# Title of the paper

Revision notes

Claudio Di Ciccio\* 1, Author Ecs2, Writer Why3, and Contributor Zed3

<sup>1</sup> Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
<sup>2</sup> University of City, World
<sup>3</sup> City University, World

Dear Editors,

We express our gratitude for the time and effort dedicated to the reviewing of our submitted manuscript. We worked diligently to address all the concerns raised by the referees. Below we provide our detailed response to their comments. We hope that the applied revisions are to the satisfaction of the editors.

Kind regards,

Claudio Di Ciccio, Author Ecs, Writer Why, Contributor Zed

### Manuscript information

Number: JRNL\_YEAR\_NUM

Title: "Title of the paper"

 ${\bf Authors:}\,$  Claudio Di Ciccio, Author Ecs, Writer Why, Contributor Zed

Submitted to: Journal of Something

Special issue: SI:CONF20XX

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

⊠ Wirtschafsuniversität Wien, Welthandelsplatz 1, 1020 Vienna, Austria

Ø claudio.di.ciccio@wu.ac.at

**<sup>☎</sup>** +43 1 31336 5222

### Reviewer 1

### Comment I (Reviewer 1):

This paper talks about something and is very good.

**Answer:** We thank the Reviewer for the thorough summary of the contents and the appreciation in our work.

Assignment: Claudio

Done

# Reviewer 2

### Comment II (Reviewer 2):

The paper presents a breakthrough approach to tackle an interesting challenge. However, I have not understood what the challenge is.

**Answer:** We have worked on the paper in order to make the contribution clearer. We hope that the Reviewer is now satisfied by the modifications applied to this revision.

Assignment: Claudio

Done

# Reviewer 3

# Comment III (Reviewer 3):

 $The \ manuscript \ has \ a \ very \ intriguing \ title.$ 

**Answer:** We express our gratitude to the Reviewer for the appreciation in our work.

Assignment: Claudio

Done

#### How to use commands for this response letter

#### Comment IV (Reviewer N):

Comment of Reviewer N.

**Answer:** Reply of the authors. Below it, please assign the task to one of the authors, and define one of the following (expected) levels of difficulty. For instance:

#### \Assignment{?} \NotEstimatedRevTask

for unassigned/not assessed revision tasks, or

#### \Assignment{Claudio} \MediumRevTask

otherwise. When a revision task is done, please mark it with "Done": \RevTaskDone. When a revision task is work-in-progress, please mark it with "Work-in-progress": \WorkInProgressRevTask. To mark a revision task as almost done, we can use the command \AlmostDoneRevTask. All boxes about the difficulty or the status of the revision task will disappear by adding the final option to the document. If there are some notes that should be highlighted, say, in the answer to reviewers, please use the command defined on purpose:

#### \NoteInEvidence{please use the command defined on purpose:}

Should you want to emphasise some changes in the manuscript for further check, discussing with the other co-authors, etc., please enclose the text to be highlighted in something  $\dots$ 

Comment IV

```
\begin{HlRev}[\ref{memo:example:comment}]
... just like this. The parameter (here \ref{memo:example:comment}) is optional.
```

It is put after "Comment" as a side-note.

 $\verb|\end{HlRev}|$ 

Please notice that to refer to comments within the document, they first must be labelled with an optional parameter:

#### \begin{ReviewerComment}[\label{memo:example:comment}]{N}

Later on, also the \cref{memo:example:comment} command can be used, to automatically obtain: Comment IV.

To mention sections, figures, etc., please use the \ref command as usual: When referring to the manuscript, prepend paper: as a prefix before the actual section/figure/etc. label ID. For instance, the "??" of "Section ??" is here generated by this LATEX code:

### \ref{paper:sec:introduction}

In the following, a couple examples are given. Later on in this page, the different levels of difficulty and the statuses of the referred modifications are listed.

#### Comment V (Reviewer N):

Comment of Reviewer N.

**Answer:** Reply to Reviewer.

Assignment: Author Effort not estimated

### Comment VI (Reviewer N):

Comment of Reviewer N.

**Answer:** Reply to Reviewer.

**Assignment:** Author Effort not estimated

# Comment VII (Reviewer N):

Start of the reviewer's comment.

**Answer:** In-between reply of the authors.

Assignment: Author Hard one

Reprise of the reviewer's comment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If you read "??" it must be because the referenced paper has no section labelled as "sec:introduction".

**Answer:** In-between (or final) reply of the authors.

 ${\bf Assignment:}\ \underline{\rm Author}$ 

Done

Assignment: Author

Effort not estimated

Feasible

Medium effort

Time-consuming

Hard one Death

Work in progress

Almost there

Done