Budget Overruns: First Implementation of QuickPay (2009-2012)

Sep 26, 2021

1 Note

- Sample restricted to projects for which start dates matches the one in API
  - This is done by using first reported "action_date" and "date_signed"
- Below is the definition of `base_and_all_options_value` from the data dictionary:
  - The change (from this transaction only) to the potential contract value (i.e., the base contract and any exercised or unexercised options).
- This means that every observation in raw data shows incremental change from previous budget. So some of the values can be zero.
- We, therefore, need to calculate the new budget at each point in time (by adding all previous values). We did this in the resampling step, but mentioning here for reference.
- This is different from calculation of delays, where `period_of_performance_current_end_date` indicated the new deadline of the project.

2 Budget Overrun over Time



2.1 Normalized Overrun



3 Notation

- Project i, Year-Quarter t
- X_i denotes project level controls: initial duration, initial budget, number of offers received
- $\mu_t, \theta_{firm}, \lambda_{task}$: Year-Quarter, Firm, and Product/Service code Fixed effects
- All continuous variables are winsorized at the 5% level

$$Treat_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if project } i \text{ is a small business} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Post_t = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if year-quarter } t > \text{ April 27, 2011} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4 Baseline Regressions

$$Overrun_{it} = \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Overrun_{it} = \quad & \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) \\ + \quad & X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Quickpay 2009-2011

	$Overrun_{it}$ (in days)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$Treat_i$	$-2{,}244.11^{***}$	$-1{,}377.52^{***}$	$-1{,}280.64^{***}$	$-1{,}144.28^{***}$	$-2{,}552.92^{**}$
	(333.21)	(333.45)	(331.61)	(355.70)	(1,114.07)
$Post_t$	$3{,}444.55^{***}$	$-1{,}746.45^{***}$			
	(303.12)	(364.88)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	$-1{,}323.31^{***}$	-710.86^{*}	-731.80^{*}	-559.47	-371.98
	(400.76)	(407.64)	(405.93)	(406.24)	(438.97)
Constant	$9{,}543.11^{***}$	$2{,}405.81^{***}$			
	(249.87)	(647.47)			
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Post_t \times$ (Duration, Budget, Bids)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Project Age Tercile	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-Quarter Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Task Fixed Effects	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Firm Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	127,056	117,671	117,671	117,671	117,671
R^2	0.004	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.24
Adjusted R^2	0.004	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.17

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
Each observation is a project-quarter.
SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

5 Percentage Overrun

$$PercentOverrun_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Treat_i + \beta_2 Post_t + \beta_3 (Treat_i \times Post_t) + e_{it}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PercentOverrun_{it} = \quad & \alpha + \beta_0 Treat_i + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 (Treat_i \times Post_t) \\ + \quad & X_i + (Post_t \times X_i) + \mu_t + \theta_{firm} + \lambda_{task} + \epsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

5.1 Percentage Overrun over time

- Sample restricted to projects with modification zero when they first appeared in our sample.
- $PercentOverrun_{it} = 100 \times Overrun_{it}/Budget_{i,t-1}$


QuickPay
implemented
Average overrun rate per quarter
30
20
10
0
2010−Mar
2010−Jun
2010−Sep
2010−Dec
2011−Mar
2011−Jun
2011−Sep
2011−Dec
2012−Mar
2012−Jun
Year−Quarter
Business Size
Large
Small

5.1.1 Normalized Overrun



Table 2: Effect of QuickPay on project overrun rates

	$PercentOverrun_{it}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$Treat_i$	-0.55^{***}	-0.54^{***}	-0.50^{***}	-0.31^{***}	-0.41
	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.25)
$Post_t$	-0.32^{***}	-0.95^{***}			
	(0.07)	(0.13)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.12
	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.11)
Constant	2.61^{***}	4.19^{***}			
	(0.06)	(0.17)			
Duration, Budget, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Post_t\times$ (Duration, Budget, Bids)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Project age	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-Quarter fixed effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Task fixed effects	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Contractor fixed effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	124,419	116,240	116,240	116,240	116,240
R^2	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.19
Adjusted R^2	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.12

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
Each observation is a project-quarter.
SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.

6 Relative Overrun

6.1 Relative overruns over time

- Sample restricted to projects with modification zero when they first appeared in our sample.
- $RelativeOverrun_{it} = 100 \times RelativeOverrun_{it}/IntialBudget_i$


QuickPay
implemented
Average overrun rate per quarter
40
30
20
10
0
2010−Mar
2010−Jun
2010−Sep
2010−Dec
2011−Mar
2011−Jun
2011−Sep
2011−Dec
2012−Mar
2012−Jun
Year−Quarter
Business Size
Large
Small

6.1.1 Normalized overrun



Table 3: Effect of QuickPay on project overrun rates

	$RelativeOverrun_{it}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$Treat_i$	-0.69^{***}	-0.60^{***}	-0.57^{***}	-0.32^{***}	-0.65^{**}
	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.11)	(0.31)
$Post_t$	-0.24^{***}	-0.92^{***}			
	(0.08)	(0.14)			
$Treat_i \times Post_t$	0.10	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.07
	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.13)
Constant	3.07^{***}	4.53^{***}			
	(0.07)	(0.20)			
Duration, Bids	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Post_t\times$ (Duration, Bids)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Project age	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year-Quarter fixed effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Task fixed effects	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Contractor fixed effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	127,056	117,671	117,671	117,671	117,671
R^2	0.001	0.004	0.01	0.06	0.20
Adjusted R^2	0.001	0.004	0.01	0.05	0.12

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01
Each observation is a project-quarter.
SEs are robust and clustered at the project level.