Nonlocality and Tsirelson's bound

Ryuhei Mori

Tokyo Institute of Technology

December 17, 2019

Bell test: CHSH game (1964, 1969)



Alice and Bob win iff $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$.

Bell inequality

a_x: Output of Alice for given x.
b_y: Output of Bbob for given y.

$$a_0 \oplus b_0 = 0$$
$$a_1 \oplus b_0 = 0$$
$$a_0 \oplus b_1 = 0$$
$$a_1 \oplus b_1 = 1$$

By adding all equations, we get $0 = 1$, which means there is no solution. Hence, the winning probability 1 cannot be achieved.

Three equalities can be satisfied, so that the largest winning probability is $3/4$ (Bell inequality or CHSH inequality).

If Alice and Bob share quantum states, then the largest winning probability is $(2 + \sqrt{2})/4 \approx 0.854$ (Violation of Bell/CHSH inequality)

Locality (Hidden variable model)

Joint preparation and independent measurements.

Probability distribution $P(a, b \mid x, y)$ is said to be local if

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda).$$

Quantum physics allow nonlocal behaviors.

Joint probability distribuion

Lemma

There exists probability distributions $P(\lambda)$, $P(a \mid x, \lambda)$ and $P(b \mid y, \lambda)$ such that

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda)$$

if and only if there exists probability distribution $q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1)$ such that

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\substack{a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \\ a_x = a,\, b_y = b}} q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1).$$

Proof.

$$(\Rightarrow) \qquad q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) := \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a_0 \mid x = 0, \lambda) P(a_1 \mid x = 1, \lambda) \cdot P(b_0 \mid y = 0, \lambda) P(b_1 \mid y = 1, \lambda)$$

$$(\Leftarrow) \qquad \lambda = (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1), \qquad P(\lambda) = q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1)$$

Randomness doesn't help

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y}\left[\mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1}\left[\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}\right]\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1}\left[\mathbb{E}_{x,y}\left[\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}\right]\right].$$

There exists $a_0^*, a_1^*, b_0^*, b_1^*$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1}\left[\mathbb{E}_{x,y}\left[\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}\right]\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y}\left[\mathbb{I}\{a_x^* \oplus b_y^* = x \wedge y\}\right].$$

Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen (EPR) paradox (1935)

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda).$$

$\Longleftrightarrow$ there exists a joint distribution of (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1).

$$\Downarrow$$

In quantum physics, a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 cannot *exists* simultaneously.

$$\Downarrow$$

In quantum physics, position and momentum cannot *exists* simultaneously.

Bell state

Bell state

$$|\Psi\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle)$$

Let $|\psi_\theta\rangle := \cos\theta|0\rangle + \sin\theta|1\rangle$.
Alice measure this state by $\{|\psi_{\theta_A}\rangle, |\psi_{\theta_A+\pi/2}\rangle\}$.
Bob measure this state by $\{|\psi_{\theta_B}\rangle, |\psi_{\theta_B+\pi/2}\rangle\}$.

A outcome corresponding to $|\psi_\theta\rangle|\psi_\tau\rangle$ is obtained with probability

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle\psi_\theta|\langle\psi_\tau||\Psi\rangle|^2 &= \left|\langle\psi_\theta|\langle\psi_\tau|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle)\right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}|\cos\theta\cos\tau + \sin\theta\sin\tau|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\cos^2(\theta-\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Quantum strategy





$$\theta_A^{x=0} = 0, \qquad \theta_A^{x=1} = \pi/4, \qquad \theta_B^{y=0} = \pi/8, \qquad \theta_B^{y=1} = -\pi/8$$

For any $x \in \{0,1\}, y \in \{0,1\}$, the winning probability is

$$\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) = \frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{4} \approx 0.854.$$

XOR game



Alice and Bob win iff $a \oplus b = f(x, y)$.

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{max:}\quad & \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho(P_a^{(x)} \otimes Q_b^{(y)})) \\
\text{subject to:}\quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \rho \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \\
& \rho \succeq 0 \\
& \operatorname{Tr}(\rho) = 1 \\
& P_a^{(x)},\ Q_b^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x, y, a, b \\
& P_a^{(x)} \succeq 0 \qquad \forall x, a \\
& Q_b^{(y)} \succeq 0 \qquad \forall y, b \\
& P_0^{(x)} + P_1^{(x)} = I \qquad \forall x \\
& Q_0^{(y)} + Q_1^{(y)} = I \qquad \forall y.
\end{aligned}$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:} \quad & \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \langle \psi | \, P_a^{(x)} \otimes Q_b^{(y)} \, | \psi \rangle \\
\text{subject to:} \quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& |\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \\
& P_a^{(x)},\ Q_b^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x, y, a, b \\
& P_a^{(x)} \succeq 0 \qquad \forall x, a \\
& Q_b^{(y)} \succeq 0 \qquad \forall y, b \\
& P_0^{(x)} + P_1^{(x)} = I \qquad \forall x \\
& Q_0^{(y)} + Q_1^{(y)} = I \qquad \forall y.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma

$$P_0 \succeq 0,\ P_1 \succeq 0,\ P_0 + P_1 = I \iff \exists P,\ I - P^2 \succeq 0, P_a = \frac{I + (-1)^a P}{2}.$$

Proof.

$(\Rightarrow)$ $P = P_0 - P_1$.

$(\Leftarrow)$

$$\frac{I + (-1)^a P}{2} \succeq 0$$
$$\frac{I+P}{2} + \frac{I-P}{2} = I.$$

$\square$

Tsirelson's theorem

By letting $P^{(x)} := P_0^{(x)} - P_1^{(x)}$ and $Q^{(y)} := Q_0^{(y)} - Q_1^{(y)}$, the maximum quantum winning probability is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:} \quad & \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \langle\psi| \frac{I + (-1)^a P^{(x)}}{2} \otimes \frac{I + (-1)^b Q^{(y)}}{2} |\psi\rangle \\
\text{subject to:} \quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& |\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \\
& P^{(x)},\ Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x, y \\
& I - (P^{(x)})^2 \succeq 0 \qquad \forall x \\
& I - (Q^{(y)})^2 \succeq 0 \qquad \forall y
\end{aligned}
$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:}\quad & \sum_{x,y,a,b} p(x,y)\,\langle\psi|\,\frac{I+(-1)^a P^{(x)}}{2}\otimes\frac{I+(-1)^b Q^{(y)}}{2}\,|\psi\rangle\,\frac{1+(-1)^{a+b+f(x,y)}}{2} \\
\text{s.t.:}\quad & n\in\mathbb{N} \\
& |\psi\rangle\in\mathbb{C}^n\otimes\mathbb{C}^n \\
& P^{(x)},\ Q^{(y)}\in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x,y \\
& I-(P^{(x)})^2\succeq 0 \qquad \forall x \\
& I-(Q^{(y)})^2\succeq 0 \qquad \forall y
\end{aligned}
$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sum_{x,y} p(x,y)\, \langle\psi|\, P^{(x)} \otimes Q^{(y)}\, |\psi\rangle\, (-1)^{f(x,y)}\right) \\
\text{subject to:} \quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& |\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \\
& P^{(x)},\ Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x, y \\
& I - (P^{(x)})^2 \succeq 0 \qquad \forall x \\
& I - (Q^{(y)})^2 \succeq 0 \qquad \forall y
\end{aligned}
$$

Convexity and extremal points

Lemma

A set

$$C := \left\{ P \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid I - P^2 \succeq 0 \right\}$$

is a convex set. $P \in C$ is extremal if and only if $P^2 = I$.

Proof.

□

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \langle\psi| P^{(x)} \otimes Q^{(y)} |\psi\rangle (-1)^{f(x,y)}\right) \\
\text{subject to:} \quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& |\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \\
& P^{(x)},\ Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \qquad \forall x, y \\
& (P^{(x)})^2 = I \qquad \forall x \\
& (Q^{(y)})^2 = I \qquad \forall y
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A_x\rangle &:= (P^{(x)} \otimes I) |\psi\rangle \\
|B_y\rangle &:= (I \otimes Q^{(y)}) |\psi\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Real vectors

For some orthonormal basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$, $|A_x\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \alpha_i^{(x)} |e_i\rangle$, $|B_y\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \beta_i^{(y)} |e_i\rangle$. Let

$$v_x := \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_1) & \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_1) & \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_2) & \cdots & \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_{n^2}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$w_y := \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Re}(\beta_1) & \mathrm{Im}(\beta_1) & \mathrm{Re}(\beta_2) & \cdots & \mathrm{Im}(\beta_{n^2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, $\langle v_x, v_x\rangle = \langle A_x|A_x\rangle$, $\langle v_x, w_y\rangle = \mathrm{Re}(\langle A_x|B_y\rangle)$.

Tsirelson's theorem [Tsirelson 1980]

The maximum quantum winning probability is at most (in fact, equal to)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{max:} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)\langle v_x, w_y\rangle(-1)^{f(x,y)}\right) \\
\text{subject to:} \quad & n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& v_x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2} \qquad \forall x \\
& w_y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2} \qquad \forall y \\
& \|v_x\| = 1 \qquad \forall x \\
& \|w_y\| = 1 \qquad \forall y
\end{aligned}
$$

Tsirelson's bound [Tsirelson 1980]

max: $$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x,y}\langle v_x, w_y\rangle(-1)^{(x\wedge y)}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x,y}\langle v_x, w_y\rangle(-1)^{(x\wedge y)} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\langle v_0, w_0\rangle + \langle v_0, w_1\rangle + \langle v_1, w_0\rangle - \langle v_1, w_1\rangle\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(\langle v_0, w_0 + w_1\rangle + \langle v_1, w_0 - w_1\rangle\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\|v_0\|\|w_0 + w_1\| + \|v_1\|\|w_0 - w_1\|\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(\|w_0 + w_1\| + \|w_0 - w_1\|\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\sqrt{\|w_0 + w_1\|^2 + \|w_0 - w_1\|^2} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\sqrt{2\|w_0\|^2 + 2\|w_1\|^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}$$