

Method of Simulated Moments

September 27, 2022

Table of Contents

- 1 Optimization Estimators and GMM
- 2 Method of Simulated Moments
- 3 Asymptotic properties of MSM
- 4 Optimal MSM

Parametric Conditional Models

- The development of econometrics techniques ([Gourieroux & Monfort, 1996](#))
 - ▶ – 1960s: models with analytical expression of estimators.
 - ▶ 1970s – 1980s: tractable criteria function, but estimators based on numerical optimization.
 - ▶ 1990s – : criteria function without simple analytical expression (simulation methods).
- Parametric conditional models
 - ▶ y_t : endogenous variables, $\underline{y}_t = (\underline{y}_0, y_1, \dots, y_t)$
 - ▶ z_t : strongly exogenous variables, $\underline{z}_t = (\underline{z}_0, z_1, \dots, z_t)$
 - ▶ (y_t, z_t) is stationary.
 - ▶ We are interested in $f_0(y_1, \dots, y_T / z_1, \dots, z_T, \underline{y}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T f_0(y_t / x_t)$, where $x_t = (\underline{y}_{t-1}, \underline{z}_t)$, since the conditional distribution and $f_0(z_1, \dots, z_T, \underline{y}_0)$ determines the distribution of all the observations.
 - ▶ The model $M = \{f(y_t / x_t; \theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$ is:
 1. **well-specified** if $f_0(y_t / x_t)$ belongs to M .
 2. **identifiable** if $f_0(y_t / x_t) = f(y_t / x_t; \theta) \iff \theta = \theta_0$
 - ▶ Estimating $f_0(y_t / x_t)$ is equivalent to estimating θ_0 .

Optimization Estimators

- Ψ_T : a criterion depending on the observations $y_t, z_t, t = 1, \dots, T$.
- $\hat{\theta}_T \equiv \arg \max_{\theta} \Psi_T(y_1, \dots, y_T, z_1, \dots, z_T; \theta) = \arg \max_{\theta} \Psi_T(\theta)$
- Use numerical methods (e.g. Newton-Raphson) to solve the system of F.O.C.s.
- Conditions for Consistency ($\hat{\theta}_T \rightarrow \theta_0$):
 - (i) The well normalized criterion function **uniformly converges** to some limit function:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \Psi_T(\theta) = \Psi_{\infty}(\theta).$$

- (ii) $\theta_0^{\infty} = \arg \max \Psi_{\infty}(\theta)$ is unique.
 - (iii) $\theta_0^{\infty} = \theta_0$.
- Asymptotic Normality: If
$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_T}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\theta_0) \right] = J(\theta_0), \text{ where } J(\theta_0) \text{ is invertible;}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta}(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N[0, I(\theta_0)], \text{ where } I(\theta_0) \text{ is invertible.}$$
Then
$$\sqrt{T} (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N[0, J(\theta_0)^{-1} I(\theta_0) J(\theta_0)^{-1}].$$

► proof

- i.i.d. observations $(y_i, z_i), i = 1, \dots, n$
- We assume the conditional expectation of function $K(y_i, z_i)$ of size q given z_i has a well-specified form:

$$E_0 [K(y_i, z_i) / z_i] = k(z_i; \theta_0),$$

where E_0 is the expectation for the true distribution of (y, z) , and θ_0 is the true value of the parameter whose size is p .

- Now let Z_i be a matrix function of z_i with size (K, q) , where $K \geq p$. The elements of Z_i may be seen as instrumental variables:

$$E_0 Z_i [K(y_i, z_i) - k(z_i, \theta_0)] = 0.$$

- The GMM estimators are based on the empirical counterpart of the above orthogonality conditions. If Ω is a (K, K) symmetric positive semi-definite matrix, the estimator is defined by:

$$\hat{\theta}_n(\Omega) = \arg \min_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i [K(y_i, z_i) - k(z_i; \theta)] \right)' \Omega \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i [K(y_i, z_i) - k(z_i; \theta)] \right).$$

- Under regularity conditions (Newey & McFadden, 1994), GMM has the properties of optimization estimators:
 - $\hat{\theta}_n(\Omega)$ is a consistent estimator of the true value θ_0 ;
 - The GMM estimator is asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n(\Omega) - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} \right),$$

where:

$$\Sigma_1 = D' \Omega D$$

$$\Sigma_2 = D' \Omega V_0 \{ Z [K(y, z) - k(z, \theta_0)] \} \Omega D,$$

$$D = E_0 \left[Z \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} (z; \theta_0) \right].$$

- An optimal choice of the matrix $\Omega^* = (V_0 \{ Z [K(y, z) - k(z; \theta_0)] \})^{-1}$
Then $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$, and $V_{as} \left[\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n(\Omega^*) - \theta_0 \right) \right] = [D' \Omega^* D]^{-1}$.
- We can replace θ_0 with a consistent estimator $\tilde{\theta}_n$ to get a feasible estimator of Ω^* .

- GMM based on dynamic conditional moments

- ▶ lagged endogenous variables are included in raw IVs:

$$E_0 [K(y_t, x_t) / x_t] = E_0 [K(y_t, \underline{y_{t-1}}, \underline{z_t}) / \underline{y_{t-1}}, \underline{z_t}] = k(x_t; \theta_0),$$
$$Z(x_t) = Z(\underline{y_{t-1}}, \underline{z_t})$$

- ▶ GMM estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_T(\Omega) = \arg \min_{\theta} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t [K(y_t, x_t) - k(x_t; \theta)] \right\}' \Omega \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t [K(y_t, x_t) - k(x_t, \theta)] \right\}$$

- ▶ The estimator has the same asymptotic properties as in the static case after replacing z_i by x_t .

- GMM based on static conditional moments

- ▶ Raw IVs only contains exogenous variables

$$E_0 [K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) / \underline{z}_t] = k(\underline{z}_t; \theta_0) .$$

$$Z_t = Z(\underline{z}_t)$$

- ▶ GMM estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_T(\Omega)$$

$$= \arg \min_{\theta} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t [K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - k(\underline{z}_t; \theta)] \right\}' \Omega \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t [K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - k(\underline{z}_t; \theta)] \right\}$$

- ▶ $\Sigma_2 = \lim_T V_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t [K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - k(\underline{z}_t; \theta_0)] \right\} = \Gamma_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} [\Gamma_h + \Gamma_h'] ,$
where

$$\Gamma_h = \text{cov}_0 [Z_t (K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - k(\underline{z}_t; \theta_0)), Z_{t-h} (K(y_{t-h}, \underline{z}_{t-h}) - k(\underline{z}_{t-h}; \theta_0))] .$$

(use Newey-West estimator)

Table of Contents

- 1 Optimization Estimators and GMM
- 2 Method of Simulated Moments**
- 3 Asymptotic properties of MSM
- 4 Optimal MSM

MSM Estimators

Static Case

- GMM is calibrating the theoretical moments to the observed empirical moments.
- But the theoretical moments may be difficult to compute.
- MSM circumvents this issue by calibrating the simulated empirical moments to the observed empirical moments.
- **unbiased simulator:** $\tilde{k}(z_i, u_i; \theta)$, where u_i has a known distribution, and $E(\tilde{k}(z_i, u_i; \theta) \mid z_i) = k(z_i; \theta)$.
- MSM estimator: $\hat{\theta}_{Sn}(\Omega) = \arg \min_{\theta} \psi_{Sn}(\theta)$, where:

$$\psi_{Sn}(\theta) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \left[K(y_i, z_i) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(z_i, u_i^s; \theta) \right] \right\}' \Omega \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \left[K(y_i, z_i) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(z_i, u_i^s; \theta) \right] \right\}.$$

- When S tends to infinity, MSM estimator becomes GMM estimator.

MSM Estimators

Dynamic Case (dynamic moment conditions)

- MSM based on dynamic conditional moment

- dynamic moment conditions

$$E_0 [K(y_t, x_t) / x_t] = E_0 \left[K(y_t, \underline{y_{t-1}}, \underline{z_t}) / \underline{y_{t-1}}, \underline{z_t} \right] = k(x_t; \theta_0),$$

- This unbiased simulator $\tilde{k}(x_t, u; \theta)$ s.t. $E[\tilde{k}(x_t, u; \theta) / x_t] = k(x_t; \theta)$, where **the distribution of u given x_t** is known.

- The simulated moment estimator is defined by:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ST}(\Omega) = \arg \min_{\theta} \psi_{ST}(\theta),$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ST}(\theta) = & \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z(x_t) \left[K(y_t, x_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(x_t, u_t^s; \theta) \right] \right\}' \Omega \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z(x_t) \left[K(y_t, x_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(x_t, u_t^s; \theta) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and u_t^s is drawn in the known **conditional distribution** of u given x_t .

MSM Estimators

Dynamic Case (static moment conditions)

- MSM based on static conditional moments

- ▶ static moment condition: $E_0 [K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) / \underline{z}_t] = k(\underline{z}_t; \theta_0)$,
- ▶ an unbiased simulator \tilde{k} s.t. $E_0 [\tilde{k}(\underline{z}_t, u; \theta) / \underline{z}_t] = k(\underline{z}_t; \theta_0)$,
- ▶ the simulated moment estimator is defined by:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ST}(\Omega) = \arg \min_{\theta} \psi_{ST}(\theta),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ST}(\theta) = & \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z(\underline{z}_t) \left[K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(\underline{z}_t, u_t^s; \theta) \right] \right\}' \Omega \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T Z(\underline{z}_t) \left[K(y_t, \underline{z}_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \tilde{k}(\underline{z}_t, u_t^s; \theta) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and u_t^s is drawn in the conditional distribution of u given \underline{z}_t .

- ▶ We need path simulations for constructing \tilde{k} .
- ▶ If the model cannot be converted to reduced form such as $y_t = r(\underline{y}_{t-1}, \underline{z}_t, u; \theta)$, we can only use static conditional moments.

Table of Contents

- 1 Optimization Estimators and GMM
- 2 Method of Simulated Moments
- 3 Asymptotic properties of MSM
- 4 Optimal MSM

Asymptotic properties of MSM

- We first consider the static case.
- When n tends to infinity and S is fixed, (i) $\hat{\theta}_{Sn}(\Omega)$ is strongly consistent; (ii) $\sqrt{n} [\hat{\theta}_{Sn}(\Omega) - \theta_0] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N[0; Q_S(\Omega)]$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_S(\Omega) &= \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{S} \Sigma_1^{-1} D' \Omega E_0 V(Z\tilde{k}/z) \Omega D \Sigma_1^{-1} \\ &= \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{S} \Sigma_1^{-1} D' \Omega V_0[Z(\tilde{k} - k)] \Omega D \Sigma_1^{-1} \\ &= \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{S} \Sigma_1^{-1} D' \Omega E_0 [ZV(\tilde{k}/z)Z'] \Omega D \Sigma_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with:

$$\Sigma_2 = D' \Omega V_0[Z(K - k)] \Omega D,$$

$$D = E_0 \left[Z \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} \right],$$

$$\Sigma_1 = D' \Omega D,$$

and where \tilde{k} , k and K are simplified notations for $\tilde{k}(z, u; \theta_0)$, $k(z; \theta_0)$ and $K(y, z)$ respectively, $k = E(K(y, z)/z)$. (See [Gourieroux and Monfort \(1996\)](#) for proof.)

- The first part of MSM covariance matrix $Q_S(\Omega)$ is just GMM covariance matrix, and the second part is the effect of simulations.
- When $S \rightarrow \infty$, MSM estimators coincide with GMM estimators.

Asymptotic properties of MSM

- We can increase the efficiency of simulation by decrease the number of random terms in simulator, more specifically, let us assume that $y = r(z, \varepsilon; \theta)$.
(i) If u is a subvector of ε , and the simulator $\tilde{k}(u, z : \theta) = E_{\theta}[K(y, z)/z, u]$, then:

$$Q_S(\Omega) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{S}\right) \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} - \frac{1}{S} \Sigma_1^{-1} D' \Omega V_0 [Z(K - \tilde{k})] \Omega D' \Sigma_1^{-1}.$$

- (ii) In particular, $Q_S(\Omega) \ll \left(1 + \frac{1}{S}\right) \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}$, and the upper bound is reached for the simulator $\tilde{k}(z, \varepsilon; \theta) = K[r(z, \varepsilon; \theta), z]$ (**frequency simulator**) corresponding to $u = \varepsilon$.
- If $S = 1$, the confidence intervals increase at most by 41% compared to GMM. If $S = 10$, the confidence intervals increase at most by only 5%!
- For **dynamic MSM** based on dynamic conditional moments, the covariance matrix is the same as the static case. If we use static (or unconditional) moments and simulate the whole path of y_t , then the covariance matrix is $(1 + 1/S)$ times the GMM covariance matrix.

Table of Contents

- 1 Optimization Estimators and GMM
- 2 Method of Simulated Moments
- 3 Asymptotic properties of MSM
- 4 Optimal MSM

Optimal MSM

optimal weighting matrix

- The asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of the MSM estimator is:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_S(\Omega) &= \Sigma_1^{-1} D' \Omega \left\{ V_0[Z(K - k)] + \frac{1}{S} V_0[Z(\tilde{k} - k)] \right\} \Omega D \Sigma_1^{-1} \\ &= (D' \Omega D)^{-1} D' \Omega \left\{ V_0[Z(K - k)] + \frac{1}{S} V_0[Z(\tilde{k} - k)] \right\} \Omega D (D' \Omega D)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- the optimal choice of the matrix is:

$$\Omega^* = \left\{ V_0[Z(K - k)] + \frac{1}{S} V_0[Z(\tilde{k} - k)] \right\}^{-1}.$$

The asymptotic variance-covariance matrix corresponding to this choice is:

$$Q_S(\Omega^*) = (D' \Omega^* D)^{-1},$$

where

$$D = E_0 \left(Z \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} \right).$$

Optimal MSM

optimal weighting matrix

- To get a good approximation of k , it is necessary to have a **large number of** replications S_2 . Let us denote by $u_{i,2}^s, s = 1, \dots, S_2$, some other simulated values of the random term with known distribution, the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Omega}^* = & \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \left[K(y_i, z_i) - \frac{1}{S_2} \sum_{s=1}^{S_2} \tilde{k}(z_i, u_{i,2}^s; \tilde{\theta}_n) \right] \right. \\ & \times \left[K(y_i, z_i) - \frac{1}{S_2} \sum_{s=1}^{S_2} \tilde{k}(z_i, u_{i,2}^s; \tilde{\theta}_n) \right]' Z_i' \\ & + \frac{1}{S} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \left[\tilde{k}(z_i, u_i^{s_1}; \tilde{\theta}_n) - \frac{1}{S_2} \sum_{s=1}^{S_2} \tilde{k}(z_i, u_{i,2}^s; \tilde{\theta}_n) \right] \\ & \left. \times \left[\tilde{k}(z_i, u_i^{s_1}; \tilde{\theta}_n) - \frac{1}{S_2} \sum_{s=1}^{S_2} \tilde{k}(z_i, u_{i,2}^s; \tilde{\theta}_n) \right]' Z_i' \right\}^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where $u_i^{s_1}$ is a simulated value of u , is a consistent estimator of the optimal matrix Ω^* , when n and S_2 tend to infinity.

Optimal MSM

optimal weighting matrix

- If we use frequency simulator ($K = \tilde{k}$), the optimal weighting matrix is the same with GMM.
- $\Omega^* = \{V_0[Z(K - k)]\}^{-1}$.
- $Q^* = (1 + 1/S) (D' \Omega^* D)^{-1}$.

- When the optimal weighting matrix is retained, the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix is:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_S(\Omega^*) &= \left\{ E_0 \left(\frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} Z' \right) \left\{ V_0[Z(K - k)] + \frac{1}{S} V_0[Z(\tilde{k} - k)] \right\}^{-1} E_0 \left(Z \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} \right) \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ E_0 \left(\frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} Z' \right) \left\{ E_0 \left(Z \left[V_0(K/z) + \frac{1}{S} V_0(\tilde{k}/z) \right] Z' \right) \right\}^{-1} E_0 \left(Z \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- If A and C are random matrices of suitable dimensions, are functions of z , and are such that C is square and positive definite, then the matrix

$$E_0(A'Z') [E_0(ZCZ')]^{-1} E_0(ZA)$$

is maximized for $Z = A'C^{-1}$ and the maximum is $E_0(A'C^{-1}A)$.

Optimal MSM

optimal instruments

- The optimal instruments are:

$$Z_S^* = \frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} \left[V_0(K/z) + \frac{1}{S} V(\tilde{k}/z) \right]^{-1},$$

where the different functions k, \tilde{k} are evaluated at the true value θ_0 . With this choice the asymptotic covariance matrix is:

$$Q_S^* = \left\{ E_0 \left[\frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} \left(V_0(K/z) + \frac{1}{S} V(\tilde{k}/z) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} \right] \right\}^{-1}.$$

- For frequency simulator, the optimal instruments for MSM coincide with which of GMM:

$$Z_S^* = \frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} [V_0(K/z)]^{-1},$$

$$Q_S^* = \left\{ E_0 \left[\frac{\partial k'}{\partial \theta} (V_0(K/z))^{-1} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta'} \right] \right\}^{-1}.$$

- Asymptotic normality of optimization estimators:

Whenever the estimator is consistent, we may expand the first order conditions ($\frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta}(\hat{\theta}_T) = 0$) around the true-value θ_0 . We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta}(\theta_0) + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_T}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\theta_0) (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) &\simeq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0 &\simeq \left[-\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_T}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\theta_0) \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta}(\theta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_T}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\theta_0) \right] &= J(\theta_0), \text{ where } J(\theta_0) \text{ is invertible;} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta}(\theta_0) &\xrightarrow{d} N[0, I(\theta_0)], \text{ where } I(\theta_0) \text{ is invertible.} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0 \right) &\simeq \left[-\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_T}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} (\theta_0) \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta} (\theta_0) \\ &\simeq J(\theta_0)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Psi_T}{\partial \theta} (\theta_0) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} J(\theta_0)^{-1} N[0, I(\theta_0)]. \quad \square \quad \text{back}$$

- Gourieroux, C., & Monfort, A. (1996). *Simulation-based econometric methods*. Oxford university press.
- Newey, W. K., & McFadden, D. (1994). Large sample estimation and hypothesis testing. *Handbook of econometrics*, 4, 2111–2245.