



# **Program Optimization**

These slides adapted from materials provided by the textbook authors.

### **Program Optimization**

- Overview
- Generally Useful Optimizations
  - Code motion/precomputation
  - Strength reduction
  - Sharing of common subexpressions
  - Removing unnecessary procedure calls
- Optimization Blockers
  - Procedure calls
  - Memory aliasing
- Exploiting Instruction-Level Parallelism

## What is performance?

- We typically think of performance as wall-clock time, or the time we need to wait for some result
- Can also be CPU time if others are using the CPU
- Can also relate to energy electricity is key input to data centers and optimizing energy reduces costs and carbon footprint
- We're going to focus on CPU time, and particular cpu cycles

### **Performance Realities**

#### There's more to performance than asymptotic complexity

#### Constant factors matter too!

- Easily see 10:1 performance range depending on how code is written
- Must optimize at multiple levels:
  - algorithm, data representations, procedures, and loops

#### Must understand system to optimize performance

- How programs are compiled and executed
- How modern processors + memory systems operate
- How to measure program performance and identify bottlenecks
- How to improve performance without destroying code modularity and generality

## **Optimizing Compilers**

#### Provide efficient mapping of program to machine

- register allocation
- code selection and ordering (scheduling)
- dead code elimination
- eliminating minor inefficiencies

#### Don't (usually) improve asymptotic efficiency

- up to programmer to select best overall algorithm
- big-O savings are (often) more important than constant factors
  - but constant factors also matter

### Have difficulty overcoming "optimization blockers"

- potential memory aliasing
- potential procedure side-effects

### **Limitations of Optimizing Compilers**

- Operate under fundamental constraint
  - Must not cause any change in program behavior
    - Except, possibly when program making use of nonstandard language features
  - Often prevents it from making optimizations that would only affect behavior under pathological conditions.
- Behavior that may be obvious to the programmer can be obfuscated by languages and coding styles
  - e.g., Data ranges may be more limited than variable types suggest
- Most analysis is performed only within procedures
  - Whole-program analysis is too expensive in most cases
  - Newer versions of GCC do interprocedural analysis within individual files
    - But, not between code in different files
- Most analysis is based only on static information
  - Compiler has difficulty anticipating run-time inputs
- When in doubt, the compiler must be conservative

### **Program Optimization**

- Overview
- Generally Useful Optimizations
  - Code motion/precomputation
  - Strength reduction
  - Sharing of common subexpressions
  - Removing unnecessary procedure calls
- Optimization Blockers
  - Procedure calls
  - Memory aliasing
- Exploiting Instruction-Level Parallelism

### **Generally Useful Optimizations**

 Optimizations that you or the compiler should do regardless of processor / compiler

#### Code Motion

- Reduce frequency with which computation performed
  - If it will always produce same result
  - Especially moving code out of loop

```
void set_row(double *a, double *b,
    long i, long n)
{
    long j;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[n*i+j] = b[j];
}

int ni = n*i;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[ni+j] = b[j];
</pre>
```

### **Compiler-Generated Code Motion (-01)**

```
void set_row(double *a, double *b,
    long i, long n)
{
    long j;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[n*i+j] = b[j];
}</pre>
```

```
set row:
       testq %rcx, %rcx
                                      # Test n
                                   # If 0, goto done
       ile .L1
       imulg %rcx, %rdx
                                    # ni = n*i
       leaq (%rdi,%rdx,8), %rdx # rowp = A + ni*8
                                      # j = 0
       movl $0, %eax
.L3:
                                     # loop:
       movsd (%rsi, %rax, 8), %xmm0 # t = b[j]
       movsd %xmm0, (%rdx, %rax, 8) # M[A+ni*8 + j*8] = t
       addq $1, %rax
                                     # 1++
       cmpq %rcx, %rax
                                      # j:n
                                     # if !=, goto loop
       jne .L3
                                      # done:
.L1:
       rep ; ret
```

## **Reduction in Strength**

- Replace costly operation with simpler one
- Shift, add instead of multiply or divide

```
16*x --> x << 4
```

- Utility machine dependent
- Depends on cost of multiply or divide instruction
  - On Intel Nehalem, integer multiply requires 3 CPU cycles
- Recognize sequence of products

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  int ni = n*i;
  for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
    a[ni + j] = b[j];
}</pre>
```

```
int ni = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
    a[ni + j] = b[j];
  ni += n;
}</pre>
```

### **Share Common Subexpressions**

- Reuse portions of expressions
- GCC will do this with –O1

```
/* Sum neighbors of i,j */
up = val[(i-1)*n + j ];
down = val[(i+1)*n + j ];
left = val[i*n + j-1];
right = val[i*n + j+1];
sum = up + down + left + right;
```

3 multiplications: i\*n, (i-1)\*n, (i+1)\*n

```
leaq 1(%rsi), %rax # i+1
leaq -1(%rsi), %r8 # i-1
imulq %rcx, %rsi # i*n
imulq %rcx, %rax # (i+1)*n
imulq %rcx, %r8 # (i-1)*n
addq %rdx, %rsi # i*n+j
addq %rdx, %rax # (i+1)*n+j
addq %rdx, %r8 # (i-1)*n+j
```

```
long inj = i*n + j;
up = val[inj - n];
down = val[inj + n];
left = val[inj - 1];
right = val[inj + 1];
sum = up + down + left + right;
```

1 multiplication: i\*n

```
imulq %rcx, %rsi # i*n
addq %rdx, %rsi # i*n+j
movq %rsi, %rax # i*n+j
subq %rcx, %rax # i*n+j-n
leaq (%rsi,%rcx), %rcx # i*n+j+n
```