Notes on hedging cryptos with spectral risk measures

nat

October 30, 2020

Abstract

We investigate different methods of hedging cryptocurrencies with Bitcoin futures. A useful generalisation of variance-based hedging uses spectral risk measures and copulas.

1. Optimal hedge ratio

Following (**?**), we consider the problem of the optimal hedge ratios by extending commmonly known minimum variance hedge ratio to more general risk measures and dependence structures.

Hedge portfolio: $R_t^h = R_t^S - hR_t^F$, involving returns of spot and future contract and where h is the hedge ratio
Optimal hedge ratio: $h^* = \operatorname{argmin}_h \rho_\phi(s, h)$, for given confidence level $1 - s$ (if applicable, e.g. in the case of VaR, ES), where ρ_ϕ is a spectral risk measure with weighting function ϕ (see below).
Corollary 2.1 of (**?**), corrected: Let R^S and R^F be two real-valued random variables on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$ with corresponding absolutely continuous copula $C_{R^S, R^F}^t(w, \lambda)$ and continuous marginals F_{R^S} and F_{R^F}.

Then, the s-quantile of R^h solves the following:

$$F_{R^h}(r^h) = 1 - \int_0^1 D_1 C_{R^S, R^F} \left\{ w, F_{R^F} \left[\frac{F_{R^S}^{-1}(w) - r^h}{h} \right] \right\} dw.$$

[..]

Here $D_1 C(u,v) = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial u} C(u,v)$, which can be shown to fulfil (?)

$$D_1 C_{X,Y}(F_X(x), F_Y(y)) = \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y | X = x).$$

2. Spectral risk measures

Spectral risk measure (??):

$$\rho_\phi = -\int_0^1 \phi(p)\, q_p\, \mathrm{d}p,$$

where q_p is the p-quantile of the return distribution and $\phi(s)$, $s \in [0,1]$, is the so-called *risk aversion function*, a weighting function such that[1]

(i) $\phi(p) \geq 0$,

(ii) $\int_0^1 \phi(p)\, \mathrm{d}p = 1$,

(iii) $\phi'(p) \leq 0$.

Examples: VaR, ES
Replacing the last property with $\phi'(p) > 0$ rules out risk-neutral behaviour.
Spectral risk measures are coherent (?).

---

[1]Note that the treatment in (?) is measure-based and therefore slightly different

2.1. Representation of spectral risk measures

To prevent numerical instabilities involving the quantile function, re-write spectral risk measures as follows:

- Integration by substitution: $\int_a^b g(\varphi(x))\,\varphi'(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} g(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$.

- Spectral risk measures: $-\int_0^1 \phi(p)\,F^{(-1)}(p)\,\mathrm{d}p$

- Set $\varphi(x) = F(x)$, $g(p) = \phi(p)\,F^{(-1)}(p)$.

- Then:
$$-\int_0^1 \phi(p)\,F^{(-1)}(p)\,\mathrm{d}p = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(F(x))\,x\,f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

2.2. Exponential spectral risk measures

- Choose exponential utiliy function: $U(x) = -\mathrm{e}^{-kx}$, where $k > 0$ is the Arrow-Pratt coefficient of absolute risk aversion (ARA).

- Coefficient of absolute risk aversion: $R_A(x) = -\dfrac{U''(x)}{U'(x)} = k$

- Coefficient of relative risk aversion: $R_R(x) = -\dfrac{xU''(x)}{U'(x)} = xk$

- Weighting function $\phi(p) = \lambda\mathrm{e}^{-k(1-p)}$, where λ is an unknown positive constant.

- Set $\lambda = \dfrac{k}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-k}}$ to satisfy normalisation.

- Exponential spectral risk measure:

$$\rho_\phi = \int_0^1 \phi(p)\, F^{(-1)}(p)\, \mathrm{d}p = \frac{k}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-k}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{-k(1-p)\, F^{(-1)}(p)}\, \mathrm{d}p.$$

(If calculation of quantiles is a problem use change of variables above.)

- What exactly is the link between risk measure and utility? I think there is no direct link: the exponential risk measure is *inspired* by ARA utility.

3.   Dependence

Dependence through copula (e.g. Student t, Clayton or Gumbel)

4. D_1 Operator

We give a explicit equation of the D_1 operator for Archimedean copulae.

The D_1 operator is defined as the partial derivatives of the first input to the copula function, so we fix the second argument while taking derivative with respect to the first, and then evaluate the function. we have

Function	Gumbel	Frank	Clayton	Independence
$\phi(t)$	$\{-\log(t)\}^\theta$	$-\ln\left\{\frac{\exp(-\theta t)-1}{\exp(-\theta)-1}\right\}$	$\frac{1}{\theta}(t^{-\theta}-1)$	Same to Gumbel where $\theta=1$
$\phi^{-1}(t)$	$\exp(-t^{1/\theta})$	$\frac{-1}{\theta}\ln[1+\exp(-t)\{\exp(-\theta)-1\}]$	$(1+\theta t)^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$	
$\partial\phi(t)/\partial t$	$\theta\frac{\phi(t)}{t\log(t)}$	$\frac{\theta\exp(-\theta t)}{\exp(-\theta t)-1}$	$-t^{-(\theta+1)}$	
$\partial\phi^{-1}(t)/\partial t$	$\frac{-1}{\theta}t^{\frac{1}{\theta}-1}\phi^{-1}(t)$	$\frac{\exp(-t)}{\theta\{1+\exp(-t)\}}$	$\theta(1+\theta t)^{-\frac{1}{\theta}-1}$	

$$\frac{\partial C\{v,g(w)\}}{\partial v}\bigg|_{v=w} = \frac{\partial\phi^{-1}\{\phi(v)+\phi(g(w))\}}{\partial\{\phi(v)+\phi(g(w))\}}\frac{\partial[\phi(v)+\phi(g(w))]}{\partial v}\bigg|_{v=w} \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{\partial\phi^{-1}\{\phi(v)+\phi(g(w))\}}{\partial\{\phi(v)+\phi(g(w))\}}\frac{\partial\phi(v)}{\partial v}\bigg|_{v=w} \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{\partial\phi^{-1}\{\phi(w)+\phi(g(w))\}}{\partial\{\phi(w)+\phi(g(w))\}}\frac{\partial\phi(w)}{\partial w} \tag{3}$$

$$,\text{where } g(w) = F_{R^F}\left[\frac{F_{R^S}^{-1}(w)-r^h}{h}\right] \tag{4}$$

$$\tag{5}$$