrogen-bonding partial solubility.

- a. Fit the model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_{11}x_1^2 + \beta_{22}x_2^2 + \beta_{33}x_3^2 + \epsilon$
- b. Test for significance of regression using $\alpha = 0.05$.
- c. Plot the residuals and comment on model adequacy.
- d. Use an appropriate F-test to test the contribution of the second-order terms using $\alpha = 0.05$.

Remark: If one the residual analysis is not satisfactory in a problem, you can practice by finding appropriate transformations of the predictors and responses and repeat the sub-problems again using the transformed variables.