

Master M2 MVA 2017/2018 - Graphical models - HWK 1

These exercises are due on or before October 18th 2017 and should be submitted on the Moodle. They can be done in groups of two students. The write-up can be in English or in French. Please submit your answers as a pdf file that you will name `MVA_DM1-<your_name>.pdf` if you worked alone or `MVA_DM1-<name1>_<name2>.pdf` with both of your names if you work as a group of two. Indicate your name(s) as well in the documents. Please submit your code as a separate zipped folder and name it `MVA_DM1-<your_name>.zip` if you worked alone or `MVA_DM1-<name1>_<name2>.zip` with both of your names if you worked as a group of two. Note that your files should weight no more than 16Mb.

1 Learning in discrete graphical models

Consider the following model : z and x are discrete variables taking respectively M and K different values with $p(z = m) = \pi_m$ and $p(x = k|z = m) = \theta_{mk}$.

Compute the maximum likelihood estimator for π and θ based on an i.i.d. sample of observations. Please provide your derivations and not just the final answer.

2 Linear classification

The files `classificationA.train`, `classificationB.train` and `classificationC.train` contain samples of data (x_n, y_n) where $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $y_n \in \{0, 1\}$ (each line of each file contains the 2 components of x_n then y_n). The goal of this exercise is to implement linear classification methods and to test them on the three data sets. The choice of the programming language is yours (we however recommend Matlab, Scilab, Octave, Python or R). The source code should be handed in along with results. However all the requested figures should be printed on paper or part of a pdf file which is turned in, with clear titles that indicate what the figures represent. The discussions may of course be handwritten.

1. **Generative model (LDA)**. Given the class variable, the data are assumed to be Gaussian with different means for different classes but with the same covariance matrix.

$$y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi), \quad x|\{y = i\} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \Sigma).$$

- (a) Derive the form of the maximum likelihood estimator for this model. *Indication* : the model was presented in class but not the MLE computations.
- (b) What is the form of the conditional distribution $p(y = 1|x)$? Compare with the form of logistic regression.

- (c) Implement the MLE for this model and apply it to the data. Represent graphically the data as a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^2 and the line defined by the equation

$$p(y = 1|x) = 0.5.$$

2. **Logistic regression** : implement logistic regression for an affine function $f(x) = w^\top x + b$ (do not forget the constant term), using the IRLS algorithm (Newton-Raphson) which was described in class.

- (a) Give the numerical values of the parameters learnt.
 (b) Represent graphically the data as a cloud point in \mathbb{R}^2 as well as the line defined by the equation

$$p(y = 1|x) = 0.5.$$

3. **Linear regression** : consider classe y as real-valued variable taking the values 0 and 1 only. Implement linear regression (for an affine function $f(x) = w^\top x + b$) by solving the normal equations.

- (a) Provide the numerical values of the learnt parameters.
 (b) Represent graphically the data as a point cloud in \mathbb{R}^2 as well as the line defined by the equation

$$p(y = 1|x) = 0.5.$$

4. Data in the files `classificationA.test`, `classificationB.test` and `classificationC.test` are respectively drawn from the same distribution as the data in the files `classificationA.train`, `classificationB.train` et `classificationC.train`. Test the different models learnt from the corresponding training data on these test data.

- (a) Compute for each model the misclassification error (i.e. the fraction of the data misclassified) on the training data and compute it as well on the test data.
 (b) Compare the performances of the different methods on the three datasets. Is the misclassification error larger, smaller, or similar on the training and test data? Why? Which methods yield very similar/dissimilar results? Which methods yield the best results on the different datasets? Provide an interpretation.

5. **QDA model**. We finally relax the assumption that the covariance matrices for the two classes are the same. So, given the class label the data are assumed to be Gaussian with means and covariance matrices which are a priori different.

$$y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi), \quad x|y = i \sim \text{Normale}(\mu_i, \Sigma_i).$$

Implement the maximum likelihood estimator and apply it to the data.

- (a) Provide the numerical values of the learnt parameters.
 (b) Represent graphically the data as well as the conic defined by

$$p(y = 1|x) = 0.5.$$

- (c) Compute the misclassification error for QDA for both train and test data.
 (d) Comment the results as previously.