

Learning memory kernels in Generalized Langevin Equations

Quanjun Lang, Bowen Li, Jianfeng Lu

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Difficulty	2
2	Literature review	2
2.1	Quantum Chanel tomography	2
2.2	Lindbladian learning	2
2.3	Prony method for system eigenvalues	2
2.4	Matrix completion	2
3	Numerical Details	2

1 Introduction

We study the problem of learning in open quantum systems. The goal is to learn the dynamics in the Lindblad quantum master equation (QME)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_{k=1}^r (V_k \rho V_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} V_k^\dagger V_k \rho - \frac{1}{2} V_k^\dagger V_k \rho) \quad (1.1) \quad \{\text{eq_QME_main}\}$$

from observations of the trajectories. Here H is the system Hamiltonian and V_k 's are jump operators. The density matrix $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ here characterizes the distribution of a quantum $|\psi(t)\rangle$ in the sense that $\mathbb{E}[|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|]$. Here $|\psi(t)\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n$ itself satisfies the stochastic Schrödinger equation

$$d|\psi(t)\rangle = \left(-iH|\psi(t)\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^r V_k^\dagger V_k |\psi(t)\rangle \right) dt + \sum_{k=1}^r V_k |\psi(t)\rangle dW_t^k \quad (1.2)$$

where W_t^k 's are independent Brownian motions.

The above solution of the QME can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho := \mathcal{L}\rho = \mathcal{L}_H\rho + \mathcal{L}_L\rho, \quad (1.3) \quad \{\text{eq_QME_Lindb}\}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_H\rho = -i[H, \rho]$ and $\mathcal{L}_L\rho = \sum_{k=1}^r (V_k \rho V_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} V_k^\dagger V_k \rho - \frac{1}{2} V_k^\dagger V_k \rho)$. The operator \mathcal{L} is called the Lindbladian superoperators, and solutions of (1.3) can be expressed using semigroup generated by \mathcal{L} , namely

$$\rho(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}t}\rho(0). \quad (1.4)$$

Then the map $\mathcal{V}(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}t}$ is a complete positive trace-preserving map for arbitrary time t . By the Choi-Kraus' Theorem, a mapping $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is completely positive and trace-preserving if and only if it can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{V}\rho = \sum_k V_k^\dagger \rho V_k \quad (1.5)$$

where $\sum_k V_k V_k^\dagger = I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Note that $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ has dimension n^4 . In practice, we often assume that the chan

1.1 Difficulty

Firstly, the evaluation of the density requires taking the expectation with respect to an observable, namely

$$\langle A, \rho \rangle_F = \text{tr}(A\rho) = \langle A \rangle$$

where $A = \sum_i a_i |a_i\rangle\langle a_i|$. When observe using A , we obtain state $|a_i\rangle$ with probability a_i . In practice, we take the empirical distribution of the observed states as the estimated value of $\text{tr}(A, \rho)$. Therefore, the accuracy suffers from the law of large numbers with order $1/\sqrt{N}$, where N is the number of independent trials. With given observable A , the original equation (1.1) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A \rangle(t) = -i \langle [A, H] \rangle(t) + \sum_{k=1}^r \left(\langle V_k^\dagger A V_k \rangle(t) - \frac{1}{2} \langle A V_k^\dagger V_k \rangle(t) - \frac{1}{2} \langle V_k^\dagger V_k A \rangle(t) \right) \quad (1.6)$$

Due to the expense of evaluating the states, the derivative term in (1.1) is unrealistic to obtain. Since the finite difference

$$\frac{\langle A \rangle(t + \Delta t) - \langle A \rangle(t)}{\Delta t}$$

will amplify the error in $\langle A \rangle(t)$ and $\langle A \rangle(t + \Delta t)$. The error in the derivative is of order $\Delta t^2 + \frac{\delta}{\Delta t}$, where the first Δt^2 is the error in finite difference using midpoint method, and the δ is the accuracy in the expectation. In order to achieve ε accuracy in the derivative, we need $\Delta t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and both $\langle A \rangle(t)$ and $\langle A \rangle(t + \Delta t)$ to have $\delta = \varepsilon^{3/2}$ accuracy, therefore requires $1/\varepsilon^{3/2}$ number of independent trails in evaluating the expectation.

2 Literature review

2.1 Quantum Chanel tomography

2.2 Lindbladian learning

2.3 Prony method for system eigenvalues

2.4 Matrix completion

3 Numerical Details

Given a discrete time mesh $t_n = n\Delta t$, generate data $\rho(t_n)$ using the Python package mesolve. Try to learn the quantum channels of $e^{t_n \mathcal{L}}$ using the ALS code, and then compare the eigenvalues of each result.