


Nonlocality and Tsirelson's bound

Ryuhei Mori

Tokyo Institute of Technology

December 17, 2019

Bell test: CHSH game (1964, 1969)



Alice and Bob win iff $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$.

Bell inequality

a_x : Output of Alice for given x .

b_y : Output of Bbob for given y .

$$a_0 \oplus b_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 \oplus b_0 = 0$$

$$a_0 \oplus b_1 = 0$$

$$a_1 \oplus b_1 = 1$$

By adding all equations, we get $0 = 1$, which means there is no solution. Hence, the winning probability 1 cannot be achieved.

Three equalities can be satisfied, so that the largest winning probability is $3/4$ (Bell inequality or CHSH inequality).

If Alice and Bob share quantum states, then the largest winning probability is $(2 + \sqrt{2})/4 \approx 0.854$ (Violation of Bell/CHSH inequality)

Locality (Hidden variable model)

Joint preparation and independent measurements.

Probability distribution $P(a, b \mid x, y)$ is said to be **local** if

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda).$$

Quantum physics allow **nonlocal** behaviors.

Joint probability distribuion

Lemma

There exists probability distributions $P(\lambda)$, $P(a \mid x, \lambda)$ and $P(b \mid y, \lambda)$ such that

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda)$$

if and only if there exists probability distribution $q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1)$ such that

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{a_x=a, b_y=b} q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Rightarrow) \quad q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) &:= \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a_0 \mid x=0, \lambda) P(a_1 \mid x=1, \lambda) \\ &\quad \cdot P(b_0 \mid y=0, \lambda) P(b_1 \mid y=1, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Leftarrow) \quad \lambda = (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1), \quad P(\lambda) = q(a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1)$$

Randomness doesn't help

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [\mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1} [\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1} [\mathbb{E}_{x,y} [\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}]] . \end{aligned}$$

There exists $a_0^*, a_1^*, b_0^*, b_1^*$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{a_0,a_1,b_0,b_1} [\mathbb{E}_{x,y} [\mathbb{I}\{a_x \oplus b_y = x \wedge y\}]] \leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [\mathbb{I}\{a_x^* \oplus b_y^* = x \wedge y\}] .$$

Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen (EPR) paradox (1935)

$$P(a, b \mid x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P(\lambda) P(a \mid x, \lambda) P(b \mid y, \lambda).$$

\iff there exists a joint distribution of (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) .



In quantum physics, a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 **cannot exists** simultaneously.



In quantum physics, position and momentum **cannot exists** simultaneously.

Bell state

Bell state

$$|\Psi\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle)$$

Let $|\psi_\theta\rangle := \cos\theta|0\rangle + \sin\theta|1\rangle$.



Alice measure this state by $\{|\psi_{\theta_A}\rangle, |\psi_{\theta_A+\pi/2}\rangle\}$.

Bob measure this state by $\{|\psi_{\theta_B}\rangle, |\psi_{\theta_B+\pi/2}\rangle\}$.

A outcome corresponding to $|\psi_\theta\rangle|\psi_\tau\rangle$ is obtained with probability

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\psi_\theta|\langle\psi_\tau|\Psi\rangle|^2 &= \left| \langle\psi_\theta|\langle\psi_\tau| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\cos\theta\cos\tau + \sin\theta\sin\tau|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cos^2(\theta - \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Quantum strategy




$$\theta_A^{x=0} = 0, \quad \theta_A^{x=1} = \pi/4, \quad \theta_B^{y=0} = \pi/8, \quad \theta_B^{y=1} = -\pi/8$$

For any $x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in \{0, 1\}$, the winning probability is

$$\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) = \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{4} \approx 0.854.$$

XOR game



Alice and Bob win iff $a \oplus b = f(x, y)$.

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \quad \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \text{Tr}(\rho(P_a^{(x)} \otimes Q_b^{(y)}))$$

subject to: $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\rho \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$$

$$\rho \succeq 0$$

$$\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$$

$$P_a^{(x)}, Q_b^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y, a, b$$

$$P_a^{(x)} \succeq 0 \quad \forall x, a$$

$$Q_b^{(y)} \succeq 0 \quad \forall y, b$$

$$P_0^{(x)} + P_1^{(x)} = I \quad \forall x$$

$$Q_0^{(y)} + Q_1^{(y)} = I \quad \forall y.$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \langle \psi | P_a^{(x)} \otimes Q_b^{(y)} | \psi \rangle$$

subject to:

$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$P_a^{(x)}, Q_b^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y, a, b$$

$$P_a^{(x)} \succeq 0 \quad \forall x, a$$

$$Q_b^{(y)} \succeq 0 \quad \forall y, b$$

$$P_0^{(x)} + P_1^{(x)} = I \quad \forall x$$

$$Q_0^{(y)} + Q_1^{(y)} = I \quad \forall y.$$

Binary measurements

Lemma

$$P_0 \succeq 0, P_1 \succeq 0, P_0 + P_1 = I \iff \exists P, I - P^2 \succeq 0, P_a = \frac{I + (-1)^a P}{2}.$$

Proof.

$$(\Rightarrow) P = P_0 - P_1.$$

$$(\Leftarrow)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I + (-1)^a P}{2} &\succeq 0 \\ \frac{I + P}{2} + \frac{I - P}{2} &= I. \end{aligned}$$



Tsirelson's theorem

By letting $P^{(x)} := P_0^{(x)} - P_1^{(x)}$ and $Q^{(y)} := Q_0^{(y)} - Q_1^{(y)}$, the maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \oplus b = f(x,y)}} \langle \psi | \frac{I + (-1)^a P^{(x)}}{2} \otimes \frac{I + (-1)^b Q^{(y)}}{2} | \psi \rangle$$

subject to: $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$P^{(x)}, Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y$$

$$I - (P^{(x)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$I - (Q^{(y)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall y$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \sum_{x,y,a,b} p(x,y) \langle \psi | \frac{I + (-1)^a P^{(x)}}{2} \otimes \frac{I + (-1)^b Q^{(y)}}{2} | \psi \rangle \frac{1 + (-1)^{a+b+f(x,y)}}{2}$$

$$\text{s.t.: } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$P^{(x)}, Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y$$

$$I - (P^{(x)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$I - (Q^{(y)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall y$$

Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \langle \psi | P^{(x)} \otimes Q^{(y)} | \psi \rangle (-1)^{f(x,y)} \right)$$

subject to: $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$P^{(x)}, Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y$$

$$I - (P^{(x)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$I - (Q^{(y)})^2 \succeq 0 \quad \forall y$$

Convexity and extremal points

Lemma

A set

$$C := \{P \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid I - P^2 \succeq 0\}$$

is a convex set. $P \in C$ is extremal if and only if $P^2 = I$.

Proof.



Tsirelson's theorem

The maximum quantum winning probability is

$$\max: \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \langle \psi | P^{(x)} \otimes Q^{(y)} | \psi \rangle (-1)^{f(x,y)} \right)$$

$$\text{subject to:} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$P^{(x)}, Q^{(y)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall x, y$$

$$(P^{(x)})^2 = I \quad \forall x$$

$$(Q^{(y)})^2 = I \quad \forall y$$

Let

$$|A_x\rangle := (P^{(x)} \otimes I) |\psi\rangle$$

$$|B_y\rangle := (I \otimes Q^{(y)}) |\psi\rangle.$$

Real vectors

For some orthonormal basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$, $|A_x\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \alpha_i^{(x)} |e_i\rangle$,
 $|B_y\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \beta_i^{(y)} |e_i\rangle$. Let

$$v_x := [\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) \quad \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2) \quad \cdots \quad \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_{n^2})]$$

$$w_y := [\operatorname{Re}(\beta_1) \quad \operatorname{Im}(\beta_1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\beta_2) \quad \cdots \quad \operatorname{Im}(\beta_{n^2})]$$

Then, $\langle v_x, v_x \rangle = \langle A_x | A_x \rangle$, $\langle v_x, w_y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\langle A_x | B_y \rangle)$.

Tsirelson's theorem [Tsirelson 1980]

The maximum quantum winning probability is **at most (in fact, equal to)**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{max:} & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \langle v_x, w_y \rangle (-1)^{f(x,y)} \right) \\ \text{subject to:} & n \in \mathbb{N} \\ & v_x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2} \quad \forall x \\ & w_y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n^2} \quad \forall y \\ & \|v_x\| = 1 \quad \forall x \\ & \|w_y\| = 1 \quad \forall y \end{array}$$

Tsirelson's bound [Tsirelson 1980]

$$\max: \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y} \langle v_x, w_y \rangle (-1)^{(x \wedge y)} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y} \langle v_x, w_y \rangle (-1)^{(x \wedge y)} &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle v_0, w_0 \rangle + \langle v_0, w_1 \rangle + \langle v_1, w_0 \rangle - \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle v_0, w_0 + w_1 \rangle + \langle v_1, w_0 - w_1 \rangle) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\|v_0\| \|w_0 + w_1\| + \|v_1\| \|w_0 - w_1\|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\|w_0 + w_1\| + \|w_0 - w_1\|) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \sqrt{\|w_0 + w_1\|^2 + \|w_0 - w_1\|^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \sqrt{2\|w_0\|^2 + 2\|w_1\|^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$