



University of Colorado **Boulder**

Department of Computer Science  
CSCI 2824: Discrete Structures  
Chris Ketelsen

Discrete Probability  
Bayes' Theorem and the Law of Total Probability

# Discrete Probability Theory

---

**Conditional Probability:** The probability that  $E$  occurs given that  $F$  occurred:

$$p(E | F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)}$$

**Product Rule:**  $p(E \cap F) = p(E | F) p(F)$

**Independence:** Events  $A$  and  $B$  are independent if

- $p(A | B) = p(A)$
- $p(B | A) = p(B)$
- $p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B)$

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**Example:** Suppose I have two bags of marbles containing black and white marbles:

	White	Black
Bag 1	6	4
Bag 2	3	7

Now suppose I put the two bags in a box. If I close my eyes, grab a bag from the box, and then grab a marble from the bag, what is the probability that it is black?

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**Example:** Suppose I have two bags of marbles containing black and white marbles:

	White	Black
Bag 1	6	4
Bag 2	3	7

Same scenario as before, but now suppose that the first bag is much larger than the second bag, so that when I reach into the box I'm twice as likely to grab the first bag as the second. What is the probability of grabbing a black marble?

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**The Law of Total Probability:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be events. Then

$$p(A) = p(A \mid B)p(B) + p(A \mid \bar{B})p(\bar{B})$$

**Proof:**

**Remark:** *Incredibly* useful when you don't know  $p(A)$  but you do know  $p(A \mid B)$  (e.g. the prob of drawing a white marble given it's Bag 1)

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**The Generalized LTP:** Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be disjoint events such that  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n = S$ . Then

$$p(A) = p(A | B_1)p(B_1) + p(A | B_2)p(B_2) + \dots + p(A | B_n)p(B_n)$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

Bayes' Thm is one of the most important theorems in probability

Allows us to do interesting thing with conditional probabilities

- Predict probability of disease based on test results
- Do better at old game shows
- Determine whether email is SPAM or not

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**Example:** Suppose I have two bags of marbles containing black and white marbles:

	White	Black
Bag 1	6	4
Bag 2	3	7

Same scenario as before. If I close my eyes, grab a bag from the box, reach into the bag and pull out a white marble. What is the probability that I picked Bag 1?

# The Law of Total Probability

---

**Example:** Suppose I have two bags of marbles containing black and white marbles:

	White	Black
Bag 1	6	4
Bag 2	3	7

Same scenario as before. If I close my eyes, grab a bag from the box, reach into the bag and pull out a white marble. What is the probability that I picked Bag 1?

# Bayes' Theorem

---

This notion of using information (the ball is red) to update our belief about an event (that we drew from Box 1) is the cornerstone of a statistical framework called **Bayesian Reasoning**

The formulas that we derived in the previous example are called Bayes' Theorem

$$p(F | E) = \frac{p(E | F) \cdot p(F)}{p(E)}$$

Or, using the definition of  $p(E)$

$$p(F | E) = \frac{p(E | F) \cdot p(F)}{p(E | F)p(F) + p(E | \bar{F})p(\bar{F})}$$

Let's look at a classic example of Bayes' Theorem

# Bayes' Theorem

---

## Classic Cancer Test Example

Let's assume we know that 1% of people over the age of 40 have cancer

$$p(C) = 0.01$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

## Classic Cancer Test Example

Let's assume we know that 1% of people over the age of 40 have cancer

$$p(C) = 0.01$$

Let's assume that 90% of people who **have cancer** will test positive for cancer in test

$$p(\text{pos} \mid C) = 0.90$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

## Classic Cancer Test Example

Let's assume we know that 1% of people over the age of 40 have cancer

$$p(C) = 0.01$$

Let's assume that 90% of people who **have cancer** will test positive for cancer in test

$$p(\text{pos} \mid C) = 0.90$$

Finally, assume that 8% of people who **do not** have cancer will also test positive

$$p(\text{pos} \mid \bar{C}) = 0.08$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

What is the probability that a person who tests positive for cancer **actually has cancer**? In other words, what is

$$p(C \mid \text{pos})$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

What is the probability that a person who tests positive for cancer **actually has cancer**? In other words, what is

$$p(C \mid \text{pos})$$

Most people will assume that if they get a positive test, then there is a 90% chance that they actually have cancer.

# Bayes' Theorem

---

What is the probability that a person who tests positive for cancer **actually has cancer?** In other words, what is

$$p(C \mid \text{pos})$$

Most people will assume that if they get a positive test, then there is a 90% chance that they actually have cancer.

But this ignores the incredibly important fact that **not many people have cancer!** Remember:

$$p(C) = 0.01$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

Let's do the actual calculation. From Bayes Law, we have

$$p(C | \text{pos}) = \frac{p(\text{pos} | C) p(C)}{p(\text{pos} | C) p(C) + p(\text{pos} | \bar{C}) p(\bar{C})}$$

The only quantity we haven't specified is the probability of not having cancer

$$p(\bar{C}) = 1 - p(C) = 1 - 0.01 = 0.99$$

Plugging everything into Bayes Law gives ...

# Bayes' Theorem

---

Let's do the actual calculation. From Bayes Law, we have

$$p(C \mid \text{pos}) = \frac{0.90 \cdot 0.01}{0.90 \cdot 0.01 + 0.08 \cdot 0.99} = 0.10$$

So even if you test positive for cancer, there is only about a 10% chance that you actually **have** cancer.

# Bayes' Theorem

---

What is the probability that a person who tests negative for cancer is **actually cancer free**? In other words, what is

$$p(\bar{C} \mid \text{neg})$$

From Bayes Law, we have

$$p(\bar{C} \mid \text{neg}) = \frac{p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C}) p(\bar{C})}{p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C}) p(\bar{C}) + p(\text{neg} \mid C) p(C)}$$

We need to compute new quantities  $p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C})$  and  $p(\text{neg} \mid C)$

$$p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C}) = 1 - p(\text{pos} \mid \bar{C}) = 1 - 0.08 = 0.98$$

$$p(\text{neg} \mid C) = 1 - p(\text{pos} \mid C) = 1 - 0.90 = 0.10$$

# Bayes' Theorem

---

What is the probability that a person who tests negative for cancer is **actually cancer free**? In other words, what is

$$p(\bar{C} \mid \text{neg})$$

From Bayes Law, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\bar{C} \mid \text{neg}) &= \frac{p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C}) p(\bar{C})}{p(\text{neg} \mid \bar{C}) p(\bar{C}) + p(\text{neg} \mid C) p(C)} \\ &= \frac{0.98 \cdot 0.99}{0.98 \cdot 0.99 + 0.08 \cdot 0.01} \\ &= 0.99917 \end{aligned}$$

So if you receive a negative test there is a 99.9% chance that you in fact do not have cancer

# Bayes' Theorem

---

Note, we can use Bayes' Theorem in more general cases, for example in the ball-drawing problem when there are more than two boxes. The simpler version of the theorem still holds

$$p(F \mid E) = \frac{p(E \mid F) \cdot p(F)}{p(E)}$$

We just need to compute an alternate definition of  $p(E)$

**Generalized Bayes' Thm:** Suppose that  $E$  is an event from sample space  $S$  and  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  are mutually disjoint events such that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k = S$ . Then

$$p(F_i \mid E) = \frac{p(E \mid F_i) \cdot p(F_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^n p(E \mid F_k) \cdot p(F_k)}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

Bayesian SPAM filters use information about previously seen emails to guess whether an incoming email is SPAM or not

Simple versions of a BSF look for occurrences of particular words in the message that might indicate that the message is SPAM, e.g.

*buy, viagra, money, nigeria*

Suppose you have catalogued a bunch of emails, some of them SPAM and some of them not

Could you estimate the probability that a word  $w$  appears in an email given that you know the email is SPAM?

In other words, could you estimate  $p(w \mid \text{SPAM})$ ?

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

Estimating  $p(w | SPAM)$ :

Simply count the number of SPAM messages that contain word  $w$ , then divide by the total number of SPAM messages

$$p(w | SPAM) = \frac{\text{\# SPAM messages containing } w}{\text{Total \# of SPAM messages}}$$

Similarly, we could estimate the probability that the word  $w$  appears in a not SPAM message (often called a HAM message)

$$p(w | HAM) = \frac{\text{\# HAM messages containing } w}{\text{Total \# of HAM messages}}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, estimate  $p(\text{money} \mid \text{SPAM})$  and  $p(\text{money} \mid \text{HAM})$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, estimate  $p(\text{money} \mid \text{SPAM})$  and  $p(\text{money} \mid \text{HAM})$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<b>money</b>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<b>money</b>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<b>money</b>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

$$p(\text{money} \mid \text{SPAM}) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad p(\text{money} \mid \text{HAM}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

# **Bayesian SPAM Filters**

---

OK, but how does this help us decide if an email is SPAM or HAM?

What quantity do we really want to compute?

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

OK, but how does this help us decide if an email is SPAM or HAM?

What quantity do we really want to compute?

Really, we want  $p(SPAM \mid \text{money})$  and  $p(HAM \mid \text{money})$

This asks the question: What is the probability that this email is SPAM given that I've observed the word money in it?

Fortunately, we can get at these quantities using Bayes' Thm

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

For a general word  $w$  we have

$$p(SPAM \mid w) = \frac{p(w \mid SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(w)}$$

or better yet  $p(SPAM \mid w) =$

$$\frac{p(w \mid SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(w \mid SPAM)p(SPAM) + p(w \mid HAM)p(HAM)}$$

What additional terms in this formula do we need to estimate?

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

For a general word  $w$  we have

$$p(SPAM \mid w) = \frac{p(w \mid SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(w)}$$

or better yet  $p(SPAM \mid w) =$

$$\frac{p(w \mid SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(w \mid SPAM)p(SPAM) + p(w \mid HAM)p(HAM)}$$

What additional terms in this formula do we need to estimate?

We're missing  $p(SPAM)$  and  $p(HAM)$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

How could we estimate  $p(SPAM)$  and  $p(HAM)$ ?

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

How could we estimate  $p(SPAM)$  and  $p(HAM)$ ?

We just divide the number of each type of message in our data set by the total number of messages

$$p(SPAM) = \frac{\text{\# SPAM messages}}{\text{Total \# messages}}$$

$$p(HAM) = \frac{\text{\# HAM messages}}{\text{Total \# messages}}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the same set of messages, estimate  $p(SPAM)$  and  $p(HAM)$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the same set of messages, estimate  $p(SPAM)$  and  $p(HAM)$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

$$p(SPAM) = \frac{3}{5}, \quad p(HAM) = \frac{2}{5}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Now put it all together. Given the following set of messages, estimate  $p(SPAM | \text{money})$  and  $p(HAM | \text{money})$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Now put it all together. Given the following set of messages, estimate  $p(SPAM | \text{money})$  and  $p(HAM | \text{money})$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

$$\begin{aligned} p(SPAM | \text{money}) &= \frac{p(\text{money} | SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(\text{money} | SPAM)p(SPAM) + p(\text{money} | HAM)p(HAM)} \\ &= \frac{2/3 \cdot 3/5}{2/3 \cdot 3/5 + 1/2 \cdot 2/5} = \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Now put it all together. Given the following set of messages, estimate  $p(SPAM | \text{money})$  and  $p(HAM | \text{money})$

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

$$\begin{aligned} p(SPAM | \text{money}) &= \frac{p(\text{money} | SPAM) \cdot p(SPAM)}{p(\text{money} | SPAM)p(SPAM) + p(\text{money} | HAM)p(HAM)} \\ &= \frac{2/3 \cdot 3/5}{2/3 \cdot 3/5 + 1/2 \cdot 2/5} = \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(HAM | \text{money}) &= \frac{p(\text{money} | HAM) \cdot p(HAM)}{p(\text{money} | HAM)p(HAM) + p(\text{money} | SPAM)p(SPAM)} \\ &= \frac{1/2 \cdot 2/5}{1/2 \cdot 2/5 + 2/3 \cdot 3/5} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

OK, we found that

$$p(SPAM \mid \text{money}) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad p(HAM \mid \text{money}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

How should we classify this email? As SPAM or as HAM?

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

OK, we found that

$$p(SPAM \mid \text{money}) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad p(HAM \mid \text{money}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

How should we classify this email? As SPAM or as HAM?

Since  $p(SPAM \mid \text{money}) > 0.5$  it's probably reasonable to classify it as SPAM.

But you should worry about the implications of making a mistake

Marking a SPAM message as HAM is annoying, but not a big deal

Classifying a truly HAM message as SPAM could be disastrous

Might be worth it to set the threshold higher than 0.5

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

OK, so we've come up with a Bayesian Spam Filter that can filter messages based on the appearance of certain words

Buuuut, it seems like we might want to do this with all of the words in the email simultaneously

It turns out that we can do this if we're willing to make one fairly strong assumption about the relationship of words in SPAM emails

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Suppose we receive an email with just the words {buy, nigeria}

We would like to compute the probabilities

$$p(SPAM \mid \{\text{buy, nigeria}\}) \text{ and } p(HAM \mid \{\text{buy, nigeria}\})$$

which requires knowing the flipped probabilities

$$p(\{\text{buy, nigeria}\} \mid SPAM) \text{ and } p(\{\text{buy, nigeria}\} \mid HAM)$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM})$  and  $p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{HAM})$

Technically, we could estimate these probabilities by counting the number of emails that contain **both** buy and nigeria

But this would be very hard if had to re-estimate these probabilities every time we receive a new email

**Assumption:** Words are **independent** given their category

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM}) \text{ and } p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{HAM})$$

**Assumption:** Words are **independent** given their category

**Example:**

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM}) = p(\text{buy} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{SPAM})$$

Under this assumption, we can compute probabilities for individual words exactly one time.

Then we can multiply them when we receive specific emails

**Final Trick:** Note that denominators are the same

$$p(\text{SPAM} \mid \text{email}) = \frac{p(\text{email} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{SPAM})}{p(\text{email})}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM}) \text{ and } p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{HAM})$$

**Assumption:** Words are **independent** given their category

**Example:**

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM}) = p(\text{buy} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{SPAM})$$

Under this assumption, we can compute probabilities for individual words exactly one time.

Then we can multiply them when we receive specific emails

**Final Trick:** Note that denominators are the same

$$p(\text{HAM} \mid \text{email}) = \frac{p(\text{email} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{HAM})}{p(\text{email})}$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM})$  and  $p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{HAM})$

**Assumption:** Words are **independent** given their category

**Example:**

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\} \mid \text{SPAM}) = p(\text{buy} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{SPAM})$$

Under this assumption, we can compute probabilities for individual words exactly one time.

Then we can multiply them when we receive specific emails

**Final Trick:** Note that denominators are the same

Instead of comparing entire probability we can just compare numerators and make classification decision based on these

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, predict whether the email  $\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\}$  is SPAM or HAM

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Need to compare

$$p(\text{SPAM} \mid \{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\})$$

$$p(\text{HAM} \mid \{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\})$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, predict whether the email  $\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria}\}$  is SPAM or HAM

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Applying Bayes' and ignoring the denominatory, need to compare

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{SPAM})$$

$$p(\{\text{buy}, \text{nigeria} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{HAM})$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, predict whether the email {buy, nigeria} is SPAM or HAM

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Applying independence assumption, need to compare

$$p(\text{buy} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{SPAM})p(\text{SPAM})$$

$$p(\text{buy} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{HAM})$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, predict whether the email {buy, nigeria} is SPAM or HAM

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Plugging in numbers, we have

$$\text{SPAM: } \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{5} \approx 0.267$$

$$p(\text{buy} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{nigeria} \mid \text{HAM})p(\text{HAM})$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

**Example:** Given the following set of messages, predict whether the email {buy, nigeria} is SPAM or HAM

<i>ham</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>spam</i>	<i>ham</i>
<i>work</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>money</i>	<i>fly</i>
<i>buy</i>	<i>opportunity</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>buy</i>	<i>home</i>
<i>money</i>	<i>viagra</i>	<i>nigeria</i>	<i>fly</i>	<i>nigeria</i>

Plugging in numbers, we have

$$\text{SPAM: } \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{5} \approx 0.267$$

$$\text{HAM: } \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5} = 0.1$$

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---

Since  $0.267 > 0.1$  we predict that email {buy, nigeria} is SPAM

# Bayesian SPAM Filters

---