CUHK STAT6050: Statistical Learning Theory

Spring 2022

Lecture 3: Uniform concentration inequality

Lecturer: Ben Dai

"There is Nothing More Practical Than A Good Theory."

— Kurt Lewin

1 Introduction

As indicated in Lecture 2, we will focus on the asymptotics of the empirical process of the estimation error, that is, try to find a $\delta_n \to 0$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq\varepsilon\big)\leq\delta_n$$

Motivated by **concentration**, how a random variable deviates from its expectation, rewrite the probability as:

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq \varepsilon-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\big)\leq \delta_n.$$

To investigate this bound, we itemize two aims:

• A1. The asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|.$$

• A2. The concentration inequality of

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq\varepsilon\big).$$

For **A1**, the minimum requirement is that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|=o(1),$$

to ensure asymptotically vanishing of (the upper bound of) the estimation error. For A2, we can regard it as a uniform version of concentration inequalities.

2 From pointwise to uniform

2.1 From Hoeffding's inequality to McDiarmid's inequality

Theorem 2.1 (Hoeffding's Inequality). *Suppose* Z_1, \dots, Z_n *are independent random variables such that* $a_i \le Z_i \le b_i$ *almost surely, then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Z_{i}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(Z_{i})\geq\varepsilon\right)\leq\exp\left(\frac{-2n^{2}\varepsilon^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_{i}-a_{i})^{2}}\right).$$

Note that we can not use the Hoeffding's inequality of bound **A2**, since there is a supremum on the average. McDiarmid's inequality is a general form of Hoeffding's inequality, which enables we to directly bound the probabilistic bound in **A2**.

Theorem 2.2 (McDiarmid's inequality). Suppose Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent random variables, and there is a function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the variation on i-th coordinate is upper bounded, that is, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $(z_1, \dots, z_i, z_i', \dots z_n)$,

$$|g(z_1,\dots,z_i,\dots,z_n)-g(z_1,\dots,z_i',\dots,z_n)|\leq c_i.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(g(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)-\mathbb{E}g(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)\geq\varepsilon)\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

The idea of McDiarmid's inequality is quite similar to Hoeffding's inequality, yet it uses the boundness of the overall function $g(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$. We demonstrate the McDiarmid's inequality for our Aim **A2**.

Let
$$Z_i = l(\mathbf{Y}_i, f(\mathbf{X}_i))$$
, and

$$g(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)=\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}\left(\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)\right)=\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(Z_i-\mathbb{E}l(\mathbf{Y},f(\mathbf{X}))\right).$$

Assume that $0 \le l(\mathbf{Y}_i, f(\mathbf{X}_i)) \le U$, we have

$$|g(z_1,\cdots,z_i,\cdots,z_n)-g(z_1,\cdots,z_i',\cdots,z_n)| \leq \left|\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}\frac{1}{n}(z_i-z_i')\right| = U/n.$$

Then, McDiarmid's inequality yields that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq\varepsilon\big)\leq \exp\big(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{U^2}\big).$$

We summarize the result as the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. For a loss function $l(\cdot,\cdot)$ uniformly bounded by a constant U, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq\varepsilon\big)\leq \exp\big(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{U^2}\big).$$

Remark 2.4. The information used in Hoeffding's inequality and McDiarmid's inequality: *bound-ness of the loss function*.

2.2 From Bernstein's inequality to Talagrand's inequality

Hoeffding's inequality does not use any information about the randomness of random variables. Bernstein's inequality is a sharper inequality to consider the *variance of the random variable*.

Theorem 2.5 (Bernstein's inequality). Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be independent random variables with $|Z_i| \le U$ almost surely, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Z_{i}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(Z_{i})\geq\varepsilon\right)\leq\exp(-\frac{n\varepsilon^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}+2U\varepsilon/3}),$$

where $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}(Z_i)$.

The uniform Bernstein's inequality is a much harder problem which was solved by *Talagrand* [Talagrand, 1996b, Talagrand, 1996a].

Theorem 2.6 (Talagrand's inequality). For a loss function $l(\cdot, \cdot)$ uniformly bounded by a constant U, the for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|-\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|\geq\varepsilon\Big)\leq K\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{K}\frac{\varepsilon}{U}\log(1+\frac{\varepsilon U}{nV})\Big),$$

where K > 0 is a universal constant and V is any constant satisfying

$$V \ge \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathscr{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(l\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, f(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) - \mathbb{E}l\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, f(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) \right)^{2}.$$

The constant V is analog to the variance in Bernstein's inequality. However, find a tight constant V to bound the "variance" of the functional space is not easy. Now, given the results of Talagrand's inequality, we slight modify our aims:

• A1. The asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}|\widehat{R}_n(f)-R(f)|.$$

• A2'. Find a tight constant V such that

$$V \geq \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathscr{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(l\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, f(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) - \mathbb{E}l\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, f(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) \right)^{2}.$$

In the sequel, we will show that A1 and A2' are crossed in the same direction.

References

[Talagrand, 1996a] Talagrand, M. (1996a). New concentration inequalities in product spaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 126(3):505–563.

[Talagrand, 1996b] Talagrand, M. (1996b). A new look at independence. *The Annals of probability*, pages 1–34.