DERIVATIONS FOR DISCRETIZED OPERATORS

QUANTECON/SIMPLEDIFFERENTIALOPERATORS.JL

JESSE PERLA (@JLPERLA), CHIYOUNG AHN (@CHIYAHN), ARNAV SOOD (@ARNAVS)

1. Setup

- Define an irregular grid $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^P$ with $z_1 = 0$ and $z_P = \bar{z}$ is a "large" number. Denote the grid with the variable name, i.e. $z \equiv \{z_i\}_{i=1}^P$.
- Denote the distance between the grid points as the backwards difference

(1)
$$\Delta_{i,-} \equiv z_i - z_{i-1}$$
, for $i = 2, ..., P$

(2)
$$\Delta_{i,+} \equiv z_{i+1} - z_i$$
, for $i = 1, \dots, P-1$

• Assume $\Delta_{1,-} = \Delta_{1,+}$ and $\Delta_{P,+} = \Delta_{P,-}$, due to ghost points, z_0 and z_{P+1} on both boundaries. (i.e.he distance to the ghost nodes are the same as the distance to the closest nodes). Then define the vector of backwards and forwards first differences as

(3)
$$\Delta_{-} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} z_2 - z_1 \\ \text{diff}(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

(4)
$$\Delta_{+} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diff}(z) \\ z_{P} - z_{P-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Reflecting barrier conditions:

(5)
$$\xi v(0) + \partial_z v(0) = 0$$

(6)
$$\xi v(\bar{z}) + \partial_z v(\bar{z}) = 0$$

Let L_1^- be the discretized backwards first differences and L_2 be the discretized central differences subject to the Neumann boundary conditions in ???? such that $L_1^-v(t)$ and $L_2v(t)$ represent the first and second derivatives of v(z) respectively at t. For second derivatives, we use the following numerical scheme from Achdou et al. (2017):

(7)
$$v''(z_i) \approx \frac{\Delta_{i,-}v(z_i + \Delta_{i,+}) - (\Delta_{i,+} + \Delta_{i,-})v(z_i) + \Delta_{i,+}v(z_i - \Delta_{i,-})}{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{i,+} + \Delta_{i,-})\Delta_{i,+}\Delta_{i,-}}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, P$$

1.1. **Regular grids.** Suppose that the grids are regular, i.e., elements of diff(z) are all identical with Δ for some $\Delta > 0$.

Using the backwards first-order difference, (??) can be alternatively represented as

(8)
$$\frac{v(0) - v(-\Delta)}{\Delta} = -\xi v(0)$$

Similarly, using discretized central differences of second orders, (??) can be shown as

(9)
$$\frac{v(\Delta) - 2v(0) + v(-\Delta)}{\Delta^2} = \frac{v(\Delta) - v(0)}{\Delta^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{v(0) - v(-\Delta)}{\Delta}$$

$$= \frac{v(\Delta) - v(0)}{\Delta^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \xi v(0)$$

(11)
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta^2}(-1 + \Delta\xi)v(0) + \frac{1}{\Delta^2}v(\Delta)$$

Date: February 13, 2019.

Similarly, for (??), we have

(12)
$$\frac{v(\bar{z} + \Delta) - 2v(\bar{z}) + v(\bar{z} - \Delta)}{\Delta^2} = \frac{v(\bar{z} - \Delta) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{v(\bar{z} + \Delta) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta}$$

(13)
$$= \frac{v(\bar{z} - \Delta) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta} \xi v(\bar{z})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta^2} (-1 - \Delta \xi) v(\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} v(\bar{z} - \Delta)$$

Thushe corresponding L_1^- and L_2 matrices are defined as

(15)
$$L_{1}^{-} \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (1 + \xi \Delta) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$L_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 + (1 + \xi \Delta) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -2 + (1 - \xi \Delta) \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

1.2. Irregular grids. Using the backwards first-order difference, (??) can be alternatively represented as

(17)
$$\frac{v(0) - v(-\Delta_{1,-})}{\Delta_{1,-}} = -\xi v(0)$$

Note that we have assumed that $\Delta_{1,-} = \Delta_{1,+}$ and $\Delta_{P,+} = \Delta_{P,-}$ for the ghost notes. Using discretized central differences of second orders, (??) can be shown as

(18)
$$\frac{\Delta_{1,-}v(\Delta_{1,+}) - (\Delta_{1,+} + \Delta_{1,-})v(0) + \Delta_{1,+}v(-\Delta_{1,-})}{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{1,+} + \Delta_{1,-})\Delta_{1,+}\Delta_{1,-}}$$

(19)
$$= \frac{v(\Delta_{1,+}) - 2v(0) + v(-\Delta_{1,+})}{\Delta_{1,+}^2}$$

(19)
$$= \frac{v(\Delta_{1,+}) - 2v(0) + v(-\Delta_{1,+})}{\Delta_{1,+}^2}$$

$$= \frac{v(\Delta_{1,+}) - v(0)}{\Delta_{1,+}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{1,+}} \frac{v(0) - v(-\Delta_{1,+})}{\Delta_{1,+}}$$

(21)
$$= \frac{v(\Delta_{1,+}) - v(0)}{\Delta_{1,+}^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta_{i,+}} \xi v(0)$$

(22)
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta_{1,+}^2} (-1 + \Delta_{1,+} \xi) v(0) + \frac{1}{\Delta_{1,+}^2} v(\Delta_{1,+})$$

Similarly, for (??), we have

(23)
$$\frac{\Delta_{P,-}v(\bar{z} + \Delta_{P,+}) - (\Delta_{P,+} + \Delta_{P,-})v(\bar{z}) + \Delta_{P,+}v(\bar{z} - \Delta_{P,-})}{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{P,+} + \Delta_{P,-})\Delta_{P,+}\Delta_{P,-}}$$

(24)
$$= \frac{v(\bar{z} + \Delta_{P,-}) - 2v(\bar{z}) + v(\bar{z} - \Delta_{P,-})}{\Delta_{P,-}^2}$$

(25)
$$= \frac{v(\bar{z} - \Delta_{P,-}) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta_{P,-}^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta_{P,-}} \frac{v(\bar{z} + \Delta_{P,-}) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta_{P,-}}$$

(26)
$$= \frac{v(\bar{z} - \Delta_{P,-}) - v(\bar{z})}{\Delta_{P,-}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{P,-}} \xi v(\bar{z})$$

(27)
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta_{P_{-}}^{2}} (-1 - \Delta_{P,-} \xi) v(\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{\Delta_{P_{-}}^{2}} v(\bar{z} - \Delta_{P,-})$$

Thushe corresponding L_1^- and L_2 matrices are defined as

$$L_{1}^{-} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{1,-}^{-1} [1 - (1 + \xi \Delta_{1,-}^{-1})] & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\Delta_{2,-}^{-1} & \Delta_{2,-}^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\Delta_{P-1,-}^{-1} & \Delta_{P-1,-}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\Delta_{P,-}^{-1} & \Delta_{P,-}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$(29)$$

$$L_{2} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{1,+}^{-2} [-2 + (1 + \xi \Delta_{1,+})] \Delta_{1,+}^{-2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 2(\Delta_{i,+} + \Delta_{i,-})^{-1} \Delta_{i,-}^{-1} & -2\Delta_{i,-}^{-1} \Delta_{i,+}^{-1} & 2(\Delta_{i,+} + \Delta_{i,-})^{-1} \Delta_{i,+}^{-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \Delta_{P,-}^{-2} \Delta_{P,-}^{-2} [-2 + (1 - \xi \Delta_{P,-})] \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

1.3. Differential operators by basis. Define the following basis matrices:

(30)
$$U_{1}^{-} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$U_{1}^{+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$U_{2} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

and the boundary conditions for the reflecting conditions:

(33)
$$B_{1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \xi \Delta_{1,-}^{-1}) & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$B_{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (1 + \xi \Delta_{P,+}^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

1.3.1. Regular grids. For regular grids with the uniform distance of $\Delta > 0$, (??) and (??) can be represented by

(35)
$$L_1^- = \frac{1}{\Delta} U_1^- - B_1$$

(36)
$$L_2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} U_2 + B_1 + B_P$$

Note that $U_2 = U_1^+ - U_1^-$. Hence, L_2 can be also represented as

(37)
$$L_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} (U_1^+ - U_1^-) + B_1 + B_P$$

1.3.2. Irregular grids. For irregular grids we need further decomposition of L_2 . Define U_2^-, U_2^0, U_2^+ be the matrices that keep only the lower diagonal, diagonal, upper diagonal elements respectively and are zero on all the other elements, i.e.,

$$(38) U_2^- \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$(39) U_2^0 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

$$(40) U_2^+ \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{P \times P}$$

For notational brevity, for vectors with the same size, x_1, x_2 , define x_1x_2 as the elementwise-multiplied vector. Then, we have

(41)
$$L_1^- = \operatorname{diag}(\Delta_-)^{-1}U_1^- - B_1$$

(42)
$$L_2 = \operatorname{diag} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\Delta_+ + \Delta_-) \Delta_+ \right]^{-1} U_2^+ - \operatorname{diag} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\Delta_+ + \Delta_-) \Delta_- \right]^{-1} U_2^- + B_1 + B_P$$

We can simplify this expression further by introducing a new notation. Let x^{-1} be defined as the elementwise inverse of a vector x that contains no zero element. Then, L_2 can be represented as

(43)
$$L_2 = 2 \left[\operatorname{diag} \left((\Delta_+ + \Delta_-)^{-1} \Delta_+^{-1} \right) U_2^+ - \operatorname{diag} \left((\Delta_+ + \Delta_-)^{-1} \Delta_-^{-1} \right) U_2^- \right] + B_1 + B_P$$

(44)
$$= 2\operatorname{diag}\left((\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})^{-1}\right)\left[\operatorname{diag}\left(\Delta_{+}^{-1}\right)U_{2}^{+} - \operatorname{diag}\left(\Delta_{-}^{-1}\right)U_{2}^{-}\right] + B_{1} + B_{P}$$

The diagonal elements of (??) are also identical with the one provided in (??) – to see this, note that the diagonal elements of (??), modulo B_1 and B_P , are

$$(45) -2\left[(\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})^{-1}\Delta_{+}^{-1} + (\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})^{-1}\Delta_{-}^{-1}\right] = -2(\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})^{-1}(\Delta_{+}^{-1} + \Delta_{-}^{-1})$$

$$= -2(\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})^{-1}(\Delta_{+}^{-1}\Delta_{-}^{-1})(\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-})$$

$$= -2(\Delta_{+}^{-1}\Delta_{-}^{-1})$$

which is identical with $\operatorname{diag}(L_2)$ with L_2 from (??) except the first row and last row that are affected by B_1 and B_P .