

# 2021 SISBID Unsupervised Lab

Genevera I. Allen, Yufeng Liu, Hui Shen, Camille Little

## Data Description

**gdat is Gene Expression Data,  $n = 445$  patients  $\times$   $p = 353$  genes**

- Only 353 genes with somatic mutations from COSMIC are retained ## Data is Level III TCGA BRCA RNA-Sequencing gene expression data that have already been pre-processed according to the following steps:
- Reads normalized by RPKM
- Corrected for overdispersion by a log-transformation ( $1 + \text{data}$ )
- Short gene name labels are given as the column names

**cdat is Clinical Data,  $n = 445$  patients  $\times$   $q = 6$  clinical features**

- Subtype - denotes 5 PAM50 subtypes including Basal-like, Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched, and Normal-like
- ER-Status - estrogen-receptor status
- PR-Status - progesterone-receptor status
- HER2-Status - human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status
- Node - number of lymph nodes involved
- Metastasis - indicator for whether the cancer has metastasized

## Problems

### Problem 1 - Dimension reduction

1a - Apply PCA, NMF, ICA and MDS, UMAP, and tSNE to this dataset. Compare and contrast the results using these methods.

1b - Relate the dimension reduction results with the clinical data. Is any clinical information reflected in the lower dimensional spaces?

1c - Overall, which dimension reduction method do you recommend for this data set and why?

### Problem 2 - Clustering

2a - Apply various clustering algorithms such as K-means (explore different K), hierarchical clustering (explore different linkages), NMF, and biclustering. Compare the clustering results using these methods.

2b - Relate the clustering results with the clinical data. Can the clustering algorithm recover some of the clinical information such as cancer subtypes?

2c - Use Consensus Clustering to help Validate Clustering Results

2c - Overall, which clustering method(s) do you recommend for this data set and why?

### Problem 3 - Multiple comparisons

3a - Identify important genes to differentiate ER positive and negative, PR positive and negative, HER2 positive and negative, metastasis status.

3b - Try different procedures to adjust for multiple comparisons.

3c - Examine the lists of genes identified using different methods for each clinical response. Which method is best? Why?

### Problem 5 - Graphical models

5a - Use graphical models to explore interactions among genes. Are any of the well-connected genes related to patterns previously identified?

### Problem 6 - Visualization

6a - Visualize this data using multiple approaches.

6b - Prepare the “best” visual summary of this data.

### Problem 7 - Exploratory Data Analysis Summary

7a - What is the most interesting finding?

7b - Is this finding consistent and stable?

7c - Prepare a visual summary that best illustrates this interesting finding.

R scripts to help out with the BRCA case study Lab Don't peek at this if you want to practice coding on your own!!

Load Data

```
load("UnsupL_SISBID_2021.Rdata")
library(ggplot2)
```

```
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 3.6.2
```

```
library(ConsensusClusterPlus)
library(kknn)
library(GGally)
```

```
## Warning: package 'GGally' was built under R version 3.6.2
```

```
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'GGally':
##   method from
##   +.gg      ggplot2
```

```
library(umap)
```

```
## Warning: package 'umap' was built under R version 3.6.2
```

```
library(Rtsne)
library(igraph)
```

```
## Warning: package 'igraph' was built under R version 3.6.2
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'igraph'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   decompose, spectrum
## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
##   union
library(huge)

## Warning: package 'huge' was built under R version 3.6.2
Explore Data
dim(gdat)

## [1] 445 353
dim(cdat)

## [1] 445 6
# clinical data
table(cdat$Subtype)

##
##   Basal-like HER2-enriched Luminal A Luminal B Normal-like
##         79         53         200         106         7
table(cdat$ER)

##
##           Indeterminate           Negative
##                2                100
##   Not Performed Performed but Not Available
##                2                2
##           Positive
##           339
table(cdat$PR)

##
##           Indeterminate           Negative
##                3                147
##   Not Performed Performed but Not Available
##                2                2
##           Positive
##           291
table(cdat$HER2)

##
##   Equivocal   Negative Not Available   Positive
##         5       370         4         65
table(cdat$Node)

##
##   0  1  2  3
## 221 146 54 23
```

```
table(cdat$Metastasis)
```

```
##
##    0    1
## 427  11
```

```
table(cdat$ER,cdat$PR)
```

```
##
##                                Indeterminate Negative Not Performed
## Indeterminate                   0          1          0
## Negative                       1         93          0
## Not Performed                   0          0          2
## Performed but Not Available     0          0          0
## Positive                       2         53          0
##
##                                Performed but Not Available Positive
## Indeterminate                                     0          1
## Negative                                           0          6
## Not Performed                                     0          0
## Performed but Not Available                       2          0
## Positive                                           0         284
```


```
#cluster heatmap - biclustering
```

```
#cluster heatmap - biclustering
```

```
aa = grep("grey",colors())
bb = grep("green",colors())
cc = grep("red",colors())
gcol2 = colors()[c(aa[1:2],bb[1:25],cc[1:50])]
```


```
Without scaling
```

```
heatmap(gdat,col=gcol2,hclustfun=function(x)hclust(x,method="ward.D"))
```




With scaling

```
heatmap(scale(gdat),col=gcol2,hclustfun=function(x)hclust(x,method="ward.D"))
```



```
Cols=function(vec){cols=rainbow(length(unique(vec)))
  return(cols[as.numeric(as.factor(vec))])}
```

```
heatmap(scale(gdat),col=gcol2,hclustfun=function(x)hclust(x,method="ward.D"),labRow=cdat$Subtype,RowSide
```



#Dimension Reduction

PCA

```
Cols=function(vec){cols=rainbow(length(unique(vec)))
  return(cols[as.numeric(as.factor(vec))])}
```

```
sv = svd(scale(gdat,center=TRUE,scale=FALSE))
```

```
V = sv$v
```

```
Z = gdat%*%V
```

```
K = 3
```

```
pclabs = c("PC1","PC2","PC3","PC4")
```

```
par(mfrow=c(1,K))
```


```
for(i in 1:K){
```

```
  j = i+1
```

```
  plot(Z[,i],Z[,j],pch=16,xlab=pclabs[i],ylab=pclabs[j],col=Cols(cdat$Subtype))
```

```
}
```

```
legend(-45,0,pch=16,col=rainbow(5),levels(cdat$Subtype))
```




Pairs Plot

```
PC1<-as.matrix(Z[,1])
PC2<-as.matrix(Z[,2])
PC3<-as.matrix(Z[,3])
PC4<-as.matrix(Z[,4])
PC5<-as.matrix(Z[,5])
```

```
pc.df.cdat<-data.frame(Subtype = cdat$Subtype, PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, PC5)
ggpairs(pc.df.cdat, mapping = aes(color = Subtype))
```

```
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
```




MDS

```
Dmat = dist(gdat,method="maximum")
mdsres = cmdscale(Dmat,k=2)
plot(mdsres[,1],mdsres[,2],pch=16,col=Cols(cdat$Subtype), main = "Dimension Reduction MDS")
legend(-30,20,pch=16,col=rainbow(5),levels(cdat$Subtype))
```



## Dimension Reduction MDS




ICA

```
require("fastICA")

## Loading required package: fastICA

K = 4
icafit = fastICA(gdat,n.comp=K)


kk = 3
pclabs = c("ICA1","ICA2","ICA3","ICA4")
par(mfrow=c(1,kk))
for(i in 1:kk){
  j = i+1
  plot(icafit$A[i,],icafit$A[j,],pch=16,xlab=pclabs[i],ylab=pclabs[j],col=Cols(cdat$Subtype))
}
legend(-1,2.8,pch=16,col=rainbow(5),levels(cdat$Subtype))
```



UMAP

```
gdat.umap = umap(gdat)
plot(gdat.umap$layout[,1], y =gdat.umap$layout[,2], type = "n", main = "UMAP", xlab = "UMAP1", ylab = "UMAP2")
text(gdat.umap$layout[,1], y =gdat.umap$layout[,2], type = "n", cdat$Subtype, col=Cols(cdat$Subtype), cex=0.8)
```

## Warning in text.default(gdat.umap\$layout[, 1], y = gdat.umap\$layout[, 2], :  
## graphical parameter "type" is obsolete



#Clustering

K-means

K = 5

```
km = kmeans(gdat,centers=K,nstart=25)
```


```
table(km$cluster,cdat$Subtype)
```

```
##
##      Basal-like HER2-enriched Luminal A Luminal B Normal-like
## 1         74           5           1           1           1
## 2          0           7          112          26           1
## 3          0           2           40          60           1
## 4          5           8           44          12           4
## 5          0          31           3           7           0
```

Plot Kmeans with labels

```
plot(Z[,1],Z[,2],col=km$cluster, main = "Plot Kmeans Clusters ", xlab = "PC1", ylab = "PC2")
text(Z[,1],Z[,2],cdat$Subtype,cex=.75,col=km$cluster)
cens = km$centers
points(cens%%V[,1],cens%%V[,2],col=1:K,pch=16,cex=3)
```

## Plot Kmeans Clusters



Hierarchical

#which linkage is the best?


### #which distance metric is the best?

```
Dmat = dist(gdat)
```

```
com.hc = hclust(Dmat,method="ward.D")
```

```
plot(com.hc, labels=cdat$Subtype, cex=.5)
```

## Cluster Dendrogram



```
Dmat
hclust (*, "ward.D")
```

```
res.com = cutree(com.hc,5)
table(res.com,cdat$Subtype)
```

```
##
## res.com Basal-like HER2-enriched Luminal A Luminal B Normal-like
##      1      1      3      95      11      3
##      2      0      4      73      65      1
##      3     75      4       5       4      1
##      4      0     27       3       7      0
##      5      3     15      24      19      2
```

Consensus Clustering with Hierarchical


```
results = ConsensusClusterPlus(gdat,maxK=6, reps=500, pItem=0.8, pFeature=1,
clusterAlg="hc", distance="pearson", plot="png")
```

```
## end fraction
```

```
## clustered
## clustered
## clustered
## clustered
## clustered
```

Look at results for first 5 clusters

```
heatmap(results[[2]][["consensusMatrix"]][1:5,1:5])
```



Spectral Clustering


K = 5

```
s_gdat = specClust(gdat, centers=K, nn = 7, method = "symmetric", gmax=NULL)
```

Visualize

```
plot(Z[,1],Z[,2],col=s_gdat$cluster, main = "Visualize Spectral Clusters", xlab = "PC1", ylab = "PC2")
text(Z[,1],Z[,2],cdat$Subtype,cex=.75,col=s_gdat$cluster)
```

## Visualize Spectral Clusters



Genes significantly associated with ER or PR Status, etc

```
x = gdat[cdat$ER=="Positive" | cdat$ER=="Negative",]
y.er = cdat$ER[cdat$ER=="Positive" | cdat$ER=="Negative"]
y.label = rep(1, length(y.er))
y.label[y.er == "Positive"]=2
```

```
ps = NULL
for(i in 1:ncol(gdat)) ps = c(ps,
  t.test(x[y.label==1,i],x[y.label==2,i])$p.value)
fdrs.bh = p.adjust(ps, method="BH")
```


```
cat("Number of Tests significant with alpha=0.1 using Bonferroni correction:",
sum(ps<0.1/length(y.label)), fill=TRUE)
```

```
## Number of Tests significant with alpha=0.1 using Bonferroni correction: 165
```

```
cat("Number of Tests with FDR below 0.1:",
sum(fdrs.bh<0.1), fill=TRUE)
```

```
## Number of Tests with FDR below 0.1: 259
```

```
plot(sort(ps,decreasing=FALSE),ylab="P-Values")
#BH procedure
abline(a=0, b=0.1/length(y.label),col="red")
#Bonferroni
abline(a=0.1/length(y.label), b=0,col="blue")
```




Graphical models - how are genes related?

```
# use huge package
neth = huge(gdat,method="mb")
```

```
## Conducting Meinshausen & Buhlmann graph estimation (mb)....done
```


```
plot(neth)
```

**Sparsity vs. Regularization**




Regularization Parameter


**lambda = 0.437**



**lambda = 0.339**



**lambda = 0.262**




```
## stability selection with huge
net.s <- huge.select(neth, criterion="stars")
```

```
## Conducting Subsampling....in progress:5% Conducting Subsampling....in progress:10% Conducting Subsampling....in progress:15%
net.s
```


```
## Model: Meinshausen & Buhlmann Graph Estimation (mb)
## selection criterion: stars
## Graph dimension: 353
## sparsity level 0.03304468
```



```
plot(net.s)
```



```
#larger lambda
mat <- neth$path[[2]]
neti <- as.undirected(graph_from_adjacency_matrix(mat))
plot(neti,vertex.label=colnames(gdat),vertex.size=2,vertex.label.cex=1.2,vertex.label.dist=1,layout=lay
```



```
#smaller lambda
mat = neth$path[[6]]
neti = as.undirected(graph_from_adjacency_matrix(mat))
plot(neti,vertex.label=colnames(gdat),vertex.size=2,vertex.label.cex=1.2,vertex.label.dist=1,layout=lay
```

