
# First Implementation of QuickPay (2009-2012)

Mar 14, 2021

## 1 Delays over Time



### 2 Notation

- Project i, Year-Quarter t
- $X_i$  denotes project level controls: initial duration, initial budget, number of offers received
- $\mu_t, \theta_{firm}, \lambda_{task}$ : Year-Quarter, Firm, and Product/Service code Fixed effects
- All continuous variables are winsorized at the 5% level

$$Treat_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if project } i \text{ is a small business} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Post_t = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if year-quarter } t > \text{ April 27, 2011} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 3 Parallel Trends Test

Let Time denote q-th quarter since the beginning of time horizon. For  $Post_t = 0$ , we run the following regression:

$$Delay_{it} = \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 (Treat_i \times Time) + \beta_2 X_i + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it}$$

The coefficient of interest is  $\beta_1$ . If this is significant, we would find evidence of a linear time trend before quickpay implementation – violating the parallel trends assumption.

Table 1: Linear Time Trend Before QuickPay

	Dependent variable:				
	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)				
$Treat_i$	-1.10				
	(2.98)				
$Treat_i \times Time$	-0.01				
	(0.49)				
Fixed effects	Firm, Task, and Year-Quarter				
Controls	Budget, Duration, Bids				
Observations	74,677				
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.14				
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.03				
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Each observation is a project-quarter. SEs are robust and clustered at the project level. Observations are for quarters before quickpay.

# 4 Baseline Regressions

$$Delay_{it} = \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$Delay_{it} = \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t)$$

$$+ X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Table 2: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{Treat_i}$	-6.19***	-3.58**	-3.09*	
	(0.50)	(1.55)	(1.59)	
$Post_t$	13.04***			
	(0.52)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	3.35***	6.88***	6.83***	
	(0.73)	(0.91)	(0.92)	
Constant	33.00***			
	(0.36)			
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Firm Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	
$Post_t \times (Duration, Budget, Bids)$	No	Yes	Yes	
Observations	173,900	155,638	155,638	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.01	0.11	0.12	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.05	0.05	

p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Each observation is a project-quarter.

SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

# 5 Contract Financing

$$CF_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if project } i \text{ receives contract financing} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Delay_{it} = & \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) \\ + & \beta_3 CF_i + \beta_4 (CF_i \times Post_t) + \beta_5 (Treat_i \times Post_t \times CF_i) \\ + & X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

Table 3: Effect of Contract Financing: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$Treat_i$	-6.12***	-3.37**	$-2.89^*$	
	(0.50)	(1.55)	(1.59)	
$Post_t$	13.00***			
	(0.57)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	1.53**	5.89***	5.90***	
	(0.78)	(1.00)	(1.01)	
$CF_i$	-3.97***	-4.68***	$-4.76^{***}$	
	(0.61)	(0.81)	(0.82)	
$Post_t \times CF_i$	0.72	-0.20	-0.37	
	(1.13)	(1.31)	(1.32)	
$Post_t \times CF_i \times Treat_i$	9.24***	3.94**	3.70**	
	(1.38)	(1.65)	(1.67)	
Constant	33.64***			
	(0.38)			
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Firm Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	
$Post_t \times (Duration, Budget, Bids)$	No	Yes	Yes	
Observations	173,900	155,638	155,638	
$R^2$	0.01	0.11	0.12	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.05	0.05	

 $\label{eq:proposition} ^*p{<}0.1;~^{**}p{<}0.05;~^{***}p{<}0.01$  Each observation is a project-quarter.

SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

### 6 Receives Financial Aid

 $Financial Aid = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if firm receives grants or is a c8A participant} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} Delay_{it} = & \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + \beta_3 Financial Aid \\ & + & \beta_4 (Financial Aid \times Post_t) + \beta_5 (Treat_i \times Post_t \times Financial Aid) \\ & + & X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

Table 4: Effect of Grants or C8A Participant: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$Treat_i$	-6.96***	-3.15**	$-2.63^{*}$	
		(1.55)	(1.59)	
$Post_t$	12.89***			
	(0.53)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	3.43***	5.65***	5.57***	
	(0.77)	(0.98)	(0.99)	
Financial Aid	5.72***	1.36	0.45	
	(0.70)	(1.39)	(1.42)	
$Post_t \times FinancialAid$	1.94	4.06*	3.93*	
	(1.61)	(2.10)	(2.12)	
$Post_t \times FinancialAid \times Treat_i$	-1.80	2.51	2.75	
	(1.73)	(2.46)	(2.50)	
Constant	32.42***			
	(0.37)			
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Firm Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes	
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	
$Post_t \times \text{(Duration, Budget, Bids)}$	No	Yes	Yes	
Observations	173,900	$155,\!638$	155,638	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.01	0.11	0.12	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.05	0.05	

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01 Each observation is a project-quarter.

SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

### 7 Receives Contracts and Financial Aid

$$CFA = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if firm receives "contracts and grants"} \\ \text{or grants or is a c8A participant} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} Delay_{it} = & \quad \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + \beta_3 CFA \\ & \quad + \quad \quad \beta_4 (CFA \times Post_t) + \beta_5 (Treat_i \times Post_t \times CFA) \\ & \quad + \quad \quad X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it} \end{split}$$

Table 5: Effect of Contracts, Grants, or C8A Participant: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$Treat_i$	-6.68***	-3.19**	$-2.71^{*}$		
		(1.55)	(1.59)		
$Post_t$	12.17***				
	(0.55)				
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	4.19***	5.71***	5.84***		
	(0.79)	(1.02)	(1.03)		
CFA	4.90***	-5.44***	-5.92***		
	(0.62)	(1.78)	(1.80)		
$Post_t \times CFA$	3.91***	5.00***	5.83***		
	(1.21)	(1.60)	(1.64)		
$Post_t \times CFA \times Treat_i$	-4.04***	2.60	1.61		
	(1.38)	(2.12)	(2.16)		
Constant	32.18***				
	(0.37)				
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes		
Firm Fixed Effects	No	Yes	Yes		
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes		
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes		
$Post_t \times$ (Duration, Budget, Bids)	No	Yes	Yes		
Observations	173,900	155,638	155,638		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.01	0.11	0.12		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.05	0.05		

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Each observation is a project-quarter.

SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

#### 8 Firm's rank order

- Consider a project i of firm f in quarter t.
- Let  $\Pi_{f,2010}$  denote all projects of firm f in Fiscal Year 2010.
- Define  $\rho_f = \sum_{i \in \Pi_{f,2010}} (Treat_i \times FAO_{if})/Sales_{f,2010}$ .  $\rho_f$  is the fraction of revenue a firm earned from small government projects in Fiscal Year 2010.
- Let  $Rank_f = r(\rho_f)/N$  where  $r(\rho_f)$  is the rank statistic of  $\rho_f$  and N = number of firms. For example,  $r(\rho_f) = 1 \text{ if } \rho_f = \min(\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_N).$
- Put simply,  $Rank_f$  is a firm's rank order based on the fraction of revenue it earned from small government projects in FY 2010.

#### 8.1 Portfolio Effects: Discrete

- See Jie's notes for details.
- Let  $Rank_f^{(k)}$  be an indicator for firm being in the k-th tercile of Rank. Define:

 $- Medium_i = Treat_i * Rank_f^{(2)}$  $- High_i = Treat_i * Rank_f^{(3)}$ 

 $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Treat_i + \beta_2 Medium_i + \beta_3 High_i + \beta_4 Post_t$  $Delay_{it} =$  $+\beta_5(Treat_i \times Post_t) + \beta_6(Medium_i \times Post_t) + \beta_7(High_i \times Post_t) + \epsilon_{it}$ 

Table 6: Discrete Portfolio Effects: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$Treat_i$	2.85**	-0.51	-0.37	0.38	-6.90
	(1.37)	(1.54)	(1.55)	(1.64)	(4.47)
$Medium_i$	-2.92**	-1.11	-1.32	-0.60	12.24**
	(1.40)	(1.56)	(1.57)	(1.63)	(6.17)
$High_i$	-3.26**	0.09	-0.07	1.04	-3.11
	(1.31)	(1.49)	(1.50)	(1.58)	(6.04)
$Post_t$	15.67***	6.87***			
	(1.05)	(1.83)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	-2.42	2.15	1.26	1.32	7.32**
	(2.04)	(2.36)	(2.39)	(2.43)	(3.16)
$Treat_i \times Post_t \times Medium_i$	4.28**	1.54	2.31	2.45	0.10
	(2.09)	(2.38)	(2.41)	(2.45)	(3.22)
$Treat_i \times Post_t \times High_i$	6.76***	3.46	4.16*	3.58	-1.27
	(1.97)	(2.28)	(2.31)	(2.35)	(3.07)
Constant	27.08***	46.30***			
	(0.71)	(1.16)			
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Post_t \times \text{(Duration, Budget, Bids)}$	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Firm Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	71,753	$63,\!216$	63,216	63,216	63,216
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.12
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04

Note:

p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Each observation is a project-quarter.

SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

#### 8.2 Portfolio Effects: Continuous

- See Jie's notes for details.
- Define  $\theta_i = Treat_i * Rank_f$

$$Delay_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Treat_i + \beta_2 \theta_i + \beta_3 \theta_i^2 + \beta_4 Post_t + \beta_5 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + \beta_6 (\theta_i \times Post_t) + \beta_7 (\theta_i^2 \times Post_t) + \epsilon_{it}$$

Table 7: Discrete Portfolio Effects: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Delay_{it}$ (in days)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$Treat_i$	-1.44	-4.54	-4.12	-2.25	-19.01**
	(2.52)	(2.79)	(2.80)	(2.88)	(8.36)
$ heta_i$	15.63*	12.24	11.06	8.21	92.44***
	(8.14)	(8.85)	(8.89)	(9.06)	(34.90)
$ heta_i^2$	$-16.17^{**}$	-8.85	-8.10	-5.07	-88.48***
	(6.56)	(7.11)	(7.15)	(7.30)	(31.25)
$Post_t$	15.67***	6.85***			
	(1.05)	(1.83)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	-3.83	2.64	1.05	0.34	9.39
	(3.74)	(4.31)	(4.38)	(4.45)	(5.79)
$\theta_i \times Post_t$	4.16	-3.40	-0.02	3.49	-4.73
	(12.19)	(13.75)	(13.98)	(14.13)	(17.63)
$\theta_i^2 \times Post_t$	4.91	6.92	4.92	1.51	1.46
	(9.87)	(11.09)	(11.27)	(11.38)	(13.78)
Constant	27.08***	46.30***			
	(0.71)	(1.16)			
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Post_t \times$ (Duration, Budget, Bids)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Firm Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	71,753	63,216	63,216	$63,\!216$	63,216
$R^2$	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.12
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01 Each observation is a project-quarter. SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

->->->->