

## Problem Set 4

Due: 10:00pm, Tuesday, November 5, 2024 (via Gradescope)

1. **(Order statistics)** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  distribution, where  $\lambda > 0$ , and let  $X_{(i)}$  be the order statistics for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- (a) Find the distribution of  $X_{(1)}$ .
- (b) Using the memoryless property, find the distribution of  $X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .
- (c) Use the previous item to show that each  $X_{(i)}$  has the same distribution as a sum of  $i$  independent random variables.
- (d) Calculate the expectation and the variance of  $X_{(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- (a) Since  $X_{(1)} = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} X_i$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} > t) = \mathbb{P}(X_i > t)^n = e^{-n\lambda t}$ . Hence  $X_{(1)}$  is distributed as an exponential random variable with parameter  $n\lambda$ .

- (b) For each  $i$ , consider the  $n-i$  random variables  $Y_k = X_k - X_{(i)} |_{X_k > X_{(i)}}$ . The key observation is that these random variables have exponential distributions, an application of the memoryless property gives

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k > t) = \mathbb{P}(X_k - X_{(i)} > t | X_k > X_{(i)}) = \mathbb{P}(X_k > t).$$

Moreover,  $X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)}$  corresponds to the minimum of the random variables  $Y_k$  and hence has exponential distribution with parameter  $(n-i)\lambda$ .

- (c) It follows from our previous argument that we can write  $X_i = \sum_{k=1}^i X_{(k)} - X_{(k-1)}$  where  $X_{(0)} = 0$ . Hence we can describe  $X_{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^i Z_k$  where  $Z_k$  is a collection of independent random variables,  $Z_k$  with exponential distribution with parameter  $(n-k+1)\lambda$ .
- (d) Finally, using our previous formula we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{(i)}] = \sum_{k=1}^i \mathbb{E}[Z_k] = \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{1}{(n-k+1)\lambda}.$$

Similarly for the variance,

$$\text{Var}[X_{(i)}] = \sum_{k=1}^i \text{Var}[Z_k] = \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^2 \lambda^2}.$$

2. **(Joint and conditional densities)** Let  $X, Y$  be two random variables with the following properties.  $Y$  has density function  $f_Y(y) = 3y^2$  for  $0 < y < 1$  and zero elsewhere. For  $0 < y < 1$ , given that  $Y = y$ ,  $X$  has conditional density function  $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{2x}{y^2}$  for  $0 < x < y$  and zero elsewhere.

- (a) Find the joint density function  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  of  $X, Y$ . Be precise about the values  $(x, y)$  for which your formula is valid. Check that the joint density function you find integrates to 1.

- (b) Find the conditional density function of  $Y$ , given  $X = x$ . Be precise about the values of  $x$  and  $y$  for which the answer is valid. Identify the conditional distribution of  $Y$  by name.

- (a) The joint density is given by

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_Y(y) = \frac{2x}{y^2}1_{0 < x < y} \cdot 3y^2 1_{0 < y < 1} = 6x \cdot 1_{0 < x < y < 1}.$$

We have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^y 6x dx dy = 1.$$

- (b) We first calculate the marginal density of  $X$ ,  $f_X(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy = \int_x^1 6x dx = 6x(1-x) \cdot 1_{0 < x < 1}$ . We can now calculate the conditional density of  $Y$  given  $X$ .

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{1}{1-x} \cdot 1_{x < y < 1}.$$

We conclude that the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $X$  is  $\mathcal{U}(X, 1)$ , uniform on the interval  $(X, 1)$ .

3. **(Model selection)** Given data  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , consider the problem of selecting between the two models:

$$\text{Model One : } X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

and

$$\text{Model Two : } X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(\mu, 1) \text{ for an unknown } \mu.$$

To use probability to solve this problem, let us introduce an additional random variable  $\Theta$  that has the Bernoulli distribution with parameter 0.5. Assume that the conditional distribution of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  given  $\Theta = \theta$  is given by the following

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \Theta = 0 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

and

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \mu, \Theta = 1 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(\mu, 1) \text{ and } \mu \mid \Theta = 1 \sim N(0, \tau^2).$$

Here  $\tau$  is a parameter which you can treat as a fixed constant in this exercise.

- (a) Using the formula

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \mu, \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f_{\mu | \Theta=1}(\mu) d\mu$$

prove that

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + n\tau^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{n^2 \tau^2 \bar{x}^2}{2(1 + n\tau^2)} \right),$$

where  $\bar{x}$  is the mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \mu, \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f_{\mu | \Theta=1}(\mu) d\mu \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\tau^2}\right) d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{n+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2\mu n\bar{x} + n\mu^2\right)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\tau^2}\right) d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)\mu^2 - 2n\mu\bar{x}\right)\right) d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n + \frac{1}{\tau^2}}} \exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+n\tau^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Calculate the conditional distribution of  $\Theta$  given  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ .

From Model One, we know

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=0}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2}\right).$$

By Bayes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\Theta = 1 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Theta = 1) f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\mathbb{P}(\Theta = 0) f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=0}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \mathbb{P}(\Theta = 1) f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\
&= \frac{f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=0}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + f_{X_1, \dots, X_n | \Theta=1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+n\tau^2}} \exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+n\tau^2}} \exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\Theta = 0 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\Theta = 1 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+n\tau^2}} \exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Intuitively, we would prefer Model Two over Model One when  $\bar{x}$  is far from zero. Is this intuition reflected in your conditional distribution from the previous part?

Yes. When  $\bar{x}$  is close to zero, the exponential term  $\exp\left(\frac{n^2\tau^2\bar{x}^2}{2(1+n\tau^2)}\right)$  is approximately 1, and the square root term  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+n\tau^2}}$  is small for large  $n$ , so  $(\Theta = 1)$  remains small, meaning we favor Model One. As  $\bar{x}$  moves away from zero, the exponential term grows rapidly, dominating the expression, so  $\mathbb{P}(\Theta = 1)$  increases and close to 1, favoring Model Two.

4. **(Gamma-Poisson)** Consider random variables  $\Theta, X_1, \dots, X_n$  such that

$$\Theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \Theta = \theta \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\theta)$$

(a) Find the conditional distribution of  $\Theta$  given  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$ .

The conditional distribution of  $\Theta$  given  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Theta|X_1=x_1, X_2=x_2, \dots, X_n=x_n}(\theta) &\propto f_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n|\Theta=\theta}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) f_{\Theta}(\theta) \\ &\propto \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i|\Theta=\theta}(x_i) \right) f_{\Theta}(\theta) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{x_i} e^{-\theta}}{x_i!} 1\{x_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda\theta} 1\{\theta > 0\} \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} 1\{x_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda\theta} 1\{\theta > 0\} \\ &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1+\sum x_i} e^{-(n+\lambda)\theta} 1\{x_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} 1\{\theta > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above is in the form of a  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum x_i, n + \lambda)$  distribution. Therefore, the exact distribution of  $\Theta$  given  $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n$  is

$$f_{\Theta|X_1=x_1, X_2=x_2, \dots, X_n=x_n}(\theta) = \frac{(n + \lambda)^{\alpha + \sum x_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha + \sum x_i)} \theta^{\alpha + \sum x_i - 1} e^{-(n+\lambda)\theta} 1\{\theta > 0\},$$

where  $x_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

(b) Find  $\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n]$ .

We know that for  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ . Since  $\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum x_i, n + \lambda)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n] = \frac{\alpha + \sum x_i}{n + \lambda}.$$

(c) Write  $\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n]$  as a weighted linear combination of  $(x_1 + \dots + x_n)/n$  and the mean of the marginal distribution (i.e., prior mean) of  $\Theta$  and argue that the weight of the prior mean goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We can express  $\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n]$  as a weighted linear combination of the sample mean  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  and the prior mean  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ . Specifically, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n] = \frac{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{n + \lambda} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{n}{n + \lambda} \cdot \bar{x}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the weight on the prior mean,  $\frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda}$ , tends to 0, meaning the prior mean becomes less influential. Conversely, the weight on the sample mean,  $\frac{n}{n+\lambda}$ , tends to 1, meaning the sample

mean dominates as  $n$  increases. Thus, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the conditional expectation of  $\Theta$  approaches the sample mean  $\bar{x}$ , which aligns with the intuition that with more data, the influence of the prior diminishes, and the posterior is dominated by the data.

5. **(Law of total expectation)** Let the joint probability mass function (p.m.f.) of  $(X, Y)$  be

$$p_{X,Y}(k, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^n}, & \text{for } 1 \leq n < \infty \text{ and } 1 \leq k < \infty, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find the p.m.f.  $p_Y(n)$  of  $Y$  and the conditional p.m.f  $p_{X|Y}(k|n)$ .
- (b) Calculate  $\mathbb{E}[Y]$ .
- (c) Find the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ .
- (d) Use parts (a) and (c) to calculate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ .

(a) We start with a calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} p_Y(n) &= \sum_k p_{X,Y}(k, n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)2^n} (n+1) = \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have that  $p_{X|Y}(k|n) = \frac{p_{X,Y}(k, n)}{p_Y(n)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{k-1}$ . We are able to recognize this distributions.

$$Y \sim \text{Geom}(1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad X|_{Y=n} \sim \text{Geom}(1/(n+1)).$$

- (b) We automatically conclude from (a) that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 2$ .
- (c) Since  $X|_{Y=n} \sim \text{Geom}(1/(n+1))$  we automatically conclude that  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y = n] = n + 1$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y] = Y + 1$ .
- (d) We finally have that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[Y + 1] = \mathbb{E}[Y] + 1 = 3$ .

6. **(Expected number of coin tosses)** Consider a sequence of coin tosses.

- (a) On average, how many tosses of a fair coin does it take to see two heads in a row?
- (b) How many tosses on average to see the sequence HTH for the first time?
- (c) How does our answer changes if we have an unfair coin?

- (a) Let  $X$  be the random variable describing the number of tosses needed to see the heads in a row. Let  $A$  be the event that the first toss is tails,  $B$  the event that the first two tosses are heads and  $C$  the event that the first toss is head and the second is tails. Observe that the following equation is satisfied

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X|A]\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{E}[X|B]\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{E}[X|C]\mathbb{P}(C).$$

Now the key observation is that  $\mathbb{E}[X|A] = \mathbb{E}[X] + 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X|B] = 2$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X|C] = \mathbb{E}[X] + 2$ . We can now solve the equation

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X] + 1}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[X] + 2}{4}.$$

We get  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 6$ .

- (b) We repeat the previous argument. Let  $x$  represents the expected number of tosses to get  $HTH$ ,  $y$  the expected number of tosses to get  $HTH$  given that our last toss is  $H$  and  $z$  the expected number of tosses to get  $HTH$  given that our last toss is  $HT$ . We then obtain the following system of equations.  $a = \frac{a+1}{2} + \frac{b+1}{2}$ .  $b = \frac{b+1}{2} + \frac{c+1}{2}$  and finally  $c = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a+1}{2}$ . Solving the system of equations gives  $a = 10$ .
- (c) This is completely analogous to part (a) and (b) only that the probability to get  $H$  is now  $p$ . Solving the equations we get that the expected number of tosses to get  $HH$  is  $\frac{1+p}{p^2}$  while the expected number of tosses to get  $HTH$  is  $\frac{1+p-p^2}{p^2(1-p)}$ .