

# MH5200 Advanced Investigations in Linear Algebra I

## Problem Sheet 7– Questions & Solutions

Academic Year 2025/2026, Semester 1

*Quantitative Research Society @NTU*

November 4, 2025

---

### Overview of This Problem Sheet

---

- **Main themes.** This sheet consolidates core ideas around
  - similarity and invariants (Problems 1–3),
  - structure of eigenvectors (Problem 4),
  - spectral properties of real symmetric matrices (Problems 5, 10),
  - perturbation / “nearly symmetric” behaviour (Problem 6),
  - linear recurrences and matrix powers (Fibonacci, Problem 7),
  - rotations and trigonometric identities in matrix form (Problem 8),
  - characteristic polynomials, trace and determinant (Problem 9).
- **Skills targeted.**
  - Using similarity transforms and understanding what they do / do not preserve.
  - Working with characteristic polynomials and minimal polynomials.
  - Interpreting eigenvalues/eigenvectors for symmetric and positive (semi)definite matrices.
  - Connecting algebraic recurrences (Fibonacci) and geometric operations (rotations) to matrix powers.
  - Using Rayleigh quotients and spectral decompositions.

## Preliminaries

**Definition I (Eigenvalue and Eigenvector).** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. A scalar  $\lambda$  is an **eigenvalue** of  $A$  if there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  (called a corresponding **eigenvector**) such that

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

**Definition II (Characteristic Polynomial).** Rewriting the eigenvalue equation as  $(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we see that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = 0.$$

The **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$  is the formal polynomial

$$p_A(t) := \det(tI - A),$$

and the equation  $p_A(t) = 0$  is called the **characteristic equation** of  $A$ .

## Problem 1: Similar Matrices and Eigenvalues

### Problem

Matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be **similar** if there exists an invertible matrix  $C$  such that

$$A = CBC^{-1}.$$

Prove that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues.

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Characteristic polynomial)

We show that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same characteristic polynomial. If  $A = CBC^{-1}$  with  $C$  invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_A(\lambda) &= \det(\lambda I - A) = \det(\lambda I - CBC^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\lambda CC^{-1} - CBC^{-1}) = \det(C(\lambda I - B)C^{-1}) \\ &= \det(C) \det(\lambda I - B) \det(C^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\lambda I - B) = p_B(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $p_A = p_B$ ; therefore  $A$  and  $B$  have exactly the same eigenvalues (including algebraic multiplicities).

#### Method 2 (Mapping eigenvectors)

Assume  $B\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  with  $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ . Then

$$A(C\mathbf{v}) = CBC^{-1}(C\mathbf{v}) = CB\mathbf{v} = C(\lambda\mathbf{v}) = \lambda(C\mathbf{v}).$$

Since  $C$  is invertible,  $C\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ , so  $C\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Thus every eigenvalue of  $B$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Reversing the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  (because similarity is symmetric) shows the converse, so  $A$  and  $B$  have exactly the same eigenvalues.

## Problem 2: Similarity and Powers / Transposes

### Problem

Suppose that the  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$  is similar to the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .

- (a) Show that  $B^k$  is similar to  $A^k$  for positive integer  $k$ .
- (b) Show that  $B^\top$  is similar to  $A^\top$ .

### Solution

Let  $B = CAC^{-1}$  with  $C$  invertible.

#### Method 1 (Direct algebra)

(a) **Powers.** We prove by induction on  $k$  that

$$B^k = CA^kC^{-1}.$$

For  $k = 1$ , this is precisely  $B = CAC^{-1}$ . Assume it holds for some  $k \geq 1$ :

$$B^k = CA^kC^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^{k+1} &= B^k B = (CA^kC^{-1})(CAC^{-1}) = CA^k(C^{-1}C)AC^{-1} \\ &= CA^{k+1}C^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction,  $B^k = CA^kC^{-1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $B^k$  is similar to  $A^k$ .

(b) **Transpose.** Take transpose in  $B = CAC^{-1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B^\top &= (CAC^{-1})^\top \\ &= (C^{-1})^\top A^\top C^\top \\ &= (C^\top)^{-1} A^\top C^\top. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B^\top$  is similar to  $A^\top$  via the similarity transform  $C^\top$ .

#### Method 2 (Polynomials in a matrix)

(a) **Powers via polynomials.** For any polynomial  $p$ , one can show

$$p(B) = C p(A) C^{-1}.$$

Indeed, for monomials  $p(t) = t^k$  this follows from  $B^k = CA^kC^{-1}$ ; linearity then extends it to arbitrary polynomials.

In particular, setting  $p(t) = t^k$  gives  $B^k = CA^kC^{-1}$ .

**(b) Transpose via similarity relation.** Similarity is an equivalence relation preserved by transpose:

$$B \sim A \implies B^\top \sim A^\top,$$

because any similarity  $A = CBC^{-1}$  gives

$$A^\top = (CBC^{-1})^\top = (C^{-1})^\top B^\top C^\top,$$

so

$$B^\top = C^\top A^\top (C^\top)^{-1},$$

which is again a similarity relation.

## Problem 3: Similarity Invariants and Counterexamples

### Problem

(a) Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that  $B$  has the same rank, trace, and characteristic polynomial as  $A$ , but that  $B$  is not similar to  $A$ .

(b) Extend the result in part (a) to  $n \times n$  matrices. That is, show that for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$  to be similar to  $A$ , it is not sufficient for  $B$  to have the same rank, determinant, trace and characteristic polynomial as  $A$ .

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Identity vs. Jordan block)

(a) **The  $2 \times 2$  example.** First compute the invariants.

*Rank.* Both  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible (upper-triangular with nonzero diagonal), so

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = 2.$$

*Trace.*

$$\text{tr}(A) = 1 + 1 = 2, \quad \text{tr}(B) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

*Characteristic polynomial.*

$$p_A(t) = \det(tI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t-1 & 0 \\ 0 & t-1 \end{bmatrix} = (t-1)^2.$$

$$p_B(t) = \det(tI - B) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t-1 & -1 \\ 0 & t-1 \end{bmatrix} = (t-1)^2.$$

So  $A$  and  $B$  share rank, trace and characteristic polynomial.

However,  $A = I_2$  is the identity. If there were an invertible  $C$  such that  $B = CAC^{-1}$ , then

$$B = CI_2C^{-1} = CC^{-1} = I_2,$$

contradiction since  $B \neq I_2$ . Therefore  $B$  is not similar to  $A$ .

Equivalently:  $A$  has two linearly independent eigenvectors (indeed, every nonzero vector is an eigenvector), whereas  $B$  has only *one* independent eigenvector (it is a single Jordan block). Similar matrices must have the same geometric multiplicities for each eigenvalue, so  $A \not\sim B$ .

**(b) The  $n \times n$  version.** Take

$$A = I_n, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then:

- $B$  is upper-triangular with all diagonal entries equal to 1, so  $\det B = 1 = \det A$ .
- $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = n$  (both invertible).
- $\text{tr}(A) = n = \text{tr}(B)$ .
- Since  $B - I_n$  is nonzero nilpotent of rank 1, the characteristic polynomial of  $B$  is still  $(t - 1)^n$ , matching that of  $A$ .

However,  $A$  is diagonalizable with  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, while  $B$  has a Jordan block of size at least 2 for eigenvalue 1, so it is not diagonalizable. Thus  $A$  and  $B$  cannot be similar.

## Method 2 (Minimal polynomial viewpoint)

Similarity preserves not only rank, trace and characteristic polynomial, but also the *minimal polynomial*.

**(a) For the  $2 \times 2$  example.**

$$A = I_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_A(t) = t - 1.$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_B(t) = (t - 1)^2,$$

since  $B - I_2 \neq 0$  but  $(B - I_2)^2 = 0$ .

Since  $m_A \neq m_B$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  cannot be similar.

**(b) For the  $n \times n$  version.** Similarly,

$$m_{I_n}(t) = t - 1,$$

while for the matrix  $B$  with a single superdiagonal 1,

$$m_B(t) = (t - 1)^2.$$

Again the minimal polynomials differ, hence  $B \not\sim A$ , even though they share rank, determinant, trace and characteristic polynomial. This shows these invariants alone do not guarantee similarity.

## Problem 4: When is a Linear Combination an Eigenvector?

### Problem

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  be eigenvectors of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , and let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be two nonzero scalars. Under what circumstances is

$$\mathbf{y} := c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2$$

an eigenvector of  $A$ ?

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Direct comparison)

Compute

$$A\mathbf{y} = A(c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2) = c_1A\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2A\mathbf{x}_2 = c_1\lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2,$$

where  $A\mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $A\mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2$ .

For  $\mathbf{y}$  to be an eigenvector, there must exist some  $\lambda$  such that

$$A\mathbf{y} = \lambda\mathbf{y} = \lambda(c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2) = c_1\lambda\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\lambda\mathbf{x}_2.$$

Hence

$$c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

If  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  are linearly independent, then we must have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda = \lambda_2.$$

Thus, in the generic independent case,  $\mathbf{y}$  is an eigenvector *if and only if*  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

#### Method 2 (Subspace / eigenspace viewpoint)

There are two special situations:

- **Same eigenvalue.** If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  lie in the same eigenspace  $E_\lambda$ . Since eigenspaces are linear subspaces, any nontrivial combination

$$\mathbf{y} = c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$$

also satisfies  $A\mathbf{y} = \lambda\mathbf{y}$ , so  $\mathbf{y}$  is an eigenvector.

- **Colinear eigenvectors.** Even if  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , it is possible that  $\mathbf{x}_2$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{x}_1$ ; but in that case they actually belong to the *same* eigenspace (since eigenvectors with different eigenvalues cannot be scalar multiples). So this reduces to the previous case.



If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  are linearly independent, then  $\mathbf{y}$  is not an eigenvector for any nonzero  $c_1, c_2$ .

**Conclusion.** A nonzero combination  $c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  lie in the same eigenspace, equivalently  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

## Problem 5: Real Symmetric Matrices

### Problem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a real symmetric matrix.

- (a) Prove that all of the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real.
- (b) Prove that eigenvectors of  $A$  that correspond to distinct eigenvalues are always perpendicular.

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Rayleigh quotient and symmetry)

**(a) Eigenvalues are real.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue with (possibly complex) eigenvector  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ . Then

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}.$$

Multiply on the left by  $\mathbf{x}^*$  (conjugate transpose):

$$\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}.$$

Since  $A$  is real symmetric,  $A = A^\top = A^*$ , and  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$  is real:

$$\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x})^* \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Also  $\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x} > 0$ . Hence

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore every eigenvalue of  $A$  is real.

**(b) Orthogonality of distinct eigenvectors.** Let  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  be eigenvalues with (real) eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ :

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1, \quad A\mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2.$$

Compute

$$\mathbf{x}_2^\top A \mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1.$$

On the other hand, by symmetry,

$$\mathbf{x}_2^\top A \mathbf{x}_1 = (A\mathbf{x}_2)^\top \mathbf{x}_1 = (\lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2)^\top \mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1.$$

Thus

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1 = 0.$$

Since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are orthogonal.

**Method 2 (Spectral theorem viewpoint)**

The spectral theorem states that a real symmetric matrix  $A$  can be orthogonally diagonalised:

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^\top,$$

where  $Q$  is orthogonal and  $\Lambda$  is real diagonal.

- From  $\Lambda$  being real diagonal, its diagonal entries (the eigenvalues) are real.
- The columns of  $Q$  are an orthonormal basis  $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$  of eigenvectors of  $A$ . Distinct eigenvalues correspond to different columns; orthonormality gives perpendicularity.

Thus parts (a) and (b) follow immediately from the spectral decomposition.

## Problem 6: A Nearly Symmetric Matrix

### Problem

Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \epsilon > 0 \text{ small.}$$

For very small  $\epsilon$ , the matrix  $A$  is “nearly symmetric”. Find the eigenvectors of  $A$  and the angle between them. What does this example demonstrate?

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Direct eigen-computation)

The characteristic polynomial is

$$\det(tI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t - 1 & -\epsilon \\ 0 & t - 1 - \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = (t - 1)(t - 1 - \epsilon).$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = 1 + \epsilon.$$

*Eigenvector for  $\lambda_1$ .* Solve  $(A - I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$A - I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow x_2 = 0.$$

So we may take

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Eigenvector for  $\lambda_2$ .* Solve  $(A - (1 + \epsilon)I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$A - (1 + \epsilon)I = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon & \epsilon \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad -\epsilon x_1 + \epsilon x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2.$$

So we may take

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The angle  $\theta$  between  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  is

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\| \|\mathbf{v}_2\|} = \frac{1}{1 \cdot \sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} = 45^\circ.$$

Note that this angle is *independent* of  $\epsilon$ ; it does not become closer to  $90^\circ$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Conclusion.** Even if a matrix is very close (in entries) to a symmetric matrix, its eigenvectors need not be close to orthogonal. Orthogonality of eigenvectors is a *qualitative* property of exact symmetry, not a continuous one.

**Method 2 (Symmetric + skew-symmetric decomposition)**

Write

$$A = S + N, \quad S := \frac{A + A^\top}{2}, \quad N := \frac{A - A^\top}{2}.$$

Compute

$$A^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2} & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $S$  is symmetric,  $N$  is skew-symmetric and small in norm ( $\|N\| = O(\epsilon)$ ). However, even a small skew-symmetric perturbation can destroy orthogonality of eigenvectors:  $S$  has orthogonal eigenvectors, but  $A = S + N$  does *not*.

This illustrates that eigenvectors of nonsymmetric matrices can be highly sensitive to perturbations, even when the matrix is close to symmetric.

## Problem 7: Fibonacci Numbers and Matrix Powers

### Problem

The Fibonacci numbers satisfy

$$F_{k+2} = F_{k+1} + F_k, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1,$$

giving the sequence  $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots$

(a) Let  $\mathbf{u}_k = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} \\ F_k \end{bmatrix}$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{u}_{k+1} = A\mathbf{u}_k$$

for a suitable  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$ , and find  $A$ .

(b) Use this to express the  $n$ -th Fibonacci number  $F_n$  in closed form, and hence determine  $F_{100}$ .

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Matrix recurrence and diagonalisation)

(a) **Constructing the recurrence.** We have

$$\mathbf{u}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+2} \\ F_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} + F_k \\ F_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} \\ F_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{k+1} = A\mathbf{u}_k.$$

(b) **Closed form via eigenvalues.** We have

$$\mathbf{u}_k = A^k \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$\det(tI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t-1 & -1 \\ -1 & t \end{bmatrix} = t^2 - t - 1.$$

Its roots are

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \psi = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

One finds eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

so with  $P = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2]$  and  $D = \text{diag}(\phi, \psi)$ ,

$$A = PDP^{-1}, \quad A^n = PD^nP^{-1}.$$

From  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , solving  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2$  gives

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{u}_n = A^n\mathbf{u}_0 = \alpha\phi^n\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\psi^n\mathbf{v}_2,$$

and the first component yields

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^n - \psi^n),$$

the classical Binet formula.

For  $n = 100$ ,

$$F_{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^{100} - \psi^{100}) = 354224848179261915075.$$

## Method 2 (Solving the scalar recurrence directly)

Consider the scalar recurrence

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1.$$

Look for solutions of the form  $F_n = r^n$ , giving

$$r^{n+2} = r^{n+1} + r^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad r^2 = r + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = \phi, \psi.$$

Thus the general solution is

$$F_n = \alpha\phi^n + \beta\psi^n.$$

From  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$ :

$$0 = \alpha + \beta, \quad 1 = \alpha\phi + \beta\psi,$$

so  $\beta = -\alpha$  and

$$1 = \alpha(\phi - \psi) = \alpha\sqrt{5} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Hence Binet's formula as before, and the same value for  $F_{100}$ .

## Problem 8: Powers of a Rotation Matrix

### Problem

Let

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

be the matrix of a counterclockwise rotation by angle  $\theta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that

$$R^n = \begin{bmatrix} \cos n\theta & -\sin n\theta \\ \sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Induction with angle-addition formulas)

For  $n = 1$ , the formula is trivially true. Assume it holds for some  $n = k$ :

$$R^k = \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\theta & -\sin k\theta \\ \sin k\theta & \cos k\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} R^{k+1} &= R^k R \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\theta & -\sin k\theta \\ \sin k\theta & \cos k\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos k\theta \cos \theta - \sin k\theta \sin \theta & -\cos k\theta \sin \theta - \sin k\theta \cos \theta \\ \sin k\theta \cos \theta + \cos k\theta \sin \theta & -\sin k\theta \sin \theta + \cos k\theta \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos((k+1)\theta) & -\sin((k+1)\theta) \\ \sin((k+1)\theta) & \cos((k+1)\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

using the angle-addition formulas for sine and cosine. Hence the statement holds for all  $n$  by induction.

#### Method 2 (Complex-number representation)

Identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  via  $(x, y) \leftrightarrow z = x + iy$ . Then rotation by  $\theta$  corresponds to multiplication by  $e^{i\theta}$ .

Applying the rotation  $n$  times corresponds to multiplication by  $e^{in\theta}$ . The associated real  $2 \times 2$  matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Re e^{in\theta} & -\Im e^{in\theta} \\ \Im e^{in\theta} & \Re e^{in\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos n\theta & -\sin n\theta \\ \sin n\theta & \cos n\theta \end{bmatrix},$$

which is exactly  $R^n$ .



## Problem 9: Coefficients of the Characteristic Polynomial

### Problem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and let  $p_A(t) = \det(tI - A)$  be its characteristic polynomial. Show that

$$p_A(t) = t^n - (\operatorname{tr} A)t^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \det A.$$

Write the trace  $\operatorname{tr} A$  and determinant  $\det A$  in terms of the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

### Solution

#### Method 1 (General expansion)

Regard  $tI - A$  as an  $n \times n$  matrix whose diagonal entries are  $t - a_{ii}$  and off-diagonal entries are  $-a_{ij}$ .

In expanding  $\det(tI - A)$  as a polynomial in  $t$ :

- The highest-degree term  $t^n$  arises by choosing  $t$  from each of the  $n$  diagonal entries; hence its coefficient is 1.
- The coefficient of  $t^{n-1}$  arises from choosing  $t$  from  $n - 1$  diagonal positions and  $-a_{ii}$  from the remaining diagonal position. Summing these contributions gives

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = -\operatorname{tr} A.$$

- The constant term (coefficient of  $t^0$ ) is  $\det(-A) = (-1)^n \det A$ .

Thus

$$p_A(t) = t^n - (\operatorname{tr} A)t^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \det A.$$

#### Method 2 (Eigenvalue factorisation)

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the (complex) eigenvalues of  $A$ , counted with algebraic multiplicity. Then

$$p_A(t) = \det(tI - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i).$$

Expanding this product:

$$p_A(t) = t^n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)t^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Comparing this with the previous expression, we read off

$$\operatorname{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, \quad \det A = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Thus the trace is the sum of eigenvalues and the determinant is their product.

## Problem 10: Positive Semidefinite Matrix and Rayleigh Quotients

### Problem

An  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $S$  is said to be **positive semidefinite** if all its eigenvalues are nonnegative. An equivalent condition is

$$\mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Suppose  $S$  is symmetric positive semidefinite with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0.$$

- (a) What are the eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 I - S$ ?
- (b) Show that  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (c) Determine

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}.$$

### Solution

#### Method 1 (Direct eigen-analysis)

- (a) **Eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 I - S$ .** If  $S \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$ , then

$$(\lambda_1 I - S) \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_i - S \mathbf{v}_i = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i) \mathbf{v}_i.$$

Hence the eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 I - S$  are

$$\mu_i = \lambda_1 - \lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_i$ , each  $\mu_i \geq 0$ , so  $\lambda_1 I - S$  is also positive semidefinite.

- (b) **The inequality  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x}$ .** Because  $\lambda_1 I - S$  is positive semidefinite, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^\top (\lambda_1 I - S) \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}.$$

Expanding:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}.$$

(c) **Maximising the Rayleigh quotient.** The Rayleigh quotient is

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

From (b),  $R(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_1$ . On the other hand, if we choose  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_1$ , an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ , then

$$R(\mathbf{v}_1) = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^\top S \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{v}_1} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^\top (\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1)}{\mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{v}_1} = \lambda_1.$$

Thus the maximum value is

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} R(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_1.$$

## Method 2 (Spectral decomposition)

Write the spectral decomposition

$$S = Q \Lambda Q^\top,$$

where  $Q$  is orthogonal and

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Let  $\mathbf{y} = Q^\top \mathbf{x}$  (a change of orthonormal basis), so  $\|\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top Q \Lambda Q^\top \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^\top \Lambda \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}^\top S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} = \lambda_1,$$

and equality is obtained by taking  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_1$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_1$ , an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ . This again shows that the maximum Rayleigh quotient equals  $\lambda_1$ .