McCall Model

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ720

November 9, 2015

Motivation

- ▶ We would like to study basic labor market data:
 - unemployment and its duration
 - wage heterogeneity among seemingly identical workers
 - job to job transitions
 - how do policies affect those variables?
- ► Frictionless models of the labor market cannot talk about these issues.
- We need models in which workers must search for jobs.

Search Models

- Unemployment is a productive activity: search for a new job.
- Types of models:
 - 1. Decision theoretic (McCall model).
 - 2. Matching: A matching function creates new jobs.
 - 3. Search: Random encounters and bargaining.

McCall Model

- ▶ A partial equilibrium model of a worker searching for a job.
- ▶ The worker lives forever, in discrete time.
- ► Preferences:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t$$

- \triangleright y_t is income.
- ▶ When employed: y = w. When unemployed: y = c.

Timing

- ▶ Enter the period as unemployed worker.
- ▶ Draw a wage offer w from the distribution $F(W) = Pr(w \le W)$.
- ▶ Support: [0,B].
- Choose whether to accept or reject.
- ▶ If accept: work forever at wage \underline{w} with lifetime income $\frac{\underline{w}}{1-\beta}$.
- ▶ If reject: start over next period.

Bellman equation

Before knowing today's wage offer: value is a constant Q

After learning the wage offer w, value is v(w)

Therefore:

$$Q = c + \beta \mathbb{E}v(w')$$
$$= c + \beta \int_0^B v(w') dF(w')$$

Value after learning wage offer:

$$v(w) = \max\left\{\frac{w}{1-\beta}, Q\right\}$$

Reservation wage property

Reservation wage property:

- ▶ Accept all offers $w \ge \bar{w}$.
- Reject all others.

The reservation wage makes the worker indifferent between accepting and rejecting:

$$v(\bar{w}) = \frac{\bar{w}}{1 - \beta} = Q \tag{1}$$

Note: For $w < \overline{w}$ the worker still gets $v(\overline{w})$.

Decision Rule

Write the reservation wage as (proof below):

$$\bar{w} - c = \beta \int_{\bar{w}}^{B} \frac{w' - \bar{w}}{1 - \beta} dF(w')$$

$$= \beta E\left\{\frac{w' - \bar{w}}{1 - \beta} | w' \ge \bar{w}\right\} \Pr(w' \ge \bar{w})$$

- ► In words:
 - the surplus from working now $(\bar{w} c)$ equals
 - the surplus from searching: the expected lifetime wage gain from perhaps finding a better job

Proof

Write the indifference condition as

$$\frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} - c = Q - c = \beta \int_{0}^{B} v\left(w'\right) dF\left(w'\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\beta \int_{0}^{\bar{w}} \frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} dF\left(w'\right)}_{\text{reject}} + \underbrace{\beta \int_{\bar{w}}^{B} \frac{w'}{1-\beta} dF\left(w'\right)}_{\text{accept}}$$

Simplify:

$$\frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} - c = \beta \int_0^B \frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} dF(w') + \beta \int_{\bar{w}}^B \frac{w' - \bar{w}}{1-\beta} dF(w')$$
$$= \beta \frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} + \beta \int_{\bar{w}}^B \frac{w' - \bar{w}}{1-\beta} dF(w')$$

Implications: Unemployment Benefits

What is the effect of more generous unemployment benefits (higher c)?

Optimality: $c = \bar{w} - \text{expected surplus}$ or

$$c = \bar{w} - \beta \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{w' - \bar{w}}{1 - \beta} | w' \ge \bar{w} \right\} \operatorname{Pr} \left(w' \ge \bar{w} \right) \tag{2}$$

Expected surplus shrinks when \bar{w} rises.

RHS increases in $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$.

Higher $c \rightarrow$ higher reservation wage \rightarrow longer unemployment.

More dispersed wage offers

- ▶ Result: A mean preserving spread in the wage offer distribution raises the reservation wage and ex ante utility.
- Intuition:
 - Making bad wage offers worse is costless they are rejected anyway.
 - Making good wage offers better is valuable.
- Proof: Ljunqvist & Sargent.

Extension: Firing

Each period the worker is fired with probability α .

A fired worker must wait 1 period before drawing a new wage.

Now we have 3 states the worker can be in:

- 1. unemployed, waiting for a wage offer: v_U
- 2. unemployed with a wage offer: v(w)
- 3. employed: $v_E(w)$

Value functions

Value when unemployed:

$$v_U = c + \beta \int v(w') dF(w')$$

Value when employed at wage w:

$$v_E(w) = w + \beta(1 - \alpha)v_E(w) + \beta\alpha v_U$$

Value of receiving an offer:

$$v(w) = \max\{v_E(w), v_U\}$$

Firing: Reservation wage

Reservation wage makes the worker indifferent between accepting an rejecting an offer:

$$v(\bar{w}) = v_E(\bar{w}) = v_U$$
$$\bar{w} + \beta(1 - \alpha)v_E(\bar{w}) + \beta\alpha v_U = v_U$$

With
$$v_E(\bar{w}) = v_U$$
:
$$\frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} = v_U = c + \beta \int v(w') dF(w')$$

Firing: Implications

- How does the firing probability affect unmployment?
- ► The reservation wage equations are the "same" with and without firing:

$$\frac{\bar{w}}{1-\beta} = c + \beta \int v(w')dF(w')$$

- ► The value function is lower with firing
 - because quitting is never optimal
- ▶ Therefore \overline{w} is lower with firing.
- If jobs do not last as long, there is no point holding out for the perfect offer.

Stochastic Wages

Model With Stochastic Wages

Based on Rogerson et al. (2005).

Timing:

- Enter the period either as
 - ightharpoonup unemployed: value V_U or as
 - employed: value V(w).
- If unemployed:
 - earn c today
 - draw a wage offer w' for next period with probability α
 - if accept: get V(w) tomorrow
 - if reject: get V_U tomorrow

Timing

- If employed:
 - earn w today and eat it
 - draw a new wage w' for tomorrow with probability λ .
 - if accept: V(w')
 - if reject (or no offer): unemployed tomorrow

All wage offers are drawn from the same distribution:

$$F(W) = \Pr(w' \le W)$$
 with support $[0, B]$.

Value of a wage offer

Consider an unemployed (or employed) worker who is about to receive a wage offer.

His value is

$$\hat{Q} = \int \max\left\{V(w'), V_U\right\} dF(w') \tag{3}$$

Independent of current w (in case of employed)

because that offer is lost

Call the reservation wage \bar{w} .

it is the same for employed or unemployed

Value of a wage offer

$$\hat{Q} = \int \max\left\{V(w'), V_U\right\} dF(w') \tag{4}$$

$$= \int \max \left\{ V\left(w'\right) - V_U, 0 \right\} dF\left(w'\right) + V_U \tag{5}$$

$$=\underbrace{\int_{\overline{w}}^{B} \left\{ V\left(w'\right) - V_{U} \right\} dF\left(w'\right)}_{O} + V_{U} \tag{6}$$

In words:

- ightharpoonup you always get at least V_U (because you can always take that option)
- if $w' > \bar{w}$, you also get a surplus Q

Unemployed Worker

Before receiving offer

$$V_U = c + \beta \left[\alpha \hat{Q} + (1 - \alpha) V_U \right] \tag{7}$$

$$= c + \beta \left[\alpha \left(Q + V_U\right) + \left(1 - \alpha\right) V_U\right] \tag{8}$$

$$= c + \beta \alpha Q + \beta V_U \tag{9}$$

Get c today.

With probability α get to choose between work and unemployment tomorrow.

Therefore

$$(1 - \beta)V_U = c + \beta \alpha Q \tag{10}$$

Employed Worker

Bellman equation for a worker with wage w:

$$V(w) = w + \beta \left[\lambda \hat{Q} + (1 - \lambda)V(w) \right]$$
 (11)

Get w today.

With probability λ , face the same choice as an unemployed worker with offer w'.

Simplify:

$$V(w) = w + \beta \lambda (Q + V_U) + \beta (1 - \lambda) V(w)$$
(12)

Evaluate
$$V(w)$$
 at $w = \bar{w}$ and use $V(\bar{w}) = V_U$:

$$V(\bar{w}) = \bar{w} + \beta \lambda (Q + V_U) + \beta (1 - \lambda) V_U$$
(13)

Therefore

$$(1 - \beta)V_U = \bar{w} + \beta\lambda Q \tag{14}$$

We now have

$$(1-\beta)V_U = \bar{w} + \beta\lambda Q \tag{15}$$

$$= c + \beta \alpha Q \tag{16}$$

Perhaps easier:

$$\bar{w} - c = \beta(\alpha - \lambda)Q \tag{17}$$

If
$$\alpha = \lambda$$
: $\bar{w} = c$.

- Accept any job that pays more than unemployment benefits
- ► The reason is that the continuation value does not depend on employment status.

If
$$\alpha > \lambda$$
: $\bar{w} > c$.

▶ Being unemployed has a search value. So the agent holds out for better wage offers.

Add and subtract $V_U - V(w)$ in equation for V(w):

$$(1-\beta)V(w) = w + \beta\lambda Q + \beta\lambda[V_U - V(w)]$$
(18)

Substitute out Q from equation for reservation wage

$$(1 - \beta) V_U = \bar{w} + \beta \lambda Q \tag{19}$$

to obtain

$$(1 - \beta)[V(w) - V_U] = w - \bar{w} + \beta \lambda [V_U - V(w)]$$
 (20)

Solve for

$$V(w) - V_U = \frac{w - \bar{w}}{1 - \beta + \beta \lambda} \tag{21}$$

If we specified the distribution F, we could use this to evaluate Q and solve for everything else.

Applications

Life-cycle earnings profiles and occupational mobility:

► Kambourov and Manovskii (2009, 2008)

Business cycle models that match labor market facts:

▶ Jovanovic (1987)

What is missing?

- ▶ Not satisfactory: The job finding rate / wage offer distribution should be endogenous.
 - ► Think about analyzing policies...
- Matching and search models address this.
 - by introducing endogenous supply of jobs
 - and wage bargaining.

Reading

- Ljungqvist and Sargent (2004), ch. 6.3
- Krusell (2014), ch. 11
- ▶ Williamson (2006), "Notes on macroeconomic theory," ch. 7, works out a similar model with exogenous job separations.

References I

- Jovanovic, B. (1987): "Work, Rest, and Search: Unemployment, Turnover, and the Cycle," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 131–148.
- Kambourov, G. and I. Manovskii (2008): "RISING OCCUPATIONAL AND INDUSTRY MOBILITY IN THE UNITED STATES: 1968–97*," *International Economic Review*, 49, 41–79.
- ——— (2009): "Occupational mobility and wage inequality," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 76, 731–759.
- Krusell, P. (2014): "Real Macroeconomic Theory," Unpublished.
- Ljungqvist, L. and T. J. Sargent (2004): *Recursive macroeconomic theory*.
- Rogerson, R., R. Shimer, and R. Wright (2005): "Search-Theoretic Models of the Labor Market: A Survey," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 43, 959–988.