

Problem Set 6

Due: 10:00pm, Friday, December 6, 2024 (via Gradescope)

1. (**Branching process**) A branching process starts with one individual, i.e. $X(0) = 1$, who reproduces according to the following principle:

# of children	0	1	2
probability	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

Individuals reproduce independently of each other and independently of the number of their sisters and brothers. Determine

- (a) the probability that the population becomes extinct;

The probability generating function of the number of offspring is

$$\phi(s) = \sum_{k=0}^2 s^k p_k = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}s + \frac{1}{2}s^2.$$

The probability of extinction is the smallest solution s to the equation

$$s = \phi(s).$$

Solving this equation, the probability of extinction is $\frac{1}{3}$.

- (b) the probability that the population has become extinct in the second generation, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0) &= \mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0 \mid X(1) = 0) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0 \mid X(1) = 1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 1) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0 \mid X(1) = 2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 2) \\ &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{17}{72}. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) the expected number of children given that there are no grandchildren.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X(1) \mid X(2) = 0] &= \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 1 \mid X(2) = 0) \cdot 1 + \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 2 \mid X(2) = 0) \cdot 2 \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0 \mid X(1) = 1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0)} \cdot 1 \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0 \mid X(1) = 2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 2)}{\mathbb{P}(X(2) = 0)} \cdot 2 \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right)}{\frac{17}{72}} \cdot 1 + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{17}{72}} \cdot 2 \\ &= \frac{6}{17}. \end{aligned}$$

2. **(Random walk)** Random walk on $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Consider the Markov chain (X_n) with transition matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

started with $X_0 = 0$. Define T_j as $\min\{n \geq 1 : X_n = j\}$. Find explicitly the following distributions and expectations.

- (a) The distribution of X_2 .

$$P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P(X_2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2}, P(X_2 = 1) = P(X_2 = 2) = \frac{1}{4}, P(X_2 = 3) = 0.$$

- (b) The limit distribution of X_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By solving $\pi P = \pi$, we have $\pi = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$. Since the MC has finite state space S and it's irreducible and aperiodic, in limiting distribution theorem, we know for $i, j \in 0, 1, 2, 3$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [P^n]_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore the limit distribution of X_n is also π .

- (c) $\mathbb{E}[T_0]$

Define $h_k = \mathbb{E}[\min\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 0\} \mid X_0 = k]$. By one-step analysis, we derive the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = 0, \\ h_1 = \frac{1}{2}h_0 + \frac{1}{2}h_2 + 1, \\ h_2 = \frac{1}{2}h_1 + \frac{1}{2}h_3 + 1, \\ h_3 = \frac{1}{2}h_2 + \frac{1}{2}h_3 + 1. \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we find

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = 0, \\ h_1 = 6, \\ h_2 = 10, \\ h_3 = 12. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the expected value of T_0 is given by:

$$\mathbb{E}[T_0] = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^3 h_k P(X_1 = k) = \frac{1}{2} \times 0 + \frac{1}{2} \times 6 + 1 = 4.$$

- (d) $\mathbb{E}[T_3]$

Define $g_k = \mathbb{E}[\min\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 3\} \mid X_0 = k]$. Similar to (c), by one-step analysis, we derive the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} g_0 = \frac{1}{2}g_0 + \frac{1}{2}g_1 + 1, \\ g_1 = \frac{1}{2}g_0 + \frac{1}{2}g_2 + 1, \\ g_2 = \frac{1}{2}g_1 + \frac{1}{2}g_3 + 1, \\ g_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we find

$$\begin{cases} g_0 = 12, \\ g_1 = 10, \\ g_2 = 6, \\ g_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, since it definitely takes more than one step from 0 to 3,

$$\mathbb{E}[T_3] = \mathbb{E}[\min\{n \geq 1 : X_n = 3\} \mid X_0 = 0] = \mathbb{E}[\min\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 3\} \mid X_0 = 0] = 12.$$

(e) $\mathbb{P}[T_3 < T_0]$

Define $f_k = \mathbb{P}(T_3 < T_1 \mid X_1 = k)$. We can derive the following system of equations by conditional probabilities

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = 0, \\ f_1 = \frac{1}{2}f_0 + \frac{1}{2}f_2, \\ f_2 = \frac{1}{2}f_1 + \frac{1}{2}f_3, \\ f_3 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we find

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = 0, \\ f_1 = \frac{1}{3}, \\ f_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \\ f_3 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$\mathbb{P}(T_3 < T_1) = \mathbb{P}(T_3 < T_1 \mid X_1 = 1)P(X_1 = 1) + \mathbb{P}(T_3 < T_1 \mid X_1 = 0)P(X_1 = 0) = 1/6.$$

3. **(The average number of jobs)** Jennifer is employed for one day at a time. When she is out of work, she visits the job agency in the morning to see if there is work for that day. There is a job for her with probability $1/2$. If there is no work, she comes back the next day. When she has a job, she will be called back to the same job for the next day with probability $2/3$. When she is not called back, she goes to the job agency again the next morning to look for a new job that she had not had previously. Approximate the average number of jobs Jennifer works in a year.

There are multiple solutions to this problem. A short approximation consist in calculating the average number of days on the same job and the average number of days without a job. Let X be the random variable representing the number of days on a fixed job, X has geometric distribution

with parameter $1/3$. Let Y be a random variable representing the number of days without job, Y also has geometric distribution but starts at 0, with parameter $1/2$. We get $\mathbb{E}[X] = 3$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 1$. Hence $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = 4$. We obtain $365/4 \approx 91.25$.

4. **(Rain or no rain)** Suppose that at day 0 it is not raining. Then each new day, if it rained yesterday, it will rain with probability 0.7; if it did not rain yesterday, it will rain with probability 0.2.

- (a) Find the stationary distribution.

This is an irreducible finite state Markov chain. Hence an stationary distribution exists and is unique. It is enough to find π_r and π_n , the stationary distribution for rain and not rain respectively, by solving the system of equations $-0.3\pi_r + 0.2\pi_n = 0$, $0.3\pi_r - 0.2\pi_n = 0$, $\pi_r + \pi_n = 1$. We get $(\pi_r, \pi_n) = (2/5, 3/5)$.

- (b) How many days should we expect to wait to have rain for the first time?

Suppose we start from a non-rainy day. Then by considering non-rainy days as failures and rainy days as successes. Then for X the random variable giving the first day with rain, X is geometric with parameter $0.2 = 1/5$. Hence $\mathbb{E}[x] = 5$.

5. **(The game of roulette)** A gambler plays the game of roulette, betting X dollars on red or black. The gambler wins X dollars with probability $p = 18/38$ or loses the bet with probability $q = 20/38$. Suppose that the gambler starts the game with \$500 in his pocket and upper limit on winnings is \$1000.

- (a) Compute the probability of the gambler's ruin for $X = \$10$.

This problem can be solved by considering a Markov chain on $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, where N is a positive integer; 0 and N are absorbing boundaries; and for $j = 1, \dots, N - 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+1} = j - 1 \mid X_t = j] = q = 1 - p,$$

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+1} = j + 1 \mid X_t = j] = p.$$

Let R denote the event that you hit the boundary 0 before hitting the boundary N . Define $u_j := \mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = j]$. Then, $u_0 = 1$ and $u_N = 0$, while for $j = 1, \dots, N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &= \mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = j] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = j, X_1 = j - 1] \mathbb{P}[X_1 = j - 1 \mid X_0 = j] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = j, X_1 = j + 1] \mathbb{P}[X_1 = j + 1 \mid X_0 = j] \\ &= (1 - p) u_{j-1} + p u_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, rewrite the left hand side as $p\mu_j + (1 - p)\mu_j$ and rearrange terms to get

$$p[\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j] = (1 - p)[\mu_j - \mu_{j-1}].$$

Define $r := \frac{1-p}{p}$ and $\Delta_j := \mu_j - \mu_{j-1}$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2 &= r\Delta_1 \\ \Delta_3 &= r\Delta_2 = r^2\Delta_1 \\ \Delta_4 &= r\Delta_3 = r^2\Delta_2 = r^3\Delta_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \Delta_N &= r^{N-1}\Delta_1.\end{aligned}$$

Further, for all $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \dots + \Delta_j = \mu_j - \mu_0 = \mu_j - 1$, where we have used the boundary condition in the last equality. Hence,

$$\mu_j = 1 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \dots + \Delta_j = 1 + \Delta_1[1 + r + \dots + r^{j-1}].$$

Since $\mu_N = 0$, we obtain $\Delta_1 = -1/[1 + r + \dots + r^{N-1}]$, so

$$\mu_j = 1 - \frac{1 + r + \dots + r^{j-1}}{1 + r + \dots + r^{N-1}} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{j}{N}, & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \frac{r^j - r^N}{1 - r^N}, & \text{if } r \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Using $N = 100$ and $X_0 = 50$ in (1) gives $\mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = 50] \approx 0.995$.

- (b) Compute the probability of the gambler's ruin for $X = \$100$.

Similarly, this is equivalent to having $N = 10$ and $X_0 = 5$ in the ruin problem, and we immediately obtain $\mathbb{P}[R \mid X_0 = 5] \approx 0.629$.

- (c) Compare the above results with the probability of ruin in the case the gambler bets everything on a single turn of the wheel.

If the gambler bets everything on a single turn of the wheel, the probability of ruin is $q = 1 - p = 20/38 \approx 0.526$. This probability is lower than either one of the cases above.