To what extent was the creation of the Manhattan Project a product of Germany's desire to expand between 1932 and 1942?

Tarik Onalan

SCHOOL

Word Count:

I Plan of Investigation

The task of this investigation is to analyze to what extent the creation of the Manhattan project was a product of Germany's desire to expand between 1932 and 1942.

The primary method of this investigation is to analyze accounts of the objectives of the Manhattan Project in the years before its inception to understand possible reasons behind its founding. This investigation will also analyze accounts of the interaction of the German and American propaganda machines to understand the influence Germany had on the global populace. Additionally, accounts of German expansion by Americans will be analyzed to understand to what extent the German expansion was viewed as a direct threat to America.

This investigation will focus on two organizations: the Nazis of Germany, and the office of Franklin Delano Roosevelt. The scope of this investigation will be limited to sources pertaining to these organizations.

II Summary of Evidence

- Fear of Germans and the Manhattan Project:
 - American leadership perceived Germany as the only enemy with the capacity to take advantage of information taken from the Americans (Groves 141)
 - Security from Germany was one of the founding objectives of the Manhattan Project (Groves 140)

- Leo Szilard—a physicist and refugee—brings information about
 German atomic weapons development and asks Roosevelt to pursue development, which led President Roosevelt to begin atomic weapons research (Norris 12)
- America feared that Germany would produce an atomic weapon (Stoff, Fanton, and Williams 136)

• Competition to produce weapons:

- German scientists went to Germany to contribute to atomic development against America (Powers 6)
- The US began atomic weapons development out of fear that the
 Germans would produce a similar weapon (Steinberg 88)
- The US began atomic weapons development to deter Germany's nuclear first-strike capability (Steinberg 89)
- Rockets could replace and outclass long-range guns, and constituted a loophole in the Versailles treaty (Neufeld 2)
- Rockets could be used to attack America with various payloads,
 thus making them appealing to Germans and fear-inducing to
 Americans (Neufeld 157)

• Propaganda:

- American:

* The American government controlled media outlets to spread propaganda against Nazi Germany (Laurie 2)

* The Manhattan Project was indirectly used as deterrent against German expansion (Groves 140)

- Nazi:

- * Nazi Germany had "a distorted vision of national grandeur" (Kallis 37)
- * Expansion into Europe made Americans fearful because of the image portrayed by the "distorted vision" (Kallis 37)
- * Increasing German global influence (e.g. Argentina, Austria) (Pyenson 2)
- * Increasing German focus on cultural and territorial spread pre-WWII (Pyenson 17)
- * The Germans were looking to create a Nordic Europe (Guettel 192)
- * The Germans, among other regions, had extensive propaganda distribution in Latin America, which it planned to use as leverage against the United States (Kris 59)
- * The German government employed self-victimization to get popular support for expansion into areas populated by Germans (Bergen 1)

III Evaluation of Sources

The author of the article Dividing the Indivisible: The Fissured Story of the Manhattan Project, Margot Norris, is a female professor of English and Com-

parative Literature at the University of California, Irvine. Norris' purpose in writing this article is to separate the facts of the Manhattan Project from the myths, her thesis being that the actual ambitions of the Manhattan Project were hidden from the public as political policy, with stated ambitions feeding misinformation to the public as part of propaganda. A value of the origin is that the author is a professor of English, and brings a new perspective to the topic. A limitation of the origin is that the author's field is not focused on history, causing the author to have limited resources for research. The value the purpose is that it incorporates the political perception of the Manhattan Project, which is highly relevant in understanding the role of fear of German expansion. The limitation of the purpose is that it is more focused on the role of the US in the Manhattan Project, with a lack of sources from foreign countries, and thus a lack of a foreign perspective.

The author of the book Cultural imperialism and exact sciences: German expansion overseas, 1900-1930, Lewis Pyenson, is a professor of the History of Science with a Ph.D. from Johns Hopkins University. He is the author of books many about mathematics and physics in Germany. The purpose of this book is to evaluate the increasing influence of Germany towards the beginning of World War 2, with the thesis being that Germany's scientific prowess led to its increasing influence around the world. The value of the origin is that as a Professor of History, Pyenson has access to large amounts of resources concerning the topics he has written about in his book. The limitation of the origin is that the author may not consider political and social issues in his analysis. The value of the purpose is that it provides a direct insight into the relation between German expansion and scientific

development. The limitation of the purpose is that it does not focus on the involvement of politics to the extent that it does the sciences.

IV Analysis

German expansion's correlation on the Manhattan Project can be described through three different categories: fear of Germans by the Americans, competition to produce weapons, and propaganda. All are evidence of German expansion. Fear of Germans constitutes the American perception of the Germans, weapons development competition constitutes the American reaction to German expansion, and propaganda on the part of the Germans is direct evidence of their desire to expand; American counter-propaganda, on the other hand, falls between the categories of perception and reaction.

The American government feared the production capabilities of Germany, perceiving them as the only enemy with the capacity to take advantage of information taken from the Americans (Groves 6). This belief alone provides insight on the perspective Americans had of Germans: the German state as a technologically advanced country capable of scientific and industrial development on a scale comparable to the United States. The big fear was that this development capacity would go towards producing an atomic weapon that could be used against the United States (Stoff, Fanton, and Williams 136). Leo Szilard—a physicist and refugee from Germany—brought information on German atomic weapons development to Roosevelt, asking him to consider development of atomic weapons technologies (Norris 12). Roosevelt, soon after that, created the Manhattan Project (12). The original objective of the

Manhattan Project was, in fact, to have security from Germany (Groves 140). With this information in mind, it becomes easier to see that fear of Germans was partially a motivating factor for founding the Manhattan Project.

The competition between the Americans and the Germans to produce weapons was also a motivating factor for creating the Manhattan Project. When German scientists went to Germany to contribute to atomic weapons development, the United States felt pressured to produce a competing atomic weapons program to prevent Germany from acquiring an atomic weapon first (Powers 6; Steinberg 88). This pressure was increased after German pursuit of rocket technology. Rockets constituted a loophole in the Versailles treaty, allowing Germany to potentially build up an arsenal of weaponry that could operate at ranges far enough to attack America (Neufeld 2; Neufeld 157). Rockets could be used to deliver nuclear warhead payloads, which made them particularly appealing to the Germans (Neufeld 157). The United States, in trying to deter a nuclear first-strike by Germany, created the Manhattan Project as a competing nuclear weapons program to Germany's nuclear weapons program (Steinberg 89).

Propaganda campaigns on both sides, American and German, shed some light into both the fear and weapon-competition issues; the Germans were, with their expansion, looking to create a Nordic Europe, using their control of the press to gain popular support (Guettel 142; Bergen 1). What made this desire to expand disturbing to the Americans was the perception that the Germans had a distorted national vision (Kallis 37). The Germans already had influence—through extensive propaganda—in the Latin American region, planning to use their cultural and political influence in the region as

leverage against the Americans (Pyenson 2,17). The fact that Germany had a foothold so close to the American homeland brings the issue into the realm of fear; given that the Germans were so aggressive with their expansion, the fact that they were "on the doorstep", so to speak, of the Americans was disturbing, causing no end of counter-propaganda by the American government (Laurie 2). Ultimately, what all of this propaganda meant with regards to the Manhattan Project is simple: deterrent (Groves 140). The Manhattan Project could help deter expansion by the Germans, allowing for increased safety from German aggression (140).

V Conclusion

Fear of Germans, competition to produce weapons, and propaganda against Germans all tie back to the fact that the Germans were more aggressive in their expansion in the decade before the creation of the Manhattan Project. A common belief is that the Manhattan Project was created to end the war in the Pacific; this is not, in fact, true. While United States policymakers only funded the Manhattan Project in 1941, when the public's attention was captured by the Pacific war, the perception that the Manhattan Project was created to end the second World War was the product of a propaganda campaign after the war to justify the usage of nuclear weapons on Japan (Norris 12). Ultimately, the Manhattan Project's creation was influenced by Germany's desire to expand by a great extent between the years of 1932 and 1942.

Works Cited

- Bergen, Doris L. "Instrumentalization of "Volksdeutschen" in German Propaganda in 1939: Replacing/Erasing Poles, Jews, and Other Victims". *German Studies Review* 31.3. ISSN: 01497952 (2008): 447–470. Web. http://www.jstor.org/stable/27668587.
- Groves, Leslie R. Now It Can Be Told; the Story of the Manhattan Project.

 1st ed. New York, New York: Harper, 1962. Print.
- Guettel, Jens-Uwe. German Expansionism, Imperial Liberalism and the United States, 1776-1945. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2012. Print.
- Kallis, Aristotle A. Fascist Ideology: Territory and Expansionism in Italy and Germany, 1922-1945. Hoboken, New Jersey: Taylor and Francis, 2002. Print.
- Kris, Ernst. "GERMAN PROPAGANDA INSTRUCTIONS OF 1933". Social Research 9.1. ISSN: 0037783X (1942): 46–81. Web. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40981833.
- Laurie, Clayton D. The Propaganda Warriors: America's Crusade against Nazi Germany. Lawrence, Kansas: University Press of Kansas, 1996. Print. Modern War Studies.
- Neufeld, Michael J. The Rocket and the Reich: Peenemünde and the Coming of the Ballistic Missile Era. New York, New York: Free Press, 1995. Print.
- Norris, Margot. "Dividing the Indivisible: The Fissured Story of the Manhattan Project". Cultural Critique 35. ISSN: 08824371 (1996): 5–38. Web. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1354570.

- Powers, Thomas. Heisenberg's War: The Secret History of the German Bomb.

 1st ed. New York, New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1993. Print.
- Pyenson, Lewis. Cultural Imperialism and Exact Sciences: German Expansion Overseas, 1900-1930. Vol. 1. New York, New York: Peter Lang, 1985.Print. Studies in History and Culture.
- Steinberg, Gerald M. "Comparing Technological Risks in Large Scale National Projects". *Policy Sciences* 18.1. ISSN: 00322687 (1985): 79–93. Web. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4532038.
- Stoff, Michael B., Johnathan F. Fanton, and R. Hal Williams. *The Manhattan Project: A Documentary Introduction to the Atomic Age.* Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Temple University Press, 1991. Print.