

**24.2-4**

Give an efficient algorithm to count the total number of paths in a directed acyclic graph. Analyze your algorithm.

---

**24.3 Dijkstra's algorithm**

Dijkstra's algorithm solves the single-source shortest-paths problem on a weighted, directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  for the case in which all edge weights are nonnegative. In this section, therefore, we assume that  $w(u, v) \geq 0$  for each edge  $(u, v) \in E$ . As we shall see, with a good implementation, the running time of Dijkstra's algorithm is lower than that of the Bellman-Ford algorithm.


Dijkstra's algorithm maintains a set  $S$  of vertices whose final shortest-path weights from the source  $s$  have already been determined. The algorithm repeatedly selects the vertex  $u \in V - S$  with the minimum shortest-path estimate, adds  $u$  to  $S$ , and relaxes all edges leaving  $u$ . In the following implementation, we use a min-priority queue  $Q$  of vertices, keyed by their  $d$  values.

```

DIJKSTRA( $G, w, s$ )
1  INITIALIZE-SINGLE-SOURCE( $G, s$ )
2   $S = \emptyset$ 
3   $Q = G.V$ 
4  while  $Q \neq \emptyset$ 
5       $u = \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$ 
6       $S = S \cup \{u\}$ 
7      for each vertex  $v \in G.Adj[u]$ 
8          RELAX( $u, v, w$ )

```

Dijkstra's algorithm relaxes edges as shown in Figure 24.6. Line 1 initializes the  $d$  and  $\pi$  values in the usual way, and line 2 initializes the set  $S$  to the empty set. The algorithm maintains the invariant that  $Q = V - S$  at the start of each iteration of the **while** loop of lines 4–8. Line 3 initializes the min-priority queue  $Q$  to contain all the vertices in  $V$ ; since  $S = \emptyset$  at that time, the invariant is true after line 3. Each time through the **while** loop of lines 4–8, line 5 extracts a vertex  $u$  from  $Q = V - S$  and line 6 adds it to set  $S$ , thereby maintaining the invariant. (The first time through this loop,  $u = s$ .) Vertex  $u$ , therefore, has the smallest shortest-path estimate of any vertex in  $V - S$ . Then, lines 7–8 relax each edge  $(u, v)$  leaving  $u$ , thus updating the estimate  $v.d$  and the predecessor  $v.\pi$  if we can improve the shortest path to  $v$  found so far by going through  $u$ . Observe that the algorithm never inserts vertices into  $Q$  after line 3 and that each vertex is extracted from  $Q$




**Figure 24.6** The execution of Dijkstra's algorithm. The source  $s$  is the leftmost vertex. The shortest-path estimates appear within the vertices, and shaded edges indicate predecessor values. Black vertices are in the set  $S$ , and white vertices are in the min-priority queue  $Q = V - S$ . (a) The situation just before the first iteration of the **while** loop of lines 4–8. The shaded vertex has the minimum  $d$  value and is chosen as vertex  $u$  in line 5. (b)–(f) The situation after each successive iteration of the **while** loop. The shaded vertex in each part is chosen as vertex  $u$  in line 5 of the next iteration. The  $d$  values and predecessors shown in part (f) are the final values.

and added to  $S$  exactly once, so that the **while** loop of lines 4–8 iterates exactly  $|V|$  times.

Because Dijkstra's algorithm always chooses the “lightest” or “closest” vertex in  $V - S$  to add to set  $S$ , we say that it uses a greedy strategy. Chapter 16 explains greedy strategies in detail, but you need not have read that chapter to understand Dijkstra's algorithm. Greedy strategies do not always yield optimal results in general, but as the following theorem and its corollary show, Dijkstra's algorithm does indeed compute shortest paths. The key is to show that each time it adds a vertex  $u$  to set  $S$ , we have  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ .

**Theorem 24.6 (Correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm)**

Dijkstra's algorithm, run on a weighted, directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with non-negative weight function  $w$  and source  $s$ , terminates with  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  for all vertices  $u \in V$ .



**Figure 24.7** The proof of Theorem 24.6. Set  $S$  is nonempty just before vertex  $u$  is added to it. We decompose a shortest path  $p$  from source  $s$  to vertex  $u$  into  $s \xrightarrow{p_1} x \rightarrow y \xrightarrow{p_2} u$ , where  $y$  is the first vertex on the path that is not in  $S$  and  $x \in S$  immediately precedes  $y$ . Vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct, but we may have  $s = x$  or  $y = u$ . Path  $p_2$  may or may not reenter set  $S$ .

**Proof** We use the following loop invariant:

At the start of each iteration of the **while** loop of lines 4–8,  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  for each vertex  $v \in S$ .

It suffices to show for each vertex  $u \in V$ , we have  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  at the time when  $u$  is added to set  $S$ . Once we show that  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ , we rely on the upper-bound property to show that the equality holds at all times thereafter.

**Initialization:** Initially,  $S = \emptyset$ , and so the invariant is trivially true.

**Maintenance:** We wish to show that in each iteration,  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  for the vertex added to set  $S$ . For the purpose of contradiction, let  $u$  be the first vertex for which  $u.d \neq \delta(s, u)$  when it is added to set  $S$ . We shall focus our attention on the situation at the beginning of the iteration of the **while** loop in which  $u$  is added to  $S$  and derive the contradiction that  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  at that time by examining a shortest path from  $s$  to  $u$ . We must have  $u \neq s$  because  $s$  is the first vertex added to set  $S$  and  $s.d = \delta(s, s) = 0$  at that time. Because  $u \neq s$ , we also have that  $S \neq \emptyset$  just before  $u$  is added to  $S$ . There must be some path from  $s$  to  $u$ , for otherwise  $u.d = \delta(s, u) = \infty$  by the no-path property, which would violate our assumption that  $u.d \neq \delta(s, u)$ . Because there is at least one path, there is a shortest path  $p$  from  $s$  to  $u$ . Prior to adding  $u$  to  $S$ , path  $p$  connects a vertex in  $S$ , namely  $s$ , to a vertex in  $V - S$ , namely  $u$ . Let us consider the first vertex  $y$  along  $p$  such that  $y \in V - S$ , and let  $x \in S$  be  $y$ 's predecessor along  $p$ . Thus, as Figure 24.7 illustrates, we can decompose path  $p$  into  $s \xrightarrow{p_1} x \rightarrow y \xrightarrow{p_2} u$ . (Either of paths  $p_1$  or  $p_2$  may have no edges.)

We claim that  $y.d = \delta(s, y)$  when  $u$  is added to  $S$ . To prove this claim, observe that  $x \in S$ . Then, because we chose  $u$  as the first vertex for which  $u.d \neq \delta(s, u)$  when it is added to  $S$ , we had  $x.d = \delta(s, x)$  when  $x$  was added

to  $S$ . Edge  $(x, y)$  was relaxed at that time, and the claim follows from the convergence property.

We can now obtain a contradiction to prove that  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ . Because  $y$  appears before  $u$  on a shortest path from  $s$  to  $u$  and all edge weights are non-negative (notably those on path  $p_2$ ), we have  $\delta(s, y) \leq \delta(s, u)$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} y.d &= \delta(s, y) \\ &\leq \delta(s, u) \\ &\leq u.d \quad (\text{by the upper-bound property}) . \end{aligned} \tag{24.2}$$

But because both vertices  $u$  and  $y$  were in  $V - S$  when  $u$  was chosen in line 5, we have  $u.d \leq y.d$ . Thus, the two inequalities in (24.2) are in fact equalities, giving

$$y.d = \delta(s, y) = \delta(s, u) = u.d .$$

Consequently,  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ , which contradicts our choice of  $u$ . We conclude that  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  when  $u$  is added to  $S$ , and that this equality is maintained at all times thereafter.

**Termination:** At termination,  $Q = \emptyset$  which, along with our earlier invariant that  $Q = V - S$ , implies that  $S = V$ . Thus,  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$  for all vertices  $u \in V$ . ■

#### Corollary 24.7

If we run Dijkstra's algorithm on a weighted, directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with nonnegative weight function  $w$  and source  $s$ , then at termination, the predecessor subgraph  $G_\pi$  is a shortest-paths tree rooted at  $s$ .

**Proof** Immediate from Theorem 24.6 and the predecessor-subgraph property. ■

#### Analysis

How fast is Dijkstra's algorithm? It maintains the min-priority queue  $Q$  by calling three priority-queue operations: INSERT (implicit in line 3), EXTRACT-MIN (line 5), and DECREASE-KEY (implicit in RELAX, which is called in line 8). The algorithm calls both INSERT and EXTRACT-MIN once per vertex. Because each vertex  $u \in V$  is added to set  $S$  exactly once, each edge in the adjacency list  $Adj[u]$  is examined in the **for** loop of lines 7–8 exactly once during the course of the algorithm. Since the total number of edges in all the adjacency lists is  $|E|$ , this **for** loop iterates a total of  $|E|$  times, and thus the algorithm calls DECREASE-KEY at most  $|E|$  times overall. (Observe once again that we are using aggregate analysis.)

The running time of Dijkstra's algorithm depends on how we implement the min-priority queue. Consider first the case in which we maintain the min-priority

queue by taking advantage of the vertices being numbered 1 to  $|V|$ . We simply store  $v.d$  in the  $v$ th entry of an array. Each INSERT and DECREASE-KEY operation takes  $O(1)$  time, and each EXTRACT-MIN operation takes  $O(V)$  time (since we have to search through the entire array), for a total time of  $O(V^2 + E) = O(V^2)$ .

If the graph is sufficiently sparse—in particular,  $E = o(V^2/\lg V)$ —we can improve the algorithm by implementing the min-priority queue with a binary min-heap. (As discussed in Section 6.5, the implementation should make sure that vertices and corresponding heap elements maintain handles to each other.) Each EXTRACT-MIN operation then takes time  $O(\lg V)$ . As before, there are  $|V|$  such operations. The time to build the binary min-heap is  $O(V)$ . Each DECREASE-KEY operation takes time  $O(\lg V)$ , and there are still at most  $|E|$  such operations. The total running time is therefore  $O((V + E) \lg V)$ , which is  $O(E \lg V)$  if all vertices are reachable from the source. This running time improves upon the straightforward  $O(V^2)$ -time implementation if  $E = o(V^2/\lg V)$ .

We can in fact achieve a running time of  $O(V \lg V + E)$  by implementing the min-priority queue with a Fibonacci heap (see Chapter 19). The amortized cost of each of the  $|V|$  EXTRACT-MIN operations is  $O(\lg V)$ , and each DECREASE-KEY call, of which there are at most  $|E|$ , takes only  $O(1)$  amortized time. Historically, the development of Fibonacci heaps was motivated by the observation that Dijkstra's algorithm typically makes many more DECREASE-KEY calls than EXTRACT-MIN calls, so that any method of reducing the amortized time of each DECREASE-KEY operation to  $o(\lg V)$  without increasing the amortized time of EXTRACT-MIN would yield an asymptotically faster implementation than with binary heaps.

Dijkstra's algorithm resembles both breadth-first search (see Section 22.2) and Prim's algorithm for computing minimum spanning trees (see Section 23.2). It is like breadth-first search in that set  $S$  corresponds to the set of black vertices in a breadth-first search; just as vertices in  $S$  have their final shortest-path weights, so do black vertices in a breadth-first search have their correct breadth-first distances. Dijkstra's algorithm is like Prim's algorithm in that both algorithms use a min-priority queue to find the "lightest" vertex outside a given set (the set  $S$  in Dijkstra's algorithm and the tree being grown in Prim's algorithm), add this vertex into the set, and adjust the weights of the remaining vertices outside the set accordingly.

## Exercises

### 24.3-1

Run Dijkstra's algorithm on the directed graph of Figure 24.2, first using vertex  $s$  as the source and then using vertex  $z$  as the source. In the style of Figure 24.6, show the  $d$  and  $\pi$  values and the vertices in set  $S$  after each iteration of the **while** loop.