NLP-2 三硬币投掷问题

罗志钊 PT2100565

NLP-2 三硬币投掷问题

问题描述

预备知识

极大似然估计

求解步骤

Jensen不等式

EM算法

算法流程

问题分析

实验过程

实验结果

结论及心得

参考内容

附录

- 1 抛掷结果
- 2 迭代内容
- 3 实验代码

问题描述

一个袋子中三种硬币的混合比例为: s1, s2与1-s1-s2 (0<=si<=1), 三种硬币掷出正面的概率分别为: p, q, r。(1)自己指定系数s1, s2, p, q, r,生成N个投掷硬币的结果(由01构成的序列,其中1为正面,0为反面),利用EM算法来对参数进行估计并与预先假定的参数进行比较。

预备知识

极大似然估计

极大似然估计是用来估计模型参数的统计学方法。多数情况下,我们是根据已知调剂来推算结果,而极大似然估计是已知结果,寻求使该结果出现的可能性最大的条件,以此作为估计值。

求解步骤

1. 似然函数公式:

$$L(heta) = L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; heta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; heta), heta \in \Theta$$

2. 对似然函数取对数:

$$l(heta) = lnL(heta) = ln\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; heta) = \sum_{i=1}^n lnp(x_i; heta)$$

3. 对步骤2式子求导,令导数为0,得到似然方程并求解,得到的参数即为所求。

Jensen不等式

如果f是凸函数,X是随机变量,那么: $E[f(X)] \ge f[E(X)]$ 。当且仅当X是常量时,该式取等号。其中,E(X)表示X的数学期望。

Ps: Jensen不等式应用于凹函数时,不等号方向反向。当且仅当x是常量时,该不等式取等号。

EM算法

已知分布模型和随机抽取的样本,用EM算法求每个样本属于哪个分布和模型的参数。

算法流程

给定观察变量 ${f X}$ 、潜在联合分布 $p({f X},{f Z}|{m heta})$ 和潜在变量 ${f Z}$,由参数 ${f heta}$ 控制,目标是最大化似然函数相对于 ${f heta}$ 期望的 $p({f X}|{m heta})$ 。

- 1. 为参数 $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}$ 选择初值。
- 2. E step 评估 $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$
- 3. M step 评估 由下面公式给出的 θ^{new}

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{new}} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})$$

同时

$$\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

4. 检查对数似然或参数值的收敛性,如果不满足收敛准则,则令

$$oldsymbol{ heta}^{ ext{old}} \leftarrow oldsymbol{ heta}^{ ext{new}}$$

并返回步骤2。

ps.停止迭代的条件为 $||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i+1)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}|| < \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1$ 或 $||\boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i+1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}) - \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)})|| < \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$ 。

问题分析

对于这个问题,难点主要有两个,其一是生成随机硬币投掷模型,然而如果直接生成,必然导致结果会是三种硬币按照比例和正面向上的均值,是无法得到三种硬币模型正反面向上的参数。其二是根据EM算法对于该问题的处理以及收敛问题的解决。

对于难点一,我选择将硬币抛掷进行分割,每组选取袋中一个硬币进行抛十次,抛n组,每次以组为单位进行处理。总抛掷次数为N=10n。

对于难点二,我按照上面的步骤对算法分解,可得

```
# 步骤1:初始化似然函数值

llh_old = -np.infty
# 步骤2: E步-求隐变量分布
# 对数似然

log_ll = np.array([np.sum(data * np.log(theta), axis=1) for theta in esti_p])
# 似然

llh = np.exp(log_like)
# 求隐变量分布
ws = like/like.sum(0)
# 概率加权
vs = np.array([w[:, None] * data for w in ws])
# 步骤3:M步
esti_p = np.array([v.sum(0)/v.sum() for v in vs])
# 更新似然函数

llh_new = np.sum([w*l for w, l in zip(ws, log_like)])
```

如果满足步骤4的收敛条件则结束迭代

```
# 步骤4:收敛判断
if np.abs(llh_new - llh_old) < eps:
    break
llh_old = llh_new
```

实验过程

1.

•	
参数	赋值
s1	0.3
s2	0.4
р	0.7
q	0.5
r	0.3

对题设参数进行赋值

2. 根据我设置三种硬币的比例和正反面, 随机生成50组抛掷样本, 每组10次, 共计500次抛掷

```
# 生成随机投掷的函数

def random_pick(some_list, probabilities):
    x = random.uniform(0,1)
    cumulative_probability = 0.0
    for item, item_probability in zip(some_list, probabilities):
        cumulative_probability += item_probability
        if x < cumulative_probability:
            break
    return item
```

somelist 有两种,其一是硬币列表,分别对应硬币a,硬币b,硬币c;其二是不同种硬币的正反面。 利用该函数随机生成50组抛掷

```
for i in range(0, 50):
    coin.append(random_pick(coin_list, probabilities))

# print(coin)

# print(len(coin))

for i in range(0, len(coin)):
    coin_single = []
    for j in range(0, 10):
        # 硬币的概率coin_sin_pro[coin_list[i]]
        coin_single.append(random_pick(coin_ht, coin_single_pro[coin[i]]))
        # print(coin_single)
        coin_dic.append(coin_single)

#print(coin_dic)
```

生成的50组抛掷部分结果如下,其余内容放入附录1中。

```
[[1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0],

[1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],

......

[0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1]]
```

同时,为了进一步便于对数据的处理,我将每一组的10次抛掷转化成正反面出现次数的键值对(每一对的第一个元素代表正面朝上出现的次数)

```
[(6, 4), (5, 5), (8, 2), (4, 6), (1, 9), (5, 5), (3, 7), (9, 1), (5, 5), (4, 6), (4, 6), (7, 3), (4, 6), (5, 5), (5, 5), (7, 3), (7, 3), (3, 7), (3, 7), (1, 9), (1, 9), (5, 5), (6, 4), (7, 3), (3, 7), (5, 5), (1, 9), (7, 3), (8, 2), (8, 2), (8, 2), (3, 7), (8, 2), (4, 6), (3, 7), (9, 1), (7, 3), (8, 2), (1, 9), (5, 5), (8, 2), (8, 2), (6, 4), (1, 9), (3, 7), (5, 5), (4, 6), (5, 5), (3, 7), (8, 2), (5, 5)]
```

- 3. 设定初始化参数值,预先假定硬币a的正面概率为0.8,硬币B的正面概率为0.5,硬币C的正面概率为0.4(实际上硬币a的正面概率为0.5,硬币B的正面概率为0.5,硬币C的正面概率为0.3)
- 4. 利用EM算法对数据进行处理,逐次迭代并收敛,可得实验迭代流程:

```
Iteration: 1
A = 0.76, B = 0.47, C = 0.35, likelihood = -319.52
A = 0.74, B = 0.47, C = 0.32, likelihood = -315.79
Iteration: 3
A = 0.73, B = 0.47, C = 0.31, likelihood = -314.74
Iteration: 4
A = 0.73, B = 0.47, C = 0.30, likelihood = -314.34
Iteration: 5
A = 0.73, B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -314.14
Iteration: 6
A = 0.72, B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -314.04
Iteration: 7
A = 0.72, B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -313.98
Iteration: 8
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.29, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 9
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 10
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 11
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.97
Iteration: 12
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.98
```

实验结果

迭代33次后, likelihood收敛为-314.03。三种硬币的正反面概率分布收敛为:

硬币a正面概率为0.71744366, 硬币b正面概率为0.49647873, 硬币c正面概率为0.28187633。

```
Iteration: 33
A = 0.72,B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
[[0.71744366 0.28255634]
  [0.49647873 0.50352127]
  [0.28187633 0.71812367]]

Process finished with exit code 0
```

与之前假设的三个参数对比:

硬币类型	假设正面朝上的概率	实际正面朝上的概率	EM算法收敛的正面朝上的概率
Α	0.8	0.7	0.71744366
В	0.5	0.5	0.49647873
С	0.4	0.3	0.28187633

迭代步骤放入附录中。

结论及心得

- 1. 本实验中,投掷次数极为重要。如果投掷次数选择较少,得出的实验结果波动相当大。原因有两点。其一是每组投掷十次,次数较少,无法逼近正确的硬币正反面概率;其二组数有限,EM算法逼近的值也依赖于此。
- 2. EM算法对初始值比较敏感。聚类结果随初始值波动大。EM算法很大程度取决于初始参数。例如硬币B的收敛概率就相当逼近正确值,而硬币a和硬币c的收敛概率就和正确值有一定距离。
 - 部分论文对此也有研究,例如Blömer J, Bujna K. Simple methods for initializing the EM algorithm for Gaussian mixture models[J]. CoRR, 2013.他们提出了基于著名的 K-means++ 算法和 Gonzalez 算法的新初始化方法。这些方法缩小了简单统一初始化技术和复杂方法之间的差距。
- 3. 关于EM算法收敛,他的收敛结果是基于数据的局部最优的算法,而不是全局极大值点。除本次结果实验外, 我也随机生成了其他100次、200次及500次投掷实验,而部分收敛结果与预期相差甚远,结论1中揭示了部分 原因。所以并不是只要收敛就一定是正确结果,也是需要看数据量和真实性。

参考内容

- 1. EM算法详解 Microstrong的文章 知乎 https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/40991784
- 2. https://github.com/luwill/Machine_Learning_Code_Implementation/tree/master/charpter22_EM

附录

1 抛掷结果

```
[[1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0],
[1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0],
```

```
[1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0],
[1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1],
[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]
[1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1],
[1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1],
[1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1],
[0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0],
[0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0],
[0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1]]
```

2 迭代内容

```
Iteration: 1
A = 0.76,B = 0.47, C = 0.35, likelihood = -319.52
Iteration: 2
A = 0.74,B = 0.47, C = 0.32, likelihood = -315.79
Iteration: 3
A = 0.73,B = 0.47, C = 0.31, likelihood = -314.74
Iteration: 4
A = 0.73,B = 0.47, C = 0.30, likelihood = -314.34
Iteration: 5
A = 0.73,B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -314.14
```

```
Iteration: 6
A = 0.72, B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -314.04
Iteration: 7
A = 0.72, B = 0.48, C = 0.29, likelihood = -313.98
Iteration: 8
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.29, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 9
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 10
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.96
Iteration: 11
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.97
Iteration: 12
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.98
Iteration: 13
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -313.99
Iteration: 14
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.00
Iteration: 15
A = 0.72, B = 0.49, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.00
Iteration: 16
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.01
Iteration: 17
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.01
Iteration: 18
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.02
Iteration: 19
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.02
Iteration: 20
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.02
Iteration: 21
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.02
Iteration: 22
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 23
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 24
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 25
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 26
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 27
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 28
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 29
A = 0.72, B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 30
```

```
A = 0.72,B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 31
A = 0.72,B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 32
A = 0.72,B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
Iteration: 33
A = 0.72,B = 0.50, C = 0.28, likelihood = -314.03
```

3 实验代码

```
# 导入numpy库和random库
import numpy as np
import random
# EM算法过程函数定义
def em(data, esti_p, max_iter, eps=1e-3):
   输入:
   data: 观测数据
   esti_p: 初始化的估计参数值
   max iter: 最大迭代次数
   eps: 收敛阈值
   输出:
   esti p: 估计参数
    1.1.1
   # 初始化似然函数值
   llh_old = -np.infty
   for i in range(max_iter):
       # E步: 求隐变量分布
       # 对数似然 [coin num, exp num]
       log_like = np.array([np.sum(data * np.log(theta), axis=1) for theta in esti_p])
       # 似然 [coin_num, exp_num]
       like = np.exp(log_like)
       # 求隐变量分布 [coin_num, exp_num]
       ws = like/like.sum(0)
       # 概率加权
       vs = np.array([w[:, None] * data for w in ws])
       # M步: 更新参数值
       esti_p = np.array([v.sum(0)/v.sum() for v in vs])
       # 更新似然函数
       llh_new = np.sum([w*l for w, l in zip(ws, log_like)])
       print("Iteration: %d" % (i+1))
       print("A = %.2f, B = %.2f, C = %.2f, likelihood = %.2f"
             % (esti_p[0,0], esti_p[1,0],esti_p[2,0], llh_new))
       # 满足迭代条件即退出迭代
       if np.abs(llh_new - llh_old) < eps:</pre>
           break
       llh_old = llh_new
```

```
return esti p
# 生成随机投掷的函数
def random pick(some list, probabilities):
   x = random.uniform(0,1)
   cumulative_probability = 0.0
   for item, item_probability in zip(some_list, probabilities):
        cumulative_probability += item_probability
        if x < cumulative_probability:</pre>
              break
   return item
if __name__ == "__main__":
   # coin_list: 硬币列表, 0代表硬币a, 1代表硬币b, 2代表硬币c
   coin list = [0, 1, 2]
   # probabilities: 代表硬币混合比例, s1=0.3, s2=0.4,1-s1-s2=0.3
   probabilities = [0.3, 0.4, 0.3]
   # coin ht:代表硬币的正反面,0代表反面,1代表正面
   coin ht = [0, 1]
   # coin_single_pro:代表硬币正反面朝上的概率模型;硬币a正面朝上的概率0.7,硬币b正面朝上的概率为
0.5, 硬币c正面朝上的概率为0.3
   coin\_single\_pro = [[0.3, 0.7], [0.5, 0.5], [0.7, 0.3]]
   # observed:代表n组扔硬币,每组挑一个一个硬币扔十次,共计10n次;这里我选取扔50组,共计500次投掷
   observed=[]
   # coin:代表每组挑出的硬币类型, 0、1、2分别对应硬币a, 硬币b, 硬币c
   # coin_dic: 代表投掷次数的所有结果,以01表示,0代表反面,1代表正面
   coin_dic = []
   # print(random_pick(coin_list,probabilities))
   for i in range(0, 50):
       coin.append(random_pick(coin_list, probabilities))
   # print(coin)
   # print(len(coin))
   for i in range(0, len(coin)):
       coin_single = []
       for j in range(0, 10):
           # 硬币的概率coin_sin_pro[coin_list[i]]
           coin_single.append(random_pick(coin_ht, coin_single_pro[coin[i]]))
           # print(coin single)
       coin_dic.append(coin_single)
   #print(coin dic)
   for i in range(0, 50):
       num = 0
       for j in range(0, 10):
           num = num + coin_dic[i][j]
       observed.append((num, 10 - num))
```

```
#print(num)
print("Coin toss list: ")
print(coin_dic)
# 观测数据, n次独立试验, 每次试验10次抛掷的正反次数
# 比如第一次试验为5次正面5次反面
observed_data = np.array(observed)
# 初始化参数值, 这是一个猜测值, 在这里我假设硬币a的正面概率为0.8, 硬币B的正面概率为0.5, 硬币C的正面概率为0.4
esti_p = np.array([[0.8, 0.2], [0.5, 0.5],[0.4, 0.6]])
esti_p = em(observed_data, esti_p, max_iter=500, eps=1e-4)
print(esti_p)
```