CSIRO & IFREMER Scoring Interoperability and assessing reusability of image datasets

* Ind	licates required question
1.	Email *
	ase take note of the time it takes to understand and score each dataset. will enter this at the end of the form
2.	Your name
3.	Dataset being scored *
	Check all that apply.
	AMBIO Staviro (Fish)
	AMBIO Staviro (Benthos)
	CSIRO TasSeamounts
	IFREMER Indian Seamounts
	IFREMER PAGURE Atlantic
	IFREMER PAGURE Channel Mediterranean IMAS AUV EMR
	IMAS BRUV EMR (Fish)
	IMAS BRUV EMR (Benthos)

DATA FILE(S)	Computer file(s) that contain the data that is exchanged				
DATA CONTENT	Data content is the information contained in a data file. Can be in single or multiple				
linked files					
EBV-EOV	See here:				
	com/spreadsheets/d/15Kzk2kK164FgvLwyc0qLQ1YM4VE6A_yo/edit#gid=1770146521				
HUMAN READABLE	A file that can be read when opened by a human				
MACHINE READABLE	A file that is structured so that it can be read by a computer program (see more at				
	https://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/), e.g. a pdf is				
not machine-	and debte and become an adult				
METHODOLOGY	readable, only human readable				
METHODOLOGY	Methodologies represent the algorithm, equations, way of proceeding for each step;				
Software is the					
A STADATA D	software(s) used to do this				
	escription of data in a standardised machine readable format				
METRIC	An EBV-EOV metric is defined here as a function of the annotations. A metric thus				
also depends on the					
DDOOFOO	protocol (instrument, sampling design, annotation scheme)				
PROCESS	Process comprises the steps that lead to the creation of the file				
4 INCORMATION	N ABOUT THE BATACET				
	N ABOUT THE DATASET				
•	now your dataset was created and how it is composed. This should include general				
	ny and how the data was collected. Complementary information (e.g., links to other				
data used) should als	so be included if relevant.				
4. 1.1 Is a descr	ription of the content given?				
Check all that ap	ply.				
No					
	iption (e.g. 1-2 page post survey report)				
Large Scien	tific Report/Publication				
5. 1.2 Does the d	locarintian provide anguah information to understand what has been				
	escription provide enough information to understand what has been				
provided?					
Check all that ap					
check all that ap	ριγ.				
Yes					
No					
Partially					
r artially					

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Mark o	nly one oval.				
	Not at all				
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
	Completely				
	Do you know why	the data	was colle	ected (sele	ct relevant)?
		the data	was colle No	ected (sele Unsure	ct relevant)?
Check	all that apply.				ct relevant)?
The p data? Which environments the complete comp	ourpose of the				ct relevant)?
The p data? Which environment were a data of part of the project of the part of the project of	all that apply. ourpose of the h onment/ecosyst abitat the data				ct relevant)?

1.3 Is the information easy to understand?

6.

8.	1.4 Further Comments						
9.	1.5 Do you know how the data was collected?						
	Check all that apply.						
	Source of data collection (e.g., platform, model, instrument) Is the survey design specified						
10.	1.5 Further Comments						
11.	1.6 Do you know where the data was collected?						
	Check all that apply.						
	Spatial coverage (e.g. coordinates of the polygon containing the operation distribution) Depth range						
12.	1.6 Further Comments						

13.	1.7 Do you know whe	n the data	a was co	llected?			
	Check all that apply.	Check all that apply.					
	Date of data collection Date of image annote						
14.	1.8 Do you know who	collected	the data	a?			
	Check all that apply.						
		Yes	No	Unsure			
	The entity (organisation/vessel/ country)						
	Custodian						
	Use license (is the licence specified)						
5.	1.8 Further Comments	:					

	Yes	No
Information about the data set presented in a file format (machine readable)		
The target EBVs explicitly stated in the general information		
Are images provided for explaining the annotation schema?		
The EBV/EOV illustrated by image examples		
1.9 Further C	Comments	5

1.9 Is the following information provided?

Check all that apply.

	Yes	No	Combine with (tick relevant combinations)		
Station metadata					
Imagery files(s)					
Annotation file(s)					
EBV/EOV file(s)					
II COIIID	ineu, pież	ise spec	ify (using terms ab		

2.1 Are data files provides as separate files?

20. 2.2 Information on station metadata file(s)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Is the file in a proprietary format; can it be read by an Open- Source software?			
Is the file human readable?			
Is the file machine readable?			
Does the file have a unique identifier? (e.g. URL or DOI)			

21. 2.3 Information on image file(s)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Is the file in a proprietary format; can it be read by an Open- Source software?			
Is the file human readable?			
Is the file machine readable?			
Does the file have a unique identifier? (e.g. URL or DOI)			

22. 2.4 Information on annotation file(s)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Is the file in a proprietary format; can it be read by an Open- Source software?			
Is the file human readable?			
Is the file machine readable?			
Does the file have a unique identifier? (e.g. URL or DOI)			

Check all that apply. Yes No Unsure Is the file in a proprietary format; can it be read by an Open-**Source** software? Is the file human readable? Is the file machine readable? Does the file have a unique identifier? (e.g. URL or DOI) 24. Section 2 Further Comments 3. INFORMATION ABOUT THE DATA CONTENT

Metadata is data used to describe the sampling units [leave blank if N/A]

2.5 Information on EVB/EOV file(s) (leave blank if no file)

25. 3.1 Information on station metadata file(s)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Are the data fields explicitly defined?			
Are controlled vocabularies documented?			
Is it local/national/interna tional standard?			
Are standard annotation schemas used?			
Do datafiles contain information in multiple written languages?			
Is language use consistent in each datafile?			
Do you know how the data were produced?			

26. 3.2 Information on image file(s) [leave blank if N/A]

	Yes	No	Unsure
Are the data fields explicitly defined?			
Are controlled vocabularies documented?			
Is it local/national/interna tional standard?			
Are standard annotation schemas used?			
Do datafiles contain information in multiple written languages?			
Is language use consistent in each datafile?			
Do you know how the data were produced?			

27. 3.3 Information on annotation file(s)

	Yes	No	Unsure
Are the data fields explicitly defined?			
Are controlled vocabularies documented?			
Is it local/national/interna tional standard?			
Are standard annotation schemas used?			
Do datafiles contain information in multiple written languages?			
Is language use consistent in each datafile?			
Do you know how the data were produced?			

3.4 Information on EVB/EOV file(s) (leave blank if no file)

28.

Check all that apply.

EOV/EBVs are defined here:

 $\frac{https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15Kzk2kK164FgvLwyc0qLQ1YM4VE6A_yo/edit\#gid=1770}{146521}$

	Check of Ye	l that apply.
31.		YES, are they structured in the same way? I that apply.
32.	obtain	the presented EBV/EOVs metrics be compared with similar metrics that would be different datasets (in general)? y one oval. Not at all
	2	
	3	
	5	Completely
33.	4.2.1 F	ease provide additional rationale

30. 4.1 Is there at least one EBV/EOV data file in the dataset?

34. 4.3 What EOV COULD be calculated from the ANNOTATION dataset?

	Abundance / Cover / Biomass	Distribution	Composition	Diversity
Benthic Invertebrates- abundance and distribution				
Fish abundance and distribution				
TBM (Sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals)				
Macroalgal canopy cover				
Seagrass cover and composition				
Hard coral				
Mangrove				

	Yes	No	Unsure
Taxonomic diversity			
Species distribution			
Population abundance			
Migratory behaviour			
Population structure by age/size			
Habitat structure			
Ecosystem extent / fragmentation			
Ecosystem composition / functional type			
Section 4 Furthe	r Comm	ents	

4.3 What EBV COULD be calculated from the ANNOTATION dataset?

Mark o	only one oval.
	Not at all
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
	Completely
Check Co	re any of the following information/data fields missing? all that apply. contextual information etadata andards rovenance BVs/EOVs ther

5.1 Overall, how well did you understand the data?

40. 5.3 Areas of Expertise

Check all that apply.

	Novice	Advanced	Expert
Ecology/Fisheries Science			
Data Science			
Computer Science/IT programming			
Data management			
Data acquisition			

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms