Consider two coin tosses. Posable outcomes 3HH, HT, TH, TT =: 2 Some events we may want to consider: E1: = An event that the first toss is H = {HH, HT} < 52  $E_1^{c} :=$  " " " " " "  $T = \{TT, TH\} \subset \Omega$   $E_2^{c} :=$  " " Second tosa is  $H = \{HH, TH\} \subset \Omega$   $E_2^{c} :=$  " " "  $T = \{TT, HT\} \subset \Omega$ ~ T = {TT, HT}< 2 EINEI = P, FIUEI = SZ EUE, = {HH, HT, TH} E, OE = EHH? (E1 UE2) = {TT} = E1 NE2 De Morgan's law Probability of events

R[E] = 9, R[E] = 9 Identically distributed R[E] = 1-p R[E] = 1-q if  $p \neq q$   $R[E] \cap E_1 = r$  r = pq if independent (11) In general,  $0 \le r \le \min \{p, q\} \le mce E_1 \cap E_2 \subset E_1, E_2$ Probability space (S, F, II) Ω = Sample space, the sot of all outcomes. Fr = a σ-algebra (ara σ-field) on Ω A set of subsets of 22 satisfying certain properties P= probability measure

Def: (T-algebra of Measurable sets)

Given a set 5, F=T(s) is called a r-alg on s if
a) \$5 & F

Prower set of s b) Ae∓ ⇒ Ace∓ b) A ∈ 7 ⇒ A ∈ 7 Closed under c) A; ∈ 7 Closed under countable union A 67 is called 7-measurable

e.g. 1) fr = {0,5} the smallest or-alg 1-2 Def Measwolle space (S, 7) la oralg on S Def (Measure) Let (S,F) be a neasurable space. A non-negative set function  $u: F \to [0,\infty]$  is called a K> U [~) measure on (S, 7); f a) M(\$)=0 b)  $\forall$  (Aie $\mp$ , ie N) st. Ai  $\cap$  Aj =  $\varphi$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  (Ai) =  $\mathcal{D}$  u (Ai) countably additive Kemorks: 1) (5,4, M) is called a measure space. 1) (3, 7, M) is univered

2) If M(5) = 1, M is called a probability measure,
often denoted by R.

3) Specifying (S, F) constrains the possible
measure that can be defined on it.

2:9. Consider a measure 2 on (R, 7CR) sortistying

i) 2([a,b]) = b-a, for b>a

i) 2([a,b]) = b-a, for b>a ii)  $\lambda(x+A)=\lambda(A)$ , for xeR, AGP(R) I a subset V∈PCR) for which  $\lambda(V)$  cannot be defined consistantly (e.g. Vitaly set) (Not Labesque meascurable) e.g.) Consider a unit ball B C R3 and drop a pt or u.a.r. on B. For only subset ACB, can we define R[>c & A] = Volume (A) > No! (Banach-Touski) Some A & PCB) one not lebesque measurable