CellPress

Special Series: Mitochondria

Feature Review

The Interplay of Axonal Energy Homeostasis and Mitochondrial Trafficking and Anchoring

Zu-Hang Sheng[1,*]

Mitochondria are key cellular power plants essential for neuronal growth, survival, function, and regeneration after injury. Given their unique morphological features, neurons face exceptional challenges in maintaining energy homeostasis at distal synapses and growth cones where energy is in high demand. Efficient regulation of mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring is critical for neurons to meet altered energy requirements. Mitochondrial dysfunction and impaired transport have been implicated in several major neurological disorders. Thus, research into energy-mediated regulation of mitochondrial recruitment and redistribution is an important emerging frontier. In this review, I discuss new insights into the mechanisms regulating mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring, and provide an updated overview of how mitochondrial motility maintains energy homeostasis in axons, thus contributing to neuronal growth, regeneration, and synaptic function.

Neurons Face Unique Challenges in Maintaining Energy Homeostasis

Mitochondria are the main cellular energy powerhouses that convert glucose and pyruvate into ATP through the electron transport chain and oxidative phosphorylation [1]. Mitochondria provide most of the ATP required in the brain to power various neuronal functions [2]. Thus, a constant ATP supply is essential for nerve cell growth, survival, and function [3]. In the brain, synapses are the primary sites of ATP consumption; here, mitochondria supply ~93% of the ATP, while glycolysis generates only ~7% of the ATP [4]. Due to their high-energy demand and unique polarized structures, neurons require specialized mechanisms to maintain energy homeostasis throughout the cell, particularly at distal synapses and in axons that can extend several centimeters long or even up to a meter in some peripheral nerves [5,6]. Given that ATP has a limited diffusion capacity in the long axonal process [7,8], a fundamental question remains: do energy deficits or bioenergetic failure occur early in distal axons under physiological and pathological stress conditions? This is a particularly important question that is relevant to a range of neurodegenerative diseases that associate with degeneration of synaptic terminals during the early disease stages and with energy deficits [9,10].

Mitochondria in axons and at synapses maintain energy homeostasis that is essential for synaptic functions [4], including synapse assembly [11], generation of action and synaptic potentials [12], and synaptic vesicle (SV) trafficking and recycling [8,13]. In addition, mitochondria efficiently buffer transient Ca^{2+} by sequestering Ca^{2+} influx [14–17]. While less than 50% of

Trends

Mitochondria are the main cellular power plants that supply energy essential for neuronal growth, survival, and function.

Neurons face exceptional challenges in maintaining energy homeostasis, especially at distal synapses and growth cones, where energy is in high demand.

Anchored mitochondria ideally serve as local energy sources.

The energy-dependent regulation of mitochondrial trafficking to, and anchoring at, distal axons and synapses is essential to ensure that these metabolically active areas are adequately supplied with ATP during the growth of developing neurons, the regeneration of injured mature neurons, and the maintenance of synaptic activity.

SNPH is one intriguing anchoring protein that is specific for axonal mitochondria and, thus, serves as an attractive target for future investigations of mechanisms that recruit mitochondria into activated synapses and injured axons.

[1]Synaptic Function Section, The Porter Neuroscience Research Center, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Room 2B-215, 35 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-3706, USA

*Correspondence:
shengz@ninds.nih.gov (Z.-H. Sheng).

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

presynaptic terminals in hippocampal regions have mitochondria [18], most synapses may have motile mitochondria passing by. Depleting mitochondria from axon terminals impairs synaptic transmission [8,13,19]. Defective mitochondrial transport combined with energy deficits is implicated in the failed axonal regeneration after injury and the pathogenesis of several major neurological disorders, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases [20–22]. Mitochondria also alter their motility under certain stress conditions or when their integrity is impaired [23–26]. Therefore, efficient regulation of mitochondrial motility is critical for neurons to meet altered energy requirements and to remove damaged mitochondria or replenish healthy ones, thus maintaining energy homeostasis in distal axons and at synapses.

Thus, research into the mechanisms regulating mitochondrial motility and distribution in response to changes in energy consumption and homeostasis is an important emerging frontier. Recent advances provide exciting lines of evidence as to how mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring are coordinated to sense and respond to altered energy requirements under physiological and pathological stress conditions. Here, I provide a brief overview of the mechanisms regulating axonal mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring and discuss recent findings on how: (i) mitochondrial transport influences energy homeostasis in distal axons and at synapses, thus regulating axonal growth and regeneration, and synaptic function; and (ii) mitochondrial recruitment is regulated in response to changes in bioenergetic status. Additional insights from different perspectives can be found in other outstanding reviews [5,6,10,21,27–32].

The Mechanisms of Mitochondrial Trafficking and Anchoring

Long-distance mitochondrial transport is driven by microtubule (MT)-based and ATP-dependent molecular motors: the plus end-directed kinesin and the minus end-directed dynein [33]. Axonal MTs are uniformly arranged so that their plus-end is directed distally and the minus-end is toward the soma; thus, kinesin motors move in an anterograde direction toward distal axons, while dynein motors mediate retrograde transport toward the soma. Kinesin-1 family members, also known as KIF5A, KIF5B, and KIF5C, are the main motors driving mitochondrial transport in neurons [34,35]. Kinesin-1 motor proteins contain two heavy chains (KHC) and two light chains (KLC). The motor domain of KHC has ATPase activity and binds directly to MTs, whereas its C-terminal domain associates with a KLC or interacts with cargoes. The monomeric kinesin-3 motor family member KIF1Bα has also been demonstrated to mediate the anterograde transport of mitochondria in neurons [33]. Motor proteins are recruited to mitochondria through their cargo adaptors, thus ensuring targeted trafficking and regulation of mitochondrial transport [21] (Figure 1, Box 1).

In axons of the central nervous system (CNS) *in vitro*, most mitochondria remain stationary, while approximately 20–30% are motile [8]. Those motile mitochondria can become stationary and stationary ones can be remobilized in response to changes in bioenergetic status and synaptic activity. Thus, axonal mitochondria deploy an anchoring mechanism in addition to motor-driven transport. This model was recently validated by a study demonstrating that syntaphilin (SNPH) acts as a 'static anchor' specific for axonal mitochondria [36,37]. SNPH selectively targets to the outer membrane of axonal mitochondria through its C-terminal mitochondria-targeting domain and axon-sorting sequence. SNPH arrests axonal mitochondrial transport by anchoring them to MTs. Overexpressing SNPH abolished axonal mitochondrial transport, whereas deleting *snph* robustly enhanced axonal mitochondrial motility to 78% in 2-week-old cultured hippocampal neurons and to 71% in *ex vivo* axonal bundles of sciatic nerves from 2-month-old mice [22,36,37]. These data indicate that SNPH acts as an anchoring protein that restricts axonal mitochondrial transport. Thus, *snph*-knockout (KO) mice serve as an ideal genetic model for investigating how enhanced transport of axonal mitochondria influences synaptic function, axonal growth, and regenerative capacity in response to altered energy requirements.



Figure 1. Motors and/or Adaptors and Anchoring Proteins Have Opposite Roles in Regulating Axonal Mitochondrial Motility. Long-distance axonal mitochondrial transport is driven by microtubule (MT)-based molecular motors: the plus end-directed kinesin and the minus end-directed dynein. Axonal MTs are uniformly arranged so that their plus-end is directed distally and the minus-end is toward the soma; thus, most kinesin motors move toward distal axons, while dynein motors mediate retrograde transport toward the soma. The kinesin-1 family proteins (KIF5A, KIF5B, and KIF5C) are the main motors driving mitochondrial transport in neurons. Kinesin-1 motors interact with mitochondria through adaptor proteins. Axonal mitochondria also deploy an anchoring mechanism in addition to motor-driven transport. Syntaphilin (SNPH) acts as a 'static anchor' specific for axonal mitochondria. SNPH arrests mitochondrial transport by anchoring them to MTs. In central nervous system (CNS) axons, most mitochondria remain stationary, while approximately 20–30% are motile. Motile mitochondria can become stationary and stationary ones can be remobilized. The balance of motile versus stationary axonal mitochondria depends on the relative action of the motor and/or adaptor and SNPH.

Energy-Demanding Synaptic Activity Regulates Mitochondrial Transport

Synaptic function is driven by ATP [4], which supports synapse assembly [11], powers action potentials [12], and fuels SV trafficking and recycling [8,13,38,39]. Due to the high energy demand at synapses, the constant and local ATP supply is critical to maintaining ionic gradients and supporting neurotransmission. Dysfunction or loss of synaptic mitochondria leads to synaptic deficits, which are associated with the neuropathologies found in several major neurodegenerative diseases [21]. Elevated intracellular Ca^{2+}, through activation of voltage-dependent calcium channels or NMDA receptors, recruits mitochondria to activated synapses [40–42]. The mechanisms underlying such activity-dependent mitochondrial recruitment were not known until the identification of Miro as a Ca^{2+} sensor [35,43,44]. Miro is a mitochondrial outer membrane protein with Ca^{2+}-binding EF hands [45]. By sensing cytosolic Ca^{2+}, Miro arrests mitochondria at activated synapses through the inactivation of the transport machineries. A Miro-Ca^{2+} sensing model was proposed whereby, when a trafficking mitochondrion passes through an active synapse, elevated Ca^{2+} binds to Miro and induces its conformational changes, thus disrupting the motor–adaptor complexes of KIF5-Trak-Miro (in mammals) or KIF5-Milton-Miro (in *Drosophila*) [35,44]. By this mechanism, mitochondria are immobilized at activated synapses (Figure 2A,B). However, this model has been disputed because it is unclear whether the KIF5 motor remains associated with arrested mitochondria or is released from the organelle upon immobilization. It is also unclear how this sensing pathway inactivates dynein-mediated retrograde transport. A genetic mouse study using a neuronal *miro1* deletion showed that Miro1 loss did not prevent Ca^{2+}-dependent inhibition of mitochondria motility [46]. A second study consistently showed that mitochondrial trafficking in *miro1* deletion neurons remained sensitive to neuronal activation [47]. These two mouse genetic studies raise questions as to whether Miro1 is essential for the Ca^{2+}-mediated inhibition of mitochondrial transport and whether the remaining Miro2 in these *miro1* mutant neurons or other mechanisms in place can immobilize mitochondria by sensing Ca^{2+}. These studies also suggest that mitochondrial immobilization requires a static anchoring mechanism.

Box 1. Motors and Adaptors in Mitochondrial Transport

The *Drosophila* protein Milton and its mammal orthologs Trak1 and Trak2 function as adaptors linking the C-terminal domain of KIF5 motors to mitochondria through Miro1 or Miro2, Rho-GTPases present in the mitochondrial outer membrane [45,80,81]. Miro contains EF hand Ca^{2+}-binding motifs and GTPase domains, thus allowing mitochondrial transport to be regulated in response to Ca^{2+} signaling. KIF5, Milton/Trak, and Miro1/2 constitute the motor–adaptor complexes driving anterograde mitochondrial transport. Mutation of the *milton* or *miro* genes in *Drosophila* depletes mitochondria at synaptic terminals [29,81]. In mouse hippocampal neurons, the Miro1/Trak2 complex is a key regulator of mitochondrial transport [35]. Expressing Miro1 facilitates the recruitment of Trak2 to mitochondria, while depleting Trak1 impairs mitochondrial transport in axons [82,83]. The role of Miro was further confirmed in two recent studies with *miro1* deletion mouse models. The first study showed that neuron-specific loss of Miro1 caused the depletion of mitochondria from corticospinal tract axons, resulting in progressive neurological deficits [46]. However, the second study demonstrated that Miro1, but not Miro2, is the primary regulator of mitochondrial transport in both axons and dendrites. Miro1 deletion caused a depletion of mitochondria from distal dendrites accompanied by a marked reduction in dendritic complexity [47]. Syntabulin is an alternative KIF5 adaptor for driving mitochondrial transport. It attaches to the outer mitochondrial membrane via its C-terminal domain and recruits KIF5 motors to mitochondria [34]. Depleting syntabulin or blocking its coupling to KIF5 impaired mitochondrial transport from the soma to distal axons. The presence of several motor adaptors for mitochondria highlights the complex regulation of their motility in response to various physiological signals. Dynein motors mediate retrograde mitochondrial movement in axons. Cytoplasmic dynein comprises two dynein heavy chains (DHCs) and several intermediate (DICs), light intermediate (DLICs), and light chains (DLCs). DHCs function as motors and the association of the dynein motor with cargoes and the regulation of its motility involve other polypeptides. Dynein associates with *Drosophila* mitochondria and mutations in DHC alter the velocities and run lengths of mitochondrial retrograde transport in axons [84]. Compared with Trak-Miro as the KIF5 adaptor complex, adaptors that recruit dynein motors to mitochondria are less well known. Recent studies suggest that KIF5 and dynein motors share the same set of mitochondrial adaptor complexes. The loss of *dmiro* impaired both kinesin- and dynein-driven mitochondrial transport, while overexpressing dMiro altered bi-directional mitochondrial transport [82,85]. Trak1 and Trak2 contain separate binding domains for KIF5 and dynein/dynactin, thus allowing their coupling with both KIF5 and dynein [51]. Mitochondria move bi-directionally and frequently change direction, suggesting a model in which the relative activity of opposite-moving motors is regulated through their interactions with the adaptor complexes.

This possibility was tested in a recent study showing that activating the Miro-Ca^{2+} sensing pathway failed to arrest axonal mitochondria in *snph* KO hippocampal neurons [37]. Deleting the *snph* gene abolished the activity-dependent immobilization of mitochondria in axons, but not in dendrites, consistent with SNPH expression that is specific to axonal mitochondria. It was further revealed that SNPH competes with Trak2 to bind with KIF5 motors and inhibits motor ATPase activity. Thus, synaptic activity favors the KIF5-SNPH anchoring interaction and SNPH coordinates with the Miro-Ca^{2+} sensing mechanism for arresting axonal mitochondrial trafficking. These findings suggest a new 'engine-switch and brake' model, whereby, when a motile mitochondrion passes by an activated synapse, SNPH responds to elevated Ca^{2+} levels (stop sign) to switch off the engine (motor) and places a brake on the mitochondrion, thereby arresting the mitochondrion on the MT track. When the Ca^{2+} signal is removed, the cargo-loaded motor-adaptor complexes can be quickly reactivated (Figure 2C). This 'engine-switch and brake' model represents an interplay between the KIF5-Trak-Miro complex and the anchoring protein SNPH, thus effectively turning on/off trafficking and the anchoring mechanism in response to changes in energy-demanding synaptic activity.

Mitochondrial Motility Influences Energy Homeostasis and Presynaptic Strength

A quantitative analysis of presynaptic ATP levels showed that electrical activity imposes large bioenergetic demands that are met via local ATP synthesis in presynaptic boutons, in which SV recycling consumes most of the presynaptic ATP [38]. A brief interruption of local ATP synthesis severely impairs presynaptic function. Consistently, Pathak *et al.* [39] showed that SV endocytosis requires higher ATP consumption compared with SV exocytosis and reacidification. While both these studies suggest that presynaptic ATP supply is required to maintain sustained synaptic transmission, they also raise the question of whether defects in mitochondrial anchoring at presynaptic boutons cause local energy deficits, thus impairing the maintenance of SV release and recycling. Previous studies provided evidence that the loss of mitochondria from



Figure 2. Synaptic Activity Regulates Mitochondrial Transport. (A,B) Miro-Ca^{2+} sensing models. Miro is a mitochondrial outer membrane protein with two Ca^{2+}-binding EF hands. By sensing cytosolic Ca^{2+} levels, Miro arrests mitochondria at activated synapses by inactivating KIF5 transport machineries. When a trafficking mitochondrion passes through an active synapse, elevated Ca^{2+} binds to Miro and induces its conformational changes, thus disrupting the KIF5–Trak–Mito complex [35,44]. Through this mechanism, mitochondria are immobilized at activated synapses. Two alternative models were proposed depending on whether (A) the KIF5 motor remains associated with arrested mitochondria or (B) is disconnected with the organelle upon immobilization. Two recent genetic studies showed that the loss of Miro1 in neurons did not inhibit the Ca^{2+}-dependent arrest of remaining mitochondria [46,47], thus raising the possibility that activity-dependent mitochondrial immobilization requires a static anchoring mechanism. (C) Engine-switch and brake model. When a motile mitochondrion passes by an activated synapse, the anchoring protein syntaphilin (SNPH) responds to elevated Ca^{2+} (stop sign), switches off the engine (motor), and places a brake on mitochondrion, thereby arresting mitochondria on the microtubule (MT) track. When the Ca^{2+} signal is removed, the cargo-loaded motor–adaptor complexes can be quickly reactivated to drive the mitochondrion to new active synapses. This engine switch and brake model suggests an interplay between the motor–adaptor transport complex and the anchoring protein SNPH [37]. Through this mechanism, neurons effectively regulate axonal mitochondrial distribution in response to changes in energy-demanding synaptic activity.

axonal terminals inhibits synaptic transmission due to insufficient ATP supply. For example, expressing mutant Milton in *Drosophila* photoreceptors impaired synaptic transmission by reducing synaptic mitochondria [29]. Expressing a loss-of-function mutant of syntabulin, a mitochondrial KIF5 motor adaptor [34], reduced mitochondrial trafficking to axonal terminals, accelerated synaptic depression, and slowed recovery during high-frequency firing [19]. Mutation in the mitochondrial fission protein Drp1 in *Drosophila* reduced synaptic localization of mitochondria and impaired SV mobilization from the reserve pool, thus depleting SVs much faster than in wildtype neurons during prolonged trains of stimulation, a phenotype partially rescued by adding ATP to the synapses [13]. Consistently, a conditional *drp1* KO mouse line with a postnatal deletion in CA1 hippocampal neurons failed to maintain mitochondrial-derived ATP levels at presynaptic terminals during neuronal activity, thus impairing SV recycling and memory in the mutant mice [48].

In cultured mature neurons, approximately 20–30% of axonal mitochondria move bi-directionally, some of which pass through or pause at presynaptic terminals [5,6]. By imaging both axonal and presynaptic mitochondria in live hippocampal neurons, five patterns of mitochondrial motility and distribution were recently characterized in axons: nonsynaptic stationary

(54.07 ± 2.53%) and synaptic stationary mitochondria (16.29 ± 1.66%), and motile mitochondria passing through synapses (14.77 ± 1.58%), pausing at synapses briefly (7.01 ± 1.29%) or for more than 200 s (8.30 ± 1.52%) [8]. These patterns are consistent with a previous study in cortical neurons [42] and further supported by a recent *in vivo* 3D electron microscopy analysis of perfusion-fixed hippocampi, where 33% of total synapses contained presynaptic mitochondria, 31% of synapses were located less than 3 μm from the nearest axonal mitochondrion, and 36% of synapses were more than 3 μm from the nearest axonal mitochondrion [49].

The balance between these motile and synaptic pools of mitochondria responds quickly to changes in synaptic activity and likely the status of energy homeostasis. Thus, a proposed model is one in which a stationary mitochondrion retained within presynaptic boutons constantly supplies ATP to support ATP-dependent presynaptic functions. Conversely, for a presynaptic terminal lacking an anchored mitochondrion, ATP is mainly supplied through diffusion from mitochondria outside the synapse. When mitochondria move closer, more ATP is supplied to the synapse, and vice versa. Therefore, a motile mitochondrion passing through this presynaptic bouton dynamically alters local ATP homeostasis, influencing ATP-dependent synaptic functions (Figure 3).

This model was recently tested by combining live neuron imaging and electrophysiological analysis in a *snph* mutant mouse model in which axonal mitochondrial motility was robustly increased (78%) [8]. First, using cultured hippocampal neurons and hippocampal slices from age-matched wildtype and *snph*$^{-/-}$ mice, it was shown that enhanced axonal mitochondrial motility significantly increased the variability of pulse-to-pulse amplitudes of excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs). Overexpressing SNPH reduced the variability found in wildtype neurons by abolishing mitochondria motility. Second, using dual-channel imaging of mitochondrial motility and synapto-pHluorin at single-bouton levels, it was further shown that mitochondrial movement either into or out of presynaptic boutons influenced SV cycling due to the large fluctuations of synaptic ATP levels. In the absence of an anchored mitochondrion, presynaptic boutons lack a constant on-site ATP supply under intensive synaptic activity. A motile mitochondrion passing through could spatially and temporally supply ATP, thus changing presynaptic energy levels when a mitochondrion moves in or out of synapses and consequently influencing ATP-dependent synaptic activities (Figure 3). Therefore, fluctuations of presynaptic ATP levels contribute to the variability in presynaptic strength. Thus, the study discussed above reveals that axonal mitochondrial motility is one of the primary mechanisms underlying the plasticity and reliability of presynaptic strength in the CNS.

In neurons, glycolysis also produces ATP, a process independent of mitochondria. Thus, increased glycolysis could supply ATP in boutons that lack mitochondria. By measuring presynaptic ATP levels, the Ryan group demonstrated that glycolysis supports the maintenance of ATP levels at resting presynaptic boutons [38]. Blockage of the ATP synthesis pathways by application of either the glycolysis inhibitor 2-deoxyglucose or mitochondrial ATPase inhibitor oligomycin alone reduced presynaptic ATP levels during synaptic activity. Their study suggests that ATP supply from both glycolysis and mitochondria is required to sustain activity-dependent ATP consumption. It was also reported that mitochondria-derived ATP is dispersed in axons and diffused to non-mitochondria-containing presynaptic boutons. Thus, the capacity for SV recycling is similar in the presynaptic boutons with or without a mitochondrion [39]. These findings raise a question as to whether presynaptic mitochondria could provide more ATP sources to sustain increased synaptic efficacy. By 3D electron microscopy, the Harris group recently demonstrated that sustained synaptic activity is specific to mitochondria-containing presynaptic boutons during long-term potentiation [49]. Presynaptic boutons with mitochondria have more docked SVs than those without mitochondria in the hippocampal CA1 area. This is largely attributed to the fact that efficient SV mobilization is



Figure 3. Mitochondrial Motility Influences Energy Homeostasis and Presynaptic Strength. (A) A stationary mitochondrion retained within a presynaptic bouton constantly supplies ATP to support various presynaptic functions, including establishing the proton gradient necessary for neurotransmitter loading; removing Ca^{2+} from nerve terminals; powering synaptic vesicle (SV) transport from reserve pools to release sites; and driving SV exo- and endocytotic recycling, thus maintaining presynaptic strength. (B) For a presynaptic terminal lacking an anchored mitochondrion, ATP is mainly supplied through diffusion from mitochondria outside the synapses. When a mitochondrion moves closer, more ATP supplies the synapse and vice versa. Thus, a motile mitochondrion passing through this presynaptic bouton dynamically alters local ATP levels and influences ATP-dependent synaptic functions, leading to wide pulse-to-pulse variability of synaptic strength, particularly under increased energy demand during sustained synaptic activity.

restricted to presynaptic boutons with or near mitochondria. This study further supports a previous study that ATP production from presynaptic mitochondria is the main local energy source driving SV mobilization from the reserve pool to sustain the lasting synaptic efficacy [13].

While MT-based kinesin and dynein motors drive mitochondrial transport along long-range MT tracks, actin-based myosin motors mediate short-range movement at presynaptic terminals, where actin filaments form the major cytoskeletal architecture. It was reported that actin anchors mitochondria at nerve growth factor stimulation sites, although underlying mechanisms remain unclear [50], thus raising an interesting question as to whether motile mitochondria are recruited to, and captured at, presynaptic terminals via MT–actin crosstalk.

Regulation of Mitochondrial Motility by Energy Metabolism and Growth Status

Mitochondrial trafficking and distribution in polarized neurons is the central issue concerning the maintenance of energy homeostasis throughout cells. Proper mitochondrial transport into growth cones and branches in developing neurons ensures an adequate ATP supply in these metabolically active regions. Recent studies established a correlation between polarized mitochondrial transport and axonal and dendritic morphology. For example, a recent study reported the differential functions of the motor adaptors Trak1 and Trak2 in driving polarized

mitochondrial transport and, thus, axonal and dendritic growth [51]. While Trak1 is required for axonal mitochondria transport through binding to both kinesin-1 and dynein motors, Trak2 predominantly mediates mitochondrial transport into dendrites by interacting with the dynein motors. Consistently, depleting Trak1 inhibited axonal outgrowth, while disrupting Trak2-mediated mitochondrial trafficking impaired dendrite morphology. A genetic mouse study provided further evidence showing the differential roles of Miro1 and Miro2 in mitochondrial trafficking and neuronal morphogenesis [47]. Miro1, but not Miro2, is the main regulator of mitochondrial trafficking. Deleting Miro1 *in vivo* during mouse development disrupted neuronal morphogenesis, while Miro1 disruption in mature neurons led to a loss of distal dendritic complexity.

Emerging evidence suggests that neurons have a special mechanism that activates mitochondrial biogenesis and delivers mitochondria to distal axons by sensing energy requirements. Neuronal growth requires a considerable amount of energy to drive the synthesis of raw building materials and the delivery of these materials to new growing tips. Mitochondrial biogenesis and a local mitochondria-derived ATP supply are required for axonal growth during development [52]. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a master regulator of cellular energy homeostasis and is activated upon stresses that deplete cellular ATP supplies. Earlier activation of mitochondrial biogenesis through the AMPK–PGC-1α–NRF1 axis accelerates the generation of new mitochondria and increases mitochondrial density and the ATP:ADP ratio in axonal terminals, thereby ensuring an energy production capability that is sufficient for axonal growth.

It is assumed that stationary mitochondria ideally serve as local energy stations that constantly supply ATP and, thus, maintain local ATP homeostasis. This issue was recently examined in a study using cortical neurons that found a solid causal correlation of mitochondrial distribution patterns with the ATP:ADP ratio in axonal terminals [22]. Overexpressing SNPH restricted axonal mitochondria within the proximal axons due to reduced flux to distal axons, which correlated with a reduced ATP:ADP ratio in the most distal axon segment and smaller-sized growth cones. Conversely, overexpressing Miro1 increased the mitochondrial density in distal axons and the average size of growth cones. These results suggest that proper mitochondrial density in distal axons is required to maintain growth capacity. High ADP levels are thought to suppress mitochondrial motility [53]. Using a motor-assisted transport model combined with probability simulations, Mironov reported that [ADP] gradients in the proximity of active synapses and growth cones slowed down mitochondrial motility and, thus, targeted mitochondria to 'hot spots' with high energy consumption.

Recent studies support the notion that the balance between motile and stationary mitochondria responds to changes in axonal growth status via AMPK. One study highlighted a critical role for SNPH in mediating mitochondrial anchoring through the AMPK pathway [54]. Activation of AMPK increases anterograde flux of mitochondria into distal axons and induces axonal branching. As a cellular energy sensor, AMPK activation may replenish the ATP supply in distal axons by recruiting mitochondria and anchoring them through signaling pathways that have not yet been revealed. Intriguingly, depleting SNPH reduces the stationary pool size of axonal mitochondria accompanied by decreased axon branching, thus establishing a causal relation between SNPH-mediated anchoring and AMPK-induced axonal branching. However, an important mechanistic question remains: do SNPH and/or motor complexes act as a downstream effector of the AMPK pathways in recruiting mitochondria by sensing metabolic signals? The KIF5 motor might be a potential target because its light chain is phosphorylated by AMPK [55]. It would be interesting to investigate the mechanisms by which axonal mitochondria are immobilized or remobilized to sense changes in the local ATP:ADP ratio or metabolic signals.

Tao *et al.* [56] provided further evidence showing that AMPA activation is required for axonal branch formation in an ATP-dependent manner by balancing mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring. Activation of AMPK increases the anterograde transport of mitochondria toward axonal terminals and accumulates mitochondrial docking in regions preceding the emergence of new axonal branches. The formation of axonal branches is blocked by the mitochondrial uncoupler FCCP, thus providing a link between mitochondria-derived ATP and the formation and/or maintenance of axonal branches. A third study revealed an intriguing mechanism underlying the role of mitochondria in determining axon branching sites [57]. Anchored mitochondria in local hot spots promote the maturation of axonal filopodia into axon branching through ATP generation that powers local intra-axonal mRNA translation and protein synthesis. Blocking mitochondrial respiration or inhibiting protein synthesis impaired the maturation of axonal branches, despite mitochondria having been anchored at these hot spots. These studies support the notion that the balance between motile and stationary mitochondria in axons responds to changes in axonal growth status via AMPK. Thus, regulating mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring is critical to maintaining the local ATP supply necessary for energy-demanding axonal growth and branching.

Glucose is the main carbon source for mitochondria-derived ATP production. In particular, neurons rely heavily on a continuous supply of glucose to maintain mitochondrial energy metabolism. A recent study revealed that glucose levels can also regulate mitochondrial motility in neurons [58]. Extracellular glucose arrests mitochondrial transport through the enzyme OGT, a putative metabolic sensor. Post-translational modification of Milton by OGT-dependent *O*-GlcNAcylation is required for regulating mitochondrial distribution. Through this mechanism, neurons may accumulate axonal mitochondria in areas where cytosolic glucose is elevated, thus ensuring rapid ATP production by sensing changes in the glucose supply.

Mitochondrial Transport Facilitates Axon Regeneration by Rescuing Energy Deficits

While young neurons during early developmental stages have robust axon growth capacity, mature neurons typically fail to regenerate after spinal cord injury or traumatic brain injury, leading to permanent neurological impairments. It was suggested that mature neurons lose their growth capacity due to an intrinsic decline of permissive conditions for regeneration [59]. Thus, it is critical to understanding which intrinsic mechanisms account for the mature neuron-associated decline of regrowth capacity. Injury to mature neurons usually leads to an inability to reform an active growth cone, where damaged membranes are resealed, cytoskeletal structures are rearranged, and regrowth programs are activated, including the synthesis of raw building materials, their transport, and the assembly of axonal components. All of these regrowth events require high levels of energy consumption [60]. Mitochondria-derived ATP production provides most of the axonal energy. Given the limited diffusion capacity of intracellular ATP through extremely long axons, axonal mitochondria are the main source of the ATP necessary to assemble a new growth cone and support axon regeneration. Axonal injury is a strong stress condition that induces mitochondrial depolarization [61,62]. Dysfunctional mitochondria not only supply less ATP, causing local energy deficits, but also release toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) and apoptotic factors that further trigger axonal pathology and degeneration [63]. Therefore, enhancing mitochondrial transport not only removes those damaged mitochondria, but also delivers healthy ones into injured axons to meet increased energy requirements during regeneration. To test the above hypothesis, one would first need to address two fundamental questions: (i) Do mature neurons maintain an effective capacity to recruit healthy mitochondria to injured axons? and (ii) If this capacity declines with neuron maturation, does enhancing mitochondrial transport enable mature neurons to regain axon regenerative capacity?

These issues were recently examined using live imaging of mitochondrial transport, mitochondrial integrity, and dynamic ATP levels in injured axons within a microfluidic chamber system combined with an *in vivo* mouse model [22]. Examination of the relative SNPH expression and axonal mitochondrial transport throughout neuronal developmental stages revealed that the mature neuron-associated decline of regrowth capacity correlated well with progressively increased levels of SNPH expression. In cultured cortical neurons, SNPH becomes detectable after DIV9, and peaks at DIV22. Axonal mitochondrial motility at DIV7 is 47%, twice as high as that at DIV18. This *in vitro* SNPH expression pattern is consistent with a robust increase in SNPH expression in mature neurons of rat brains. These results suggest that mature neuron-associated increase in SNPH expression and decline in mitochondrial transport is one of the intrinsic mechanisms diminishing axonal regenerative capacity. This study showing a progressive decline in axonal mitochondrial transport over neuronal maturation is also consistent with three recent *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies [64–66]. In ganglion cell dendrites in the intact retina, mitochondria are highly motile (30%) during developmental stages; as dendrites mature, mitochondria reach stable positions, such as synapses and branch points [64]. Another study evaluated axonal regrowth 6 days after injury following the manipulation of axonal mitochondrial transport in mature cortical neurons by overexpressing SNPH or Miro1 transgenes [22]. Expressing SNPH arrested all mitochondrial transport and abolished axons regrowth after injury. By contrast, expressing Miro1 enhanced mitochondrial transport and robustly increased axonal regrowth capacity. This study indicates that mature neurons can regain their regrowth capacity by enhancing mitochondrial transport. By monitoring mitochondrial membrane potentials and the ATP:ADP ratio, it was also shown that axonal injury is an acute stress condition that damages local mitochondria and reduces ATP supply, thus triggering energy deficits in the injury sites [22]. Furthermore, by crushing sciatic nerves *in vivo* in adult *snph* KO mice, it was found that enhanced mitochondrial transport in *snph* KO sciatic nerves facilitated *in vivo* axonal regeneration [22].

The findings that mitochondrial transport influences axonal regenerative capacity in mouse models are supported by several previous reports. In the *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutant ric-7, which has impaired mitochondrial transport to distal axons, injured axons degenerate rapidly; such degeneration can be suppressed by forcing mitochondria into the axons [67]. When mitochondria were eliminated from fly axons by depleting Milton, upregulation of Nmnat, which is known to suppress axon degeneration [68], failed to suppress axon degeneration [69], suggesting that mitochondrial trafficking to axons is critical to suppressing axonal degeneration. Using both *Drosophila* and mouse models, Avery *et al.* [70] identified axonal mitochondria as a key target for Wld^S, an effective protein that protects axon from Wallerian degeneration after injury [71]. Wld^S enhances mitochondrial flux into axons, which is essential for maximal axonal protection after injury [70]. A peripheral injury of CNS axons induces a global increase in the axonal transport of organelles, including mitochondria, lysosomes, and other axonal building blocks, thus supporting axon regeneration [72]. Recently, two other studies provided *in vivo* evidence in worms and mice that mitochondrial transport has a critical role in enhancing neuronal regenerative capacity after injury. In *C. elegans*, axotomy triggered an energy stress in injured axons and recruited and increased axonal mitochondrial density to supply ATP for sustained axonal regeneration [73]. This injury-induced response occurred via the activation of the dual leucine zipper kinase 1 (DLK-1), a conserved regulator of axon regeneration. Using adult mouse retinal ganglion cells as an *in vivo* injury model, Cartoni *et al.* [74] reported that expression of the mitochondrial protein Armcx1 enhanced mitochondrial transport by recruiting stationary mitochondria. Such enhanced transport is critical to protecting axotomized neurons from cell death and promoting axon regeneration. Although the mechanisms enhancing axonal mitochondrial transport by DLK-1 and Armcx1 have not yet been elucidated, these studies reveal new molecular players in the regulation of neuronal injury responses.

Energy deficit is defined as an insufficient ATP supply when mitochondria are damaged and/or an increased energy consumption, such as during axonal regeneration. Mitochondrial damage by axonal injury, mature neuron-associated decline of mitochondrial transport, and enhanced energy consumption collectively contribute to energy deficits in injured axons. Enhanced mitochondrial transport rescues energy deficits by replenishing healthy mitochondria to injured axons (Figure 4). Thus, activating an intrinsic 'growth program' requires the recovery of energy supply through enhanced mitochondrial transport. Such coordinated regulation may represent a valid therapeutic strategy to facilitate nerve regeneration and recovery after injury and diseases. The future development of safe and effective small-molecule compounds will be an attractive strategy to selectively increase mitochondrial motility and rescue the local energy deficits within injured axons [75].



Figure 4. Illustration of Enhanced Mitochondrial Transport Critical for Mature Neurons to Regain Axonal Regenerative Capacity. (A) An energy deficit is defined as an insufficient ATP supply when mitochondria are damaged and/or there is increased energy consumption during regeneration. Mitochondrial damage by axonal injury and mature neuron-associated decline of mitochondrial transport collectively contribute to local energy deficits in injured axons, thus leading to regeneration failure. Energy deficits may reflect the intrinsic restriction of mature neurons to regenerate following injury. (B) Enhanced mitochondrial transport by deleting syntaphilin (SNPH) not only helps remove those dysfunctional mitochondria, but also replenishes healthy ones to the injured axons, thus recovering mitochondrial integrity and rescuing energy deficits. An enhanced local ATP supply is critical to meeting the metabolic requirements of axon regeneration. Thus, activating an intrinsic 'growth program' requires the recovery of energy supply by enhancing mitochondrial transport. Such coordinated regulation may represent a valid therapeutic strategy to facilitate nerve regeneration and functional recovery after injury and in disease. Abbreviations: KO, knockout; WT, wildtype.

Concluding Remarks

Mitochondria are the main cellular power plants that produce energy essential for neuronal growth, survival, and function. Neurons face exceptional challenges in maintaining energy homeostasis, especially at distal synapses and growth cones, where energy is in high demand. Anchored mitochondria ideally serve as local energy sources. The energy-dependent regulation of mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring ensures that these metabolically active areas are adequately supplied with ATP during the growth of developing neurons, the regeneration of injured mature neurons, and the maintenance of synaptic activity.

It is well documented that mitochondrial dysfunction and impaired mitochondrial transport are involved in major neurodegenerative diseases and neurological disorders [20,21,76]. Damaged mitochondria fail to produce ATP and associate with an altered redox status. Bioenergetic deficits and chronic oxidative stress trigger axonal pathology and synaptic dysfunction, thus contributing to the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases [30]. Indeed, energy failure or an impaired bioenergetic metabolism emerges as a common problem during the early stages of aging-associated neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases [10,77–79].

SNPH is an intriguing anchoring protein that is specific for axonal mitochondria and, thus, serves as an attractive target for future investigations of the mechanisms that recruit mitochondria into activated synapses and injured axons. Studies have demonstrated that the relative SNPH enrichment on axonal mitochondria controls mitochondrial motility and the mature neuron-associated decline of mitochondrial transport correlates with progressively increased SNPH expression [22,36]. Declined axonal mitochondrial transport in mature neurons is also supported by three recent *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies [64–66]. Elevated SNPH expression and, thus, mitochondrial anchoring in mature neurons is necessary to maintain synaptic function. However, when mature neurons are injured and diseased, SNPH-mediated mitochondrial anchoring restricts axonal regrowth and regenerative capacity. These findings can be used to propose the hypothesis that mature neuron-associated SNPH expression is an intrinsic mechanism that restricts the removal of dysfunctional mitochondria from axons, thus leading to energy deficits at distal synapses under pathological conditions. The selective removal of SNPH from those damaged mitochondria would enhance their transport, allowing for efficient repair or elimination in the somatodendritic regions where mature lysosomes are relatively enriched. Thus, spatially and temporally removing SNPH from axonal mitochondria may be an attractive pathway to replenish healthy mitochondria at distal terminals to rescue energy deficits and, thus, support neuron regeneration after injury and maintain synaptic transmission in disease. Therefore, the development of new optogenetic tools to test this hypothesis will help advance our understanding of how mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring in axons and at synapses are regulated through the sensing and integration of changes in local metabolic status under various physiological and pathological stresses. Future studies should be directly relevant to the challenge that mature neurons face in maintaining an energy supply in health and, similarly, recovering energy deficits in neurological disorders and regeneration after injury and diseases (see Outstanding Questions).

Outstanding Questions

How are mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring regulated through the sensing and integration of changes in the local bioenergetics status under various physiological stresses?

Does the anchoring protein SNPH act as a downstream effector of AMPK pathways in recruiting mitochondria by sensing the metabolic signals?

Are mobile mitochondria captured at active presynaptic terminals through the MT-actin track switch and crosstalk?

Do energy deficits occur in distal axons and at synapses during the early stages of certain pathological conditions?

Is an energy deficit associated with a range of neurodegenerative diseases? If this is the case, does the recovery of energy supply slow down the pathogenesis?

How is SNPH removed from axonal mitochondria in mature neurons to spatially and temporally enhance axonal mitochondrial transport and rescue energy deficits, and, thus, support neuron regeneration after injury and disease?

Acknowledgments

The author apologizes to those colleagues whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations and journal guidelines to cite the most recent literature. The author thanks his lab members B. Zhou, T. Sun, and M-Y. Lin for their research contributions and constructive discussions; X-T. Cheng for illustration and reference editing; and S. Cuddy and D. Schoenberg for critical reading. The work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of NINDS, NIH ZIA NS003029 and ZIA NS002946 (Z-H. Sheng).

References

1. Mattson, M.P. *et al.* (2008) Mitochondria in neuroplasticity and neurological disorders. *Neuron* 60, 748–766
2. Zhu, X.H. *et al.* (2012) Quantitative imaging of energy expenditure in human brain. *Neuroimage* 60, 2107–2117
3. Nicholls, D.G. and Budd, S.L. (2000) Mitochondria and neuronal survival. *Physiol. Rev.* 80, 315–360
4. Harris, J.J. *et al.* (2012) Synaptic energy use and supply. *Neuron* 75, 762–777
5. Saxton, W.M. and Hollenbeck, P.J. (2012) The axonal transport of mitochondria. *J. Cell Sci.* 125, 2095–2104
6. Sheng, Z.H. (2014) Mitochondrial trafficking and anchoring in neurons: new insight and implications. *J. Cell Biol.* 204, 1087–1098
7. Hubley, M.J. *et al.* (1996) The effects of temperature, pH, and magnesium on the diffusion coefficient of ATP in solutions of physiological ionic strength. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1291, 115–121
8. Sun, T. *et al.* (2013) Motile axonal mitochondria contribute to the variability of presynaptic strength. *Cell Rep.* 4, 413–419
9. Cheng, H.C. *et al.* (2010) Clinical progression in Parkinson disease and the neurobiology of axons. *Ann. Neurol.* 67, 715–725
10. Pathak, D. *et al.* (2013) Energy failure: does it contribute to neurodegeneration? *Ann. Neurol.* 74, 506–516
11. Lee, C.W. and Peng, H.B. (2008) The function of mitochondria in presynaptic development at the neuromuscular junction. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 150–158
12. Attwell, D. and Laughlin, S.B. (2001) An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 21, 1133–1145
13. Verstreken, P. *et al.* (2005) Synaptic mitochondria are critical for mobilization of reserve pool vesicles at *Drosophila* neuromuscular junctions. *Neuron* 47, 365–378
14. Medler, K. and Gleason, E.L. (2002) Mitochondrial Ca(2+) buffering regulates synaptic transmission between retinal amacrine cells. *J. Neurophysiol.* 87, 1426–1439
15. David, G. and Barrett, E.F. (2003) Mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake prevents desynchronization of quantal release and minimizes depletion during repetitive stimulation of mouse motor nerve terminals. *J. Physiol.* 548, 425–438
16. Talbot, J.D. *et al.* (2003) Inhibition of mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake affects phasic release from motor terminals differently depending on external [Ca2+]. *J. Neurophysiol.* 90, 491–502
17. Billups, B. and Forsythe, I.D. (2002) Presynaptic mitochondrial calcium sequestration influences transmission at mammalian central synapses. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 5840–5847
18. Shepherd, G.M.G. and Harris, K.M. (1998) Three-dimensional structure and composition of CA3-CA1 axons in rat hippocampal slices: Implications for presynaptic connectivity and compartmentalization. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 8300–8310
19. Ma, H. *et al.* (2009) KIF5B motor adaptor syntabulin maintains synaptic transmission in sympathetic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 13019–13029
20. Chen, H. and Chan, D.C. (2009) Mitochondrial dynamics—fusion, fission, movement, and mitophagy-in neurodegenerative diseases. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 18, R169–R176
21. Sheng, Z.H. and Cai, Q. (2012) Mitochondrial transport in neurons: impact on synaptic homeostasis and neurodegeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 77–93
22. Zhou, B. *et al.* (2016) Facilitation of axon regeneration by enhancing mitochondrial transport and rescuing energy deficits. *J. Cell Biol.* 214, 103–119
23. Cai, Q. *et al.* (2012) Spatial parkin translocation and degradation of damaged mitochondria via mitophagy in live cortical neurons. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 545–552
24. Mironov, S.L. (2009) Complexity of mitochondrial dynamics in neurons and its control by ADP produced during synaptic activity. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 41, 2005–2014
25. Miller, K.E. and Sheetz, M.P. (2004) Axonal mitochondrial transport and potential are correlated. *J. Cell Sci.* 117, 2791–2804
26. Chang, D.T. and Reynolds, I.J. (2006) Mitochondrial trafficking and morphology in healthy and injured neurons. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 80, 241–268
27. MacAskill, A.F. and Kittler, J.T. (2010) Control of mitochondrial transport and localization in neurons. *Trends Cell Biol.* 20, 102–112
28. Birsa, N. *et al.* (2013) Mitochondrial trafficking in neurons and the role of the Miro family of GTPase proteins. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 41, 1525–1531
29. Schwarz, T.L. (2013) Mitochondrial trafficking in neurons. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 5, a011304
30. Mishra, P. and Chan, D.C. (2016) Metabolic regulation of mitochondrial dynamics. *J. Cell Biol.* 212, 379–387
31. Frederick, R.L. and Shaw, J.M. (2007) Moving mitochondria: establishing distribution of an essential organelle. *Traffic* 8, 1668–1675
32. Belanger, M. *et al.* (2011) Brain energy metabolism: focus on astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation. *Cell Metab.* 14, 724–738
33. Hirokawa, N. *et al.* (2010) Molecular motors in neurons: transport mechanisms and roles in brain function, development, and disease. *Neuron* 68, 610–638
34. Cai, Q. *et al.* (2005) Syntabulin-mediated anterograde transport of mitochondria along neuronal processes. *J. Cell Biol.* 170, 959–969
35. Macaskill, A.F. *et al.* (2009) Miro1 is a calcium sensor for glutamate receptor-dependent localization of mitochondria at synapses. *Neuron* 61, 541–555
36. Kang, J.S. *et al.* (2008) Docking of axonal mitochondria by syntaphilin controls their mobility and affects short-term facilitation. *Cell* 132, 137–148
37. Chen, Y. and Sheng, Z.H. (2013) Kinesin-1-syntaphilin coupling mediates activity-dependent regulation of axonal mitochondrial transport. *J. Cell Biol.* 202, 351–364
38. Rangaraju, V. *et al.* (2014) Activity-driven local ATP synthesis is required for synaptic function. *Cell* 156, 825–835
39. Pathak, D. *et al.* (2015) The role of mitochondrially derived ATP in synaptic vesicle recycling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 22325–22336
40. Rintoul, G.L. *et al.* (2003) Glutamate decreases mitochondrial size and movement in primary forebrain neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 7881–7888
41. Yi, M.Q. *et al.* (2004) Control of mitochondrial motility and distribution by the calcium signal: a homeostatic circuit. *J. Cell Biol.* 167, 661–672
42. Chang, D.T. *et al.* (2006) Mitochondrial trafficking to synapses in cultured primary cortical neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 7035–7045
43. Saotome, M. *et al.* (2008) Bidirectional Ca2+-dependent control of mitochondrial dynamics by the Miro GTPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 20728–20733
44. Wang, X. and Schwarz, T.L. (2009) The mechanism of Ca2+-dependent regulation of kinesin-mediated mitochondrial motility. *Cell* 136, 163–174
45. Fransson, A. *et al.* (2003) Atypical Rho GTPases have roles in mitochondrial homeostasis and apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 6495–6502
46. Nguyen, T.T. *et al.* (2014) Loss of Miro1-directed mitochondrial movement results in a novel murine model for neuron disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, E3631–E3640
47. Lopez-Domenech, G. (2016) Loss of dendritic complexity precedes neurodegeneration in a mouse model with disrupted mitochondrial distribution in mature dendrites. *Cell Rep.* 17, 317–327
48. Shields, L.Y. *et al.* (2015) Dynamin-related protein 1 is required for normal mitochondrial bioenergetic and synaptic function in CA1 hippocampal neurons. *Cell Death Dis.* 6, e1725

49. Smith, H.L. *et al.* (2016) Mitochondrial support of persistent presynaptic vesicle mobilization with age-dependent synaptic growth after LTP. *Elife* 5, e15275
50. Chada, S.R. and Hollenbeck, P.J. (2004) Nerve growth factor signaling regulates motility and docking of axonal mitochondria. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 1272–1276
51. van Spronsen, M. *et al.* (2013) TRAK/Milton motor-adaptor proteins steer mitochondrial trafficking to axons and dendrites. *Neuron* 77, 485–502
52. Vaarmann, A. *et al.* (2016) Mitochondrial biogenesis is required for axonal growth. *Development* 143, 1981–1992
53. Mironov, S.L. (2007) ADP regulates movements of mitochondria in neurons. *Biophys. J.* 92, 2944–2952
54. Courchet, J. *et al.* (2013) Terminal axon branching is regulated by the LKB1-NUAK1 kinase pathway via presynaptic mitochondrial capture. *Cell* 153, 1510–1025
55. Amato, S. *et al.* (2011) AMP-activated protein kinase regulates neuronal polarization by interfering with PI 3-kinase localization. *Science* 332, 247–251
56. Tao, K. *et al.* (2014) AMP-activated protein kinase mediates activity-dependent axon branching by recruiting mitochondria to axon. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 74, 557–573
57. Spillane, M. *et al.* (2013) Mitochondria coordinate sites of axon branching through localized intra-axonal protein synthesis. *Cell Rep.* 5, 1564–1575
58. Pekkurnaz, G. *et al.* (2014) Glucose regulates mitochondrial motility via Milton modification by O-GlcNAc transferase. *Cell* 158, 54–68
59. Liu, K. *et al.* (2011) Neuronal intrinsic mechanisms of axon regeneration. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 34, 131–152
60. Bradke, F. *et al.* (2012) Assembly of a new growth cone after axotomy: the precursor to axon regeneration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 183–193
61. O'Donnell, K.C. *et al.* (2013) WldS and PGC-1alpha regulate mitochondrial transport and oxidation state after axonal injury. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 14778–14790
62. Cavallucci, V. *et al.* (2014) Acute focal brain damage alters mitochondrial dynamics and autophagy in axotomized neurons. *Cell Death Dis.* 5, e1545
63. Alvarez, S. *et al.* (2008) Acute energy restriction triggers Wallerian degeneration in mouse. *Exp. Neurol.* 212, 166–178
64. Faits, M.C. *et al.* (2016) Dendritic mitochondria reach stable positions during circuit development. *Elife* 5, e11583
65. Smit-Rigter, L. *et al.* (2016) Mitochondrial dynamics in visual cortex are limited *in vivo* and not affected by axonal structural plasticity. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 2609–2616
66. Lewis, T.L., Jr *et al.* (2016) Progressive decrease of mitochondrial motility during maturation of cortical axons *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 2602–2608
67. Rawson, R.L. *et al.* (2014) Axons degenerate in the absence of mitochondria in *C. elegans*. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 760–765
68. Gilley, J. and Coleman, M.P. (2010) Endogenous Nmnat2 is an essential survival factor for maintenance of healthy axons. *PLoS Biol.* 8, e1000300
69. Fang, Y. *et al.* (2012) A novel *Drosophila* model of nerve injury reveals an essential role of Nmnat in maintaining axonal integrity. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 590–595
70. Avery, M.A. *et al.* (2012) Wld(S) prevents axon degeneration through increased mitochondrial flux and enhanced mitochondrial Ca2+ buffering. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 596–600
71. Conforti, L. *et al.* (2014) Wallerian degeneration: an emerging axon death pathway linking injury and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 394–409
72. Mar, F.M. *et al.* (2014) CNS axons globally increase axonal transport after peripheral conditioning. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 5965–5970
73. Han, S.M. *et al.* (2016) Mitochondria localize to injured axons to support regeneration. *Neuron* 92, 1308–1323
74. Cartoni, R. *et al.* (2016) The mammalian-specific protein Armcx1 regulates mitochondrial transport during axon regeneration. *Neuron* 92, 1294–1307
75. Kaasik, A. (2016) Mitochondrial mobility and neuronal recovery. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 375, 1295–1296
76. Schon, E.A. and Przedborski, S. (2011) Mitochondria: the next (neurode)generation. *Neuron* 70, 1033–1053
77. Zheng, B. *et al.* (2010) PGC-1 alpha, a potential therapeutic target for early intervention in Parkinson's disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2, 52ra73
78. Parihar, M.S. and Brewer, G.J. (2007) Mitoenergetic failure in Alzheimer disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 292, C8–C23
79. Kapogiannis, D. and Mattson, M.P. (2011) Disrupted energy metabolism and neuronal circuit dysfunction in cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol* 10, 187–198
80. Frederick, R.L. *et al.* (2004) Yeast Miro GTPase, Gem1p, regulates mitochondrial morphology via a novel pathway. *J. Cell Biol.* 167, 87–98
81. Smith, M.J. *et al.* (2006) Mapping the GRIF-1 binding domain of the kinesin, KIF5C, substantiates a role for GRIF-1 as an adaptor protein in the anterograde trafficking of cargoes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 27216–27228
82. Guo, X. *et al.* (2005) The GTPase dMiro is required for axonal transport of mitochondria to *Drosophila* synapses. *Neuron* 47, 379–393
83. Brickley, K. and Stephenson, F.A. (2011) Trafficking kinesin protein (TRAK)-mediated transport of mitochondria in axons of hippocampal neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 18079–18092
84. Pilling, A.D. *et al.* (2006) Kinesin-1 and Dynein are the primary motors for fast transport of mitochondria in *Drosophila* motor axons. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 17, 2057–2068
85. Russo, G.J. *et al.* (2009) Drosophila Miro is required for both anterograde and retrograde axonal mitochondrial transport. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 5443–5455