(a)

According to the definition of "stable match" described in the class, for any pairs of (r, h):

- (r,h) are matched, which is case 1 in the problem statement
- (r, h') are matched and r prefers h' to h or (r', h) are matched and h prefers r' to r, which is case 2 in the problem statement

Hence, for any set of prospective residents and hospitals and any preference lists, all scenarios can be regarded as the cases of stable matching we learned from the class, which indicates stable matching.

The algorithm is stated as follow:

```
Algorithm 1 Algorithm for problem 2a
```

Initially all $r \in R$ have not applied and $h \in H$ have not received any applications

While there is an unmatched prospective resident r who has not applied to every hospital in his/her preference list

```
Choose such a prospective resident r
```

Let h be the highest-ranked hospital in r's preference list which r has not yet applied

If h is not matched to anyone then

(r,h) are matched

Else h is currently matched to r'

If h prefers r' to r then

r remains unmatched

Else h prefers r to r'

(r, h) become matched

r' becomes unmatched

End if

End if

End while

Return the set S of matched pairs.

Time complexity analysis:

The complexity of one round is O(1)

Observing each applicant applies to each hospital no more than once

 $n \text{ applicants} \times m \text{ hospitals} = O(nm)$

The answer is **yes**.

Example:

	Ар	Applicants		Hospitals	
A	١	а		— А	a,b
A	١	b		В	b,a
(Preference List)				С	a,b
•	·			D	a,b
					(Preference List)

In this scenario, each applicant only has 1 preference (i.e., k=1). Since applicant a, b only prefer A, given the rules, we can only get a matched while b remains unmatched. But if aplicants can apply hospitals other than there top k choices, (i.e., b can apply B, C, D now), then in this case b will be matched to B, which gives a better match.