

# 15.1 File systems

## Introduction

As we saw in chapter File-System Interface, the file system provides the mechanism for on-line storage and access to file contents, including data and programs. This chapter is primarily concerned with the internal structures and operations of file systems. We explore in detail ways to structure file use, to allocate storage space, to recover freed space, to track the locations of data, and to interface other parts of the operating system to secondary storage.

### Chapter objectives


- Delve into the details of file systems and their implementation.
- Explore booting and file sharing.
- Describe remote file systems, using NFS as an example.

## File systems

Certainly, no general-purpose computer stores just one file. There are typically thousands, millions, even billions of files within a computer. Files are stored on random-access storage devices, including hard disk drives, optical disks, and nonvolatile memory devices.

As you have seen in the preceding chapters, a general-purpose computer system can have multiple storage devices, and those devices can be sliced up into partitions, which hold volumes, which in turn hold file systems. Depending on the volume manager, a volume may span multiple partitions as well. Figure [15.1.1](#) shows a typical file-system organization.

Figure 15.1.1: A typical storage device organization.



Computer systems may also have varying numbers of file systems, and the file systems may be of varying types. For example, a typical Solaris system may have dozens of file systems of a dozen different types, as shown in the file-system list in Figure [15.1.2](#).

Figure 15.1.2: Solaris file systems.

```

/                                ufs
/devices
devfs
/dev                            dev
/system/contract
ctfs
/proc
proc
/etc/mnttab
mntfs
/etc/svc/volatile
tmpfs
/system/object
objfs
/lib/libc.so.1
lofs
/dev/fd                        fd
/var                            ufs
/tmp
tmpfs
/var/run
tmpfs
/opt                            ufs
/zpbge                          zfs
/zpbge/backup                  zfs
/export/home                   zfs
/var/mail                      zfs
/var/spool/mqueue              zfs
/zpbg                          zfs
/zpbg/zones                    zfs

```

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

In this book, we consider only general-purpose file systems. It is worth noting, though, that there are many special-purpose file systems. Consider the types of file systems in the Solaris example mentioned above:

- **tmpfs**—a "temporary" file system that is created in volatile main memory and has its contents erased if the system reboots or crashes
- **objfs**—a "virtual" file system (essentially an interface to the kernel that looks like a file system) that gives debuggers access to kernel symbols
- **ctfs**—a virtual file system that maintains "contract" information to manage which processes start when the system boots and must continue to run during operation
- **lofs**—a "loop back" file system that allows one file system to be accessed in place of another one
- **procfs**—a virtual file system that presents information on all processes as a file system
- **ufs, zfs**—general-purpose file systems

The file systems of computers, then, can be extensive. Even within a file system, it is useful to segregate files into groups and manage and act on those groups. This organization involves the use of

directories (see Section [14.3](#)).

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

15.1.1: Section review question.



1) An operating system may provide:



- ☐ Only one general-purpose file system.
- ☐ Only one general-purpose file system and one special-purpose file system.
- ☐ Many general-purpose file systems and one special-purpose file system.
- ☐ Many general-purpose and special-purpose file systems.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## 15.2 File-system mounting

Just as a file must be opened before it can be used, a file system must be mounted before it can be available to processes on the system. More specifically, the directory structure may be built out of multiple file-system-containing volumes, which must be mounted to make them available within the file-system name space.

The mount procedure is straightforward. The operating system is given the name of the device and the **mount point**—the location within the file structure where the file system is to be attached. Some operating systems require that a file-system type be provided, while others inspect the structures of the device and determine the type of file system. Typically, a mount point is an empty directory. For instance, on a UNIX system, a file system containing a user's home directories might be mounted as `/home`; then, to access the directory structure within that file system, we could precede the directory names with `/home`, as in `/home/jane`. Mounting that file system under `/users` would result in the path name `/users/jane`, which we could use to reach the same directory.


Next, the operating system verifies that the device contains a valid file system. It does so by asking the device driver to read the device directory and verifying that the directory has the expected format. Finally, the operating system notes in its directory structure that a file system is mounted at the specified mount point. This scheme enables the operating system to traverse its directory structure, switching among file systems, and even file systems of varying types, as appropriate.

To illustrate file mounting, consider the file system depicted in the animation below, where the triangles represent subtrees of directories that are of interest. At this point, only the files on the existing file system can be accessed. The animation below shows the effects of mounting the volume

residing on `/device/dsk` over `/users`. If the volume is unmounted, the file system is restored to the situation depicted in the animation below.

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

## 15.2.1: Volume mounting.



©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

**Animation content:**


Step 1: The existing file system. Step 2: An unmounted file system. Step 3: The unmounted volume is mounted at `/users`. Step 4: All data previously at `/users` is no longer accessible, and the newly-mounted file system is now available at that path.

**Animation captions:**

1. The existing file system.
2. An unmounted file system.
3. The unmounted volume is mounted at `/users`.
4. All data previously at `/users` is no longer accessible, and the newly-mounted file system is now available at that path.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Figure 15.2.1: Volume mounted at `/users`.



©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Systems impose semantics to clarify functionality. For example, a system may disallow a mount over a directory that contains files; or it may make the mounted file system available at that directory and obscure the directory's existing files until the file system is unmounted, terminating the use of the file system and allowing access to the original files in that directory. As another example, a system may allow the same file system to be mounted repeatedly, at different mount points; or it may only allow one mount per file system.

Consider the actions of the macOS operating system. Whenever the system encounters a disk for the first time (either at boot time or while the system is running), the macOS operating system searches for a file system on the device. If it finds one, it automatically mounts the file system under the `/Volumes` directory, adding a folder icon labeled with the name of the file system (as stored in the device directory). The user is then able to click on the icon and thus display the newly mounted file system.

The Microsoft Windows family of operating systems maintains an extended two-level directory structure, with devices and volumes assigned drive letters. Each volume has a general graph directory structure associated with its drive letter. The path to a specific file takes the form **drive-letter:\path\to\file**. The more recent versions of Windows allow a file system to be mounted anywhere in the directory tree, just as UNIX does. Windows operating systems automatically discover all devices and mount all located file systems at boot time. In some systems, like UNIX, the mount commands are explicit. A system configuration file contains a list of devices and mount points for automatic mounting at boot time, but other mounts may be executed manually.

Issues concerning file system mounting are further discussed in Section [15.3](#) and in Section Disk structures in Appendix C.

#### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

15.2.2: Section review questions.

1) What best describes the *mount point*?

- ☐ The complete name of the file system
- ☐ The file system type
- ☐ The location in the file structure
- ☐ where the file system is to be mounted.

2) The file **/users/marie/hw/progs/hw1.c** was initially stored on an unmounted file system with the directory structure **/hw/progs/hw1.c**. What is the name of the mount point?

- ☐ /hw/progs/hw1.c
- ☐ /users/marie
- ☐ /users

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## Section glossary

---

**mount point:** The location within the file structure where a file system is attached.

---

## 15.3 Partitions and mounting

The layout of a disk can have many variations, depending on the operating system and volume management software. A disk can be sliced into multiple partitions, or a volume can span multiple partitions on multiple disks. The former layout is discussed here, while the latter, which is more appropriately considered a form of RAID, is covered in Section [11.8](#).

Each partition can be either "raw," containing no file system, or "cooked," containing a file system. **Raw disk** is used where no file system is appropriate. UNIX swap space can use a raw partition, for example, since it uses its own format on disk and does not use a file system. Likewise, some databases use raw disk and format the data to suit their needs. Raw disk can also hold information needed by disk RAID systems, such as bitmaps indicating which blocks are mirrored and which have changed and need to be mirrored. Similarly, raw disk can contain a miniature database holding RAID configuration information, such as which disks are members of each RAID set. Raw disk use is discussed in Section Drive formatting, partitions, and volumes.

If a partition contains a file system that is bootable—that has a properly installed and configured operating system—then the partition also needs boot information, as described in Section Boot block. This information has its own format, because at boot time the system does not have the file-system code loaded and therefore cannot interpret the file-system format. Rather, boot information is usually a sequential series of blocks loaded as an image into memory. Execution of the image starts at a predefined location, such as the first byte. This image, the **bootstrap loader**, in turn knows enough about the file-system structure to be able to find and load the kernel and start it executing.

The boot loader can contain more than the instructions for booting a specific operating system. For instance, many systems can be **dual-booted**, allowing us to install multiple operating systems on a single system. How does the system know which one to boot? A boot loader that understands multiple file systems and multiple operating systems can occupy the boot space. Once loaded, it can boot one of the operating systems available on the drive. The drive can have multiple partitions, each containing a different type of file system and a different operating system. Note that if the boot loader does not understand a particular file-system format, an operating system stored on that file system is not bootable. This is one of the reasons only some file systems are supported as root file systems for any given operating system.

The **root partition** selected by the boot loader, which contains the operating-system kernel and sometimes other system files, is mounted at boot time. Other volumes can be automatically mounted at boot or manually mounted later, depending on the operating system. As part of a successful mount operation, the operating system verifies that the device contains a valid file system. It does so by asking the device driver to read the device directory and verifying that the directory has the expected format. If the format is invalid, the partition must have its consistency checked and possibly corrected, either with or without user intervention. Finally, the operating system notes in its in-memory mount table that a file system is mounted, along with the type of the file system. The details of this function depend on the operating system.

Microsoft Windows-based systems mount each volume in a separate name space, denoted by a letter and a colon, as mentioned earlier. To record that a file system is mounted at **F:**, for example, the operating system places a pointer to the file system in a field of the device structure corresponding to **F:**. When a process specifies the driver letter, the operating system finds the appropriate file-system pointer and traverses the directory structures on that device to find the specified file or directory. Later versions of Windows can mount a file system at any point within the existing directory structure.

On UNIX, file systems can be mounted at any directory. Mounting is implemented by setting a flag in the in-memory copy of the inode for that directory. The flag indicates that the directory is a mount point. A field then points to an entry in the mount table, indicating which device is mounted there. The mount table entry contains a pointer to the superblock of the file system on that device. This scheme enables the operating system to traverse its directory structure, switching seamlessly among file systems of varying types.

#### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

15.3.1: Section review questions.

1) A \_\_\_\_ partition contains a file system.



- ☐ raw
- ☐ cooked

2) The \_\_\_\_\_ contains the operating system kernel.

- ☐ bootstrap loader
- ☐ raw disk
- ☐ root partition



©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## Section glossary

---

**raw disk:** Direct access to a secondary storage device as an array of blocks with no file system.

**bootstrap loader:** The small program that loads the kernel as part of the bootstrap procedure.

**dual-booted:** A term describing a computer that can boot one of two or more installed operating systems.

**root partition:** The storage partition that contains the kernel and the root file system; the one mounted at boot.

---

## 15.4 File sharing

The ability to share files is very desirable for users who want to collaborate and to reduce the effort required to achieve a computing goal. Therefore, user-oriented operating systems must accommodate the need to share files in spite of the inherent difficulties.

In this section, we examine more aspects of file sharing. We begin by discussing general issues that arise when multiple users share files. Once multiple users are allowed to share files, the challenge is to extend sharing to multiple file systems, including remote file systems; we discuss that challenge as well. Finally, we consider what to do about conflicting actions occurring on shared files. For instance, if multiple users are writing to a file, should all the writes be allowed to occur, or should the operating system protect the users' actions from one another?

### Multiple users

When an operating system accommodates multiple users, the issues of file sharing, file naming, and file protection become preeminent. Given a directory structure that allows files to be shared by users, the system must mediate the file sharing. The system can either allow a user to access the files of other users by default or require that a user specifically grant access to the files. These are the issues of access control and protection, which are covered in Section [13.4](#).

To implement sharing and protection, the system must maintain more file and directory attributes than are needed on a single-user system. Although many approaches have been taken to meet this requirement, most systems have evolved to use the concepts of file (or directory) **owner** (or **user**) and **group**. The owner is the user who can change attributes and grant access and who has the most control over the file. The group attribute defines a subset of users who can share access to the file. For example, the owner of a file on a UNIX system can issue all operations on a file, while members of the file's group can execute one subset of those operations, and all other users can execute another subset of operations. Exactly which operations can be executed by group members and other users is definable by the file's owner.

The owner and group IDs of a given file (or directory) are stored with the other file attributes. When a user requests an operation on a file, the user ID can be compared with the owner attribute to determine if the requesting user is the owner of the file. Likewise, the group IDs can be compared. The result indicates which permissions are applicable. The system then applies those permissions to the requested operation and allows or denies it.

Many systems have multiple local file systems, including volumes of a single disk or multiple volumes on multiple attached disks. In these cases, the ID checking and permission matching are straightforward, once the file systems are mounted. But consider an external disk that can be moved between systems. What if the IDs on the systems are different? Care must be taken to be sure that IDs match between systems when devices move between them or that file ownership is reset when such a move occurs. (For example, we can create a new user ID and set all files on the portable disk to that ID, to be sure no files are accidentally accessible to existing users.)

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

15.4.1: Section review questions.

1) A file owner can grant or remove access of a given file to other users.

- ☐ True  
☐ False

2) Any user can always read any file.

- ☐ True  
☐ False

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## Section glossary

---

**owner:** In file permissions, the userid that owns and controls access to a file.

**user:** The human using a computer, or the identification of the human to the computer.

**group:** In file permissions, a collection of users that have access to a file based on assigned access rights.

---

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## 15.5 Virtual file systems


As we've seen, modern operating systems must concurrently support multiple types of file systems. But how does an operating system allow multiple types of file systems to be integrated into a directory structure? And how can users seamlessly move between file-system types as they navigate the file-system space? We now discuss some of these implementation details.

An obvious but suboptimal method of implementing multiple types of file systems is to write directory and file routines for each type. Instead, however, most operating systems, including UNIX, use object-oriented techniques to simplify, organize, and modularize the implementation. The use of these methods allows very dissimilar file-system types to be implemented within the same structure, including network file systems, such as NFS. Users can access files contained within multiple file systems on the local drive or even on file systems available across the network.

Data structures and procedures are used to isolate the basic system-call functionality from the implementation details. Thus, the file-system implementation consists of three major layers, as depicted schematically in Figure 15.5.1. The first layer is the file-system interface, based on the `open()`, `read()`, `write()`, and `close()` calls and on file descriptors.

Figure 15.5.1: Schematic view of a virtual file system.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



The second layer is called the **virtual file system** (VFS) layer. The VFS layer serves two important functions:

1. It separates file-system-generic operations from their implementation by defining a clean VFS interface. Several implementations for the VFS interface may coexist on the same machine, allowing transparent access to different types of file systems mounted locally.
2. It provides a mechanism for uniquely representing a file throughout a network. The VFS is based on a file-representation structure, called a **vnode**, that contains a numerical designator for a network-wide unique file. (UNIX inodes are unique within only a single file system.) This network-wide uniqueness is required for support of network file systems. The kernel maintains one vnode structure for each active node (file or directory).

Thus, the VFS distinguishes local files from remote ones, and local files are further distinguished according to their file-system types.

The VFS activates file-system-specific operations to handle local requests according to their file-system types and calls the NFS protocol procedures (or other protocol procedures for other network file systems) for remote requests. File handles are constructed from the relevant vnodes and are

passed as arguments to these procedures. The layer implementing the file-system type or the remote-file-system protocol is the third layer of the architecture.

Let's briefly examine the VFS architecture in Linux. The four main object types defined by the Linux VFS are:

- The **inode object**, which represents an individual file
- The **file object**, which represents an open file
- The **superblock object**, which represents an entire file system
- The **dentry object**, which represents an individual directory entry

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

For each of these four object types, the VFS defines a set of operations that may be implemented. Every object of one of these types contains a pointer to a function table. The function table lists the addresses of the actual functions that implement the defined operations for that particular object. For example, an abbreviated API for some of the operations for the file object includes:

- `int open(...)`—Open a file.
- `int close(...)`—Close an already-open file.
- `ssize_t read(...)`—Read from a file.
- `ssize_t write(...)`—Write to a file.
- `int mmap(...)`—Memory-map a file.

An implementation of the file object for a specific file type is required to implement each function specified in the definition of the file object. (The complete definition of the file object is specified in the file `struct file_operations`, which is located in the file `/usr/include/linux/fs.h`.)

Thus, the VFS software layer can perform an operation on one of these objects by calling the appropriate function from the object's function table, without having to know in advance exactly what kind of object it is dealing with. The VFS does not know, or care, whether an inode represents a disk file, a directory file, or a remote file. The appropriate function for that file's `read()` operation will always be at the same place in its function table, and the VFS software layer will call that function without caring how the data are actually read.

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

15.5.1: Section review question.



1) Which of the following statements regarding VFS is false?



- ☐ Separates file system operations from the specific implementation of the operations.
- ☐ Only works for local file systems and not for networked file systems.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



Is represented by four main object types in Linux.

## Section glossary

**virtual file system (VFS):** The file-system implementation layer responsible for separating file-system-generic operations and their implementation and representing a file throughout a network

**vnode:** The virtual file system file representation structure, similar to the FCB for local files but applied to remote files.

**inode object:** The VFS representation of an individual file.

**file object:** The VFS representation of an open file.

**superblock object:** The VFS representation of the entire file system.

**dentry object:** The VFS representation of a directory.

## 15.6 Remote file systems

With the advent of networks (Chapter Networks and Distributed Systems), communication among remote computers became possible. Networking allows the sharing of resources spread across a campus or even around the world. One obvious resource to share is data in the form of files.

Through the evolution of network and file technology, remote file-sharing methods have changed. The first implemented method involves manually transferring files between machines via programs like `ftp`. The second major method uses a **distributed file system (DFS)**, in which remote directories are visible from a local machine. In some ways, the third method, the **World Wide Web**, is a reversion to the first. A browser is needed to gain access to the remote files, and separate operations (essentially a wrapper for `ftp`) are used to transfer files. Increasingly, cloud computing (subsection Cloud computing in Section [1.10](#)) is being used for file sharing as well.

`ftp` is used for both anonymous and authenticated access. **Anonymous access** allows a user to transfer files without having an account on the remote system. The World Wide Web uses anonymous file exchange almost exclusively. DFS involves a much tighter integration between the machine that is accessing the remote files and the machine providing the files. This integration adds complexity, as we describe in this section.

## The client-server model

Remote file systems allow a computer to mount one or more file systems from one or more remote machines. In this case, the machine containing the files is the **server**, and the machine seeking access to the files is the **client**. The client-server relationship is common with networked machines. Generally, the server declares that a resource is available to clients and specifies exactly which resource (in this case, which files) and exactly which clients. A server can serve multiple clients, and a client can use multiple servers, depending on the implementation details of a given client-server facility.

The server usually specifies the available files on a volume or directory level. Client identification is more difficult. A client can be specified by a network name or other identifier, such as an IP address, but these can be **spoofed**, or imitated. As a result of spoofing, an unauthorized client could be allowed access to the server. More secure solutions include secure authentication of the client via encrypted keys. Unfortunately, with security come many challenges, including ensuring compatibility of the client and server (they must use the same encryption algorithms) and security of key exchanges (intercepted keys could again allow unauthorized access). Because of the difficulty of solving these problems, unsecure authentication methods are most commonly used.

In the case of UNIX and its network file system (NFS), authentication takes place via the client networking information, by default. In this scheme, the user's IDs on the client and server must match. If they do not, the server will be unable to determine access rights to files. Consider the example of a user who has an ID of 1000 on the client and 2000 on the server. A request from the client to the server for a specific file will not be handled appropriately, as the server will determine if user 1000 has access to the file rather than basing the determination on the real user ID of 2000. Access is thus granted or denied based on incorrect authentication information. The server must trust the client to present the correct user ID. Note that the NFS protocols allow many-to-many relationships. That is, many servers can provide files to many clients. In fact, a given machine can be both a server to some NFS clients and a client of other NFS servers.

Once the remote file system is mounted, file operation requests are sent on behalf of the user across the network to the server via the DFS protocol. Typically, a file-open request is sent along with the ID of the requesting user. The server then applies the standard access checks to determine if the user has credentials to access the file in the mode requested. The request is either allowed or denied. If it is allowed, a file handle is returned to the client application, and the application then can perform read, write, and other operations on the file. The client closes the file when access is completed. The operating system may apply semantics similar to those for a local file-system mount or may use different semantics.

## Distributed information systems

To make client-server systems easier to manage, **distributed information systems**, also known as **distributed naming services**, provide unified access to the information needed for remote computing. The **domain name system (DNS)** provides host-name-to-network-address translations for the entire Internet. Before DNS became widespread, files containing the same information were sent via e-mail



or **ftp** between all networked hosts. Obviously, this methodology was not scalable! DNS is further discussed in Section Naming and name resolution.

Other distributed information systems provide **user name/password/user ID/group ID** space for a distributed facility. UNIX systems have employed a wide variety of distributed information methods. Sun Microsystems (now part of Oracle Corporation) introduced **yellow pages** (since renamed **network information service**, or **NIS**), and most of the industry adopted its use. It centralizes storage of user names, host names, printer information, and the like. Unfortunately, it uses unsecure authentication methods, including sending user passwords unencrypted (in clear text) and identifying hosts by IP address. Sun's NIS+ was a much more secure replacement for NIS but was much more complicated and was not widely adopted.

In the case of Microsoft's **common Internet file system** (**CIFS**), network information is used in conjunction with user authentication (user name and password) to create a network login that the server uses to decide whether to allow or deny access to a requested file system. For this authentication to be valid, the user names must match from machine to machine (as with NFS). Microsoft uses **active directory** as a distributed naming structure to provide a single name space for users. Once established, the distributed naming facility is used by all clients and servers to authenticate users via Microsoft's version of the **Kerberos** network authentication protocol (<https://web.mit.edu/kerberos/>).

The industry is moving toward use of the **lightweight directory-access protocol** (**LDAP**) as a secure distributed naming mechanism. In fact, active directory is based on LDAP. Oracle Solaris and most other major operating systems include LDAP and allow it to be employed for user authentication as well as system-wide retrieval of information, such as availability of printers. Conceivably, one distributed LDAP directory could be used by an organization to store all user and resource information for all the organization's computers. The result would be secure single sign-on for users, who would enter their authentication information once for access to all computers within the organization. It would also ease system-administration efforts by combining, in one location, information that is currently scattered in various files on each system or in different distributed information services.

## Failure modes

Local file systems can fail for a variety of reasons, including failure of the drive containing the file system, corruption of the directory structure or other disk-management information (collectively called **metadata**), disk-controller failure, cable failure, and host-adapter failure. User or system-administrator failure can also cause files to be lost or entire directories or volumes to be deleted. Many of these failures will cause a host to crash and an error condition to be displayed, and human intervention may be required to repair the damage.

Remote file systems have even more failure modes. Because of the complexity of network systems and the required interactions between remote machines, many more problems can interfere with the proper operation of remote file systems. In the case of networks, the network can be interrupted between two hosts. Such interruptions can result from hardware failure, poor hardware configuration, or networking implementation issues. Although some networks have built-in resiliency, including



multiple paths between hosts, many do not. Any single failure can thus interrupt the flow of DFS commands.

Consider a client in the midst of using a remote file system. It has files open from the remote host; among other activities, it may be performing directory lookups to open files, reading or writing data to files, and closing files. Now consider a partitioning of the network, a crash of the server, or even a scheduled shutdown of the server. Suddenly, the remote file system is no longer reachable. This scenario is rather common, so it would not be appropriate for the client system to act as it would if a local file system were lost. Rather, the system can either terminate all operations to the lost server or delay operations until the server is again reachable. These failure semantics are defined and implemented as part of the remote-file-system protocol. Termination of all operations can result in users' losing data—and patience. Thus, most DFS protocols either enforce or allow delaying of file-system operations to remote hosts, with the hope that the remote host will become available again.

To implement this kind of recovery from failure, some kind of **state information** may be maintained on both the client and the server. If both server and client maintain knowledge of their current activities and open files, then they can seamlessly recover from a failure. In the situation where the server crashes but must recognize that it has remotely mounted exported file systems and opened files, NFS Version 3 takes a simple approach, implementing a **stateless** DFS. In essence, it assumes that a client request for a file read or write would not have occurred unless the file system had been remotely mounted and the file had been previously open. The NFS protocol carries all the information needed to locate the appropriate file and perform the requested operation. Similarly, it does not track which clients have the exported volumes mounted, again assuming that if a request comes in, it must be legitimate. While this stateless approach makes NFS resilient and rather easy to implement, it also makes it unsecure. For example, forged read or write requests could be allowed by an NFS server. These issues are addressed in the industry standard NFS Version 4, in which NFS is made stateful to improve its security, performance, and functionality.

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

15.6.1: Section review questions.

- 1) To recover remote file server from failure, a file server should know what files have been opened by clients.
  - ☐ True
  - ☐ False
- 2) In NFS (Network File System) a host can be
  - ☐ either a client or a server, but not both.
  - ☐ both a server and a client

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## Section glossary

---

**distributed file system (DFS):** A file system that works across a network in which remote directories are visible from a local machine.

**World Wide Web (WWW):** The Internet; a worldwide system of interconnected computer networks.

**anonymous access:** Remote access that allows a user to transfer files without having an account on the remote system.

**server:** In general, any computer, no matter the size, that provides resources to other computers.

**client:** A computer that uses services from other computers (such as a web client). The source of a communication.

**spoof:** The imitation of a legitimate identifier (such as an IP address) by an illegitimate user or system.

**distributed information system:** A set of protocols providing unified information needed for remote computing.

**distributed naming service:** A set of protocols providing unified information needed for remote computing.

**yellow pages:** A distributed naming service that provides username, password, hostname, and printer information to a set of computers.

**common Internet file system (CIFS):** The Windows network file system, now used on many systems.

**active directory (AD):** The Windows distributed information system, which is the Windows implementation of LDAP.

**Kerberos:** A network authentication protocol invented at M.I.T. that forms the basis for the Microsoft network authentication protocol.

**lightweight directory-access protocol (LDAP):** A secure distributed naming service used throughout the computer industry.

**metadata:** A set of attributes of an object. In file systems, e.g., all details of a file except the file's contents.

**state information:** In remote file systems, the set of information pertaining to connections and ongoing file operations (e.g., which files are open).

**stateless:** In remote file systems, a protocol in which state need not be maintained for proper operation.

---

## 15.7 Consistency semantics

Consistency semantics represent an important criterion for evaluating any file system that supports file sharing. These semantics specify how multiple users of a system are to access a shared file simultaneously. In particular, they specify when modifications of data by one user will be observable by other users. These semantics are typically implemented as code with the file system.

Consistency semantics are directly related to the process synchronization algorithms of chapter Synchronization Tools. However, the complex algorithms of that chapter tend not to be implemented in the case of file I/O because of the great latencies and slow transfer rates of disks and networks. For example, performing an atomic transaction to a remote disk could involve several network communications, several disk reads and writes, or both. Systems that attempt such a full set of functionalities tend to perform poorly. A successful implementation of complex sharing semantics can be found in the Andrew file system.

For the following discussion, we assume that a series of file accesses (that is, reads and writes) attempted by a user to the same file is always enclosed between the `open( )` and `close( )` operations. The series of accesses between the `open( )` and `close( )` operations makes up a **file session**. To illustrate the concept, we sketch several prominent examples of consistency semantics.

### UNIX semantics

The UNIX file system (Chapter Networks and Distributed Systems) uses the following consistency semantics:

- Writes to an open file by a user are visible immediately to other users who have this file open.
- One mode of sharing allows users to share the pointer of current location into the file. Thus, the advancing of the pointer by one user affects all sharing users. Here, a file has a single image that interleaves all accesses, regardless of their origin.

In the UNIX semantics, a file is associated with a single physical image that is accessed as an exclusive resource. Contention for this single image causes delays in user processes.

### Session semantics

The Andrew file system (OpenAFS) uses the following consistency semantics:

- Writes to an open file by a user are not visible immediately to other users that have the same file open.
- Once a file is closed, the changes made to it are visible only in sessions starting later. Already open instances of the file do not reflect these changes.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

According to these semantics, a file may be associated temporarily with several (possibly different) images at the same time. Consequently, multiple users are allowed to perform both read and write accesses concurrently on their images of the file, without delay. Almost no constraints are enforced on scheduling accesses.

## Immutable-shared-files semantics

A unique approach is that of **immutable shared files**. Once a file is declared as shared by its creator, it cannot be modified. An immutable file has two key properties: its name may not be reused, and its contents may not be altered. Thus, the name of an immutable file signifies that the contents of the file are fixed. The implementation of these semantics in a distributed system (Chapter Networks and Distributed Systems) is simple, because the sharing is disciplined (read-only).

### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

15.7.1: Section review questions.

1) Consistency semantics determine:

- ☐ how to prevent the sharing of files.
- ☐ how modifications of data by one user are observable to other users
- ☐ how the information about files that are currently open is stored

2) The content of an immutable file can be changed.

- ☐ True
- ☐ False

## Section glossary

**file session:** The series of accesses between `open()` and `close()` system calls that make up all the operations on a file by a process.

**immutable shared file:** In a remote file system, a file that, once shared by its creator, cannot be modified.

# 15.8 NFS

Network file systems are commonplace. They are typically integrated with the overall directory structure and interface of the client system. NFS is a good example of a widely used, well implemented client-server network file system. Here, we use it as an example to explore the implementation details of network file systems.

NFS is both an implementation and a specification of a software system for accessing remote files across LANs (or even WANs). NFS is part of ONC+, which most UNIX vendors and some PC operating systems support. The implementation described here is part of the Solaris operating system, which is a modified version of UNIX SVR4. It uses either the TCP or UDP/IP protocol (depending on the interconnecting network). The specification and the implementation are intertwined in our description of NFS. Whenever detail is needed, we refer to the Solaris implementation; whenever the description is general, it applies to the specification also.

There are multiple versions of NFS, with the latest being Version 4. Here, we describe Version 3, which is the version most commonly deployed.

## Overview

NFS views a set of interconnected workstations as a set of independent machines with independent file systems. The goal is to allow some degree of sharing among these file systems (on explicit request) in a transparent manner. Sharing is based on a client-server relationship. A machine may be, and often is, both a client and a server. Sharing is allowed between any pair of machines. To ensure machine independence, sharing of a remote file system affects only the client machine and no other machine.

So that a remote directory will be accessible in a transparent manner from a particular machine—say, from **M1**—a client of that machine must first carry out a mount operation. The semantics of the operation involve mounting a remote directory over a directory of a local file system. Once the mount operation is completed, the mounted directory looks like an integral subtree of the local file system, replacing the subtree descending from the local directory. The local directory becomes the name of the root of the newly mounted directory. Specification of the remote directory as an argument for the mount operation is not done transparently; the location (or host name) of the remote directory has to be provided. However, from then on, users on machine **M1** can access files in the remote directory in a totally transparent manner.

To illustrate file mounting, consider the file system depicted in Figure 15.8.1, where the triangles represent subtrees of directories that are of interest. The figure shows three independent file systems of machines named **U**, **S1**, and **S2**. At this point, on each machine, only the local files can be accessed. Figure 15.8.2(a) shows the effects of mounting **S1:/usr/shared** over **U:/usr/local1**. This figure depicts the view users on **U** have of their file system. After the mount is complete, they can access any file within the **dir1** directory using the prefix **/usr/local1/dir1**. The original directory **/usr/local1** on that machine is no longer visible.

Figure 15.8.1: Three independent file systems.





Figure 15.8.2: Mounting in NFS. (a) Mounts. (b) Cascading mounts.



Subject to access-rights accreditation, any file system, or any directory within a file system, can be mounted remotely on top of any local directory. Diskless workstations can even mount their own roots from servers. Cascading mounts are also permitted in some NFS implementations. That is, a file

system can be mounted over another file system that is remotely mounted, not local. A machine is affected by only those mounts that it has itself invoked. Mounting a remote file system does not give the client access to other file systems that were, by chance, mounted over the former file system. Thus, the mount mechanism does not exhibit a transitivity property.

In Figure 15.8.2(b), we illustrate cascading mounts. The figure shows the result of mounting **S2**:`/usr/dir2` over **U**:`/usr/local/dir1`, which is already remotely mounted from **S1**. Users can access files within `dir2` on **U** using the prefix `/usr/local/dir1`. If a shared file system is mounted over a user's home directories on all machines in a network, the user can log into any workstation and get his or her home environment. This property permits user mobility.

One of the design goals of NFS was to operate in a heterogeneous environment of different machines, operating systems, and network architectures. The NFS specification is independent of these media. This independence is achieved through the use of RPC primitives built on top of an external data representation (XDR) protocol used between two implementation-independent interfaces. Hence, if the system's heterogeneous machines and file systems are properly interfaced to NFS, file systems of different types can be mounted both locally and remotely.

The NFS specification distinguishes between the services provided by a mount mechanism and the actual remote-file-access services. Accordingly, two separate protocols are specified for these services: a mount protocol and a protocol for remote file accesses, the **NFS protocol**. The protocols are specified as sets of RPCs. These RPCs are the building blocks used to implement transparent remote file access.

## The mount protocol

The **mount protocol** establishes the initial logical connection between a server and a client. In Solaris, each machine has a server process, outside the kernel, performing the protocol functions.

A mount operation includes the name of the remote directory to be mounted and the name of the server machine storing it. The mount request is mapped to the corresponding RPC and is forwarded to the mount server running on the specific server machine. The server maintains an **export list** that specifies local file systems that it exports for mounting, along with names of machines that are permitted to mount them. (In Solaris, this list is the `/etc/dfs/dfstab`, which can be edited only by a superuser.) The specification can also include access rights, such as read only. To simplify the maintenance of export lists and mount tables, a distributed naming scheme can be used to hold this information and make it available to appropriate clients.

Recall that any directory within an exported file system can be mounted remotely by an accredited machine. A component unit is such a directory. When the server receives a mount request that conforms to its export list, it returns to the client a file handle that serves as the key for further accesses to files within the mounted file system. The file handle contains all the information that the server needs to distinguish an individual file it stores. In UNIX terms, the file handle consists of a file-system identifier and an inode number to identify the exact mounted directory within the exported file system.



The server also maintains a list of the client machines and the corresponding currently mounted directories. This list is used mainly for administrative purposes—for instance, for notifying all clients that the server is going down. Only through addition and deletion of entries in this list can the server state be affected by the mount protocol.

Usually, a system has a static mounting preconfiguration that is established at boot time (`/etc/vfstab` in Solaris); however, this layout can be modified. In addition to the actual mount procedure, the mount protocol includes several other procedures, such as `unmount` and `return export list`.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSBPB3753KnoxFall2024

## The NFS protocol

The NFS protocol provides a set of RPCs for remote file operations. The procedures support the following operations:

- Searching for a file within a directory
- Reading a set of directory entries
- Manipulating links and directories
- Accessing file attributes
- Reading and writing files

These procedures can be invoked only after a file handle for the remotely mounted directory has been established.

The omission of open and close operations is intentional. A prominent feature of NFS servers is that they are stateless. Servers do not maintain information about their clients from one access to another. No parallels to UNIX's open-files table or file structures exist on the server side. Consequently, each request has to provide a full set of arguments, including a unique file identifier and an absolute offset inside the file for the appropriate operations. The resulting design is robust; no special measures need be taken to recover a server after a crash. File operations must be idempotent for this purpose—that is, the same operation performed multiple times must have the same effect as if it had only been performed once. To achieve idempotence, every NFS request has a sequence number, allowing the server to determine if a request has been duplicated or if any are missing.

Maintaining the list of clients that we mentioned seems to violate the statelessness of the server. However, this list is not essential for the correct operation of the client or the server, and hence it does not need to be restored after a server crash. Consequently, it may include inconsistent data and is treated as only a hint.

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSBPB3753KnoxFall2024

A further implication of the stateless-server philosophy and a result of the synchrony of an RPC is that modified data (including indirection and status blocks) must be committed to the server's disk before results are returned to the client. That is, a client can cache write blocks, but when it flushes them to the server, it assumes that they have reached the server's disks. The server must write all NFS data synchronously. Thus, a server crash and recovery will be invisible to a client; all blocks that the server is managing for the client will be intact. The resulting performance penalty can be large, because the advantages of caching are lost. Performance can be increased by using storage with its own




nonvolatile cache (usually battery-backed-up memory). The disk controller acknowledges the disk write when the write is stored in the nonvolatile cache. In essence, the host sees a very fast synchronous write. These blocks remain intact even after a system crash and are written from this stable storage to disk periodically.

A single NFS write procedure call is guaranteed to be atomic and is not intermixed with other write calls to the same file. The NFS protocol, however, does not provide concurrency-control mechanisms. A `write()` system call may be broken down into several RPC writes, because each NFS write or read call can contain up to 8 KB of data and UDP packets are limited to 1,500 bytes. As a result, two users writing to the same remote file may get their data intermixed. The claim is that, because lock management is inherently stateful, a service outside the NFS should provide locking (and Solaris does). Users are advised to coordinate access to shared files using mechanisms outside the scope of NFS.

NFS is integrated into the operating system via a VFS. As an illustration of the architecture, let's trace how an operation on an already-open remote file is handled (follow the example in Figure 15.8.3). The client initiates the operation with a regular system call. The operating-system layer maps this call to a VFS operation on the appropriate vnode. The VFS layer identifies the file as a remote one and invokes the appropriate NFS procedure. An RPC call is made to the NFS service layer at the remote server. This call is reinjected to the VFS layer on the remote system, which finds that it is local and invokes the appropriate file-system operation. This path is retraced to return the result. An advantage of this architecture is that the client and the server are identical; thus, a machine may be a client, or a server, or both. The actual service on each server is performed by kernel threads.

Figure 15.8.3: Schematic view of the NFS architecture.



## Path-name translation

**Path-name translation** in NFS involves the parsing of a path name such as `/usr/local/dir1/file.txt` into separate directory entries, or components: (1) `usr`, (2) `local`, and (3) `dir1`. Path-name translation is done by breaking the path into component names and performing a separate NFS **lookup call** for every pair of component name and directory vnode. Once a mount point is crossed, every component lookup causes a separate RPC to the server. This expensive path-name-traversal scheme is needed, since the layout of each client's logical name space is unique, dictated by the mounts the client has performed. It would be much more efficient to hand a server a path name and receive a target vnode once a mount point is encountered. At any point, however, there might be another mount point for the particular client of which the stateless server is unaware.

So that lookup is fast, a directory-name-lookup cache on the client side holds the vnodes for remote directory names. This cache speeds up references to files with the same initial path name. The directory cache is discarded when attributes returned from the server do not match the attributes of the cached vnode.

Recall that some implementations of NFS allow mounting a remote file system on top of another already-mounted remote file system (a cascading mount). When a client has a cascading mount, more than one server can be involved in a path-name traversal. However, when a client does a lookup

on a directory on which the server has mounted a file system, the client sees the underlying directory instead of the mounted directory.

## Remote operations

With the exception of opening and closing files, there is an almost one-to-one correspondence between the regular UNIX system calls for file operations and the NFS protocol RPCs. Thus, a remote file operation can be translated directly to the corresponding RPC. Conceptually, NFS adheres to the remote-service paradigm; but in practice, buffering and caching techniques are employed for the sake of performance. No direct correspondence exists between a remote operation and an RPC. Instead, file blocks and file attributes are fetched by the RPCs and are cached locally. Future remote operations use the cached data, subject to consistency constraints.

There are two caches: the file-attribute (inode-information) cache and the file-blocks cache. When a file is opened, the kernel checks with the remote server to determine whether to fetch or revalidate the cached attributes. The cached file blocks are used only if the corresponding cached attributes are up to date. The attribute cache is updated whenever new attributes arrive from the server. Cached attributes are, by default, discarded after 60 seconds. Both read-ahead and delayed-write techniques are used between the server and the client. Clients do not free delayed-write blocks until the server confirms that the data have been written to disk. Delayed-write is retained even when a file is opened concurrently, in conflicting modes. Hence, UNIX semantics (Section UNIX semantics) are not preserved.

Tuning the system for performance makes it difficult to characterize the consistency semantics of NFS. New files created on a machine may not be visible elsewhere for 30 seconds. Furthermore, writes to a file at one site may or may not be visible at other sites that have this file open for reading. New opens of a file observe only the changes that have already been flushed to the server. Thus, NFS provides neither strict emulation of UNIX semantics nor the session semantics of Andrew (Section Session semantics). In spite of these drawbacks, the utility and good performance of the mechanism make it the most widely used multi-vendor-distributed system in operation.

### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

15.8.1: Section review question.

1) The \_\_\_\_ protocol provides a set of remote file operations.

- ☐ NFS
- ☐ mount
- ☐ pathname translation

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## Section glossary

---

**NFS protocol:** The protocol used for remote file access, remote file system mounting, etc., by the NFS file system.

**mount protocol:** The protocol for mounting a file system in a remote file system.

**export list:** The list of file systems available for remote mounting from a server.

**path-name translation:** The parsing of a file name into separate directory entries, or components.

---

@zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrecnea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## 15.9 Summary

- General-purpose operating systems provide many file-system types, from special-purpose through general.
- Volumes containing file systems can be mounted into the computer's file-system space.
- Depending on the operating system, the file-system space is seamless (mounted file systems integrated into the directory structure) or distinct (each mounted file system having its own designation).
- At least one file system must be bootable for the system to be able to start—that is, it must contain an operating system. The boot loader is run first; it is a simple program that is able to find the kernel in the file system, load it, and start its execution. Systems can contain multiple bootable partitions, letting the administrator choose which to run at boot time.
- Most systems are multi-user and thus must provide a method for file sharing and file protection. Frequently, files and directories include metadata, such as owner, user, and group access permissions.
- Mass storage partitions are used either for raw block I/O or for file systems. Each file system resides in a volume, which can be composed of one partition or multiple partitions working together via a volume manager.
- To simplify implementation of multiple file systems, an operating system can use a layered approach, with a virtual file-system interface making access to possibly dissimilar file systems seamless.
- Remote file systems can be implemented simply by using a program such as **ftp** or the web servers and clients in the World Wide Web, or with more functionality via a client-server model. Mount requests and user IDs must be authenticated to prevent unapproved access.
- Client-server facilities do not natively share information, but a distributed information system such as DNS can be used to allow such sharing, providing a unified user name space, password management, and system identification. For example, Microsoft CIFS uses active directory, which employs a version of the Kerberos network authentication protocol to provide a full set of naming and authentication services among the computers in a network.

@zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrecnea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

- Once file sharing is possible, a consistency semantics model must be chosen and implemented to moderate multiple concurrent access to the same file. Semantics models include UNIX, session, and immutable-shared-files semantics.
- NFS is an example of a remote file system, providing clients with seamless access to directories, files, and even entire file systems. A full-featured remote file system includes a communication protocol with remote operations and path-name translation.

## 15.10 Practice exercises

@zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



### EXERCISE

15.10.1: (Problem 15.1 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Explain how the VFS layer allows an operating system to support multiple types of file systems easily.



### EXERCISE

15.10.2: (Problem 15.2 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Why have more than one file system type on a given system?



### EXERCISE

15.10.3: (Problem 15.3 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) On a Unix or Linux system that implements the procfs file system, determine how to use the procfs interface to explore the process name space. What aspects of processes can be viewed via this interface? How would the same information be gathered on a system lacking the procfs file system?



### EXERCISE

15.10.4: (Problem 15.4 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Why do some systems integrate mounted file systems into the root file system naming structure, while others use a separate naming method for mounted file systems?

@zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



### EXERCISE

15.10.5: (Problem 15.5 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Given a remote file access facility such as `ftp`, why were remote file systems like NFS created?

## 15.11 Exercises

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



### EXERCISE

15.11.1: (Problem 15.6 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Assume that in a particular augmentation of a remote-file-access protocol, each client maintains a name cache that caches translations from file names to corresponding file handles. What issues should we take into account in implementing the name cache?



### EXERCISE

15.11.2: (Problem 15.7 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Given a mounted file system with write operations underway, and a system crash or power loss, what must be done before the file system is remounted if: (a) The file system is not log-structured? (b) The file system is log-structured?



### EXERCISE

15.11.3: (Problem 15.8 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Why do operating systems mount the root file system automatically at boot time?



### EXERCISE

15.11.4: (Problem 15.9 in the 10th edition). ?

- (a) Why do operating systems require file systems other than root to be mounted?

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

## 15.12 Further reading

The internals of the BSD UNIX system are covered in full in [McKusick et al. (2015)]. Details concerning file systems for Linux can be found in [Love (2010)].

The network file system (NFS) is discussed in [Callaghan (2000)]. NFS Version 4 is a standard described at <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3530.txt>. [Ousterhout (1991)] discusses the role of distributed state in networked file systems. NFS and the UNIX file system (UFS) are described in [Mauro and McDougall (2007)].

The Kerberos network authentication protocol is explored in <https://web.mit.edu/kerberos/>.

## 15.13 Bibliography

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

**[Callaghan (2000)]** B. Callaghan, *NFS Illustrated*, Addison-Wesley (2000).

**[Love (2010)]** R. Love, *Linux Kernel Development*, Third Edition, Developer's Library (2010).

**[Mauro and McDougall (2007)]** J. Mauro and R. McDougall, *Solaris Internals: Core Kernel Architecture*, Prentice Hall (2007).

**[McKusick et al. (2015)]** M. K. McKusick, G. V. Neville-Neil, and R. N. M. Watson, *The Design and Implementation of the FreeBSD UNIX Operating System-Second Edition*, Pearson (2015).

**[Ousterhout (1991)]** J. Ousterhout. "The Role of Distributed State". In *CMU Computer Science: a 25th Anniversary Commemorative*, R. F. Rashid, Ed., Addison-Wesley (1991).

©zyBooks 10/12/24 19:57 1692462  
Taylor Larrechea  
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024