

APPENDIX A
PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Proof. Since $G(V, E, F)$ is modeled as the realistic road map, the feasible paths to reach the target position must be found.

Therefore, we denote the paths from the source s to the destination d as:

$$L_{sd} = \{l_{sd}^1, l_{sd}^2, \dots, l_{sd}^n\}, \quad n > 0 \quad (1)$$

where n represents the number of paths. As for L_{sd} we have:

$$l_{max} = \max(l_{sd}^k), \quad k = 1 \dots n \quad (2)$$

since the condition $n > 0$ is always valid. Hence for any path from s to d has the longest length l_{max} . \square

APPENDIX B
PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Proof According to the principle of relax operation, we can learn: only when $N_Q(x) \cdot \text{dist} + w(x, v) < N_Q(v) \cdot \text{dist}$, for $x \in v$.preds Q_R will update $N_Q(v) \cdot \text{dist}$ and $N_Q(v) \cdot s \cdot p$. Based on this equation, we prove:

Case 1 $\Delta w(u, v) > 0$

Since $w(u, v)$ is increased, Eq.(B) is certainly not satisfied. Thus Q_R remains self-ordered and no changes will occur in Q , only $w(u, v)$ will update to $w'(u, v)$.

Case 2 $\Delta w(u, v) < 0$

If $w'(u, v)$ cannot satisfy Eq.(B), it is the same situation with Case 1. Else, if satisfying, through $w'(u, v)$ we can obtain the updated value:

$$N_Q(v) \cdot \text{dist} = N_Q(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w'(u, v)$$

APPENDIX C
PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Proof We use $\text{dist}_{\min_{\min}}(v)$ to denote the minimum value currently calculated from V_s to v . According to the meaning of $N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$, the value is always keep in accordance with $\text{dist}_{\min_{\min}}(v)$. Based on these characteristics, we prove:

$N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_{\min}(v) &= N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist} \\ &\leq N_T(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that we update $\text{dist}_{\min}(v)$ to $\text{dist}'_{\min}(v)$ instead of doing nothing: $\text{dist}'_{\min}(v) = N_T(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w'(u, v)$ However, $> N_T(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w(u, v)$

currently $\text{dist}_{\min_{\min}}(v) > \text{dist}'_{\min}(v)$, there is no need to update the value, hence violating our assumption. Case & $\Delta w(u, v) < 0$: When $N_T(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w'(u, v) < N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$, it will trigger rollback operation, modifying relevant values to maintain $\text{dist}_{\min}(v) = N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$.

Therefore, there has $N'_T(v) \cdot \text{dist} = N_T(u) \cdot \text{dist} + w'(u, v)$. Assume the current time t_c , $t_e > N_T(v) \cdot t$. Hence: $T = T + v.succs$, for $t \in [N_T(v) \cdot t, t_e]$ At t_c , $N_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$ has updated to $N'_T(v) \cdot \text{dist}$. $x \in v.succs$ satisfies the relax condition Eq.(30), the rollback operation will be performed as follows:

$$N_T(x) \cdot \text{dist} \leftarrow N'_T(x) \cdot \text{dist}, \text{ for } x \in v.succs$$

Hence the proof is complete.