## ECO4010 Tutorial 1

- 1. Consider a choice problem with choice set  $X = \{x, y, z\}$ . Consider the following choice structures:
  - (a)  $(\mathcal{B}_1, C(\cdot))$ , in which  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\{x, y\}, \{y, z\}, \{x, z\}, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}\}$  and  $C(\{x, y\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y, z\}) = \{y\}, C(\{x, z\}) = \{z\}, C(\{x\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y\}) = \{y\}, C(\{z\}) = \{z\}.$
  - (b)  $(\mathscr{B}_2, C(\cdot))$ , in which  $\mathscr{B}_2 = \{\{x, y, z\}, \{x, y\}, \{y, z\}, \{x, z\}, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}\}$  and  $C(\{x, y, z\}) = \{x\}, C(\{x, y\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y, z\}) = \{z\}, C(\{x, z\}) = \{z\}, C(\{x\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y\}) = \{y\}, C(\{z\}) = \{z\}.$
  - (c)  $(\mathcal{B}_3, C(\cdot))$ , in which  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{\{x, y, z\}, \{x, y\}, \{y, z\}, \{x, z\}, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}\}$  and  $C(\{x, y, z\}) = \{x\}, C(\{x, y\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y, z\}) = \{y\}, C(\{x, z\}) = \{x\}, C(\{x\}) = \{x\}, C(\{y\}) = \{y\}, C(\{z\}) = \{z\}.$

For every choice structure, comment on if the WARP is satisfied and if there exists a rational preference relation  $\succeq$  that rationalizes  $C(\cdot)$  relative to its  $\mathscr{B}$ . If such a rationalization is possible, write it down.

- 2. Define two alternative versions of WARP: assume  $C(\cdot)$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  satisfies  $WARP^*$  iff for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}, C(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow C(B) \cap A \subset C(A)$   $WARP^{**}$  iff for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}, x, y \in A \cap B, x \in C(A), y \notin C(A) \Rightarrow y \notin C(B)$ 
  - (a) Show that  $WARP^*$  is equivalent to WARP.
  - (b) Show that  $WARP^{**}$  is equivalent to WARP.

(Hint: Firstly verify that WARP can be rewritten as: for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}, x, y \in A \cap B, x \in C(A), y \in C(B) \Rightarrow y \in C(A)$  or  $\Rightarrow x \in C(B)$ .)

- 3. Show that if X is finite and  $\succeq$  is rational, then  $C_{\succeq}(B) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ . (Hint: Use induction.)
- 4. Let X be a finite set with more than  $N \geq 1$  elements,  $\mathscr{B}$  its non-empty subsets, and  $\succsim_1, \succsim_2$  two rational preference relations on X. Suppose that someone follows the following choice procedure: for each  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ , if B has more than N elements, then C(B) is based on  $\succsim_1$ ; if B has no more than N elements, then C(B) is based on  $\succsim_2$ . Show that this choice rule violates WARP.

- 5. The path-invariance property has the following definition: For every pair  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $C(B_1) \cup C(B_2) \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $C(B_1 \cup B_2) = C(C(B_1) \cup C(B_2))$ , that is, the decision problem can safely be subdivided.
  - (a) Show that a choice structure  $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$  for which a rationalizing preference relation  $\succeq$  exists satisfies the *path-invariance* property.
  - (b) Find examples of choice procedures that do not satisfy this property.
- 6. Suppose that choice structure  $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$  satisfies WARP. In the lecture, the revealed (at-least-as-good-as) preference relation  $\succsim_C$  is defined by:

$$x \succsim_C y \Leftrightarrow \exists B \in \mathscr{B} \ s.t. \ x, y \in B \ \text{and} \ x \in C(B)$$

Consider the following other two possible revealed preferred relations,  $\succ^*$  and  $\succ^{**}$ :

$$x \succ^* y \Leftrightarrow \exists B \in \mathscr{B} \ s.t. \ x, y \in B, x \in C(B), \text{ and } y \notin C(B)$$
  
 $x \succ^{**} y \Leftrightarrow x \succsim_C y \text{ but not } y \succsim_C x$ 

- (a) Show that  $\succ^*$  and  $\succ^{**}$  give the same relation over X; that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \succ^* y \Leftrightarrow x \succ^{**} y$ . Is this still true if  $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$  does not satisfy WARP?
- (b) Must  $\succ^*$  be transitive?
- (c) Show that if  $\mathscr{B}$  includes all subsets of X up to 3 elements, then  $\succ^*$  is transitive.
- 7. Monotonicity and nonsatiation are two properties of  $\succsim$ . This exercise investigates the relationship between them. Suppose  $\succsim$  is defined on the consumption set  $X = R_+^L$ . According to MWG, we have the following definitions regarding monotonicity in a slightly different way from the definitions in the lecture:
  - $\succsim$  on X is monotone if  $x, y \in X, y >> x \Rightarrow y \succ x$
  - $\succsim \ \, \text{on } X \text{ is strongly monotone if } x,y \in X, y \geq x \text{ and } y \neq x \Rightarrow y \succ x$
  - $\succsim \ \, \text{on} \,\, X \text{ is weakly monotone if} \,\, x,y \in X, y \geq x \Rightarrow y \succsim x$

where y >> x means that every element of y is greater than every element of x.

- (a) Show that if  $\succeq$  is strongly monotone, then it is monotone.
- (b) Show that if  $\succeq$  is monotone, then it is locally nonsatiated. (Hint: For  $x, y \in R_+^L$ , the Euclidean distance between x and y is defined as  $||x-y|| = [\sum_{l=1}^L (x_l y_l)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .)
- (c) Draw a convex preference relation that is locally nonsatiated but is not monotone to show that the converse proposition of (b) does not hold, that is, local nonsatiation is a weaker assumption than monotonicity.
- (d) Show that if  $\succeq$  is transitive, locally nonsatiated, and weakly monotone, then it is monotone.
- 8. Let  $\succeq$  be a preference relation on a set X. Suppose  $\succeq$  is complete and transitive. Recall the definitions of  $\succ$  and  $\sim$  derived from  $\succeq$  in the lecture.
  - (a) Show that  $\succ$  is:

- (1) Irreflexive:  $x \succ x$  never holds
- (2) Transitive:  $x \succ y, y \succ z \Rightarrow x \succ z$
- (3) Asymmetric:  $x \succ y \Rightarrow y \not\succ x$
- (4) Satisfying negative transitivity:  $x \not\succ y, y \not\succ z \Rightarrow x \not\succ z$
- (b) Show that  $\sim$  is:
  - (1) Reflexive:  $x \sim x$  always holds
  - (2) Transitive:  $x \sim y, y \sim z \Rightarrow x \sim z$
  - (3) Symmetric:  $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x$
- (c) Define I(x) to be the set of all  $y \in X$  for which  $y \sim x$ . Show that the set  $\{I(x)|x \in X\}$  is a partition of X, that is,
  - (1)  $\forall x \in X, I(x) \neq \emptyset$
  - (2)  $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in X \text{ such that } x \in I(y)$
  - (3)  $\forall x, y \in X$ , either I(x) = I(y) or  $I(x) \cap I(y) = \emptyset$