



Quantum Mechanics 2

PHYS 5403 HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT 7

PROBLEMS: {1, 2, 3}

Due: May 6, 2022 at 5:00 PM

STUDENT
Taylor Larrechea

PROFESSOR
Dr. Bruno Uchoa



Problem 1:

- (a) Based on the properties of the Dirac algebra only (which do not depend on the representation), prove that β and α_i matrices must have even dimensionality.

We know that the Dirac Matrices α^i, β anti-commute, namely

$$\{\alpha^i, \beta\} = 0$$

This then means we can say

$$\alpha^i \beta + \beta \alpha^i = 0$$

which leads to

$$\alpha^i = -\beta \alpha^i \beta$$

Taking the trace of this we have

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha^i) = \text{Tr}(-\beta \alpha^i \beta) = \text{Tr}(-\alpha^i \beta^2) = \text{Tr}(-\alpha^i)$$

The only thing that is equal to the negative of itself is zero \therefore

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha^i) = 0$$

The above relation tells us

$$\text{Tr}(\beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha^i) = 0$$

This means the matrices mentioned above must have eigenvalues of ± 1 , this can only happen with an even dimensionality.

- (b) Show explicitly that the Dirac algebra cannot be satisfied in a representation with dimension $d = 2$. Hint: assume $\alpha^i = \sigma_i$ as Pauli matrices and show that one cannot find a matrix for β that satisfies the Dirac algebra.

Starting with $d=2$, we begin with the assumption

$$\alpha^1 = \sigma_x, \alpha^2 = \sigma_y, \alpha^3 = \sigma_z$$

where with these assumptions we can say

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i = 2 \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\sigma_i) = 0, \text{Tr}(\sigma_i^2) = 1$$

However it is impossible to find a fourth 2×2 matrix (β) which anti-commutes with the other three.

Problem 1: Continued

IF we write $\{\alpha^i, \beta\}$ with $i=1,2,3$ we then have

$$\alpha^1 \beta + \beta \alpha^1 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha^1 \beta = -\beta \alpha^1$$

$$\alpha^2 \beta + \beta \alpha^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha^2 \beta = -\beta \alpha^2$$

$$\alpha^3 \beta + \beta \alpha^3 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha^3 \beta = -\beta \alpha^3$$

where $\alpha^1 = \sigma_x^1$, $\alpha^2 = \sigma_y^1$, $\alpha^3 = \sigma_z^1$ we can immediately see

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This immediately tells us that $d=2$ is not valid due to there only being 3 anti-commuting matrices.

(c) Find the explicit representation for (β, α^i) , where

$$\alpha^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

with \mathbb{I} the 2×2 identity matrix.

We know that α^3 will look something like

$$\alpha^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The representations of $\beta, \alpha^1, \alpha^2$ and α^3 must satisfy

$$\{\alpha^i, \alpha^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}$$

$$\{\alpha^i, \beta\} = 0$$

$$\beta^2 = \mathbb{I}$$

Along with the matrices being traceless. we are going to choose the Pauli matrices to be in the off diagonals of α^1 and α^2 .

Problem 1: Continued

Let's choose the representation,

$$\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad i=1,2,3$$

These matrices can be multiplied in Blocks,

$$\alpha^i \alpha^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i \sigma^j & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \sigma^j \end{pmatrix}$$

This then tells us that α^1 and α^2 are

$$\alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using these with the commutation relationships we can say

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \beta = -\beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \beta = -\beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \beta = -\beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using these relationships we can say β is

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This means our matrices are then

$$\alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where the above all follow the rules of Dirac Algebra.

Problem 1: Review

Procedure:

- – Use the rules of Dirac Algebra to show that the traces of α^i and β are zero
- – Start with the assumption that the dimension is $d = 2$ and show that this is not possible
 - * This is done by showing that the matrices in the dimension of 2×2 will not satisfy the rules of Dirac Algebra
- – Taking the representation for α^3 that is given to us, we find a representation of for the rest of α^i and β that will satisfy the rules of Dirac Algebra

Key Concepts:

- – Because of the matrices α^i and β are traceless, we can deduce that the eigenvalues of these matrices must be ± 1
 - * This insinuates that the dimensionality of the matrices must be even
- The Dirac Algebra rules are

$$\begin{aligned}\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} &= 2\delta^{ij} \\ \{\alpha_i, \beta\} &= 0 \\ \beta^2 &= \mathbb{I}\end{aligned}$$

- – Taking the representation of for α^i as

$$\alpha^i \doteq \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\} \quad \text{with } i = 1, 2, 3$$

it can be shown that $\{\alpha_i, \beta\} \neq 0$

- – Taking the representation that for α^3 to be what is given to us we then choose the other matrices for α^1 to be of the form

$$\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where with the correct selection of α^i we can show that the Dirac Algebra rules are obeyed

Variations:

- – This part is a proof so it cannot be changed unless the entire problem is changed
- – We could be asked to prove that the dimensionality would start at $d = 4$
 - * This would require us to use the rules of Dirac Algebra to show that this dimension would be valid
- – We could be given a different initial representation for α^3
 - * This would require us to assemble other matrices that follow the rules of Dirac Algebra

Problem 2:

The normalization of the solutions of the Dirac equation with positive and negative energy satisfy

$$\bar{\psi}\psi = \pm 1,$$

where + corresponds to the positive energy states and - to the negative energy ones, with

$$\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

at the Dirac adjoint.

- (a) Find the eigenvalues and the normalized eigenfunctions that solve the Dirac equation for a free particle

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0.$$

The standard Dirac equation is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \not{D}\psi \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\hbar c}{i} \alpha^\mu \partial_\mu + \beta mc^2 \right) \psi = \not{D}\psi$$

The equation for a free particle becomes

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad (*)$$

where we know $\gamma^0 = \beta$, $\gamma^i = \beta \alpha^i$ w/ $\partial_\mu = (\partial/\partial t, -\vec{\nabla})$. This means (*) becomes

$$(i\gamma^0 \partial_0 - i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i - m)\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad (**)$$

where our wave function is a 4-component spinor like

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_3(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_4(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

This means (**) becomes

$$(i\gamma^0 \partial_0 - i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i - m)\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = (i\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i - m) e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_3(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_4(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(i\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{-iEt}) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_3(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_4(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} = (i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i + m) e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_3(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_4(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 2: Continued

$$(\beta E \cancel{e^{-iEt}}) \psi(x) = (i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i + m) \cancel{e^{-iEt}} \psi(x) \Rightarrow \beta E \psi(x) = (i\beta \alpha^i \partial_i + m) \psi(x)$$

The solution to Dirac's Equation is a plane wave with momentum p , $\therefore \psi(x)$ is

$$\psi(x, t) = e^{ip \cdot x} \psi$$

where ψ is

$$\psi = e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

Putting this into the Dirac equation we find

$$E \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = (m\beta + \alpha^i p_i) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & \sigma^i p_i \\ \sigma^i p_i - m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} E\varphi &= m\varphi + \sigma^i p_i \chi \\ E\chi &= \sigma^i p_i \varphi - m\chi \end{aligned}$$

Solving we find

$$\varphi(E-m) = \sigma^i p_i \chi \quad \therefore \quad \varphi = \frac{\sigma^i p_i}{E-m} \chi, \quad \chi = \frac{\sigma^i p_i}{(E+m)} \varphi$$

Putting this into the other equation will give

$$E = \pm \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$$

which are our eigen energies. To calculate the eigen functions we compute

$$\sigma^i p_i = \begin{pmatrix} P_z & P_x - iP_y \\ P_x + iP_y & -P_z \end{pmatrix}$$

This then means χ and φ are

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{1}{(E+m)} \begin{pmatrix} P_z & P_x - iP_y \\ P_x + iP_y & -P_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{(E+m)} \begin{pmatrix} P_z & P_x - iP_y \\ P_x + iP_y & -P_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(E+m)} \begin{pmatrix} P_z \\ P_x + iP_y \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{(E+m)} \begin{pmatrix} P_x - iP_y \\ -P_z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(E+m)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_z \\ P_x + iP_y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} P_x - iP_y \\ -P_z \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2: Continued

If we then want to find the positive eigenfunctions only we have

$$\Psi_1(x, t) = e^{(i(P \cdot x - \sqrt{m^2 + P^2})t)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{P_x}{E+m} \\ \frac{P_x + iP_y}{E+m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_2(x, t) = e^{(i(P \cdot x - \sqrt{m^2 + P^2})t)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{-P_x}{E+m} \\ \frac{P_x - iP_y}{E+m} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) Show that the orbital angular momentum \mathbf{L} of a free Dirac particle is not a constant of the motion. Use the fact that

$$[L_i, p_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}p_k,$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita tensor. Defining the spin operator as $\Sigma \equiv \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma$, where \mathbb{I} is the 2×2 identity matrix and $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$, show that the total angular momentum

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \frac{\hbar}{2}\Sigma$$

is conserved.

The Hamiltonian of a free particle is ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$\mathcal{H} = \alpha^i p_i + m\beta$$

For the orbital angular momentum to not be a constant of motion we need $[L_i, \mathcal{H}] = 0$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} [L_i, \mathcal{H}] &= [L_i, \alpha^i p_j] + [L_i, m\beta] \\ &= [L_i, \alpha^i p_j] + [L_i, \cancel{\alpha^i p_i}] + [L_i, \alpha^k p_k] \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\alpha^i p_k + i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\alpha^k p_j = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}(\alpha^i p_k - \alpha^k p_i) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

We then need $[\mathbf{J}, \mathcal{H}] = 0$ for it to be conserved. We can then say

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}, \mathcal{H}] &= [L, \mathcal{H}] + \frac{\hbar}{2}[\sigma^i, \mathcal{H}] \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}(\alpha^i p_k - \alpha^k p_i) + \frac{\hbar}{2}([\sigma^i, \alpha^i p_i] + [\sigma^i, \cancel{m\beta}]) \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}(\alpha^i p_k - \alpha^k p_i) + \frac{\hbar}{2}([\sigma^i, \alpha^i p_i] + [\sigma^i, \alpha^i p_j] + [\sigma^i, \alpha^k p_k]) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2: Continued

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} (\alpha^i p_k - \alpha^k p_j) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} (2\epsilon_{ijk} \alpha^k p_j + 2\epsilon_{ikj} \alpha^i p_k) \\
 &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} (\alpha^i p_k - \alpha^k p_j) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} (2\epsilon_{ijk} \alpha^k p_j - 2\epsilon_{ikj} \alpha^i p_k) \\
 &= i\hbar \cancel{\epsilon}_{ijk} (\cancel{\alpha^i p_k} - \cancel{\alpha^k p_j}) + i\hbar \cancel{\epsilon}_{ijk} (\cancel{\alpha^k p_j} - \cancel{\alpha^i p_k}) = 0 \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that angular momentum is conserved

(c) Now show that the operators $\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ are each one constants of the motion. the operator

$$\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{|\mathbf{p}|}$$

is called *helicity*.

Starting with $\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathcal{H}] &= \mathbf{p} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathcal{H}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathcal{H}] \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \\
 &= P_x [\Sigma_x, \mathcal{H}] + P_y [\Sigma_y, \mathcal{H}] + P_z [\Sigma_z, \mathcal{H}] \\
 &\quad [P_x, \cancel{\mathcal{H}}] \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}_x + [P_y, \cancel{\mathcal{H}}] \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}_y + [P_z, \cancel{\mathcal{H}}] \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}_z
 \end{aligned}$$

We then know that the following terms

$$P_x [\Sigma_x, \mathcal{H}] + P_y [\Sigma_y, \mathcal{H}] + P_z [\Sigma_z, \mathcal{H}] = 0$$

Therefore we can then say $\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a constant of motion. we then see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{L}, \mathcal{H}] &= \alpha^i [P_i L_i, P_i] + \alpha^j [P_j L_j, P_j] + \alpha^k [P_k L_k, P_k] \\
 &= \alpha^i P_i [L_i, \cancel{P_i}] + \alpha^j P_j [L_j, \cancel{P_j}] + \alpha^k P_k [L_k, \cancel{P_k}] = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ is also a constant of motion.

Problem 2: Continued

- (d) Calculate the equation of motion for the position operator \mathbf{x} of a free Dirac particle. Show that the velocity operator $\mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}$ is not a constant of the motion, unlike the momentum \mathbf{p} .

To calculate the equation of motion we use

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_H(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H_H, A_H(t)] + \left(\frac{\partial A_S}{\partial t} \right)_H$$

This means for $\mathbf{x}(t)$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_H, \mathbf{x}_H(t)] + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_S}{\partial t} \right)_H$$

The equation of motion for the position operator is then calculated with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\alpha^i P_i + m\beta, \mathbf{x}_H(t)] + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_S}{\partial t} \right)_H^0 = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\alpha^i P_i + m\beta, \mathbf{x}_H(t)] \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\alpha^i P_i, \mathbf{x}_H(t)] + \frac{i}{\hbar} [m\beta, \cancel{\mathbf{x}_H(t)}^0] \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} (\alpha^i P_i \mathbf{x}_H(t) - \mathbf{x}_H(t) \alpha^i P_i) = \frac{i}{\hbar} (-i\hbar \mathbb{I}) \alpha^i = \alpha^i \mathbb{I} \end{aligned}$$

This means our equation of motion is then

$$\boxed{\mathbf{v}(t) = \alpha^i \mathbb{I}}$$

We can then show

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{v}(t)] &= [\alpha^i P_i + m\beta, \alpha^i] = [\alpha^i P_i, \alpha^i] + [m\beta, \cancel{\alpha^i}]^0 \\ &= \alpha^i P_i \alpha^i - \alpha^{i2} P_i \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Because the commutator is non zero, $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is not a constant of motion

Problem 2: Review

Procedure:

- Starting with the standard Dirac Equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{H}\psi \quad \rightarrow \quad i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(c\alpha^i p_i + \beta mc^2 \right) \psi$$

where we have written our Hamiltonian like $\mathcal{H} = c\alpha^i p_i + \beta mc^2$ we can get to the Free Particle form like

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0$$

where $\gamma^\mu = \beta\alpha^\mu$ where we have $\mu \in 1, 2, 3$ and $\alpha^0 = 1$ and the rest are as previously defined

- Proceed to expand the expression for \mathcal{H} with $\psi(x, t)$ as a 4 component spinor and show that the solution to the Dirac Equation for the free particle is a plane wave
- Redefining ψ , we use $\mathcal{H}\psi = E\psi$ to show that the desired expression can be obtained
- Using the definition for the Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{H} = c\alpha^i p_i + \beta mc^2$ we can show $[\mathbf{L}_i, \mathcal{H}] \neq 0$ and thus not a constant of motion
- Proceed to show $[\mathbf{J}, \mathcal{H}] = 0$ to show that angular momentum is conserved
- Take commutators of the quantities that are given to us to show that they will evaluate to be zero
 - * The rules for why some of these quantities are zero is a little hand wavey but is none the less correct
- Using the definition for the Heisenberg picture we can find the equation of motion with

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_H(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_H, A_H(t)] + \left(\frac{\partial A_S}{\partial t} \right)_H$$

where the subscripts H and S indicate the Heisenberg and Schrodinger pictures respectively

- Take a commutator again to show that $\mathbf{V}(t)$ is not a constant of motion

Key Concepts:

- Expanding the equation for a free particle in the Dirac equation we are required to define our wave function as a four component spinor of the form

$$\psi(x, t) = e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \\ \psi_3(x) \\ \psi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

to show that the solution to the Dirac equation is a plane wave

- For the spin component of our wave function

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow+ \\ \downarrow+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow- \\ \downarrow- \end{pmatrix}$$

This tells us what type of spin particle we have and what type of energy

- True for parts (b) through (d), quantities that commute with a Hamiltonian are considered to be constants of motion

Variations:

- We could be given a different situation for what to solve our Dirac equation for
 - * This would require the same broad procedure but now with a different situation

Problem 3:

- (a) Show that the Dirac equation for a central potential $V(r)$ can be written in the form

$$\chi = \frac{c}{E - V(r) + mc^2} (\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p}) \phi$$

where the total wavefunction is a four component spinor

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix},$$

in the bi-spinor representation.

The Dirac equation takes the form of

$$H\Psi = E\Psi$$

Where our Hamiltonian is

$$H = (c\sigma^i P_i + mc^2 \beta + V(r))$$

Which when we put this in we get

$$H = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i P_i \\ \bar{\sigma}^i \bar{P}_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + mc^2 \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix} + V(r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I} & c\sigma^i P_i \\ -c\bar{\sigma}^i \bar{P}_i & -mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

This means our Dirac Equation becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I} & c\sigma^i P_i \\ -c\bar{\sigma}^i \bar{P}_i & -mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

This gives two equations with two unknowns

$$(mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I}) \psi + (c\sigma^i P_i) \chi = E \psi$$

$$(c\bar{\sigma}^i \bar{P}_i) \psi + (-mc^2 \beta + V(r) \mathbb{I}) \chi = E \chi$$

$\hookrightarrow \sigma^i P_i \doteq \sigma^i \cdot P$

We now solve this system of equations

$$mc^2 \beta \psi + V(r) \psi + c(\sigma^i \cdot P) \chi = E \psi, \quad c(\sigma^i \cdot P) \psi - mc^2 \beta \chi + V(r) \chi = E \chi$$

$$c(\sigma^i \cdot P) \chi = (E - mc^2 \beta - V(r)) \psi, \quad c(\sigma^i \cdot P) \psi = (E - V(r) + mc^2 \beta) \chi$$

Problem 3: Continued

where we can simply show now from the last line

$$\chi = \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} (\sigma \cdot p) \psi$$

- (b) Assume that ψ describes an s -wave orbital with spin \downarrow of the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculate χ explicitly and show that it describes a p -wave function with spin $s = 1/2$ and *orbital* angular momentum $l = 1$. Hint: express χ in terms of spherical harmonics and spinors.

Taking the previous result for χ , we have

$$\chi = \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} (\sigma \cdot p) R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

Taking $(\sigma \cdot p)$ we have

$$(\sigma \cdot p) = \sigma^1 P_1 + \sigma^2 P_2 + \sigma^3 P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} P_y + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} P_z = \begin{pmatrix} P_z & P_x - iP_y \\ P_x + iP_y & -P_z \end{pmatrix}$$

This means (*) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \begin{pmatrix} P_z & P_x - iP_y \\ P_x + iP_y & -P_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \begin{pmatrix} P_x - iP_y \\ -P_z \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

χ is then finally

$$\chi(r,t) = \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) \begin{pmatrix} P_x - iP_y \\ -P_z \end{pmatrix}$$

The first component of our column vector

$$P_x - iP_y$$

Problem 3: Continued

Can be written in terms of spherical harmonics, with $l=1$ and $m=-1$

$$Y_1^{-1}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} e^{-i\varphi} \sin\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{P_x - iP_y}{P}$$

Conversely for P_z , $l=1$, $m=0$

$$Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} \cos\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} \frac{P_z}{P}$$

We can then say

$$P_x - iP_y = 2\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} P Y_1^{-1}(\theta, \varphi), \quad P_z = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} P Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi)$$

This means for a particle with positive energy and spin \downarrow

$$\Psi(r, t) = \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} P \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi) \\ \sqrt{2} Y_1^{-1}(\theta, \varphi) \\ -Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}$$

Where one can see that this is clearly a p-wave function with $s=\frac{1}{2}$, $l=1$

- (c) Using your previous result, show that $\chi(r, t)$ describes a $j = 1/2$ state with $m = -1/2$, where j and m are the total angular momentum $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}$ quantum number. Hint: use a table of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

$\chi(r, t)$ can be written as

$$\chi(r, t) = \frac{c}{(E - V(r) + mc^2\beta)} R(r) \exp\left(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}\right) 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} P \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} Y_1^{-1}(\theta, \varphi) \\ -Y_1^0(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}$$

We then know that our particle is a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle oriented down, this means

$$\text{w/ } l=1 \Rightarrow m \in [-1, 1], \quad m \neq 1 \rightarrow \text{From } \chi(r, t) \Rightarrow m = -1, 0$$

For $m=0$

$$|l-s| \leq j \leq |l+s| \Rightarrow |1/2| \leq j \leq |3/2|$$

Problem 3: Continued

If $j = \frac{1}{2}$, this means $s = \frac{1}{2}$, we then can say

$$m \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$$

This then means we have a state with the quantum numbers

$$j = \frac{1}{2}, l = 1, m = -\frac{1}{2}$$

We then take our $\chi(r,t)$ and expand in a complete set of total angular momentum

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(r,t) &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle \langle J,M| \chi(r,t) \\ &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle \langle J,M| [\text{stuff}] \left(\sqrt{2} Y_1^1(\theta, \phi) |\uparrow\rangle - Y_1^0(\theta, \phi) |\downarrow\rangle \right) \\ &\quad \text{L} \rightarrow \text{C.G. Coefficients} \end{aligned}$$

Since we have both $m_J = -\frac{1}{2}, m_J = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(r,t) &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle \langle J,M| [\text{stuff}] \left(\sqrt{2} Y_1^1(\theta, \phi) |\uparrow\rangle - Y_1^0(\theta, \phi) |\downarrow\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle [\text{stuff}] \langle J,M| \left(\sqrt{2} |1,-1\rangle \otimes |1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\rangle - |1,0\rangle \otimes |1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle [\text{stuff}] \left(\sqrt{2} \langle \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}|1,-1;\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\rangle - \langle \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}|1,0;\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\rangle \right) \\ &\quad \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \sum_{J,M} |J,M\rangle [\text{stuff}] \left(\sqrt{2} \langle \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}|1,-1;\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\rangle - \langle \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}|1,0;\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\rangle \right) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

We can then see that the only state that exists is when $j = \frac{1}{2}, m = -\frac{1}{2}$ due to the C.G coefficients not cancelling

Problem 3: Review

Procedure:

- Start with the standard eigenvalue equation $\mathcal{H}\psi = E\psi$ with the Hamiltonian defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = (c\alpha^i p_i + mc^2 \beta + V(r))$$

where we have now included our central potential

- Take the standard representation for the Dirac matrices α^i and β with the definition of our wave function to show that we can get the desired expression
- Using the definition for ϕ that was given to us put this into the previous expression for χ and substitute what $(\sigma \cdot p)$ evaluates to
- Taking the expression for χ we can then write this in terms of Spherical Harmonics
- Taking the values for l and m from part (b) we can deduce what the range of our quantum numbers can run between by expanding χ in terms of the complete angular momentum basis
- After expanding in a complete set we then can determine our Clebsch Gordan coefficients and what state is possible

Key Concepts:

- After writing our Hamiltonian in this new form we can write χ in terms of what is required from us
- We can write χ in terms of spherical tensors
- After writing χ in terms of spherical tensors, we can determine what states are possible by calculating the Clebsch Gordan coefficients

Variations:

- We could be given a different potential
 - * This would require us to solve for different equations but it would be the same broad procedure
- For parts (b) and (c), if we could have a particle with a different spin or angular momentum
 - * This would change what our quantum numbers were when calculating the Clebsch Gordan coefficients but it would be the same procedure