Recall a proof structure for **strong** induction: To prove a sequence of statements P(m), P(m + 1), P(m + 2), . . . for some integer m and predicate  $P(\cdot)$ :

- Prove P(m).
- Prove that  $[P(m) \land P(m-1) \land ... \land P(n-1)] \Rightarrow P(n)$  for all integers n > m. That is, for all integers n > m, prove P(n) assuming P(k) for all k with  $m \le k < n$ , for all n > m.

Finally, conclude that, by weak induction, P(m), P(m+1), P(m+2), . . . are all true; that is, for all integers  $n \ge m$ , P(n) is true.

1. Finish the TODOs in the following proof by strong induction that every positive integer *n* can be written in binary; that is, *n* can be written as a sum of **distinct**, non-negative integer powers of two.

*Proof.* We prove the claim by strong induction, as follows. Let P(n) be the predicate "n can be written as a sum of **distinct**, non-negative integer powers of two." We wish to show P(n) is true for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

**Base case:** *TODO:* 

**Inductive hypothesis:** Let n be an arbitrary integer with n > 1. Assume P(k) is true for all k with  $1 \le k < n$ .

**Inductive step:** We wish to show P(n) holds. We consider two cases for n: either n is even or n is odd.

If n is even, then n/2 is an integer. TODO: Justify that the induction hypothesis implies something useful about n/2, then finish this case.

Otherwise, n is odd. TODO: Justify that the induction hypothesis implies something useful about n/2, then finish this case. For sake of time, identify what is different about the argument for this case than for the above.

Our case analysis is exhaustive, and in each case, P(n) holds. By strong induction, we conclude that P(n) holds for all  $n \ge 1$ .

2. We are given a rectangular chocolate bar with a rows and b columns that compose  $a \times b$  squares of chocolate. Such a bar can be split into two smaller rectangular bars by either a horizontal or vertical break into a (positive integer) number of rows or columns, respectively. For example, a  $2 \times 3$  bar can be broken by separating the leftmost column from the others, resulting in two smaller bars: a  $2 \times 1$  bar and a  $2 \times 2$  bar.

Use strong induction to prove the following: *Any* process to break a chocolate bar into  $a \times b$  squares uses ab - 1 breaks.

To get started, we must formulate the given claim in the proper form for induction: P(n) for all  $n \ge m$  for some integer m and predicate  $P(\cdot)$ . How should the single predicate variable correspond to the dimensions of a bar? [Hint: Consider the total number of squares of the bar.]

3. A *continued fraction* is a number expressed as either an integer n or n + 1/F, where F is a continued fraction. (Note the recursive definition!) Prove that any non-negative rational number can be represented as a finite continued fraction. For example,

$$\frac{415}{93} = 4 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{6 + \frac{1}{7}}}.$$

You may use the *division theorem*, which states: For any integer a and positive integer b, there exists non-negative integers q, r such that a = qb + r and r < b. That is, q is the quotient and r is the remainder of a divided by b.

[Hint: As in the previous problem, it is not immediately clear how to formulate this as a sequence of statements to be proven by strong induction. Consider the predicate P(n) as "any rational number a/n for non-negative integer a can be written as a continued fraction.", then prove P(n) for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .]

To think about later: Suppose one formulates the claim with predicate P(n) as "any rational number n/b for positive integer b can be written as a continued fraction.". Besides the base case changing from n = 1 to n = 0, where else are there issues in reusing the proof using the hinted predicate above?

Weak Industrian

- P(m)

- V n z m, Pm) => P(n+1)

- V n = m, Pen-1) => Pen)

Strong Industrian

- P(m)

- P(m)

- V n = m, Pen-1) => Pen)

Strong Industrian

- P(m)

- P(m)

- V n > m

- V n > m

P(m) \( \text{P(m+1)} \) \( \text{N} \cdots \) \( \text{P(m)} \) \( \text{P(m+1)} \) \( \text{N} \cdots \) \( \text{P(m)} \)

Remark: Strong Mohntolon does not need to really use all P(m) -- P(n-1) to imply P(m)

3. A continued fraction is a number expressed as either an integer n or n + 1/F, where F is a continued fraction. (Note the recursive definition!) Prove that any non-negative rational number can be represented as a finite continued fraction. For example,

CF 
$$\frac{1}{F}$$
  $\frac{415}{93} = 4 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{6 + \frac{1}{7}}}$ .

You may use the *division theorem*, which states: For any integer a and positive integer b, there exists non-negative integers q, r such that a = qb + r and r < b. That is, q is the quotient and r is the remainder of a divided by b.

[Hint: As in the previous problem, it is not immediately clear how to formulate this as a sequence of statements to be proven by strong induction. Consider the predicate P(n) as "any rational number a/n for non-negative integer a can be written as a continued fraction.", then prove P(n) for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .]

Pry division than,  $\exists$  non-negotike lateger 9, r 5. t.  $a = 2n + r \quad \text{with} \quad r < n$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{n} = \frac{2n+r}{n}$$

$$= 9 + \frac{r}{n}$$

$$= 9 + \frac{r}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ By 7H and dof, } \frac{a}{n} \text{ is a cf}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ By 4 trong induction. } \text{ pin) holds for } \text{ on } = 1.$$

To think about later: Suppose one formulates the claim with predicate P(n) as "any rational number n/b for positive integer b can be written as a continued fraction.". Besides the base case changing from n = 1 to n = 0, where else are there issues in reusing the proof using the hinted predicate above?

$$BC: n=0. \frac{4}{6} = \frac{0}{6} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow CF$$

Pay division than, 
$$\exists \gamma, r \in \mathbb{N}$$
 5.t  $b = qn + r$ ,  $o < r < n$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{h}{b} = \frac{h}{qn + r} \quad (hard to proceed)$$

$$= \frac{4}{b} = \frac{4b+r}{b}$$

$$= 4 + \frac{r}{b}$$