### Part I

# A First Course on General Relativity

By studying General Relativity, I spotted my weakness of being too submerged in details and not being able to solve problems systematically and as a whole

A person being able to master GR has the best advantage in analyzing business and tech problems right, which is why I study GR and Physics in general (I'm sorry, Einstein)

## Chapter 1

# **Special Relativity**

### On "Principle of relativity (Galileo)"

#### Galilean invariance

Newton's laws of motion hold in all frames related to one another by a Galilean transformation. In other words, all frames related to one another by such a transformation are inertial (meaning, Newton's equation of motion is valid in these frames).<sup>1</sup> The proof has been given by the book on page 2.

#### 1.5 - Construction of the coordinates used by another observer

#### Why would the tangent of the angle is the speed in Fig. 1.2?

Suppose  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  both start out at the same position where  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  moves along the x at some speed. After  $t_1$ , observer  $\mathcal{O}$  sees  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  at position  $x_1$ :

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_1 = (x_1, t_1)$$

Observer  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ , however, still sees themself at x=0:

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_1 = (0, t_1)$$

By definition where " $\bar{t}$  is the locus of events at constant  $\bar{x}=0$ ",  $\bar{t}$  is the straight line that passes the origin and the  $(x_1,t_1)$ :

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Galilean invariance



#### 1.6 Invariance of the interval

Why does the equation contains only  $M_{\alpha\beta}+M_{\beta\alpha}$  terms when  $\alpha\neq\beta$ , which guarantees  $M_{\alpha\beta}=M_{\beta\alpha}$ ?

$$\Delta \bar{s}^{2} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \sum_{\beta=0}^{3} M_{\alpha\beta} \left( \Delta x^{\alpha} \right) \left( \Delta x^{\beta} \right)$$

Before spending too much time on expanding the equation, we can pick up a pair of indices of  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha^*, \beta^*)$  where  $\alpha^* \neq \beta^*$ . Then we would definitely have the following 2 terms in the expansion:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha^*\beta^*} \left(\Delta x^{\alpha^*}\right) \left(\Delta x^{\beta^*}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{eta^*lpha^*}\left(\Delta x^{eta^*}\right)\left(\Delta x^{lpha^*}\right)$$

Since

$$\left(\Delta x^{\alpha^*}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta^*}\right) = \left(\Delta x^{\beta^*}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\alpha^*}\right)$$

We can then group these 2 terms and factor out the product, leaving

$$\left(\Delta x^{\alpha^*}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta^*}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha^*\beta^*}+\boldsymbol{M}_{\beta^*\alpha^*}\right)$$

The terms of expanded  $\Delta \bar{s}^2$  can be expressed in a tensor of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{d1} & x_{d2} & x_{d3} & \dots & x_{dn} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Why do we have a 2nd term in equation 1.3 on p.10?

$$\Delta \bar{s}^2 = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \sum_{\beta=0}^{3} M_{\alpha\beta} \left( \Delta x^{\alpha} \right) \left( \Delta x^{\beta} \right) \tag{1.1}$$

$$=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{0}\sum_{\beta=0}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha\beta}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3}\sum_{\beta=0}^{0}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha\beta}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha\beta}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)\tag{1.2}$$

$$=\sum_{\beta=0}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{0\beta}\Delta t\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha 0}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\Delta t+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha \beta}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)\tag{1.3}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{M}_{00} \left(\Delta t\right)^{2} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{M}_{0\beta} \Delta t \left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha 0} \left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right) \Delta t + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha \beta} \left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right) \left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)$$
(1.4)

$$=\boldsymbol{M}_{00}\left(\Delta t\right)^{2}+2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{0i}\Delta t\left(\Delta x^{i}\right)\right]+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha\beta}\left(\Delta x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\Delta x^{\beta}\right)\tag{1.5}$$

### 1.6 - Why $(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta z)^2 - (\Delta t)^2 = 0$ for two events in the same light beam?

Let's say, in a simplified 1D case, event  $\mathcal{E}=(x_0,t_0)$  and  $\mathcal{P}=(x_1,t_1)$ .

$$(\Delta x)^2 - (\Delta t)^2 = (x_1 - x_0)^2 - (t_1 - t_0)^2$$

Since the speed of light is 1,

$$(x_1 - x_0)^2 - (t_1 - t_0)^2 = (x_1 - x_0)^2 - (t_1 \times 1 - t_0 \times 1)^2 = (x_1 - x_0)^2 - (x_1 - x_0)^2 = 0$$