holds for all *n*-vectors x, y, and all scalars  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  that satisfy  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . In other words, superposition holds for affine combinations of vectors. (For linear functions, superposition holds for any linear combinations of vectors.)

The matrix A and the vector b in the representation of an affine function as f(x) = Ax + b are unique. These parameters can be obtained by evaluating f at the vectors  $0, e_1, \ldots, e_n$ , where  $e_k$  is the kth unit vector in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We have

$$A = [ f(e_1) - f(0) \quad f(e_2) - f(0) \quad \cdots \quad f(e_n) - f(0) ], \qquad b = f(0).$$

Just like affine scalar-valued functions, affine vector-valued functions are often called linear, even though they are linear only when the vector b is zero.

## 8.2 Linear function models

Many functions or relations between variables that arise in natural science, engineering, and social sciences can be *approximated* as linear or affine functions. In these cases we refer to the linear function relating the two sets of variables as a *model* or an *approximation*, to remind us that the relation is only an approximation, and not exact. We give a few examples here.

- Price elasticity of demand. Consider n goods or services with prices given by the n-vector p, and demands for the goods given by the n-vector d. A change in prices will induce a change in demands. We let  $\delta^{\text{price}}$  be the n-vector that gives the fractional change in the prices, i.e.,  $\delta^{\text{price}}_i = (p_i^{\text{new}} p_i)/p_i$ , where  $p^{\text{new}}$  is the n-vector of new (changed) prices. We let  $\delta^{\text{dem}}$  be the n-vector that gives the fractional change in the product demands, i.e.,  $\delta^{\text{dem}}_i = (d_i^{\text{new}} d_i)/d_i$ , where  $d^{\text{new}}$  is the n-vector of new demands. A linear demand elasticity model relates these vectors as  $\delta^{\text{dem}} = E^{\text{d}}\delta^{\text{price}}$ , where  $E^{\text{d}}$  is the  $n \times n$  demand elasticity matrix. For example, suppose  $E^{\text{d}}_{11} = -0.4$  and  $E^{\text{d}}_{21} = 0.2$ . This means that a 1% increase in the price of the first good, with other prices kept the same, will cause demand for the first good to drop by 0.4%, and demand for the second good to increase by 0.2%. (In this example, the second good is acting as a partial substitute for the first good.)
- Elastic deformation. Consider a steel structure like a bridge or the structural frame of a building. Let f be an n-vector that gives the forces applied to the structure at n specific places (and in n specific directions), sometimes called a loading. The structure will deform slightly due to the loading. Let d be an m-vector that gives the displacements (in specific directions) of m points in the structure, due to the load, e.g., the amount of sag at a specific point on a bridge. For small displacements, the relation between displacement and loading is well approximated as linear: d = Cf, where C is the  $m \times n$  compliance matrix. The units of the entries of C are m/N.

## 8.2.1 Taylor approximation

Suppose  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$  is differentiable, *i.e.*, has partial derivatives, and z is an n-vector. The first-order Taylor approximation of f near z is given by

$$\hat{f}(x)_i = f_i(z) + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(z)(x_1 - z_1) + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(z)(x_n - z_n)$$
$$= f_i(z) + \nabla f_i(z)^T (x - z),$$

for i = 1, ..., m. (This is just the first-order Taylor approximation of each of the scalar-valued functions  $f_i$ , described in §2.2.) For x near z,  $\hat{f}(x)$  is a very good approximation of f(x). We can express this approximation in compact notation, using matrix-vector multiplication, as

$$\hat{f}(x) = f(z) + Df(z)(x - z),$$
 (8.3)

where the  $m \times n$  matrix Df(z) is the *derivative* or *Jacobian* matrix of f at z (see §C.1). Its components are the partial derivatives of f,

$$Df(z)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(z), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

evaluated at the point z. The rows of the Jacobian are  $\nabla f_i(z)^T$ , for i = 1, ..., m. The Jacobian matrix is named for the mathematician Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi.

As in the scalar-valued case, Taylor approximation is sometimes written with a second argument as  $\hat{f}(x;z)$  to show the point z around which the approximation is made. Evidently the Taylor series approximation  $\hat{f}$  is an affine function of x. (It is often called a linear approximation of f, even though it is not, in general, a linear function.)

## 8.2.2 Regression model

Recall the regression model (2.7)

$$\hat{y} = x^T \beta + v, \tag{8.4}$$

where the *n*-vector x is a feature vector for some object,  $\beta$  is an *n*-vector of weights, v is a constant (the offset), and  $\hat{y}$  is the (scalar) value of the regression model prediction.

Now suppose we have a set of N objects (also called *samples* or *examples*), with feature vectors  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(N)}$ . The regression model predictions associated with the examples are given by

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = (x^{(i)})^T \beta + v, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

These numbers usually correspond to predictions of the value of the outputs or responses. If in addition to the example feature vectors  $x^{(i)}$  we are also given the

actual value of the associated response variables,  $y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(N)}$ , then our prediction errors or residuals are

$$r^{(i)} = y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

(Some authors define the prediction errors as  $\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}$ .)

We can express this using compact matrix-vector notation. We form the  $n \times N$  feature matrix X with columns  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(N)}$ . We let  $y^{\rm d}$  denote the N-vector whose entries are the actual values of the response for the N examples. (The superscript 'd' stands for 'data'.) We let  $\hat{y}^{\rm d}$  denote the N-vector of regression model predictions for the N examples, and we let  $r^{\rm d}$  denote the N-vector of residuals or prediction errors. We can then express the regression model predictions for this data set in matrix-vector form as

$$\hat{y}^{d} = X^{T}\beta + v\mathbf{1}.$$

The vector of N prediction errors for the examples is given by

$$r^{d} = y^{d} - \hat{y}^{d} = y^{d} - X^{T}\beta - v\mathbf{1}.$$

We can include the offset v in the regression model by including an additional feature equal to one as the first entry of each feature vector:

$$\hat{y}^{\mathrm{d}} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}^T \\ X \end{array} \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} v \\ \beta \end{array} \right] = \tilde{X}^T \tilde{\beta},$$

where  $\tilde{X}$  is the new feature matrix, with a new first row of ones, and  $\tilde{\beta} = (v, \beta)$  is the vector of regression model parameters. This is often written without the tildes, as  $\hat{y}^{d} = X^{T}\beta$ , by simply including the feature one as the first feature.

The equation above shows that the N-vector of predictions for the N examples is a linear function of the model parameters  $(v, \beta)$ . The N-vector of prediction errors is an affine function of the model parameters.

## 8.3 Systems of linear equations

Consider a set (also called a system) of m linear equations in n variables or unknowns  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ :

$$A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \dots + A_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$A_{21}x_1 + A_{22}x_2 + \dots + A_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$A_{m1}x_1 + A_{m2}x_2 + \dots + A_{mn}x_n = b_m.$$

The numbers  $A_{ij}$  are called the *coefficients* in the linear equations, and the numbers  $b_i$  are called the *right-hand sides* (since by tradition, they appear on the right-hand