Propositional Logic Mastery Workbook

REQUIRED

I have neither given nor received unauthorized assistance

 (10pts) Before anything else, annotate examples 7 and 8 from page 30. You MUST use this EXACT format when showing logical equivalences (and proofs) to get credit for your work in this course.

Solution: We will use one of the equivalences in Table 6 at a time, starting with $\neg (p \lor (\neg p \land q))$ and ending with $\neg p \land \neg q$. (*Note:* we could also easily establish this equivalence using a truth table.) We have the following equivalences.

$$\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q)) \equiv \neg p \land \neg(\neg p \land q)$$
 by the second De Morgan law
$$\equiv \neg p \land [\neg(\neg p) \lor \neg q]$$
 by the first De Morgan law
$$\equiv \neg p \land (p \lor \neg q)$$
 by the double negation law
$$\equiv (\neg p \land p) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$$
 by the second distributive law
$$\equiv \mathbf{F} \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$$
 because $\neg p \land p \equiv \mathbf{F}$ by the commutative law for disjunction
$$\equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$
 by the identity law for \mathbf{F}

Consequently $\neg (p \lor (\neg p \land q))$ and $\neg p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

Show that $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$ is a tautology.

Solution: To show that this statement is a tautology, we will use logical equivalences to demonstrate that it is logically equivalent to **T**. (*Note:* This could also be done using a truth table.)

$$(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q) \equiv \neg (p \land q) \lor (p \lor q) \qquad \text{by Example 3}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor (p \lor q) \qquad \text{by the first De Morgan law}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \lor p) \lor (\neg q \lor q) \qquad \text{by the associative and commutative}$$

$$= \mathbf{T} \lor \mathbf{T} \qquad \qquad \text{by Example 1 and the commutative}$$

$$= \mathbf{T} \qquad \qquad \text{by the domination law}$$

- 2. (25pts) We will prove the first 5 logical equivalences in Chart 7 page 28
 - USE only RESULTS FROM Chart 2 and Chart 6 to justify steps.
 - When making logical chains of equivalences use the REQUIRED format.
 - o Prove these in this order and you may use the results as you go.
 - This first one is what Chris calls RBI and it is essential because it converts a conditional into a disjunction. **Prove this with just a truth table.**

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

• Carefully repeat the proof of the following using the method without a truth table from the video. Take note of what tricks Chris uses - as we will need these as we go. (Challenge - can you prove it another way?)

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

• Third one (hint: RBI works both ways.)

$$p \lor q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

• Fourth one, (think about which rule "flips" conjunctions and disjunctions)

$$p \wedge q \equiv \neg (p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

• Finally, for the fifth one, see if you can do it on your own.

$$\neg(p \to q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

3. (10pts) We can use Table 6 p.27 to prove new "rules." Let's prove a Logic version of the algebrica version FOIL. You will need to use a compound substitution with an equivalence from Table 6 twice. (optional/recommended - create a truth table as well).

$$(a \wedge b) \vee (c \wedge d) \equiv (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee d) \wedge (b \vee d)$$

4. (10 pts) Rosen, page 53. Do #8 as warm-up on your own. Do #9 below. Add notes and insight for full credit.

5. (10pts) #33 section 1.5, page 67 (add insights and comments for full credit).



Use these questions or other notes to help you organize your study and prepare for the exam.

- 1. Which ideas were new to you?
- 2. What questions might make good exam questions?
- 3. What ideas will you want to review later?
- 4. What were some "a-ah" moments, or big ideas you want to remember?