

# Machine Learning Project

Tavo

Thursday, January 28, 2016

## Machine Learning Course Project

### A) Project description

This project is part of the course “Practical Machine Learning” from the Data Scientist Specialization on Coursera. The objective is to apply different concepts and R packages learned during the course to a raw data set in order to qualitatively classify an exercise (weight lifting) as correctly or incorrectly executed.

### B) Study design and data processing

#### B.1) Collection of the raw data

The datasets were downloaded from the following links:

- Train set: <https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv>  
(<https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv>)
- Test set: <https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv>  
(<https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv>)

The original data was made available by the Human Activity Recognition Project, under Creative Commons license (CC BY-SA), and can be downloaded here:

- <http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/static/har/dataset-har-PUC-Rio-ugulino.zip>  
(<http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/static/har/dataset-har-PUC-Rio-ugulino.zip>)

The R packages required to run the code are:

```
## Load required libraries
library(dplyr)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
##
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##     filter, lag
##
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##     intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```
library(ggplot2)
```

```
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 3.2.3
```

```
library(caret)
```

```
## Warning: package 'caret' was built under R version 3.2.3
```

```
## Loading required package: lattice
```

## B.2) Notes on the original data

A dictionary or a full description of the variables used in the experiment wasn't found. However in the paper that describes the original experiment (<http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/public/papers/2013.Velloso.QAR-WLE.pdf>) some of the variables were discussed and explained. This available information is what is used to further analysis and processing of the dataset.

```
## Download data (if required) and create two datasets
trainUrl <- "https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv"
"
trainName <- "pml-training.csv"

testUrl <- "https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv"
testName <- "pml-testing.csv"

if(!file.exists(trainName)){ download.file(trainUrl, destfile=trainName, method="
curl")}
if(!file.exists(testName)){ download.file(testUrl, destfile=testName, method="cur
l")}

## Empty cells are treated as NAs
train <- read.csv(trainName, na.strings=c("", "NA"))
test <- read.csv(testName, na.strings=c("", "NA"))
```

## B.3) Creating a tidy dataset

The variables with more than 90% of its rows as “NAs” will be dropped. This operation reduces the number of columns from 160 to 60, which (hopefully) will reduce also the time required to run the model. Also the first 7 columns were removed because they are just for identification purposes and doesn't add value to resolve the problem at hand.

```
## Columns with more than 90% of NAs are dropped. Also the first 7 indexing columns.
newTrain <- train[, !(colSums(is.na(train)) > 0.9*nrow(train))]
newTrain <- newTrain[, 8:60]

newTest <- test[, !(colSums(is.na(test)) > 0.9*nrow(test))]
newTest <- newTest[, 8:60]
```

## B.4) Variables used

For feature selection, many algorithms could be used (PCA - Principal Components Analysis is an example), for example in the sections 5.1 and 5.2 of the paper about HRA, the authors explain that they selected 17 features using a selection algorithm based on correlation proposed by Hall [3] and that the algorithm was configured to use a Best First strategy based on backtracking.

One of the methods (as explained on the lecture 15, covariate creation) is to study the variance of the variables and discard those with variance is near zero (they wouldn't add value to the problem). In this case, all of the remaining variables (52) had enough variance to add value to the solution of the problem, however an additional "selection" will be implicitly made using the principal components analysis during the preprocessing of the model in the next step.

```
## Check for near zero variance of the features
nsv <- nearZeroVar(newTrain, saveMetrics=TRUE)
print(nsv)
```

##	freqRatio	percentUnique	zeroVar	nzv
## roll_belt	1.101904	6.7781062	FALSE	FALSE
## pitch_belt	1.036082	9.3772296	FALSE	FALSE
## yaw_belt	1.058480	9.9734991	FALSE	FALSE
## total_accel_belt	1.063160	0.1477933	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_belt_x	1.058651	0.7134849	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_belt_y	1.144000	0.3516461	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_belt_z	1.066214	0.8612782	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_belt_x	1.055412	0.8357966	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_belt_y	1.113725	0.7287738	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_belt_z	1.078767	1.5237998	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_belt_x	1.090141	1.6664968	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_belt_y	1.099688	1.5187035	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_belt_z	1.006369	2.3290184	FALSE	FALSE
## roll_arm	52.338462	13.5256345	FALSE	FALSE
## pitch_arm	87.256410	15.7323412	FALSE	FALSE
## yaw_arm	33.029126	14.6570176	FALSE	FALSE
## total_accel_arm	1.024526	0.3363572	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_arm_x	1.015504	3.2769341	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_arm_y	1.454369	1.9162165	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_arm_z	1.110687	1.2638875	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_arm_x	1.017341	3.9598410	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_arm_y	1.140187	2.7367241	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_arm_z	1.128000	4.0362858	FALSE	FALSE

## magnet_arm_x	1.000000	6.8239731	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_arm_y	1.056818	4.4439914	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_arm_z	1.036364	6.4468454	FALSE	FALSE
## roll_dumbbell	1.022388	84.2065029	FALSE	FALSE
## pitch_dumbbell	2.277372	81.7449801	FALSE	FALSE
## yaw_dumbbell	1.132231	83.4828254	FALSE	FALSE
## total_accel_dumbbell	1.072634	0.2191418	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_x	1.003268	1.2282132	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_y	1.264957	1.4167771	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_z	1.060100	1.0498420	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_dumbbell_x	1.018018	2.1659362	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_dumbbell_y	1.053061	2.3748853	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_dumbbell_z	1.133333	2.0894914	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_dumbbell_x	1.098266	5.7486495	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_dumbbell_y	1.197740	4.3012945	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_dumbbell_z	1.020833	3.4451126	FALSE	FALSE
## roll_forearm	11.589286	11.0895933	FALSE	FALSE
## pitch_forearm	65.983051	14.8557741	FALSE	FALSE
## yaw_forearm	15.322835	10.1467740	FALSE	FALSE
## total_accel_forearm	1.128928	0.3567424	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_forearm_x	1.059273	1.5187035	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_forearm_y	1.036554	3.7763735	FALSE	FALSE
## gyros_forearm_z	1.122917	1.5645704	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_forearm_x	1.126437	4.0464784	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_forearm_y	1.059406	5.1116094	FALSE	FALSE
## accel_forearm_z	1.006250	2.9558659	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_forearm_x	1.012346	7.7667924	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_forearm_y	1.246914	9.5403119	FALSE	FALSE
## magnet_forearm_z	1.000000	8.5771073	FALSE	FALSE
## classe	1.469581	0.0254816	FALSE	FALSE

## C Running the Model

The question asked requires to use the given data to classify it into 5 different categories, labeled “A”, “B”, “C”, “D”, “E”, according to the original study, this labels correspond to:

- Class A: exactly according to the specification
- Class B: throwing the elbows to the front
- Class C: lifting the dumbbell only halfway
- Class D: lowering the dumbbell only halfway
- Class E: and throwing the hips to the front

To solve this problem, the random forest algorithm is used. According to the material given in the course [4]] this algorithm has various desirable features to solve this problem, among them:

- It is unexcelled in accuracy among current algorithms.
- Runs efficiently on large data bases.

- Can handle many input variables without variable deletion.
- Gives estimates of what variables are important in the classification.
- There is no need for cross-validation or a separate test set to get an unbiased estimate of the test set error.

```
## Split data into train / test sets
set.seed(2442)
intrain <- createDataPartition(y=newTrain$classe, p=0.8, list=FALSE)
training <- newTrain[intrain,]
testing <- newTrain[-intrain,]

## Preprocess and run the model
preProc <- preProcess(training, method="pca", tresh=0.8)
trainPC <- predict(preProc,training)
modelFit <- train(as.factor(training$classe) ~ .,method="rf",data=trainPC)

## Test the results accuracy
testPC <- predict(preProc,testing)
print(confusionMatrix(testing$classe, predict(modelFit,testPC)))
```

## ## Confusion Matrix and Statistics

##

##

		Reference				
Prediction		A	B	C	D	E
A	1110	2	4	0	0	
B	10	741	6	0	2	
C	0	11	668	5	0	
D	0	1	26	615	1	
E	0	5	5	8	703	

##

## ## Overall Statistics

##

## Accuracy : 0.9781

## 95% CI : (0.973, 0.9824)

## No Information Rate : 0.2855

## P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

##

## Kappa : 0.9723

## McNemar's Test P-Value : NA

##

## ## Statistics by Class:

##

	Class: A	Class: B	Class: C	Class: D	Class: E
Sensitivity	0.9911	0.9750	0.9422	0.9793	0.9958
Specificity	0.9979	0.9943	0.9950	0.9915	0.9944
Pos Pred Value	0.9946	0.9763	0.9766	0.9565	0.9750
Neg Pred Value	0.9964	0.9940	0.9873	0.9960	0.9991
Prevalence	0.2855	0.1937	0.1807	0.1601	0.1800
Detection Rate	0.2829	0.1889	0.1703	0.1568	0.1792
Detection Prevalence	0.2845	0.1935	0.1744	0.1639	0.1838
Balanced Accuracy	0.9945	0.9847	0.9686	0.9854	0.9951

The overall accuracy of the model is 0.9781, and for each class the balanced accuracy is always over 0.96. This high accuracy is expected from this type of algorithm.

After this results the validation is conducted with the validation dataset of 20 rows.

```
## Predict class with the validation set
testOut <- predict(preProc,newTest)
testOut <- predict(preProc, newTest[, -53])
answers <- predict(modelFit, testOut)
```

```
## Loading required package: randomForest
## randomForest 4.6-12
## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.
##
## Attaching package: 'randomForest'
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':
##
##     margin
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##     combine
```

```
print(answers)
```

```
## [1] B A C A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
```

These answers have an accuracy of 95%, or 19 of 20 classes were correct (given by quiz results). This out of sample error is far below the estimate based on the overall accuracy (97.8%), but the cause is maybe that the validation set is too short (and one single error can drop the accuracy 5%).

## D) References

[1] Velloso, E.; Bulling, A.; Gellersen, H.; Ugulino, W.; Fuks, H. Qualitative Activity Recognition of Weight Lifting Exercises. Proceedings of 4th International Conference in Cooperation with SIGCHI (Augmented Human '13) . Stuttgart, Germany: ACM SIGCHI, 2013.

[2] Ugulino, W.; Cardador, D.; Vega, K.; Velloso, E.; Milidui, R.; Fuks, H. Wearable Computing: Accelerometers' Data Classification of Body Postures and Movements. Proceedings of 21st Brazilian Symposium on Artificial Intelligence. Advances in Artificial Intelligence - SBIA 2012. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. , pp. 52-61. Curitiba, PR: Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2012. ISBN 978-3-642-34458-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-34459-6\_6.

[3] M. A. Hall. Correlation-based Feature Subset Selection for Machine Learning. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand, Apr. 1999.

[4] Breiman, L.; Cutler, A. Random Forests. Berkely University.  
[http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/%7Ebreiman/RandomForests/cc\\_home.htm](http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/%7Ebreiman/RandomForests/cc_home.htm)  
([http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/%7Ebreiman/RandomForests/cc\\_home.htm](http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/%7Ebreiman/RandomForests/cc_home.htm))