

EECS665

Compiler Construction

Drew Davidson
Ruturaj Vaidya

Lecture: LEEP2 G415
MWF 3:00-3:50

Lab: Eaton 1005B

ANOUNCEMENTS

LAB

SCHEDULE

MATERIALS

ASSIGNMENTS

Project 5

Due on November 18th 11:59 PM

Accepted for 90% credit or 1 late day on November 19th 11:59 PM

Updates

1. The project can now be turned in on the 18th for no penalty.

Overview

For this assignment you will write a type checker for Lil' C programs represented as abstract-syntax trees. Your main task will be to write *type checking* functions for the nodes of the AST. In addition you will need to:

1. Write a new main program, P5.cpp (an extension of old P4.cpp).

2. Update the `Makefile` used for program 4 to include any new rules needed for program 5.

Getting Started

You are encouraged to use your own implementation of the old P4 code and extend it to also do typechecking. If you're not confident in your implementation, a set of reference files is posted in a tarball: [here \(link\)](#).

Specifications

- [Type Checking](#)
 - [Preventing Cascading Errors](#)

Type Checking

The type checker will determine the type of every expression represented in the abstract-syntax tree and will use that information to identify type errors. In the Lil' C language we have the following types:

`int`, `bool`, `void` (as function return types only), `struct` types, and function types .

A *struct type* includes the name of the struct (i.e., when it was defined). A *function type* includes the types of the parameters and the return type.

The operators in the Lil' C language are divided into the following categories:

- **logical:** not, and, or
- **arithmetic:** plus, minus, times, divide, unary minus
- **equality:** equals, not equals
- **relational:** less than (<), greater than (>), less than or equals (<=), greater than or equals (>=)
- **assignment:** assign

The type rules of the Lil' C language are as follows:

- **logical operators and conditions:** Only boolean expressions can be used as operands of

- **logical operators and conditions:** Only boolean expressions can be used as operands of logical operators or in the condition of an `if` or `while` statement. The result of applying a logical operator to `bool` operands is `bool`.
- **arithmetic and relational operators:** Only integer expressions can be used as operands of these operators. The result of applying an arithmetic operator to `int` operand(s) is `int`. The result of applying a relational operator to `int` operands is `bool`.
- **equality operators:** Only integer or boolean expressions can be used as operands of these operators. Furthermore, the types of both operands must be the same. The result of applying an equality operator is `bool`.
- **assignment operator:** Only integer or boolean expressions can be used as operands of an assignment operator. Furthermore, the types of the left-hand side and right-hand side must be the same. The type of the result of applying the assignment operator is the type of the right-hand side.
- **input and output:** Only an `int` or `bool` expression or a string literal can be printed by `output`. Only an `int` or `bool` identifier can be read by `input`. Note that the identifier can be a field of a `struct` type (accessed using `.`) as long as the field is an `int` or a `bool`.
- **function calls:** A function call can be made only using an identifier with function type (i.e., an identifier that is the name of a function). The number of actuals must match the number of formals. The type of each actual must match the type of the corresponding formal.
- **function returns:**
 - A `void` function may not return a value.
 - A non-`void` function may not have a `return` statement without a value.
 - A function whose return type is `int` may only return an `int`; a function whose return type is `bool` may only return a `bool`.

Note: some compilers give error messages for non-`void` functions that have paths from function start to function end with no `return` statement. For example, this code would cause such an error:

```
int f() {
```

```

int f() {
    output << "hello";
}

```

However, finding such paths is beyond the capabilities of our Lil' C compiler, so don't worry about this kind of error.

You must implement your type checker by writing appropriate member methods for the different subclasses of `ASTnode`. Your type checker should find all of the type errors described in the following table; it must report the specified position of the error, and it must give the specified error message. (Each message should appear on a single line, rather than how it is formatted in the following table.)

Type of Error	Error Message	Position to Report
Writing a function; e.g., "output << f", where f is a function name.	Attempt to write a function	1 st character of the function name.
Writing a struct name; e.g., "output << P", where P is the name of a struct type.	Attempt to write a struct name	1 st character of the struct name.
Writing a struct variable; e.g., "output << p", where p is a variable declared to be of a struct type.	Attempt to write a struct variable	1 st character of the struct variable.
Writing a void value (note: this can only happen if there is an attempt to write the return value from a void function); e.g., "output << f()", where f is a void function.	Attempt to write void	1 st character of the function name.
Reading a function: e.g., "input >> f", where f is a function name.	Attempt to read a function	1 st character of the function name.
Reading a struct name; e.g., "input >> P", where P is the name of a struct type.	Attempt to read a struct name	1 st character of the struct name.

Reading a <code>struct</code> variable; e.g., <code>"input >> p"</code> , where <code>p</code> is a variable declared to be of a <code>struct</code> type.	Attempt to read a <code>struct</code> variable	1 st character of the <code>struct</code> variable.
Calling something other than a function; e.g., <code>"x();"</code> , where <code>x</code> is not a function name. Note: In this case, you should <i>not</i> type-check the actual parameters.	Attempt to call a non-function	1 st character of the variable name.
Calling a function with the wrong number of arguments. Note: In this case, you should <i>not</i> type-check the actual parameters.	Function call with wrong number of args	1 st character of the function name.
Calling a function with an argument of the wrong type. Note: you should only check for this error if the number of arguments is correct. If there are several arguments with the wrong type, you must give an error message for each such argument.	Type of actual does not match type of formal	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in the actual parameter.
Returning from a non-void function with a plain <code>return</code> statement (i.e., one that does not return a value).	Missing return value	0,0
Returning a value from a <code>void</code> function.	Return with a value in a <code>void</code> function	1 st character of the returned expression.
Returning a value of the wrong type from a non-void function.	Bad return value	1 st character of the returned expression.
Applying an arithmetic operator (+, -, *, /) to an operand with type other than <code>int</code> . Note: this includes the ++ and -- operators.	Arithmetic operator applied to non-numeric operand	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in an operand that is an expression of the wrong type.

Applying a relational operator (<, >, <=, >=) to an operand with type other than <code>int</code> .	Relational operator applied to non-numeric operand	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in an operand that is an expression of the wrong type.
Applying a logical operator (!, &&,) to an operand with type other than <code>bool</code> .	Logical operator applied to non-bool operand	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in an operand that is an expression of the wrong type.
Using a non- <code>bool</code> expression as the condition of an <code>if</code> .	Non-bool expression used as an <code>if</code> condition	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in the condition.
Using a non- <code>bool</code> expression as the condition of a <code>while</code> .	Non-bool expression used as a <code>while</code> condition	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in the condition.
Applying an equality operator (==, !=) to operands of two different types (e.g., " <code>j == true</code> ", where <code>j</code> is of type <code>int</code>), or assigning a value of one type to a variable of another type (e.g., " <code>j = true</code> ", where <code>j</code> is of type <code>int</code>).	Type mismatch	1 st character of the first identifier or literal in the left-hand operand.
Applying an equality operator (==, !=) to <code>void</code> function operands (e.g., " <code>f() == g()</code> ", where <code>f</code> and <code>g</code> are functions whose return type is <code>void</code>).	Equality operator applied to <code>void</code> functions	1 st character of the first function name.
Comparing two functions for equality, e.g., " <code>f == g</code> " or " <code>f != g</code> ", where <code>f</code> and <code>g</code> are function names.	Equality operator applied to	1 st character of the first function name.

// regardless of the type of x

One way to accomplish this is to use a special `ErrorType` for expressions that contain type errors. In the first example above, the type given to `(true + 3)` should be `ErrorType`, and the type-check method for the multiplication node should *not* report "Arithmetic operator applied to non-numeric operand" for the first operand. But note that the following should each cause *two* error messages (assuming the same declarations of `f` as above):

```
true + "hello"      // one error for each of the non-int operands of +
1 + f(true)         // one for the bad arg type and one for the 2nd op
1 + f(1, 2)         // one for the wrong number of args and one for the op
return 3+true;      // in a void function: one error for the 2nd operand
                   // and one for returning a value
```

To provide some help with this issue, [here is an example input file](#), along with the [corresponding error messages](#). (Note: This is not meant to be a complete test of the type checker; it is provided merely to help you understand some of the messages you need to report, and to help you find small typos in your error messages. If you run your program on the example file and put the output into a new file, you can use the Linux utility `diff` to compare your file of error messages with the one supplied here. This will help both to make sure that your code finds the errors it is supposed to find, and to uncover small typos you may have made in the error messages.)