

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND ON ERDŐS PROBLEM #962

QUANYU TANG

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2, p. 96], Erdős proposed the following problem, which also appears as Problem #962 on Bloom's Erdős Problems website [1].

Problem 1.1. *Let $k(n)$ be the maximal integer k such that there exists $m \leq n$ such that each of the integers*

$$m + 1, \dots, m + k$$

are divisible by at least one prime $> k$. Estimate $k(n)$.

Erdős [2] wrote it is 'not hard to prove' that

$$k(n) \gg_{\epsilon} \exp\left((\log n)^{1/2-\epsilon}\right)$$

and it 'seems likely' that $k(n) = o(n^{\epsilon})$, but had no non-trivial upper bound for $k(n)$.

It is not clear what he meant by a non-trivial bound for this problem, but Tao in the comments section of [1] has given a simple argument proving $k(n) \leq (1 + o(1))n^{1/2}$.

In this short note we prove a new lower bound for $k(n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$k(n) \geq \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - o(1)\right)\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}\right).$$

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. *For every fixed constant c with $0 < c < 1/\sqrt{2}$ there exists $n_0(c)$ such that for all integers $n \geq n_0(c)$,*

$$k(n) \geq \left\lfloor \exp\left(c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}\right) \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. For an integer $t \geq 1$, let $P^+(t)$ denote the largest prime divisor of t (with the convention $P^+(1) = 1$). For real numbers $x \geq 1$ and $y \geq 2$, define

$$\Psi(x, y) := \#\{t \leq x : P^+(t) \leq y\},$$

the counting function of y -smooth integers up to x .

1. A pigeonhole lemma: Fix an integer $y \geq 2$ and set $Q := \lfloor n/y \rfloor$. Partition the interval $\{1, 2, \dots, Qy\}$ into Q disjoint blocks of length y :

$$B_j := \{jy + 1, \dots, (j+1)y\} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, Q-1).$$

If every block B_j contains at least one y -smooth integer, then $\Psi(n, y) \geq Q$. Hence, if $\Psi(n, y) < Q$, there exists some j such that B_j contains no y -smooth integer, i.e. $P^+(t) > y$ for all $t \in B_j$. Taking $m := jy$, we have $m \leq Qy \leq n$ and

$$P^+(m+i) > y \quad (1 \leq i \leq y).$$

In particular, each $m+i$ is divisible by a prime $> y$.

Thus, to prove the theorem it suffices to find y with $\Psi(n, y) < \lfloor n/y \rfloor$.

2. Choosing y and estimating $\Psi(n, y)$: Fix $c \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ and set

$$y := \left\lfloor \exp(c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}) \right\rfloor, \quad u := \frac{\log n}{\log y}.$$

Then $y \rightarrow \infty$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and moreover $\log y \sim c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$, hence

$$u = \frac{\log n}{\log y} = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \log u = \frac{1}{2} \log \log n + O(\log \log \log n),$$

so

$$u \log u = \left(\frac{1}{2c} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}. \quad (2.1)$$

A classical estimate of de Bruijn, with Hildebrand's extension of the uniformity range, gives

$$\Psi(n, y) = n \rho(u) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y} \right) \right), \quad (2.2)$$

holds for $y > \exp((\log \log n)^{5/3+\varepsilon})$, where $\rho(u)$ is the Dickman–de Bruijn function; see [3, (1.8)–(1.10)]. In our choice of parameters, $\log(u+1)/\log y \rightarrow 0$, so

$$\Psi(n, y) = n \rho(u) (1 + o(1)).$$

Moreover, $\rho(u)$ decays as

$$\rho(u) = u^{-u+o(u)} = \exp(-(1+o(1))u \log u) \quad (u \rightarrow \infty), \quad (2.3)$$

see [3, (1.6)]. Combining (2.1) and (2.3) yields

$$\Psi(n, y) \leq n \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{2c} + o(1)\right) \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}\right).$$

3. Comparison with n/y : Since $\log y = c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n} + O(1)$, we have

$$\frac{n}{y} = n \exp(-c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n} + O(1)).$$

Because $c < 1/\sqrt{2}$, we have $\frac{1}{2c} - c > 0$; choose a constant $\delta > 0$ with $\delta < \frac{1}{2c} - c$. Then for all sufficiently large n , the previous bounds imply

$$\Psi(n, y) \leq \frac{n}{y} \exp(-\delta\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}) < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{y} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{y} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore $\Psi(n, y) < \lfloor n/y \rfloor$, and by Step 1 there exists $m \leq n$ such that each of $m+1, \dots, m+y$ has largest prime factor $> y$, hence is divisible by a prime $> y$. This shows $k(n) \geq y$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. F. Bloom, Erdős Problem #962, <https://www.erdosproblems.com/962>, accessed 2025-12-28.
- [2] Erdős, P., Extremal problems in number theory. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. VIII (1965), 181–189.
- [3] A. Granville, *Smooth numbers: computational number theory and beyond*, in *Algorithmic Number Theory: Lattices, Number Fields, Curves and Cryptography* (MSRI Publications, Vol. 44), J. P. Buhler and P. Stevenhagen (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 2008, pp. 267–324. doi:10.1017/9781139049801.010.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, P. R. CHINA
Email address: tang_quanyu@163.com