

12.1 Overview

Introduction

The two main jobs of a computer are I/O and computing. In many cases, the main job is I/O and the computing or processing is merely incidental. For instance, when we browse a web page or edit a file, our immediate interest is to read or enter some information, not to compute an answer.

The role of the operating system in computer I/O is to manage and control I/O operations and I/O devices. Although related topics appear in other chapters, here we bring together the pieces to paint a complete picture of I/O. First, we describe the basics of I/O hardware, because the nature of the hardware interface places constraints on the internal facilities of the operating system. Next, we discuss the I/O services provided by the operating system and the embodiment of these services in the application I/O interface. Then, we explain how the operating system bridges the gap between the hardware interface and the application interface. We also discuss the UNIX System V STREAMS mechanism, which enables an application to assemble pipelines of driver code dynamically. Finally, we discuss the performance aspects of I/O and the principles of operating-system design that improve I/O performance.

Chapter objectives

-
- Explore the structure of an operating system's I/O subsystem.
 - Discuss the principles and complexities of I/O hardware.
 - Explain the performance aspects of I/O hardware and software.
-

Overview

The control of devices connected to the computer is a major concern of operating-system designers. Because I/O devices vary so widely in their function and speed (consider a mouse, a hard disk, a flash drive, and a tape robot), varied methods are needed to control them. These methods form the I/O subsystem of the kernel,⁶² which separates the rest of the kernel from the complexities of managing I/O devices.

I/O-device technology exhibits two conflicting trends. On the one hand, we see increasing standardization of software and hardware interfaces. This trend helps us to incorporate improved device generations into existing computers and operating systems. On the other hand, we see an increasingly broad variety of I/O devices. Some new devices are so unlike previous devices that it is a challenge to incorporate them into our computers and operating systems. This challenge is met by a combination of hardware and software techniques. The basic I/O hardware elements, such as ports, buses, and device controllers, accommodate a wide variety of I/O

devices. To encapsulate the details and oddities of different devices, the kernel of an operating system is structured to use device-driver modules. The **device drivers** present a uniform device-access interface to the I/O subsystem, much as system calls provide a standard interface between the application and the operating system.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.1.1: Section review question.



1) Device drivers ____.

- manage only the basic I/O hardware elements
- manage only the newly emerging I/O devices that are unlike previous devices
- present a uniform device-access interface to the I/O subsystem

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea



COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Section glossary

device driver: An operating system component that provides uniform access to various devices and manages I/O to those devices.

12.2 I/O hardware

Computers operate a great many kinds of devices. Most fit into the general categories of storage devices (disks, tapes), transmission devices (network connections, Bluetooth), and human-interface devices (screen, keyboard, mouse, audio in and out). Other devices are more specialized, such as those involved in the steering of a jet. In these aircraft, a human gives input to the flight computer via a joystick and foot pedals, and the computer sends output commands that cause motors to move rudders and flaps and fuels to the engines. Despite the incredible variety of I/O devices, though, we need only a few concepts to understand how the devices are attached and how the software can control the hardware.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea




COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

A device communicates with a computer system by sending signals over a cable or even through the air. The device communicates with the machine via a connection point, or **port**—for example, a serial port. (The term **PHY**, shorthand for the OSI model physical layer, is also used in reference to ports but is more common in data-center nomenclature.) If devices share a common set of wires, the connection is called a bus. A **bus**, like the PCI bus used in most computers today, is a set of wires and a rigidly defined protocol that specifies a set of messages that can be sent on the wires. In terms of the electronics, the messages are conveyed by patterns of electrical voltages applied to the wires with defined timings. When device **A** has a cable that plugs

into device **B**, and device **B** has a cable that plugs into device **C**, and device **C** plugs into a port on the computer, this arrangement is called a **daisy chain**. A daisy chain usually operates as a bus.

Buses are used widely in computer architecture and vary in their signaling methods, speed, throughput, and connection methods. A typical PC bus structure appears in Figure 12.2.1. In the figure, a **PCIe bus** (the common PC system bus) connects the processor-memory subsystem to fast devices, and an **expansion bus** connects relatively slow devices, such as the keyboard and serial and USB ports. In the lower-left portion of the figure, four disks are connected together on a **serial-attached SCSI (SAS)** bus plugged into an SAS controller. PCIe is a flexible bus that sends data over one or more "lanes." A lane is composed of two signaling pairs, one pair for receiving data and the other for transmitting. Each lane is therefore composed of four wires, and each lane is used as a full-duplex byte stream, transporting data packets in an eight-bit byte format simultaneously in both directions. Physically, PCIe links may contain 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, or 32 lanes, as signified by an "x" prefix. A PCIe card or connector that uses 8 lanes is designated x8, for example. In addition, PCIe has gone through multiple "generations," with more coming in the future. Thus, for example, a card might be "PCIe gen3 x8", which means it works with generation 3 of PCIe and uses 8 lanes. Such a device has maximum throughput of 8 gigabytes per second. Details about PCIe can be found at <https://pcisig.com>.

Figure 12.2.1: A typical PC bus structure.



©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

A **controller** is a collection of electronics that can operate a port, a bus, or a device. A serial-port controller is a simple device controller. It is a single chip (or portion of a chip) in the computer that controls the signals on the wires of a serial port. By contrast, a **fibre channel (FC)** bus controller is not simple. Because the FC protocol is complex and used in data centers rather than on PCs, the FC bus controller is often implemented as a separate circuit board—or a **host bus adapter (HBA)**—that connects to a bus in the computer. It typically contains a processor, microcode, and some private memory to enable it to process the FC protocol messages.

Some devices have their own built-in controllers. If you look at a disk drive, you will see a circuit board attached to one side. This board is the disk controller. It implements the disk side of the protocol for some kinds of connection—SAS and SATA, for instance. It has microcode and a processor to do many tasks, such as bad-sector mapping, prefetching, buffering, and caching.

Memory-mapped I/O

How does the processor give commands and data to a controller to accomplish an I/O transfer? The short answer is that the controller has one or more registers for data and control signals. The processor communicates with the controller by reading and writing bit patterns in these registers. One way in which this communication can occur is through the use of special I/O instructions that specify the transfer of a byte or a word to an I/O port address. The I/O instruction triggers bus lines to select the proper device and to move bits into or out of a device register. Alternatively, the device can support **memory-mapped I/O**. In this case, the device-control registers are mapped into the address space of the processor. The CPU executes I/O requests using the standard data-transfer instructions to read and write the device-control registers at their mapped locations in physical memory.

In the past, PCs often used I/O instructions to control some devices and memory-mapped I/O to control others. Figure 12.2.2 shows the usual I/O port addresses for PCs. The graphics controller has I/O ports for basic control operations, but the controller has a large memory-mapped region to hold screen contents. A thread sends output to the screen by writing data into the memory-mapped region. The controller generates the screen image based on the contents of this memory. This technique is simple to use. Moreover, writing millions of bytes to the graphics memory is faster than issuing millions of I/O instructions. Therefore, over time, systems have moved toward memory-mapped I/O. Today, most I/O is performed by device controllers using memory-mapped I/O.

Figure 12.2.2: Device I/O port locations on PCs (partial).

I/O address range (hexadecimal)	device
000–00F	DMA controller
020–021	interrupt controller
040–043	timer
200–20F	game controller
2F8–2FF	serial port (secondary)
320–32F	hard-disk controller
378–37F	parallel port
3D0–3DF	graphics controller
3F0–3F7	diskette-drive controller
3F8–3FF	serial port (primary)

I/O device control typically consists of four registers, called the status, control, data-in, and data-out registers.

- The **data-in register** is read by the host to get input.
- The **data-out register** is written by the host to send output.
- The **status register** contains bits that can be read by the host. These bits indicate states, such as whether the current command has completed, whether a byte is available to be read from the data-in register, and whether a device error has occurred.
- The **control register** can be written by the host to start a command or to change the mode of a device. For instance, a certain bit in the control register of a serial port chooses between full-duplex and half-duplex communication, another bit enables parity checking, a third bit sets the word length to 7 or 8 bits, and other bits select one of the speeds supported by the serial port.

The data registers are typically 1 to 4 bytes in size. Some controllers have FIFO chips that can hold several bytes of input or output data to expand the capacity of the controller beyond the size of the data register. A FIFO chip can hold a small burst of data until the device or host is able to receive those data.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.2.1: Mid-section review question.

- 1) The ___ register of an I/O port can be written by the host to start a command or to change the mode of a device.

Check**Show answer**

Polling

The complete protocol for interaction between the host and a controller can be intricate, but the basic handshaking notion is simple. We explain handshaking with an example. Assume that 2 bits are used to coordinate the producer-consumer relationship between the controller and the host. The controller indicates its state through the **busy** bit in the **status** register. (Recall that to **set** a bit means to write a 1 into the bit and to **clear** a bit means to write a 0 into it.) The controller sets the **busy** bit when it is busy working and clears the **busy** bit when it is ready to accept the next command. The host signals its wishes via the **command-ready** bit in the **command** register. The host sets the **command-ready** bit when a command is available for the controller to execute. For this example, the host writes output through a port, coordinating with the controller by handshaking as follows.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

1. The host repeatedly reads the **busy** bit until that bit becomes clear.
2. The host sets the **write** bit in the **command** register and writes a byte into the **data-out** register.
3. The host sets the **command-ready** bit.
4. When the controller notices that the **command-ready** bit is set, it sets the **busy** bit.
5. The controller reads the command register and sees the **write** command. It reads the **data-out** register to get the byte and does the I/O to the device.

6. The controller clears the **command-ready** bit, clears the **error** bit in the status register to indicate that the device I/O succeeded, and clears the **busy** bit to indicate that it is finished.

This loop is repeated for each byte.


In step 1, the host is **busy-waiting** or **polling**: it is in a loop, reading the **status** register over and over until the **busy** bit becomes clear. If the controller and device are fast, this method is a reasonable one. But if the wait may be long, the host should probably switch to another task. How, then, does the host know when the controller has become idle? For some devices, the host must service the device quickly, or data will be lost.^{©zyBooks 10/3/24 22:37 1692462 Taylor Larrechea COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024} For instance, when data are streaming in on a serial port or from a keyboard, the small buffer on the controller will overflow and data will be lost if the host waits too long before returning to read the bytes.

In many computer architectures, three CPU-instruction cycles are sufficient to poll a device: **read** a device register, **logical-and** to extract a status bit, and **branch** if not zero. Clearly, the basic polling operation is efficient. But polling becomes inefficient when it is attempted repeatedly yet rarely finds a device ready for service, while other useful CPU processing remains undone. In such instances, it may be more efficient to arrange for the hardware controller to notify the CPU when the device becomes ready for service, rather than to require the CPU to poll repeatedly for an I/O completion. The hardware mechanism that enables a device to notify the CPU is called an **interrupt**.

Interrupts

The basic interrupt mechanism works as follows. The CPU hardware has a wire called the **interrupt-request line** that the CPU senses after executing every instruction. When the CPU detects that a controller has asserted a signal on the interrupt-request line, the CPU performs a state save and jumps to the **interrupt-handler routine** at a fixed address in memory. The interrupt handler determines the cause of the interrupt, performs the necessary processing, performs a state restore, and executes a **return from interrupt** instruction to return the CPU to the execution state prior to the interrupt. We say that the device controller **raises** an interrupt by asserting a signal on the interrupt request line, the CPU **catches** the interrupt and **dispatches** it to the interrupt handler, and the handler **clears** the interrupt by servicing the device. Figure 12.2.3 summarizes the interrupt-driven I/O cycle.

Figure 12.2.3: Interrupt-driven I/O cycle.



©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

We stress interrupt management in this chapter because even single-user modern systems manage hundreds of interrupts per second and serve hundreds of thousands per second. For example, Figure 12.2.4 shows the `latency` command output on Mac, revealing that over ten seconds a quiet desktop computer performed almost 23,000 interrupts.

Figure 12.2.4: Latency command on Mac OS X.

	SCHEDULER	INTERRUPTS	
total_samples	13	22998	
delays < 10 usecs	12	16243	
delays < 20 usecs	1	5312	
delays < 30 usecs	0	473	
delays < 40 usecs	0	590	
delays < 50 usecs	0	61	
delays < 60 usecs	0	317	
delays < 70 usecs	0	2	
delays < 80 usecs	0	0	
delays < 90 usecs	0	0	
delays < 100 usecs	0	0	
total < 100 usecs	13	22998	

3/24 22:37 1692462
Larrechea
B3753KnoxFall2024

The basic interrupt mechanism just described enables the CPU to respond to an asynchronous event, as when a device controller becomes ready for service. In a modern operating system, however, we need more sophisticated interrupt-handling features.

1. We need the ability to defer interrupt handling during critical processing.
2. We need an efficient way to dispatch to the proper interrupt handler for a device without first polling all the devices to see which one raised the interrupt.
3. We need multilevel interrupts, so that the operating system can distinguish between high- and low-priority interrupts and can respond with the appropriate degree of urgency when there are multiple concurrent interrupts.
4. We need a way for an instruction to get the operating system's attention directly (separately from I/O requests), for activities such as page faults and errors such as division by zero. As we shall see, this task is accomplished by "traps."

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:27 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

In modern computer hardware, these features are provided by the CPU and by the **interrupt-controller hardware**.

Most CPUs have two interrupt request lines. One is the **nonmaskable interrupt**, which is reserved for events such as unrecoverable memory errors. The second interrupt line is **maskable**: it can be turned off by the CPU before the execution of critical instruction sequences that must not be interrupted. The maskable interrupt is used by device controllers to request service.

The interrupt mechanism accepts an **address**—a number that selects a specific interrupt-handling routine from a small set. In most architectures, this address is an offset in a table called the **interrupt vector**. This vector contains the memory addresses of specialized interrupt handlers. The purpose of a vectored interrupt mechanism is to reduce the need for a single interrupt handler to search all possible sources of interrupts to determine which one needs service. In practice, however, computers have more devices (and, hence, interrupt handlers) than they have address elements in the interrupt vector. A common way to solve this problem is to use **interrupt chaining**, in which each element in the interrupt vector points to the head of a list of interrupt handlers. When an interrupt is raised, the handlers on the corresponding list are called one by one, until one is found that can service the request. This structure is a compromise between the overhead of a huge interrupt table and the inefficiency of dispatching to a single interrupt handler.

Figure 12.2.5 illustrates the design of the interrupt vector for the Intel Pentium processor. The events from 0 to 31, which are nonmaskable, are used to signal various error conditions (which cause system crashes), page faults (needing immediate action), and debugging requests (stopping normal operation and jumping to a debugger application). The events from 32 to 255, which are maskable, are used for purposes such as device-generated interrupts.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Figure 12.2.5: Intel Pentium processor event-vector table.

vector number	description
0	divide error
1	debug exception
2	null interrupt
3	breakpoint
4	INTO-detected overflow
5	bound range exception
6	invalid opcode
7	device not available
8	double fault
9	coprocessor segment overrun (reserved)
10	invalid task state segment
11	segment not present
12	stack fault
13	general protection
14	page fault
15	(Intel reserved, do not use)
16	floating-point error
17	alignment check
18	machine check
19–31	(Intel reserved, do not use)
32–255	maskable interrupts

©zyBooks 10/08/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

The interrupt mechanism also implements a system of **interrupt priority levels**. These levels enable the CPU to defer the handling of low-priority interrupts without masking all interrupts and make it possible for a high-priority interrupt to preempt the execution of a low-priority interrupt.

A modern operating system interacts with the interrupt mechanism in several ways. At boot time, the operating system probes the hardware buses to determine what devices are present and installs the corresponding interrupt handlers into the interrupt vector. During I/O, the various device controllers raise interrupts when they are ready for service. These interrupts signify that output has completed, or that input data are available, or that a failure has been detected. The interrupt mechanism is also used to handle a wide variety of **exceptions**, such as dividing by zero, accessing a protected or nonexistent memory address, or attempting to execute a privileged instruction from user mode. The events that trigger interrupts have a common property: they are occurrences that induce the operating system to execute an urgent, self-contained routine.

Because interrupt handing in many cases is time and resource constrained and therefore complicated to implement, systems frequently split interrupt management between a **first-level interrupt handler** (FLIH) and a **second-level interrupt handler** (SLIH). The FLIH performs the context switch, state storage, and queuing of a handling operation, while the separately scheduled SLIH performs the handling of the requested operation.

Operating systems have other good uses for interrupts as well. For example, many operating systems use the interrupt mechanism for virtual memory paging. A page fault is an exception that raises an interrupt. The interrupt suspends the current process and jumps to the page-fault handler in the kernel. This handler saves the state of the process, moves the process to the wait queue, performs page-cache management, schedules an I/O operation to fetch the page, schedules another process to resume execution, and then returns from the interrupt.

Another example is found in the implementation of system calls. Usually, a program uses library calls to issue system calls. The library routines check the arguments given by the application, build a data structure to convey the arguments to the kernel, and then execute a special instruction called a **software interrupt**, or **trap**. This instruction has an operand that identifies the desired kernel service. When a process executes the trap instruction, the interrupt hardware saves the state of the user code, switches to kernel mode, and dispatches to the kernel routine or thread that implements the requested service. The trap is given a relatively low interrupt priority compared with those assigned to device interrupts—executing a system call on behalf of an application is less urgent than servicing a device controller before its FIFO queue overflows and loses data.

Taylor Larrechea

Interrupts can also be used to manage the flow of control within the kernel. For example, consider the case of the processing required to complete a disk read. One step may copy data from kernel space to the user buffer. This copying is time consuming but not urgent—it should not block other high-priority interrupt handling. Another step is to start the next pending I/O for that disk drive. This step has higher priority. If the disks are to be used efficiently, we need to start the next I/O as soon as the previous one completes. Consequently, a pair of interrupt handlers implements the kernel code that completes a disk read. The high-priority handler records the I/O status, clears the device interrupt, starts the next pending I/O, and raises a low-priority interrupt to complete the work. Later, when the CPU is not occupied with high-priority work, the low-priority interrupt will be dispatched. The corresponding handler completes the user-level I/O by copying data from kernel buffers to the application space and then calling the scheduler to place the application on the ready queue.

A threaded kernel architecture is well suited to implement multiple interrupt priorities and to enforce the precedence of interrupt handling over background processing in kernel and application routines. We illustrate this point with the Solaris kernel. In Solaris, interrupt handlers are executed as kernel threads. A range of high scheduling priorities is reserved for these threads. These priorities give interrupt handlers precedence over application code and kernel housekeeping and implement the priority relationships among interrupt handlers. The priorities cause the Solaris thread scheduler to preempt low-priority interrupt handlers in favor of higher-priority ones, and the threaded implementation enables multiprocessor hardware to run several interrupt handlers concurrently. We describe the interrupt architecture of Linux in chapter The Linux System, Windows 10 in chapter Windows 10, and UNIX in Appendix C: BSD UNIX.

In summary, interrupts are used throughout modern operating systems to handle asynchronous events and to trap to supervisor-mode routines in the kernel. To enable the most urgent work to be done first, modern computers use a system of interrupt priorities. Device controllers, hardware faults, and system calls all raise interrupts to trigger kernel routines. Because interrupts are used so heavily for time-sensitive processing, efficient interrupt handling is required for good system performance. Interrupt-driven I/O is now much more common than polling, with polling being used for high-throughput I/O. Sometimes the two are used together. Some device drivers use interrupts when the I/O rate is low and switch to polling when the rate increases to the point where polling is faster and more efficient.

Direct memory access

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

For a device that does large transfers, such as a disk drive, it seems wasteful to use an expensive general-purpose processor to watch status bits and to feed data into a controller register one byte at a time—a process termed **programmed I/O** (PIO). Computers avoid burdening the main CPU with PIO by offloading some of this work to a special-purpose processor called a **direct-memory-access** (DMA) controller. To initiate a DMA transfer, the host writes a DMA command block into memory. This block contains a pointer to the source of a transfer, a pointer to the destination of the transfer, and a count of the number of bytes to be transferred. A command block can be more complex, including a list of sources and destinations addresses that are not contiguous. This **scatter-gather** method allows multiple transfers to be executed via a single DMA command.

The CPU writes the address of this command block to the DMA controller, then goes on with other work. The DMA controller proceeds to operate the memory bus directly, placing addresses on the bus to perform transfers without the help of the main CPU. A simple DMA controller is a standard component in all modern computers, from smartphones to mainframes.


Note that it is most straightforward for the target address to be in kernel address space. If it were in user space, the user could, for example, modify the contents of that space during the transfer, losing some set of data. To get the DMA-transferred data to the user space for thread access, however, a second copy operation, this time from kernel memory to user memory, is needed. This **double buffering** is inefficient. Over time, operating systems have moved to using memory-mapping (see Section Memory-mapped I/O) to perform I/O transfers directly between devices and user address space.

Handshaking between the DMA controller and the device controller is performed via a pair of wires called **DMA-request** and **DMA-acknowledge**. The device controller places a signal on the DMA-request wire when a word of data is available for transfer. This signal causes the DMA controller to seize the memory bus, place the desired address on the memory-address wire, and place a signal on the DMA-acknowledge wire. When the device controller receives the DMA-acknowledge signal, it transfers the word of data to memory and removes the DMA-request signal.

When the entire transfer is finished, the DMA controller interrupts the CPU. This process is depicted in the animation below. When the DMA controller seizes the memory bus, the CPU is momentarily prevented from accessing main memory, although it can still access data items in its caches. Although this **cycle stealing** can slow down the CPU computation, offloading the data-transfer work to a DMA controller generally improves the total system performance. Some computer architectures use physical memory addresses for DMA, but others perform **direct virtual memory access** (DVMA), using virtual addresses that undergo translation to physical addresses. DVMA can perform a transfer between two memory-mapped devices without the intervention of the CPU or the use of main memory.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.2.2: Steps in a DMA transfer.



Animation content:

Step 1: Device driver is told to transfer the drive2 data block to buffer at address "x". Step 2: Device Driver tells the drive controller to transfer "c" bytes to buffer at address "x". Step 3: Drive controller initiates DMA transfer. DMA controller transfers bytes to buffer "x", increasing memory address and decreasing "c" until $c = 0$. Step 4: When $c=0$, DMA interrupts CPU to signal transfer completion.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Animation captions:

1. Device driver is told to transfer the drive2 data block to buffer at address "x".
2. Device Driver tells the drive controller to transfer "c" bytes to buffer at address "x".
3. Drive controller initiates DMA transfer. DMA controller transfers bytes to buffer "x", increasing memory address and decreasing "c" until **$c = 0$** .
4. When **$c = 0$** , DMA interrupts CPU to signal transfer completion.

On protected-mode kernels, the operating system generally prevents processes from issuing device commands directly. This discipline protects data from access-control violations and also protects the system from erroneous use of device controllers, which could cause a system crash. Instead, the operating system exports functions that a sufficiently privileged process can use to access low-level operations on the underlying hardware. On kernels without memory protection, processes can access device controllers directly. This direct access can be used to achieve high performance, since it can avoid kernel communication, context switches, and layers of kernel software. Unfortunately, it interferes with system security and stability. Common general-purpose operating systems protect memory and devices so that the system can try to guard against erroneous or malicious applications.

I/O hardware summary

Although the hardware aspects of I/O are complex when considered at the level of detail of electronics-hardware design, the concepts that we have just described are sufficient to enable us to understand many I/O features of operating systems. Let's review the main concepts:

- A bus
- A controller
- An I/O port and its registers
- The handshaking relationship between the host and a device controller
- The execution of this handshaking in a polling loop or via interrupts
- The offloading of this work to a DMA controller for large transfers

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

We gave a basic example of the handshaking that takes place between a device controller and the host earlier in this section. In reality, the wide variety of available devices poses a problem for operating-system implementers. Each kind of device has its own set of capabilities, control-bit definitions, and protocols for interacting with the host—and they are all different. How can the operating system be designed so that we can attach new devices to the computer without rewriting the operating system? And when the devices vary so widely, how can the operating system give a convenient, uniform I/O interface to applications? We address those questions next.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.2.3: Section review questions.

- 1) A(n) _____ contains the memory addresses of specialized interrupt handlers.

- interrupt vector
 - interrupt handler routine
 - interrupt controller hardware

- 2) DMA _____.

- does not use an additional, special purpose, processor
 - can steal memory access cycles from the main CPU
 - can access main memory at the same time as the main CPU



Section glossary

port: A communication address; a system may have one IP address for network connections but many ports, each for a separate communication. In computer I/O, a connection point for devices to attach to computers. In software development, to move code from its current platform to another platform (e.g., between operating systems or hardware systems). In the Mach OS, a mailbox for communication.

PHY: The physical hardware component that connects to a network (implements layer 1 in the OSI model).

bus: A communication system; e.g., within a computer, a bus connects various components, such as the CPU and I/O devices, allowing them to transfer data and commands

daisy chain: In computer I/O, a connection method involving connecting devices to each other in a string (device A to B, B to C, C to D, etc.)

PCIe bus: A common computer I/O bus connecting the CPU to I/O devices.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
boards. Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSSPR3753KnoxFall2024

expansion bus: A computer bus for connecting slow devices like keyboards ©zybooks 10/03/24 22:3 Taylor Larrechea

serial-attached SCSI (SAS): A common type of I/O bus

SAS: A common type of I/O bus

controller: A special processor that manages I/O devices

fibre channel (FC): A type of storage I/O bus used in data centers to connect computers to storage arrays. A storage-attachment network.

host bus adapter (HBA): A device controller installed in a host bus port to allow connection of one or more devices to the host.

memory-mapped I/O: A device I/O method in which device-control registers are mapped into the address space of the processor.

data-in register: A device I/O register where data is placed to be sent to the device.

data-out register: A device I/O register where data is placed by the device to be read by the computer.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

status register: A device I/O register in which status is indicated.

control register: A device I/O register where commands are placed by the computer.

busy waiting: A practice that allows a thread or process to use CPU time continuously while waiting for something. An I/O loop in which an I/O thread continuously reads status information while waiting for I/O to complete.

polling: An I/O loop in which an I/O thread continuously reads status information waiting for I/O to complete.

interrupt: A hardware mechanism that enables a device to notify the CPU that it needs attention.

interrupt-request line: The hardware connection to the CPU on which interrupts are signaled.

interrupt-handler routine: An operating system routine that is called when an interrupt signal is received.

interrupt-controller hardware: Computer hardware components for interrupt management.

nonmaskable interrupt: An interrupt that cannot be delayed or blocked (such as an unrecoverable memory error)

maskable: Describes an interrupt that can be delayed or blocked (such as when the kernel is in a critical section).

interrupt vector: An operating-system data structure indexed by interrupt address and pointing to the interrupt handlers. A kernel memory data structure that holds the addresses of the interrupt service routines for the various devices.

interrupt chaining: A mechanism by which each element in an interrupt vector points to the head of a list of interrupt handlers, which are called individually until one is found to service the interrupt request.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

exception: A software-generated interrupt caused either by an error (such as division by zero or invalid memory access) or by a specific request from a user program than an operating-system service be performed.

first-level interrupt handler: In some operating systems, an interrupt handler responsible for reception and queuing of interrupts; the interrupts are actually handled at another level (by the second-level handler).

second-level interrupt handler: In some operating systems, the interrupt handler that actually handles interrupts; reception and queueing of interrupts are handled at another level (by the first-level handler).

software interrupt: A software-generated interrupt; also called a trap. The interrupt can be caused either by an error (e.g., division by zero or invalid memory access) or by a specific request from a user program that an operating-system service be performed.

trap: A software interrupt. The interrupt can be caused either by an error (e.g., division by zero or invalid memory access) or by a specific request from a user program that an operating-system service be performed.

programmed I/O (PIO): A method of transferring data between a CPU and a peripheral device in which data are transferred one byte at a time.

direct memory access (DMA): A resource-conserving and performance-improving operation for device controllers allowing devices to transfer large amounts of data directly to and from main memory.

scatter-gather: An I/O method in which multiple sources or destinations of I/O are specified in one command structure.

double buffering: The copying of data twice (e.g., from a device to the kernel and then from the kernel to a process's address space), or the use of two buffers to decouple producers and consumers.

cycle stealing: The act of a device, such as a DMA controller, using the bus and preventing the CPU from using it temporarily.


direct virtual memory access (DVMA): DMA that uses virtual addresses rather than physical memory addresses as transfer sources and destinations.

12.3 Application I/O interface

In this section, we discuss structuring techniques and interfaces for the operating system that enable I/O devices to be treated in a standard, uniform way. We explain, for instance, how an application can open a file on a disk without knowing what kind of disk it is and how new disks and other devices can be added to a computer without disruption of the operating system.

Like other complex software-engineering problems, the approach here involves abstraction, encapsulation, and software layering. Specifically, we can abstract away the detailed differences in I/O devices by identifying a few general kinds. Each general kind is accessed through a standardized set of functions—an **interface**. The differences are encapsulated in kernel modules called device drivers that internally are custom-tailored to specific devices but that export one of the standard interfaces. Figure 12.3.1 illustrates how the I/O-related portions of the kernel are structured in software layers.

Figure 12.3.1: A kernel I/O structure.



The purpose of the device-driver layer is to hide the differences among device controllers from the I/O subsystem of the kernel, much as the I/O system calls encapsulate the behavior of devices in a few generic classes that hide hardware differences from applications. Making the I/O subsystem independent of the hardware simplifies the job of the operating-system developer. It also benefits the hardware manufacturers. They either design new devices to be compatible with an existing host controller interface (such as SATA), or they write device drivers to interface the new hardware to popular operating systems. Thus, we can attach new peripherals to a computer without waiting for the operating-system vendor to develop support code.

Unfortunately for device-hardware manufacturers, each type of operating system has its own standards for the device-driver interface. A given device may ship with multiple device drivers—for instance, drivers for Windows, Linux, AIX, and Mac. Devices vary on many dimensions, as illustrated in Figure 12.3.2.

- **Character-stream or block.** A character-stream device transfers bytes one by one, whereas a block device transfers a block of bytes as a unit.
- **Sequential or random access.** A sequential device transfers data in a fixed order determined by the device, whereas the user of a random-access device can instruct the device to seek to any of the available data storage locations.
- **Synchronous or asynchronous.** A synchronous device performs data transfers with predictable response times, in coordination with other aspects of the system. An asynchronous device exhibits irregular or unpredictable response times not coordinated with other computer events.
- **Sharable or dedicated.** A sharable device can be used concurrently by several processes or threads; a dedicated device cannot.

- **Speed of operation.** Device speeds range from a few bytes per second to gigabytes per second.
- **Read-write, read only, write once.** Some devices perform both input and output, but others support only one data transfer direction. Some allow data to be modified after write, but others can be written only once and are read-only thereafter.

Figure 12.3.2: Characteristics of I/O devices.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

aspect	variation	example
data-transfer mode	character block	terminal disk
access method	sequential random	modem CD-ROM
transfer schedule	synchronous asynchronous	tape keyboard
sharing	dedicated sharable	tape keyboard
device speed	latency seek time transfer rate delay between operations	
I/O direction	read only write only read-write	CD-ROM graphics controller disk

For the purpose of application access, many of these differences are hidden by the operating system, and the devices are grouped into a few conventional types. The resulting styles of device access have been found to be useful and broadly applicable. Although the exact system calls may differ across operating systems, the device categories are fairly standard. The major access conventions include block I/O, character-stream I/O, memory-mapped file access, and network sockets. Operating systems also provide special system calls to access a few additional devices, such as a time-of-day clock and a timer. Some operating systems provide a set of system calls for graphical display, video, and audio devices.

Most operating systems also have an **escape** (or **back door**) that transparently passes arbitrary commands from an application to a device driver. In UNIX, this system call is `ioctl()` (for "I/O control"). The `ioctl()` system call enables an application to access any functionality that can be implemented by any device driver, without the need to invent a new system call. The `ioctl()` system call has three arguments. The first is a device identifier that connects the application to the driver by referring to a hardware device managed by that driver. The second is an integer that selects one of the commands implemented in the driver. The third is a pointer to an arbitrary data structure in memory that enables the application and driver to communicate any necessary control information or data.

The device identifier in UNIX and Linux is a tuple of "major and minor" device numbers. The major number is the device type, and the second is the instance of that device. For example, consider these SSD devices on a system. If one issues a command:

```
% ls -l /dev/sda*
```

then the following output

```
brw-rw---- 1 root disk 8, 0 Mar 16 09:18 /dev/sda
brw-rw---- 1 root disk 8, 1 Mar 16 09:18 /dev/sda1
brw-rw---- 1 root disk 8, 2 Mar 16 09:18 /dev/sda2
brw-rw---- 1 root disk 8, 3 Mar 16 09:18 /dev/sda3
```

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

shows that 8 is the major device number. The operating system uses that information to route I/O requests to the appropriate device driver. The minor numbers 0, 1, 2, and 3 indicate the instance of the device, allowing requests for I/O to a device entry to select the exact device for the request.

Block and character devices

The **block-device interface** captures all the aspects necessary for accessing disk drives and other block-oriented devices. The device is expected to understand commands such as `read()` and `write()`. If it is a random-access device, it is also expected to have a `seek()` command to specify which block to transfer next. Applications normally access such a device through a file-system interface. We can see that `read()`, `write()`, and `seek()` capture the essential behaviors of block-storage devices, so that applications are insulated from the low-level differences among those devices.

The operating system itself, as well as special applications such as database-management systems, may prefer to access a block device as a simple linear array of blocks. This mode of access is sometimes called **raw I/O**. If the application performs its own buffering, then using a file system would cause extra, unneeded buffering. Likewise, if an application provides its own locking of blocks or regions, then any operating-system locking services would be redundant at the least and contradictory at the worst. To avoid these conflicts, raw-device access passes control of the device directly to the application, letting the operating system step out of the way. Unfortunately, no operating-system services are then performed on this device. A compromise that is becoming common is for the operating system to allow a mode of operation on a file that disables buffering and locking. In the UNIX world, this is called **direct I/O**.

Memory-mapped file access can be layered on top of block-device drivers. Rather than offering read and write operations, a memory-mapped interface provides access to disk storage via an array of bytes in main memory. The system call that maps a file into memory returns the virtual memory address that contains a copy of the file. The actual data transfers are performed only when needed to satisfy access to the memory image. Because the transfers are handled by the same mechanism as that used for demand-paged virtual memory access, memory-mapped I/O is efficient. Memory mapping is also convenient for programmers—access to a memory-mapped file is as simple as reading from and writing to memory. Operating systems that offer virtual memory commonly use the mapping interface for kernel services. For instance, to execute a program, the operating system maps the executable into memory and then transfers control to the entry address of the executable. The mapping interface is also commonly used for kernel access to swap space on disk.

A keyboard is an example of a device that is accessed through a **character-stream interface**. The basic system calls in this interface enable an application to `get()` or `put()` one character. On top of this interface, libraries can be built that offer line-at-a-time access, with buffering and editing services (for example, when a user types a backspace, the preceding character is removed from the input stream). This style of access is convenient for input devices such as keyboards, mice, and modems that produce data for input "spontaneously"—that is, at times that cannot necessarily be predicted by the application. This access style is

also good for output devices such as printers and audio boards, which naturally fit the concept of a linear stream of bytes.

Network devices

Because the performance and addressing characteristics of network I/O differ significantly from those of disk I/O, most operating systems provide a network I/O interface that is different from the `read()`-`write()`-`seek()` interface used for disks. One interface available in many operating systems, including UNIX and Windows, is the network **socket** interface.

Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Think of a wall socket for electricity: any electrical appliance can be plugged in. By analogy, the system calls in the socket interface enable an application to create a socket, to connect a local socket to a remote address (which plugs this application into a socket created by another application), to listen for any remote application to plug into the local socket, and to send and receive packets over the connection. To support the implementation of network servers, the socket interface also provides a function called `select()` that manages a set of sockets. A call to `select()` returns information about which sockets have a packet waiting to be received and which sockets have room to accept a packet to be sent. The use of `select()` eliminates the polling and busy waiting that would otherwise be necessary for network I/O. These functions encapsulate the essential behaviors of networks, greatly facilitating the creation of distributed applications that can use any underlying network hardware and protocol stack.

Many other approaches to interprocess communication and network communication have been implemented. For instance, Windows provides one interface to the network interface card and a second interface to the network protocols. In UNIX, which has a long history as a proving ground for network technology, we find half-duplex pipes, full-duplex FIFOs, full-duplex STREAMS, message queues, and sockets. Information on UNIX networking is given in Section [24.9](#).

Clocks and timers

Most computers have hardware clocks and timers that provide three basic functions:

- Give the current time.
- Give the elapsed time.
- Set a timer to trigger operation X at time T.

These functions are used heavily by the operating system, as well as by time-sensitive applications. Unfortunately, the system calls that implement these functions are not standardized across operating systems.

The hardware to measure elapsed time and to trigger operations is called a **programmable interval timer**. It can be set to wait a certain amount of time and then generate an interrupt, and it can be set to do this once or to repeat the process to generate periodic interrupts. The scheduler uses this mechanism to generate an interrupt that will preempt a process at the end of its time slice. The disk I/O subsystem uses it to invoke the periodic flushing of dirty cache buffers to disk, and the network subsystem uses it to cancel operations that are proceeding too slowly because of network congestion or failures. The operating system may also provide an interface for user processes to use timers. The operating system can support more timer requests than the number of timer hardware channels by simulating virtual clocks. To do so, the kernel (or the timer device driver) maintains a list of interrupts wanted by its own routines and by user requests, sorted in earliest-time-

first order. It sets the timer for the earliest time. When the timer interrupts, the kernel signals the requester and reloads the timer with the next earliest time.

Computers have clock hardware that is used for a variety of purposes. Modern PCs include a **high-performance event timer (HPET)**, which runs at rates in the 10-megahertz range. It has several comparators that can be set to trigger once or repeatedly when the value they hold matches that of the HPET. The trigger generates an interrupt, and the operating system's clock management routines determine what the timer was for and what action to take. The precision of triggers is limited by the resolution of the timer, together with the overhead of maintaining virtual clocks. Furthermore, if the timer ticks are used to maintain the system time-of-day clock, the system clock can drift. Drift can be corrected via protocols designed for that purpose, such as NTP, the **network time protocol**, which uses sophisticated latency calculations to keep a computer's clock accurate almost to atomic-clock levels. In most computers, the hardware clock is constructed from a high-frequency counter. In some computers, the value of this counter can be read from a device register, in which case the counter can be considered a high-resolution clock. Although this clock does not generate interrupts, it offers accurate measurements of time intervals.

Nonblocking and asynchronous I/O


Another aspect of the system-call interface relates to the choice between blocking I/O and nonblocking I/O. When an application issues a **blocking** system call, the execution of the calling thread is suspended. The thread is moved from the operating system's run queue to a wait queue. After the system call completes, the thread is moved back to the run queue, where it is eligible to resume execution. When it resumes execution, it will receive the values returned by the system call. The physical actions performed by I/O devices are generally asynchronous—they take a varying or unpredictable amount of time. Nevertheless, operating systems provide blocking system calls for the application interface, because blocking application code is easier to write than nonblocking application code.

Some user-level processes need **nonblocking** I/O. One example is a user interface that receives keyboard and mouse input while processing and displaying data on the screen. Another example is a video application that reads frames from a file on disk while simultaneously decompressing and displaying the output on the display.

One way an application writer can overlap execution with I/O is to write a multithreaded application. Some threads can perform blocking system calls, while others continue executing. Some operating systems provide nonblocking I/O system calls. A nonblocking call does not halt the execution of the thread for an extended time. Instead, it returns quickly, with a return value that indicates how many bytes were transferred.

An alternative to a nonblocking system call is an asynchronous system call. An asynchronous call returns immediately, without waiting for the I/O to complete. The thread continues to execute its code. The completion of the I/O at some future time is communicated to the thread, either through the setting of some variable in the address space of the thread or through the triggering of a signal or software interrupt or a call-back routine that is executed outside the linear control flow of the thread. The difference between nonblocking and asynchronous system calls is that a nonblocking `read()` returns immediately with whatever data are available—the full number of bytes requested, fewer, or none at all. An asynchronous `read()` call requests a transfer that will be performed in its entirety but will complete at some future time. These two I/O methods are shown in the animation below.





Animation content:

Step 1: In synchronous I/O, a thread starts an I/O request and the request is sent to the I/O device where it is processed. Step 2: The I/O request call is synchronous, so the thread waits during the processing, until the processing is finished and the system call returns. Step 3: An alternative is asynchronous I/O. Initially the calling thread also starts a request. Step 4: This time, the system call returns after the I/O request is queued. The thread is able to continue processing while the I/O transfer occurs. Step 5: When the I/O completes, the requesting process is signaled.

Animation captions:

1. In synchronous I/O, a thread starts an I/O request and the request is sent to the I/O device where it is processed.
2. The I/O request call is synchronous, so the thread waits during the processing, until the processing is finished and the system call returns.
3. An alternative is asynchronous I/O. Initially the calling thread also starts a request.
4. This time, the system call returns after the I/O request is queued. The thread is able to continue processing while the I/O transfer occurs.
5. When the I/O completes, the requesting process is signaled.

Asynchronous activities occur throughout modern operating systems. Frequently, they are not exposed to users or applications but rather are contained within the operating-system operation. Secondary storage device and network I/O are useful examples. By default, when an application issues a network send request or a storage device write request, the operating system notes the request, buffers the I/O, and returns to the application. When possible, to optimize overall system performance, the operating system completes the request. If a system failure occurs in the interim, the application will lose any "in-flight" requests.⁴ Therefore, operating systems usually put a limit on how long they will buffer a request. Some versions of UNIX flush their secondary storage buffers every 30 seconds, for example, or each request is flushed within 30 seconds of its occurrence. Systems provide a way to allow applications to request a flush of some buffers (like secondary storage buffers) so the data can be forced to secondary storage without waiting for the buffer flush interval. Data consistency within applications is maintained by the kernel, which reads data from its buffers before issuing I/O requests to devices, ensuring that data not yet written are nevertheless returned to a requesting

reader. Note that multiple threads performing I/O to the same file might not receive consistent data, depending on how the kernel implements its I/O. In this situation, the threads may need to use locking protocols. Some I/O requests need to be performed immediately, so I/O system calls usually have a way to indicate that a given request, or I/O to a specific device, should be performed synchronously.

A good example of nonblocking behavior is the `select()` system call for network sockets. This system call takes an argument that specifies a maximum waiting time. By setting it to 0, a thread can poll for network activity without blocking. But using `select()` introduces extra overhead, because the `select()` call only checks whether I/O is possible. For a data transfer, `select()` must be followed by some kind of `read()` or `write()` command. A variation on this approach, found in Mach, is a blocking multiple-read call. It specifies desired reads for several devices in one system call and returns as soon as any one of them completes.

Vectored I/O

Some operating systems provide another major variation of I/O via their application interfaces. **Vectored I/O** allows one system call to perform multiple I/O operations involving multiple locations. For example, the UNIX `readv` system call accepts a vector of multiple buffers and either reads from a source to that vector or writes from that vector to a destination. The same transfer could be caused by several individual invocations of system calls, but this **scatter-gather** method is useful for a variety of reasons.

Multiple separate buffers can have their contents transferred via one system call, avoiding context-switching and system-call overhead. Without vectored I/O, the data might first need to be transferred to a larger buffer in the right order and then transmitted, which is inefficient. In addition, some versions of scatter-gather provide atomicity, assuring that all the I/O is done without interruption (and avoiding corruption of data if other threads are also performing I/O involving those buffers). When possible, programmers make use of scatter-gather I/O features to increase throughput and decrease system overhead.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.3.2: Section review questions.



1) A character-stream device ____.



- transfers data in blocks of bytes
- transfers data a byte at a time
- is a device such as a disk drive

2) Which of the following is true of a blocking system call for performing I/O?



- The process continues to
- execute other code when the call is issued.
- The call returns immediately
- without waiting for the I/O to complete.
- The process is suspended when
- the call is issued until the I/O operation is completed.

Section glossary

escape: Generally, a method of passing arbitrary commands or information when an interface does not provide a standard method.

back door: A daemon left behind after a successful attack to allow continued access by the attacker. In cryptography, a method of gaining access to encrypted information without first having the secret keys. More generally, a method of passing arbitrary commands or information when an interface does not provide a standard method.

block-device interface: The interface for I/O to block devices.

raw I/O: Direct access to a secondary storage device as an array of blocks with no file system.

direct I/O: Block I/O that bypasses operating-system block features such as buffering and locking.

character-stream interface: The interface for I/O to character devices (like keyboards).

socket: An endpoint for communication. An interface for network I/O.

programmable interval timer: A hardware timer provided by many CPUs.

high-performance event timer: A hardware timer provided by some CPUs.

network time protocol: A network protocol for synchronizing system clocks.

blocking: In interprocess communication, a mode of communication in which the sending process is blocked until the message is received by the receiving process or by a mailbox and the receiver blocks until a message is available. In I/O, a request that does not return until the I/O completes.

nonblocking: A type of I/O request that allows the initiating thread to continue while the I/O operation executes. In interprocess communication, a communication mode in which the sending process sends the message and resumes operation and the receiver process retrieves either a valid message or a null if no message is available. In I/O, a request that returns whatever data is currently available, even if it is less than requested.

Vectored I/O: An I/O method in which multiple sources or destinations of I/O are specified in one command structure.

scatter-gather: An I/O method in which multiple sources or destinations of I/O are specified in one command structure.

12.4 Kernel I/O subsystem

Kernels provide many services related to I/O. Several services—scheduling, buffering, caching, spooling, device reservation, and error handling—are provided by the kernel's I/O subsystem and build on the hardware and device-driver infrastructure. The I/O subsystem is also responsible for protecting itself from errant processes and malicious users.


I/O scheduling

To schedule a set of I/O requests means to determine a good order in which to execute them. The order in which applications issue system calls rarely is the best choice. Scheduling can improve overall system performance, can share device access fairly among processes, and can reduce the average waiting time for I/O to complete. Here is a simple example to illustrate. Suppose that a disk arm is near the beginning of a disk and that three applications issue blocking read calls to that disk. Application 1 requests a block near the end of the disk, application 2 requests one near the beginning, and application 3 requests one in the middle of the disk. The operating system can reduce the distance that the disk arm travels by serving the applications in the order 2, 3, 1. Rearranging the order of service in this way is the essence of I/O scheduling.

Operating-system developers implement scheduling by maintaining a wait queue of requests for each device. When an application issues a blocking I/O system call, the request is placed on the queue for that device. The I/O scheduler rearranges the order of the queue to improve the overall system efficiency and the average response time experienced by applications. The operating system may also try to be fair, so that no one application receives especially poor service, or it may give priority service for delay-sensitive requests. For instance, requests from the virtual memory subsystem may take priority over application requests. Several scheduling algorithms for disk I/O were detailed in Section [11.2](#).

When a kernel supports asynchronous I/O, it must be able to keep track of many I/O requests at the same time. For this purpose, the operating system might attach the wait queue to a **device-status table**. The kernel manages this table, which contains an entry for each I/O device, as shown in Figure [12.4.1](#). Each table entry indicates the device's type, address, and state (not functioning, idle, or busy). If the device is busy with a request, the type of request and other parameters will be stored in the table entry for that device.

Figure 12.4.1: Device-status table.



©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Scheduling I/O operations is one way in which the I/O subsystem improves the efficiency of the computer. Another way is by using storage space in main memory or elsewhere in the storage hierarchy via buffering, caching, and spooling.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.4.1: Mid-section review question.



1) I/O scheduling _____ .



- will order I/O operations in the
- same order the system calls were issued
 - will rearrange the order of I/O requests
 - will not prioritize I/O requests

Buffering

A **buffer**, of course, is a memory area that stores data being transferred between two devices or between a device and an application. Buffering is done for three reasons. One reason is to cope with a speed mismatch between the producer and consumer of a data stream. Suppose, for example, that a file is being received via Internet for storage on an SSD. The network speed may be a thousand times slower than the drive. So a buffer is created in main memory to accumulate the bytes received from the network. When an entire buffer of data has arrived, the buffer can be written to the drive in a single operation. Since the drive write is not instantaneous and the network interface still needs a place to store additional incoming data, two buffers are used. After the network fills the first buffer, the drive write is requested. The network then starts to fill the second buffer while the first buffer is written to storage. By the time the network has filled the second buffer, the drive write from the first one should have completed, so the network can switch back to the first buffer


while the drive writes the second one. This **double buffering** decouples the producer of data from the consumer, thus relaxing timing requirements between them. The need for this decoupling is illustrated in Figure 12.4.2, which lists the enormous differences in device speeds for typical computer hardware and interfaces.

Figure 12.4.2: Common PC and data-center I/O device with interface speeds.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larreeha

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



A second use of buffering is to provide adaptations for devices that have different data-transfer sizes. Such disparities are especially common in computer networking, where buffers are used widely for fragmentation and reassembly of messages. At the sending side, a large message is fragmented into small network packets. The packets are sent over the network, and the receiving side places them in a reassembly buffer to form an image of the source data.

A third use of buffering is to support copy semantics for application I/O. An example will clarify the meaning of "copy semantics." Suppose that an application has a buffer of data that it wishes to write to disk. It calls the `write()` system call, providing a pointer to the buffer and an integer specifying the number of bytes to write. After the system call returns, what happens if the application changes the contents of the buffer? With **copy semantics**, the version of the data written to disk is guaranteed to be the version at the time of the application system call, independent of any subsequent changes in the application's buffer. A simple way in which the operating system can guarantee copy semantics is for the `write()` system call to copy the application data into a kernel buffer before returning control to the application. The disk write is performed from the kernel buffer, so that subsequent changes to the application buffer have no effect. Copying of data between kernel buffers and application data space is common in operating systems, despite the overhead that this operation introduces, because of the clean semantics. The same effect can be obtained more efficiently by clever use of virtual memory mapping and copy-on-write page protection.

**PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY**

12.4.2: Mid-section review question.



1) Which of the following is not considered one of the reasons for I/O buffering?

- To mitigate mismatch in I/O
- speed between communicating devices.
- To compensate for insufficient main memory in a computer system.
- To adapt for devices that have different data transfer sizes.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Caching

A **cache** is a region of fast memory that holds copies of data. Access to the cached copy is more efficient than access to the original. For instance, the instructions of the currently running process are stored on disk, cached in physical memory, and copied again in the CPU's secondary and primary caches. The difference between a buffer and a cache is that a buffer may hold the only existing copy of a data item, whereas a cache, by definition, holds a copy on faster storage of an item that resides elsewhere.

Caching and buffering are distinct functions, but sometimes a region of memory can be used for both purposes. For instance, to preserve copy semantics and to enable efficient scheduling of disk I/O, the operating system uses buffers in main memory to hold disk data. These buffers are also used as a cache, to improve the I/O efficiency for files that are shared by applications or that are being written and reread rapidly. When the kernel receives a file I/O request, the kernel first accesses the buffer cache to see whether that region of the file is already available in main memory. If it is, a physical disk I/O can be avoided or deferred. Also, disk writes are accumulated in the buffer cache for several seconds, so that large transfers are gathered to allow efficient write schedules. This strategy of delaying writes to improve I/O efficiency is discussed, in the context of remote file access, in [19.6](#).

Spooling and device reservation

A **spool** is a buffer that holds output for a device, such as a printer, that cannot accept interleaved data streams. Although a printer can serve only one job at a time, several applications may wish to print their output concurrently, without having their output mixed together. The operating system solves this problem by intercepting all output to the printer. Each application's output is spooled to a separate secondary storage file. When an application finishes printing, the spooling system queues the corresponding spool file for output to the printer. The spooling system copies the queued spool files to the printer one at a time. In some operating systems, spooling is managed by a system daemon process. In others, it is handled by an in-kernel thread. In either case, the operating system provides a control interface that enables users and system administrators to display the queue, remove unwanted jobs before those jobs print, suspend printing while the printer is serviced, and so on.

Some devices, such as tape drives and printers, cannot usefully multiplex the I/O requests of multiple concurrent applications. Spooling is one way operating systems can coordinate concurrent output. Another

way to deal with concurrent device access is to provide explicit facilities for coordination. Some operating systems (including VMS) provide support for exclusive device access by enabling a process to allocate an idle device and to deallocate that device when it is no longer needed. Other operating systems enforce a limit of one open file handle to such a device. Many operating systems provide functions that enable processes to coordinate exclusive access among themselves. For instance, Windows provides system calls to wait until a device object becomes available. It also has a parameter to the `OpenFile()` system call that declares the types of access to be permitted to other concurrent threads. On these systems, it is up to the applications to avoid deadlock.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Error handling

An operating system that uses protected memory can guard against many kinds of hardware and application errors, so that a complete system failure is not the usual result of each minor mechanical malfunction. Devices and I/O transfers can fail in many ways, either for transient reasons, as when a network becomes overloaded, or for "permanent" reasons, as when a disk controller becomes defective. Operating systems can often compensate effectively for transient failures. For instance, a disk `read()` failure results in a `read()` retry, and a network `send()` error results in a `resend()`, if the protocol so specifies. Unfortunately, if an important component experiences a permanent failure, the operating system is unlikely to recover.

As a general rule, an I/O system call will return one bit of information about the status of the call, signifying either success or failure. In the UNIX operating system, an additional integer variable named `errno` is used to return an error code—one of about a hundred values—indicating the general nature of the failure (for example, argument out of range, bad pointer, or file not open). By contrast, some hardware can provide highly detailed error information, although many current operating systems are not designed to convey this information to the application. For instance, a failure of a SCSI device is reported by the SCSI protocol in three levels of detail: a **sense key** that identifies the general nature of the failure, such as a hardware error or an illegal request; an **additional sense code** that states the category of failure, such as a bad command parameter or a self-test failure; and an **additional sense-code qualifier** that gives even more detail, such as which command parameter was in error or which hardware subsystem failed its self-test. Further, many SCSI devices maintain internal pages of error-log information that can be requested by the host—but seldom are.

I/O protection

Errors are closely related to the issue of protection. A user process may accidentally or purposely attempt to disrupt the normal operation of a system by attempting to issue illegal I/O instructions. We can use various mechanisms to ensure that such disruptions cannot take place in the system.

To prevent users from performing illegal I/O, we define all I/O instructions to be privileged instructions. Thus, users cannot issue I/O instructions directly; they must do it through the operating system. To do I/O, a user program executes a system call to request that the operating system perform I/O on its behalf (animation below). The operating system, executing in monitor mode, checks that the request is valid and, if it is, does the I/O requested. The operating system then returns to the user.


©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.4.3: Use of a system call to perform I/O.





©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Animation content:

Step 1: A system call is executed by a thread in a process in user land. Step 2: Upon request completion, the routine returns from the system call, transferring control to the calling thread for continued operation. Step 3: The routine checks arguments for correctness and then executes the request. Step 4: The system call causes a trap into the kernel, where the system call number is used to dispatch the call to the correct kernel routine.

Animation captions:

1. A system call is executed by a thread in a process in user land.
2. Upon request completion, the routine returns from the system call, transferring control to the calling thread for continued operation.
3. The routine checks arguments for correctness and then executes the request.
4. The system call causes a trap into the kernel, where the system call number is used to dispatch the call to the correct kernel routine.

In addition, any memory-mapped and I/O port memory locations must be protected from user access by the memory-protection system. Note that a kernel cannot simply deny all user access. Most graphics games and video editing and playback software need direct access to memory-mapped graphics controller memory to speed the performance of the graphics, for example. The kernel might in this case provide a locking mechanism to allow a section of graphics memory (representing a window on screen) to be allocated to one process at a time.

Kernel data structures

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

The kernel needs to keep state information about the use of I/O components. It does so through a variety of in-kernel data structures, such as the open-file table structure discussed in Section 14.1. The kernel uses many similar structures to track network connections, character-device communications, and other I/O activities.

UNIX provides file-system access to a variety of entities, such as user files, raw devices, and the address spaces of processes. Although each of these entities supports a `read()` operation, the semantics differ. For instance, to read a user file, the kernel needs to probe the buffer cache before deciding whether to perform a


disk I/O. To read a raw disk, the kernel needs to ensure that the request size is a multiple of the disk sector size and is aligned on a sector boundary. To read a process image, it is merely necessary to copy data from memory. UNIX encapsulates these differences within a uniform structure by using an object-oriented technique. The open-file record, shown in Figure 12.4.3, contains a dispatch table that holds pointers to the appropriate routines, depending on the type of file.

Figure 12.4.3: UNIX I/O kernel structure.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



Some operating systems use object-oriented methods even more extensively. For instance, Windows uses a message-passing implementation for I/O. An I/O request is converted into a message that is sent through the kernel to the I/O manager and then to the device driver, each of which may change the message contents. For output, the message contains the data to be written. For input, the message contains a buffer to receive the data. The message-passing approach can add overhead, by comparison with procedural techniques that use shared data structures, but it simplifies the structure and design of the I/O system and adds flexibility.

Power management

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Computers residing in data centers may seem far removed from issues of power use, but as power costs increase and the world becomes increasingly troubled about the long-term effects of greenhouse gas emissions, data centers have become a cause for concern and a target for increased efficiencies. Electricity use generates heat, and computer components can fail due to high temperatures, so cooling is part of the equation as well. Consider that cooling a modern data center may use twice as much electricity as powering the equipment does. Many approaches to data-center power optimization are in use, ranging from

interchanging data-center air without side air, chilling with natural sources such as lake water, and solar panels.

Operating systems play a role in power use (and therefore heat generation and cooling). In cloud computing environments, processing loads can be adjusted by monitoring and management tools to evacuate all user processes from systems, idling those systems and powering them off until the load requires their use. An operating system could analyze its load and, if sufficiently low and hardware-enabled, power off components such as CPUs and external I/O devices.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

CPU cores can be suspended when the system load does not require them and resumed when the load increases and more cores are needed to run the queue of threads. Their state, of course, needs to be saved on suspend and restored on resume. This feature is needed in servers because a data center full of servers can use vast amounts of electricity, and disabling unneeded cores can decrease electricity (and cooling) needs.

In mobile computing, power management becomes a high-priority aspect of the operating system. Minimizing power use and therefore maximizing battery life increases the usability of a device and helps it compete with alternative devices. Today's mobile devices offer the functionality of yesterday's high-end desktop, yet are powered by batteries and are small enough to fit in your pocket. In order to provide satisfactory battery life, modern mobile operating systems are designed from the ground up with power management as a key feature. Let's examine in detail three major features that enable the popular Android mobile system to maximize battery life: power collapse, component-level power management, and wakelocks.

Power collapse is the ability to put a device into a very deep sleep state. The device uses only marginally more power than if it were fully powered off, yet it is still able to respond to external stimuli, such as the user pressing a button, at which time it quickly powers back on. Power collapse is achieved by powering off many of the individual components within a device—such as the screen, speakers, and I/O subsystem—so that they consume no power. The operating system then places the CPU in its lowest sleep state. A modern ARM CPU might consume hundreds of milliwatts per core under typical load yet only a handful of milliwatts in its lowest sleep state. In such a state, although the CPU is idle, it can receive an interrupt, wake up, and resume its previous activity very quickly. Thus, an idle Android phone in your pocket uses very little power, but it can spring to life when it receives a phone call.

How is Android able to turn off the individual components of a phone? How does it know when it is safe to power off the flash storage, and how does it know to do that before powering down the overall I/O subsystem? The answer is component-level power management, which is an infrastructure that understands the relationship between components and whether each component is in use. To understand the relationship between components, Android builds a device tree that represents the phone's physical-device topology. For example, in such a topology, flash and USB storage would be sub-nodes of the I/O subsystem, which is a sub-node of the system bus, which in turn connects to the CPU. To understand usage, each component is associated with its device driver, and the driver tracks whether the component is in use—for example, if there is I/O pending to flash or if an application has an open reference to the audio subsystem. With this information, Android can manage the power of the phone's individual components: If a component is unused, it is turned off. If all of the components on, say, the system bus are unused, the system bus is turned off. And if all of the components in the entire device tree are unused, the system may enter power collapse.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

With these technologies, Android can aggressively manage its power consumption. But a final piece of the solution is missing: the ability for applications to temporarily prevent the system from entering power collapse. Consider a user playing a game, watching a video, or waiting for a web page to open. In all of these cases, the application needs a way to keep the device awake, at least temporarily. Wakelocks enable this functionality. Applications acquire and release wakelocks as needed. While an application holds a wakelock, the kernel will

prevent the system from entering power collapse. For example, while the Android Market is updating an application, it will hold a wakelock to ensure that the system does not go to sleep until the update is complete. Once complete, the Android Market will release the wakelock, allowing the system to enter power collapse.

Power management in general is based on device management, which is more complicated than we have so far portrayed it. At boot time, the firmware system analyzes the system hardware and creates a device tree in RAM. The kernel then uses that device tree to load device drivers and manage devices. Many additional activities pertaining to devices must be managed, though, including addition and subtraction of devices from a running system ("hot-plug"), understanding and changing device states, and power management. Modern general-purpose computers use another set of firmware code, **advanced configuration and power interface** (ACPI), to manage these aspects of hardware. ACPI is an industry standard with many features. It provides code that runs as routines callable by the kernel for device state discovery and management, device error management, and power management. For example, when the kernel needs to quiesce a device, it calls the device driver, which calls the ACPI routines, which then talk to the device.

Kernel I/O subsystem summary

In summary, the I/O subsystem coordinates an extensive collection of services that are available to applications and to other parts of the kernel. The I/O subsystem supervises these procedures:

- Management of the name space for files and devices
- Access control to files and devices
- Operation control (for example, a modem cannot `seek()`)
- File-system space allocation
- Device allocation
- Buffering, caching, and spooling
- I/O scheduling
- Device-status monitoring, error handling, and failure recovery
- Device-driver configuration and initialization
- Power management of I/O devices

The upper levels of the I/O subsystem access devices via the uniform interface provided by the device drivers.

PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY

12.4.4: Section review questions.



- 1) Power collapse places a device into a deep sleep state _____.
 but maintains the CPU in no sleep state
 once a device is powered down by powering off different hardware components of a device such as the screen and I/O devices
- 2) Although caching and buffering are distinct functions, sometimes a region



©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



of memory can be used for both purposes.

- True
- False

Section glossary

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

device-status table: A kernel data structure for tracking the status and queues of operations for devices.

buffer: A memory area that stores data being transferred (e.g., between two devices or between a device and a process).

double buffering: The copying of data twice (e.g., from a device to the kernel and then from the kernel to a process's address space), or the use of two buffers to decouple producers and consumers.

copy semantics: The meaning assigned to data copying—e.g., whether a block write from a process allows the data to be modified after the write has been requested.

cache: A temporary copy of data stored in a reserved memory area to improve performance. In the slab allocator, a cache consists of two or more slabs.

spool: A buffer that holds output for a device (such as a printer) that cannot accept interleaved data streams.

sense key: In the SCSI protocol, information in the status register indicating an error.

advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI): Firmware common to PCs and servers that manages certain aspects of hardware, including power and device information.

12.5 Transforming I/O requests to hardware operations

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Earlier, we described the handshaking between a device driver and a device controller, but we did not explain how the operating system connects an application request to a set of network wires or to a specific disk sector. Consider, for example, reading a file from disk. The application refers to the data by a file name. Within a disk, the file system maps from the file name through the file-system directories to obtain the space allocation of the file. For instance, in MS-DOS for FAT (a relatively simple operating and file system still used today as a common interchange format), the name maps to a number that indicates an entry in the file-access table, and that table entry tells which disk blocks are allocated to the file. In UNIX, the name maps to an inode

number, and the corresponding inode contains the space-allocation information. But how is the connection made from the file name to the disk controller (the hardware port address or the memory-mapped controller registers)?

One method is that used by MS-DOS for FAT, mentioned above. The first part of an MS-DOS file name, preceding the colon, is a string that identifies a specific hardware device. For example, **c:** is the first part of every file name on the primary hard disk. The fact that **c:** represents the primary hard disk is built into the operating system; **c:** is mapped to a specific port address through a device table. Because of the colon separator, the device name space is separate from the file-system name space. This separation makes it easy for the operating system to associate extra functionality with each device. For instance, it is easy to invoke spooling on any files written to the printer.

If, instead, the device name space is incorporated in the regular file-system name space, as it is in UNIX, the normal file-system name services are provided automatically. If the file system provides ownership and access control to all file names, then devices have owners and access control. Since files are stored on devices, such an interface provides access to the I/O system at two levels. Names can be used to access the devices themselves or to access the files stored on the devices.


UNIX represents device names in the regular file-system name space. Unlike an MS-DOS FAT file name, which has a colon separator, a UNIX path name has no clear separation of the device portion. In fact, no part of the path name is the name of a device. UNIX has a **mount table** that associates prefixes of path names with specific device names. To resolve a path name, UNIX looks up the name in the mount table to find the longest matching prefix; the corresponding entry in the mount table gives the device name. This device name also has the form of a name in the file-system name space. When UNIX looks up this name in the file-system directory structures, it finds not an inode number but a **<major, minor>** device number. The major device number identifies a device driver that should be called to handle I/O to this device. The minor device number is passed to the device driver to index into a device table. The corresponding device-table entry gives the port address or the memory-mapped address of the device controller.

Modern operating systems gain significant flexibility from the multiple stages of lookup tables in the path between a request and a physical device controller. The mechanisms that pass requests between applications and drivers are general. Thus, we can introduce new devices and drivers into a computer without recompiling the kernel. In fact, some operating systems have the ability to load device drivers on demand. At boot time, the system first probes the hardware buses to determine what devices are present. It then loads the necessary drivers, either immediately or when first required by an I/O request. Devices added after boot can be detected by the error they cause (interrupt-generated with no associated interrupt handler, for example), which can prompt the kernel to inspect the device details and load an appropriate device driver dynamically. Of course, dynamic loading (and unloading) is more complicated than static loading, requiring more complex kernel algorithms, device-structure locking, error handling, and so forth.

We next describe the typical life cycle of a blocking read request, as depicted in the animation below. The figure suggests that an I/O operation requires a great many steps that together consume a tremendous number of CPU cycles.

1. A process issues a blocking **read()** system call to a file descriptor of a file that has been opened previously.
2. The system-call code in the kernel checks the parameters for correctness. In the case of input, if the data are already available in the buffer cache, the data are returned to the process, and the I/O request is completed.

3. Otherwise, a physical I/O must be performed. The process is removed from the run queue and is placed on the wait queue for the device, and the I/O request is scheduled. Eventually, the I/O subsystem sends the request to the device driver. Depending on the operating system, the request is sent via a subroutine call or an in-kernel message.
4. The device driver allocates kernel buffer space to receive the data and schedules the I/O. Eventually, the driver sends commands to the device controller by writing into the device-control registers.
5. The device controller operates the device hardware to perform the data transfer.
6. The driver may poll for status and data, or it may have set up a DMA transfer into kernel memory. We assume that the transfer is managed by a DMA controller, which generates an interrupt when the transfer completes.
7. The correct interrupt handler receives the interrupt via the interrupt-vector table, stores any necessary data, signals the device driver, and returns from the interrupt.
8. The device driver receives the signal, determines which I/O request has completed, determines the request's status, and signals the kernel I/O subsystem that the request has been completed.
9. The kernel transfers data or return codes to the address space of the requesting process and moves the process from the wait queue back to the ready queue.
10. Moving the process to the ready queue unblocks the process. When the scheduler assigns the process to the CPU, the process resumes execution at the completion of the system call.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY
12.5.1: The life cycle of an I/O request.


Animation content:

Step 1: I/O request via a system call causes the kernel I/O subsystem to determine whether it can already be satisfied - for example, a read request where the data is already in a buffer. Step 2: If yes, shortcut to process the data to return to the caller, return from system call. If no, send request to appropriate device driver. Step 3: Device driver processes request, sends commands to device controller where they are executed. Step 4: Controller completes I/O (perhaps retrying on error until successful, or a hard error can't be recovered), generates interrupt. Step 5: Interrupt handled, on reads data now in buffers, on writes can clear buffers, reset I/O device, and return from system call.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Animation captions:

1. I/O request via a system call causes the kernel I/O subsystem to determine whether it can already be satisfied - for example, a read request where the data is already in a buffer.
2. If yes, shortcut to process the data to return to the caller, return from system call. If no, send request to appropriate device driver.
3. Device driver processes request, sends commands to device controller where they are executed.
4. Controller completes I/O (perhaps retrying on error until successful, or a hard error can't be recovered), generates interrupt.
5. Interrupt handled, on reads data now in buffers, on writes can clear buffers, reset I/O device, and return from system call.

PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY

12.5.2: Section review questions.



- 1) On UNIX systems, a(n) _____ associates prefixes of path names with specific device names.

- inode
- major device number
- mount table



- 2) On a read operation, the device driver first checks if the data is already available in the buffer cache.

- True
- False



©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Section glossary


mount table: An in-memory data structure containing information about each mounted volume. It tracks file systems and how they are accessed.

12.6 Streams

UNIX System V (and many subsequent UNIX releases) has an interesting mechanism, called **STREAMS**, that enables an application to assemble pipelines of driver code dynamically. A stream is a full-duplex connection between a device driver and a user-level process. It consists of a **stream head** that interfaces with the user process, a **driver end** that controls the device, and zero or more **stream modules** between the stream head and the driver end. Each of these components contains a pair of queues—a read queue and a write queue.

Message passing is used to transfer data between queues. The STREAMS structure is shown in Figure 12.6.1.

Figure 12.6.1: The STREAMS structure.



Modules provide the functionality of STREAMS processing; they are **pushed** onto a stream by use of the `ioctl()` system call. For example, a process can open a USB device (like a keyboard) via a stream and can push on a module to handle input editing. Because messages are exchanged between queues in adjacent modules, a queue in one module may overflow an adjacent queue. To prevent this from occurring, a queue may support **flow control**. Without flow control, a queue accepts all messages and immediately sends them

on to the queue in the adjacent module without buffering them. A queue that supports flow control buffers messages and does not accept messages without sufficient buffer space. This process involves exchanges of control messages between queues in adjacent modules.

A user process writes data to a device using either the `write()` or `putmsg()` system call. The `write()` system call writes raw data to the stream, whereas `putmsg()` allows the user process to specify a message. Regardless of the system call used by the user process, the stream head copies the data into a message and delivers it to the queue for the next module in line. This copying of messages continues until the message is copied to the driver end and hence the device. Similarly, the user process reads data from the stream head using either the `read()` or `getmsg()` system call. If `read()` is used, the stream head gets a message from its adjacent queue and returns ordinary data (an unstructured byte stream) to the process. If `getmsg()` is used, a message is returned to the process.

STREAMS I/O is asynchronous (or nonblocking) except when the user process communicates with the stream head. When writing to the stream, the user process will block, assuming the next queue uses flow control, until there is room to copy the message. Likewise, the user process will block when reading from the stream until data are available.

As mentioned, the driver end—like the stream head and modules—has a read and write queue. However, the driver end must respond to interrupts, such as one triggered when a frame is ready to be read from a network. Unlike the stream head, which may block if it is unable to copy a message to the next queue in line, the driver end must handle all incoming data. Drivers must support flow control as well. However, if a device's buffer is full, the device typically resorts to dropping incoming messages. Consider a network card whose input buffer is full. The network card must simply drop further messages until there is enough buffer space to store incoming messages.

The benefit of using STREAMS is that it provides a framework for a modular and incremental approach to writing device drivers and network protocols. Modules may be used by different streams and hence by different devices. For example, a networking module may be used by both an Ethernet network card and a 802.11 wireless network card. Furthermore, rather than treating character-device I/O as an unstructured byte stream, STREAMS allows support for message boundaries and control information when communicating between modules. Most UNIX variants support STREAMS, and it is the preferred method for writing protocols and device drivers. For example, System V UNIX and Solaris implement the socket mechanism using STREAMS.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY**12.6.1: Section review questions.**

- 1) STREAMS I/O is asynchronous except when communicating with the stream head.

- True
- False



- 2) Which of the following is a benefit of using STREAMS?

- A module can be used by more than one device.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024



- STREAMS I/O is synchronous.
- number of modules in STREAMS is constant.

Section glossary

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor L. Cheeza

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

STREAMS: A UNIX I/O feature allowing the dynamic assembly of pipelines of driver code.

stream head: The interface between STREAMS and user processes.

driver end: The interface between STREAMS and the device being controlled.

stream modules: In STREAMS, modules of functionality loadable into a STREAM.

flow control: Generally, a method to pause a sender of I/O. In networking, a technique to limit the rate of data flow (e.g., to avoid buffer overflow and packet loss on a router).

12.7 Performance

I/O is a major factor in system performance. It places heavy demands on the CPU to execute device-driver code and to schedule processes fairly and efficiently as they block and unblock. The resulting context switches stress the CPU and its hardware caches. I/O also exposes any inefficiencies in the interrupt-handling mechanisms in the kernel. In addition, I/O loads down the memory bus during data copies between controllers and physical memory and again during copies between kernel buffers and application data space. Coping gracefully with all these demands is one of the major concerns of a computer architect.


Although modern computers can handle many thousands of interrupts per second, interrupt handling is a relatively expensive task. Each interrupt causes the system to perform a state change, to execute the interrupt handler, and then to restore state. Programmed I/O can be more efficient than interrupt-driven I/O, if the number of cycles spent in busy waiting is not excessive. An I/O completion typically unblocks a process, leading to the full overhead of a context switch.

Network traffic can also cause a high context-switch rate. Consider, for instance, a remote login from one machine to another. Each character typed on the local machine must be transported to the remote machine. On the local machine, the character is typed; a keyboard interrupt is generated; and the character is passed through the interrupt handler to the device driver, to the kernel, and then to the user process. The user process issues a network I/O system call to send the character to the remote machine. The character then flows into the local kernel, through the network layers that construct a network packet, and into the network device driver. The network device driver transfers the packet to the network controller, which sends the character and generates an interrupt. The interrupt is passed back up through the kernel to cause the network I/O system call to complete.

Now, the remote system's network hardware receives the packet, and an interrupt is generated. The character is unpacked from the network protocols and is given to the appropriate network daemon. The network daemon identifies which remote login session is involved and passes the packet to the appropriate subdaemon for that session. Throughout this flow, there are context switches and state switches (animation below). Usually, the receiver echoes the character back to the sender; that approach doubles the work.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY
12.7.1: Intercomputer communications.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37:16 2462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024


Animation content:

Step 1: The sending system on the left is sending a character, typed on a keyboard, to the receiving user process on the receiving system on the right. Step 2: The character is typed, the keyboard generates an interrupt to alert the CPU, state is saved as the interrupt handler in the kernel is invoked, the interrupt is received, the character passes through the device driver, a context switch occurs to user mode, and a process on the sending system receives the character. Step 3: The process executes a system call which context switches into the kernel, through the device driver, which delivers the character in a packet to the network adapter. Step 4: The adapter accepts the packet, the device driver returns from the system call; the sending system user process continues with its work, having told the system to send the packet. Step 5: The sending network adapter puts the packet into the network and it arrives at the network adapter on the receiving system. Step 6: The receiving network adapter generates an interrupt to alert the system of a received packet, state is saved, the interrupt handled, and the packet delivered to (for example) a network daemon. Step 7: The network daemon uses interprocess communication (generating a system call, context switching into the kernel) to send the packet to the destination user process where the character is extracted and can now be processed.

Animation captions:

1. The sending system on the left is sending a character, typed on a keyboard, to the receiving user process on the receiving system on the right.
2. The character is typed, the keyboard generates an interrupt to alert the CPU, state is saved as the interrupt handler in the kernel is invoked, the interrupt is received, the character passes through the device driver, a context switch occurs to user mode, and a process on the sending system receives the character.
3. The process executes a system call which context switches into the kernel, through the device driver, which delivers the character in a packet to the network adapter.
4. The adapter accepts the packet, the device driver returns from the system call; the sending system user process continues with its work, having told the system to send the packet.
5. The sending network adapter puts the packet into the network and it arrives at the network adapter on the receiving system.
6. The receiving network adapter generates an interrupt to alert the system of a received packet, state is saved, the interrupt handled, and the packet delivered to (for example) a network daemon.
7. The network daemon uses interprocess communication (generating a system call, context switching into the kernel) to send the packet to the destination user process where the character is extracted and can now be processed.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Some systems use separate **front-end processors** for terminal I/O to reduce the interrupt burden on the main CPU. For instance, a **terminal concentrator** can multiplex the traffic from hundreds of remote terminals into one port on a large computer. An **I/O channel** is a dedicated, special-purpose CPU found in mainframes and in other high-end systems. The job of a channel is to offload I/O work from the main CPU. The idea is that the channels keep the data flowing smoothly, while the main CPU remains free to process the data. Like the device controllers and DMA controllers found in smaller computers, a channel can process more general and sophisticated programs, so channels can be tuned for particular workloads.

We can employ several principles to improve the efficiency of I/O:

- Reduce the number of context switches.
- Reduce the number of times that data must be copied in memory while passing between device and application.
- Reduce the frequency of interrupts by using large transfers, smart controllers, and polling (if busy waiting can be minimized).
- Increase concurrency by using DMA-knowledgeable controllers or channels to offload simple data copying from the CPU.
- Move processing primitives into hardware, to allow their operation in device controllers to be concurrent with CPU and bus operation.
- Balance CPU, memory subsystem, bus, and I/O performance, because an overload in any one area will cause idleness in others.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024


I/O devices vary greatly in complexity. For instance, a mouse is simple. The mouse movements and button clicks are converted into numeric values that are passed from hardware, through the mouse device driver, to

the application. By contrast, the functionality provided by the Windows disk device driver is complex. It not only manages individual disks but also implements RAID arrays (Section 11.8). To do so, it converts an application's read or write request into a coordinated set of disk I/O operations. Moreover, it implements sophisticated error-handling and data-recovery algorithms and takes many steps to optimize disk performance.

Where should the I/O functionality be implemented—in the device hardware, in the device driver, or in application software? Sometimes we observe the progression depicted in Figure 12.7.1.

- Initially, we implement experimental I/O algorithms at the application level, because application code is flexible and application bugs are unlikely to cause system crashes. Furthermore, by developing code at the application level, we avoid the need to reboot or reload device drivers after every change to the code. An application-level implementation can be inefficient, however, because of the overhead of context switches and because the application cannot take advantage of internal kernel data structures and kernel functionality (such as efficient in-kernel messaging, threading, and locking). The FUSE system interface, for example, allows file systems to be written and run in user mode.
- When an application-level algorithm has demonstrated its worth, we may reimplement it in the kernel. This can improve performance, but the development effort is more challenging, because an operating-system kernel is a large, complex software system. Moreover, an in-kernel implementation must be thoroughly debugged to avoid data corruption and system crashes.
- The highest performance may be obtained through a specialized implementation in hardware, either in the device or in the controller. The disadvantages of a hardware implementation include the difficulty and expense of making further improvements or of fixing bugs, the increased development time (months rather than days), and the decreased flexibility. For instance, a hardware RAID controller may not provide any means for the kernel to influence the order or location of individual block reads and writes, even if the kernel has special information about the workload that would enable it to improve the I/O performance.

Figure 12.7.1: Device functionality progression.




Over time, as with other aspects of computing, I/O devices have been increasing in speed. Nonvolatile memory devices are growing in popularity and in the variety of devices available. The speed of NVM devices varies from high to extraordinary, with next-generation devices nearing the speed of DRAM. These developments are increasing pressure on I/O subsystems as well as operating system algorithms to take advantage of the read/write speeds now available. Figure 12.7.2 shows CPU and storage devices in two dimensions: capacity and latency of I/O operations. Added to the figure is a representation of networking latency to reveal the performance "tax" networking adds to I/O.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

Figure 12.7.2: I/O performance of storage (and network latency).



PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

12.7.2: Section review questions.



1) Which of the following is a principle that can improve the efficiency of I/O?



- Increase the number of context switches.
- Use small data transfers
- Implement processing in the hardware

2) I/O implementation _____ will provide the greatest performance benefits.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

- at the application level
- in hardware
- in the kernel

Section glossary

front-end processors: Small computers that perform certain tasks in an overall system; used by some systems to manage I/O and offload the general-purpose CPU.

terminal concentrator: A type of front-end processor for terminals.

I/O channel: A dedicated, special-purpose CPU found in large systems like mainframes for performing I/O or offloading the general-purpose CPU.

©zyBooks 10/3/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

12.8 Summary

- The basic hardware elements involved in I/O are buses, device controllers, and the devices themselves.
- The work of moving data between devices and main memory is performed by the CPU as programmed I/O or is offloaded to a DMA controller.
- The kernel module that controls a device is a device driver. The system-call interface provided to applications is designed to handle several basic categories of hardware, including block devices, character-stream devices, memory-mapped files, network sockets, and programmed interval timers. The system calls usually block the processes that issue them, but nonblocking and asynchronous calls are used by the kernel itself and by applications that must not sleep while waiting for an I/O operation to complete.
- The kernel's I/O subsystem provides numerous services. Among these are I/O scheduling, buffering, caching, spooling, device reservation, error handling. Another service, name translation, makes the connections between hardware devices and the symbolic file names used by applications. It involves several levels of mapping that translate from character-string names, to specific device drivers and device addresses, and then to physical addresses of I/O ports or bus controllers. This mapping may occur within the file-system name space, as it does in UNIX, or in a separate device name space, as it does in MS-DOS.
- STREAMS is an implementation and methodology that provides a framework for a modular and incremental approach to writing device drivers and network protocols. Through STREAMS, drivers can be stacked, with data passing through them sequentially and bidirectionally for processing.
- I/O system calls are costly in terms of CPU consumption because of the many layers of software between a physical device and an application. These layers imply overhead from several sources: context switching to cross the kernel's protection boundary, signal and interrupt handling to service the I/O devices, and the load on the CPU and memory system to copy data between kernel buffers and application space.

©zyBooks 10/3/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

12.9 Practice exercises

**EXERCISE**

12.9.1: (Problem 12.1 in the 10th edition).

- (a) State three advantages of placing functionality in a device controller, rather than in the kernel. State three disadvantages.

**EXERCISE**

12.9.2: (Problem 12.2 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

- (a) The example of handshaking in Section [12.2](#) used two bits: a busy bit and a command-ready bit. Is it possible to implement this handshaking with only one bit? If it is, describe the protocol. If it is not, explain why one bit is insufficient.

**EXERCISE**

12.9.3: (Problem 12.3 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Why might a system use interrupt-driven I/O to manage a single serial port and polling I/O to manage a front-end processor, such as a terminal concentrator?

**EXERCISE**

12.9.4: (Problem 12.4 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Polling for an I/O completion can waste a large number of CPU cycles if the processor iterates a busy-waiting loop many times before the I/O completes. But if the I/O device is ready for service, polling can be much more efficient than catching and dispatching an interrupt. Describe a hybrid strategy that combines polling, sleeping, and interrupts for I/O device service. For each of these three strategies (pure polling, pure interrupts, hybrid), describe a computing environment in which that strategy is more efficient than either of the others.

**EXERCISE**

12.9.5: (Problem 12.5 in the 10th edition).

- (a) How does DMA increase system concurrency? How does it complicate hardware design?

**EXERCISE**

12.9.6: (Problem 12.6 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

- (a) Why is it important to scale up system-bus and device speeds as CPU speed increases?

**EXERCISE**

12.9.7: (Problem 12.7 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Distinguish between a driver end and a stream module in a STREAMS operation.

@zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

12.10 Exercises

**EXERCISE**

12.10.1: (Problem 12.8 in the 10th edition).

- (a) When multiple interrupts from different devices appear at about the same time, a priority scheme could be used to determine the order in which the interrupts would be serviced. Discuss what issues need to be considered in assigning priorities to different interrupts.

**EXERCISE**

12.10.2: (Problem 12.9 in the 10th edition).

- (a) What are the advantages and disadvantages of supporting memory-mapped I/O to device-control registers?

**EXERCISE**

12.10.3: (Problem 12.10 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Consider the following I/O scenarios on a single-user PC:
- A mouse used with a graphical user interface
 - A tape drive on a multitasking operating system (with no device preallocation available)
 - A disk drive containing user files
 - A graphics card with direct bus connection, accessible through memory-mapped I/O

For each of these scenarios, would you design the operating system to use buffering, spooling, caching, or a combination? Would you use polled I/O or interrupt-driven I/O? Give reasons for your choices.

@zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

**EXERCISE**

12.10.4: (Problem 12.11 in the 10th edition).

- (a) In most multiprogrammed systems, user programs access memory through virtual addresses, while the operating system uses raw physical addresses to access

memory. What are the implications of this design for the initiation of I/O operations by the user program and their execution by the operating system?

**EXERCISE**

12.10.5: (Problem 12.12 in the 10th edition).

- (a) What are the various kinds of performance overhead associated with servicing an interrupt?

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

**EXERCISE**

12.10.6: (Problem 12.13 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Describe three circumstances under which blocking I/O should be used. Describe three circumstances under which nonblocking I/O should be used. Why not just implement nonblocking I/O and have processes busy-wait until their devices are ready?

**EXERCISE**

12.10.7: (Problem 12.14 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Typically, at the completion of a device I/O, a single interrupt is raised and appropriately handled by the host processor. In certain settings, however, the code that is to be executed at the completion of the I/O can be broken into two separate pieces. The first piece executes immediately after the I/O completes and schedules a second interrupt for the remaining piece of code to be executed at a later time. What is the purpose of using this strategy in the design of interrupt handlers?

**EXERCISE**

12.10.8: (Problem 12.15 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Some DMA controllers support direct virtual memory access, where the targets of I/O operations are specified as virtual addresses and a translation from virtual to physical address is performed during the DMA. How does this design complicate the design of the DMA controller? What are the advantages of providing such functionality?

**EXERCISE**

12.10.9: (Problem 12.16 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462

Taylor Larrechea

COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

- (a) UNIX coordinates the activities of the kernel I/O components by manipulating shared kernel data structures, whereas Windows uses object-oriented message passing between kernel I/O components. Discuss three pros and three cons of each approach.

**EXERCISE**

12.10.10: (Problem 12.17 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Write (in pseudocode) an implementation of virtual clocks, including the queueing and management of timer requests for the kernel and applications. Assume that the hardware provides three timer channels.

**EXERCISE**

12.10.11: (Problem 12.18 in the 10th edition). [?](#)

- (a) Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of guaranteeing reliable transfer of data between modules in the STREAMS abstraction.

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024

12.11 Further reading

[Hennessy and Patterson (2012)] describe multiprocessor systems and cache-consistency issues. [Intel (2011)] is a good source of information for Intel processors.

Details about PCIe can be found at <https://pcisig.com>. For more about ACPI see <http://www.acpi.info>.

The use of FUSE for user-mode file systems can create performance problems. An analysis of those issues can be found in <https://www.usenix.org/conference/fast17/technical-sessions/presentation/vangoor>.

12.12 Bibliography

[Hennessy and Patterson (2012)] J. Hennessy and D. Patterson, *Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach*, Fifth Edition, Morgan Kaufmann (2012).

[Intel (2011)] *Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Combined Volumes: 1, 2A, 2B, 3A and 3B*. Intel Corporation (2011).

©zyBooks 10/03/24 22:37 1692462
Taylor Larrechea
COLORADOCSPB3753KnoxFall2024