.

Supplementary Material for "On the Optimization of Adaptive Channel Contention in mmWave-Based Uplink System with Mobility and Environment Sensing"

Bojie Lv, Qianren Li and Rui Wang

Southern University of Science and Technology

Particularly, let $\tau_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \triangleq \left(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{S}_1^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}, \mathbf{S}_2^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}, \ldots\right)$ be a sequence of observed system states with the local scheduling parameters (\mathbf{b},λ) , We have the following conclusion on the unbiased observation of the gradients.

Lemma 1. Given the local scheduling parameters (\mathbf{b}, λ) ,

$$\hat{\mathsf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{b}\left(\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda};\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \triangleq \left[\left(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma^{t} \mathsf{c}_{GS}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{k}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1,k}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\middle|\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}\right)\right], \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \triangleq \left[\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma^{t} \mathbf{c}_{GS}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{k}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1,k}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \middle| \mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}}\right)\right], \quad (2)$$

are the unbiased estimation of $\frac{\partial \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{S}_0; \mathsf{b}, \lambda)}{\partial b_{k,\ell}}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{S}_0; \mathsf{b}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda_{k,\ell}}$, $\forall k, \ell$, respectively.

Proof. We can reformulate the objective as

$$\bar{\mathsf{G}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathsf{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \mathsf{C}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathsf{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathsf{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}],\tag{3}$$

where $C\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \triangleq \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} \gamma^t \mathbf{c}_{\text{GS}}\left(\mathbf{S}_t^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ defines the discounted cumulative cost over the sampled trajectory $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

The partial derivative of the objective w.r.t. $b_{\kappa,\ell}$ is

$$\frac{\partial \bar{G}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}} C\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{0}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}$$

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{0}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right] \left\{ C\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{0}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \left[C\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{MC}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{0}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}} \right]. \tag{5}$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{1}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{2}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{1}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right] \dots \\
= \prod_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right], \tag{6}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right] = \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1,k}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right],\tag{7}$$

 $\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{\mathbb{MC}}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}|\mathbf{S}_{0},\mathbf{b},\lambda\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}$ in (5) can be further expanded as

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{0}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa, \ell}} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa, \ell}}$$

$$= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{k}\left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1, k}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} | \mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]}{\partial b_{\kappa, \ell}}.$$
(8)

The expectation expression for the partial derivative of the objective with respect to $\lambda_{\kappa,\ell}$, $\frac{\partial \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{S}_0;\mathbf{b},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}}$, can be derived using the same Markov chain sampling technique.

Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{k} \left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1,k}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \middle| \mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}, \mathbf{b}, \lambda \right]}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}} = \frac{\omega_{t,k}^{(1)} - \omega_{t,k}^{(2)}}{\eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{b},\lambda)) \left(\omega_{t,k}^{(1)} - \omega_{t,k}^{(2)} \right) + \omega_{t,k}^{(2)}} \frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{b},\lambda))}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathbb{P}_{k} \left[\mathbf{S}_{t+1,k}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \middle| \mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}, \mathbf{b}, \lambda \right]}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}} = \frac{\omega_{t,k}^{(1)} - \omega_{t,k}^{(2)}}{\eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{b},\lambda)) \left(\omega_{t,k}^{(1)} - \omega_{t,k}^{(2)} \right) + \omega_{t,k}^{(2)}} \frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{b},\lambda))}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}}, \tag{10}$$

where $\omega_{t,k}^{(1)}$ and $\omega_{t,k}^{(2)}$ are provided as

$$\omega_{t,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(\bar{A}_k)^N e^{-\bar{A}_k}}{N!} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{\mathsf{f}_{SNR}(-\Delta Q_{t,k} + N)}{\bar{\Gamma}_{t,k}}\right] - \exp\left[-\frac{\mathsf{f}_{SNR}(-\Delta Q_{t,k} + N + 1)}{\bar{\Gamma}_{t,k}}\right] \right\},\tag{11}$$

$$\omega_{t,k}^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{A}_k)^{\Delta Q_{t,k}}}{\Delta Q_{t,k}!} e^{-\bar{A}_k} \mathbb{1}[\Delta Q_{t,k} \ge 0]. \tag{12}$$

Note that $\Delta Q_{t,k} = Q_{t+1,k} - Q_{t,k}$, $f_{\text{SNR}}(x) = \frac{1}{P_{\text{UL}}} \left[2^{\left(\frac{xR_{\text{pac}}}{T_{\text{Slot}}W}\right)} - 1 \right]$, and $\bar{\Gamma}_{t,k} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{N}}^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left| \mathbf{w}_{t,k}^{\text{H}} \mathbf{H}_{t,k} \mathbf{f}_{t,k} \right|^2 \right]$. $\frac{\partial \eta_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}$, and $\frac{\partial \eta_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}}$ are provided as

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}} = \begin{cases}
0, & f_{s \to \ell} \left(f_{LS}(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa})^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \right) \neq \ell \\
\frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_{\kappa,f_{LS}}(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda})} \frac{\partial \zeta_{\kappa}(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda};\mathbf{b}_{\kappa},\lambda_{\kappa})}{\partial \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}}, & \text{others}
\end{cases} (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}} = \begin{cases}
0, & f_{s \to \ell} \left(f_{LS} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \right) \right) \neq \ell \\
\frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_{\kappa,f_{LS}}(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda})} \frac{\partial \zeta_{\kappa} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}; \mathbf{b}_{\kappa}, \lambda_{\kappa} \right)}{\partial b_{\kappa,\ell}}, & \text{others}
\end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_{\kappa,s}} = \begin{cases}
\mathbb{1} \left[s = f_{LS} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \right) \right] f_{\eta_{k}}^{(1)} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\theta} \right), & k \neq \kappa \\
\mathbb{1} \left[s = f_{LS} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t,\kappa}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda} \right) \right] f_{\eta_{k}}^{(2)} \left(\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}; \boldsymbol{\theta} \right), & k = \kappa
\end{cases} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathsf{f}_{\eta_{k}}^{(1)}\left(\mathsf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda};\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \triangleq \frac{-\theta_{k,\mathsf{f}_{LS}}(\mathsf{S}_{t,k}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda})}{\left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K}\theta_{k',\mathsf{f}_{LS}}(\mathsf{S}_{t,k'}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda})\right)^{2}},\tag{16}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\eta_{k}}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda};\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \triangleq \frac{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \theta_{k',\mathsf{f}_{LS}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t,k'}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}\right) - \theta_{k,\mathsf{f}_{LS}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t,k}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}\right)}{\left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \theta_{k',\mathsf{f}_{LS}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{t,k'}^{\mathbf{b},\lambda}\right)\right)^{2}}.$$
(17)

As a result, the SGD method solving the problem P1 is outlined in Algorithm 1. Finally, the following theorem establishes the convergence of Algorithm 1.

Theorem 1 (Stochastic Convergence). Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be a constant satisfying

$$\|\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathsf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}')\|_2 \le \beta \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'\|_2, \ \forall \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}',$$
 (20)

where $\mathbf{z} \triangleq (\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Let $\nu_2^{OM} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be a constant such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{\ell=1}^{|\mathcal{L}|}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{b}\left(\mathbf{b},oldsymbol{\lambda};oldsymbol{ au}_{ ext{ iny MC}}^{\mathbf{b},oldsymbol{\lambda}}
ight)
ight)^{2}+\left(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{oldsymbol{\lambda}}\left(\mathbf{b},oldsymbol{\lambda};oldsymbol{ au}_{ ext{ iny MC}}^{\mathbf{b},oldsymbol{\lambda}}
ight)
ight)^{2}
ight]\leq
u_{2}^{ ext{ iny MC}},$$

```
input: (\mathbf{b}(0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(0)); T_{\text{ep}}, length of each episode; step size parameter \eta_{\text{LPG}}(0).
     output: (\mathbf{b}(\infty), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\infty)).
1 for m = 0, 1, 2, \dots do
              Initial global state S_0, receive global system cost c_{GS}(S_0), each agent k takes action
                 \theta_{0,k}^{\mathbb{A}} = \zeta_k\left(\mathbf{S}_{0,k}; \mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\right).
              for t=1 to T_{\it ep}+1 do
3
                       Get global state \mathbf{S}_t^{\mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)} and global system cost \mathbf{c}_{\text{GS}}\left(\mathbf{S}_t^{\mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)}\right), each agent k
                          takes action \theta_{t,k}^{\mathtt{A}} = \zeta_k \left( \mathbf{S}_{t,k}^{\mathbf{b}(m),\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)}; \mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m) \right)
              end
5
              For any \kappa \ell, caculate gradients \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m); \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{MC}}^{\mathbf{b}(m),\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)}\right) as (1), and
                 \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{b}(m),\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m);\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b}(m),\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)}\right) as (2)
              For any \kappa, \ell, update parameters as
                                          b_{\kappa,\ell}(m+1) = b_{\kappa,\ell}(m) - \eta_{	exttt{LPG}}(m) \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{	exttt{b}}\left(\mathbf{b}(m), oldsymbol{\lambda}(m); oldsymbol{	au}_{	exttt{MC}}^{\mathbf{b}(m), oldsymbol{\lambda}(m)}
ight).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (18)
                                         \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}(m+1) = \lambda_{\kappa,\ell}(m) - \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m) \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\kappa,\ell}^{\lambda} \left( \mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m); \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{MC}}^{\mathbf{b}(m),\boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)} \right),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (19)
                 where \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m) = \frac{\eta_{\text{LPG}}(0)}{m+1}.
8 end
```

Algorithm 1: SGD-Base Algorithm

We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\min_{m \in [0:M]} \eta_{LPG}(m) \left\| \nabla \bar{\mathsf{G}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{b}(m), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(m)\right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{M+1} \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{b}(0), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(0)\right) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}\left(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{b}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\star}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \nu_{2}^{OM} \right].$$

Proof. Lemma 3.4 in [1] states that if $\bar{G}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z})$ is β -smooth, the following inequality holds

$$\left| \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m+1)) - \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m)) - \nabla \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m))^{\top} (\mathbf{z}(m+1) - \mathbf{z}(m)) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{2} ||\mathbf{z}(m+1) - \mathbf{z}(m))||_{2}^{2}, \ \forall m \in [0:M].$$
(21)

Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\nabla} \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m)) &\triangleq \mathsf{vec} \Big[\left(\hat{\mathsf{g}}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\kappa,\ell} \left(\mathbf{b}(m), \pmb{\lambda}(m); \pmb{\tau}^{\mathbf{b}(m), \pmb{\lambda}(m)}_{\mathsf{MC}} \right) \right)_{k,\ell}, \\ \left(\hat{\mathsf{g}}^{\lambda}_{\kappa,\ell} \left(\mathbf{b}(m), \pmb{\lambda}(m); \pmb{\tau}^{\mathbf{b}(m), \pmb{\lambda}(m)}_{\mathsf{MC}} \right) \right)_{k,\ell} \Big]. \end{split}$$

By substituting $\mathbf{z}(m+1) - \mathbf{z}(m)$ with $-\eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)\widetilde{\nabla}\overline{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0;\mathbf{z}(m))$ in (21), the inequality can be rewritten as

$$\left| \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m+1)) - \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m)) + \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m) \nabla \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m))^{\top} \widetilde{\nabla} \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m)) \right| \\
\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \eta_{\text{LPG}}^{2}(m) ||\widetilde{\nabla} \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0}; \mathbf{z}(m))||_{2}^{2}, \ \forall m \in [0:M]. \tag{22}$$

Rearranging the formulas, we arrive at the following conclusions.

$$\eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)\nabla\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))^{\top}\widetilde{\nabla}\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))$$

$$\leq \left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m+1)) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))\right] * (-1)$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2}\eta_{\text{LPG}}^{2}(m)||\widetilde{\nabla}\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))||_{2}^{2}, \ \forall m \in [0:M].$$
(23)

By taking expectations on both sides of the inequality, we derive the following results.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)\nabla\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))^{\top}\widetilde{\nabla}\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))\right]$$

$$=\eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)\nabla\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))^{\top}\nabla\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m+1)) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_{0};\mathbf{z}(m))\right]*(-1)$$

$$+\frac{\beta}{2}\eta_{\text{LPG}}^{2}(m)\nu_{2}^{\text{OM}}, \ \forall m \in [0:M].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\min_{m \in [\![0:M]\!]} \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)||\nabla \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m))||_2^2\right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{M+1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=0}^M \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)||\nabla \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m))||_2^2\right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{m=0}^M \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m+1)) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m))\right] * (-1) \\ & + \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{m=0}^M \eta_{\text{LPG}}^2(m) \nu_2^{\text{OM}}, \\ & \leq \frac{1}{M+1} \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(0)) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}^*)\right] + \frac{\beta \nu_2^{\text{OM}}}{2(M+1)} \sum_{m=0}^M \eta_{\text{LPG}}^2(m). \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M} \eta_{\text{LPG}}^{2}(m) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \left(\frac{\eta_{\text{LPG}}(0)}{m+1}\right)^{2} \le \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \eta_{\text{LPG}}^{2}(0), \tag{24}$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\min_{m\in[0:M]} \eta_{\text{LPG}}(m)||\nabla \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(m))||_2^2\right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{M+1} \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}(0)) - \bar{\mathsf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0; \mathbf{z}^*) + \frac{\pi^2}{12}\nu_2^{\text{OM}}\right].$$
(25)

As M tends to infinity, the convergence of the proposed SGD-based Algorithm is assured by Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Bubeck *et al.*, "Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity," *Foundations and Trends*® *in Machine Learning*, vol. 8, no. 3-4, pp. 231–357, 2015.