Grading Rubric for Philosophy Papers

It is a common and worthwhile question for philosophy students to ask - how are written assignments graded? After all, there is rarely an "answer key" (outside of areas in formal logic) and it might seem that it is "all a matter of opinion." This is unduly pessimistic. Philosophy has clear, and objective, standards for critical thinking and argumentation. I will expect your papers to strive for, and hopefully achieve, these standards.

The purpose of this document is to lay out how these standards are applied to papers you write in the courses I teach. For a fuller explanation of how to write philosophy essays, with additional information on my evaluation of your written work, please see the document Writing Philosophy Essays. Below you will find some of the standard questions I ask while evaluating your written work. You will also find a rubric spelling out some of those standards according to widely used evaluation stages.

I encourage you to use this rubric to help construct your argument, and not just to evaluate your own writing.

1. Thesis

- (a) Does the paper have a clear thesis?
- (b) Is the thesis presented in the introduction?
- (c) Is there a roadmap outlining how the author will establish the thesis?

2. Analyzing Arguments

- (a) Explaining the arguments of others
 - i. Are the arguments of other thinkers clearly and charitably stated? This includes not only stating the premises and conclusion, but showing how the conclusion follows and providing any necessary arguments for the truth of the premises themselves.
 - ii. Are the technical terms used in the argument explained?
- (b) Evaluating the arguments of others
 - i. Is the argument evaluated for cogency? Are the premises acceptable?
 Do the premises provide sufficient grounds for the conclusion?
 ii. If objecting, is the objection clearly stated and clearly argued for? In order to do this, you should not only provide good reasons for believing your objection applies, but you should explain precisely how it applies to the opposing argument, and what the consequences for that argument are.

3. Making Arguments

- (a) Are the premises of the argument clearly spelled out?
 - i. Are the premises supported by appropriate evidence?
- (b) Are all empirical claims backed up with supporting evidence?
- (c) Are the inferences leading from premises to conclusion cogent?

- (d) Does the conclusion claim more than the argument shows? For example, be wary of making a bold or controversial claim that goes beyond your evidence. It is good to be bold, but only if you have the argument to support it!
- (e) Does the paper consider and respond to potential objections?

4. Document Structure

- (a) Does the paper have an introduction which spells out what the paper will argue for?
- (b) Does the paper have a conclusion which summarizes what has been established?
- (c) Does the paper relate the central arguments back to the thesis?

5. Style

- (a) Is the prose clear and to the point? This includes avoiding flowery language which does not help you establish your argument. More often than not, this will simply introduce additional confusions to your argument.
- (b) Does the paper employ proper spelling and grammar? I do not expect perfect grade school grammar. Rather, what I expect is that the grammar is clear and avoids introducing unnecessary confusions and is (at the least!) syntactically correct.
- (c) Is the language used specific rather than vague? Vague language usually indicates vague and confused thoughts! If your language is vague, ask yourself what you really mean. If you are not sure, then work out that aspect of your argument in more detail!
- (d) Are all claims based on outside sources cited?

	Exceeds	Meets Expectations	Approaches	Below Expectations
	Expectations		Expectations	
I. Argument				
(1) Thesis: This is the thesis of the essay as well as the main conclusion for your core argument. It should address the main issue of the writing scenario and is generally clearly presented in the introduction of the essay.	The thesis is a clear statement that responds to the appropriate issue defined in the writing scenario. It takes a clear and unambiguous position. It is clearly presented in the introduction of the essay.	The thesis addresses the appropriate issue but is not as unequivocal or unambiguous as it could be. It discusses the relevant issue but without making a direct statement. It is presented in the introduction of the essay	The essay has a thesis statement but it is not appropriate to the writing assignment. It may not be explicitly stated in the introduction of the essay or it may be buried in the body of the essay.	There is no clear thesis.
(2) Claims: These	The essay introduces	Most of the claims	Most or all of the	There are few if any
are the main	a series of	are as described in	claims are	claims offered in
premises or	reasonable and	the column to the	unacceptable at face	support of the
reasons that you	relevant claims in	left.	value or are not	thesis. The author
offer in support of	support of the thesis.		supported with	often begs the

	Claima and Man	BUT: One or, at		
your thesis and core argument. Your claims should provide reasonable and relevant support for your thesis and core argument.	Claims are either acceptable at face value or are supported with subarguments. Claims employ clear and unambiguous terms that is not emotionally charged.	most, two claims are described in the column to the right.	cogent subarguments. A reasonable person would not accept the claim without further evidence and no evidence is given. Claims employ vague and ambiguous language or are overly emotionally charged. Claims are only minimally relevant to the thesis.	question, simply reiterating the thesis statement in slightly different terms.
(3) Support: Taken collectively your claims should provide sufficient support for your thesis to persuade a reasonable and informed person that your thesis is acceptable.	The essay offers a series of claims that provide strong support for the thesis. The arguments are well developed and internally consistent. The essay anticipates possible objections and counterarguments.	The essay offers a series of claims that provide adequate support for the thesis. Claims are presented in a logical order and establish a clear chain of reasoning, but there are missing links or otherwise under-developed arguments. The essay doesn't anticipate possible objections and counterarguments.	The essay has only weak support for the thesis. Arguments are poorly developed, with many missing links or logical failures. The author may argue from largely anecdotal evidence. Obvious objections and/or counterarguments are ignored.	The essay offers little or no support for the thesis. Claims are presented in a seemingly random order. There is no apparent order establishing a logical argument. Serious errors of reasoning are made. There are obvious objections and/or counterarguments that are not addressed.
II. Theoretical Framework Philosophical arguments generally reflect a commitment to a coherent, developed, and reasonable theoretical framework. Your core argument and any subarguments or claims introduced should reflect such a commitment.	The arguments and claims collectively reflect a single, well established coherent theoretical framework. The framework is relevant to the main issue of the writing assignment. The author demonstrates a command of the relevant philosophical concepts and arguments.	The arguments and claims collectively do not reflect a single, well established coherent theoretical framework. Inconsistent claims and arguments are presented drawing on divergent theoretical frameworks. The author fails to demonstrate a command of the relevant philosophical concepts and arguments.	The author relies on a weak or conceptually flawed theoretical framework. The author fails to demonstrate a command of the relevant philosophical theories. The framework relied upon has obvious deficiencies not addressed in the essay.	There is no coherent, well established theoretical framework in the essay. The author relies upon loosely related claims and/or an idiosyncratic framework that is unacceptable. The essay mostly summarizes key facts of the writing scenario and engages in little or no analysis.
III. Organization A good philosophy essay will have an introduction that	The essay has a clear concise introduction that establishes the thesis and briefly	The essay has a concise introduction that establishes the thesis. The direction	The essay has an introduction that rehearses relevant details of the	The essay has an overly long introduction that doesn't clearly

	Γ			[
states the thesis	reviews the core	of the core	assigned issued. The	introduce the thesis
and briefly	argument. The body	argument is not	direction of	and doesn't indicate
provides an	of the essay presents	clear. The body	reasoning in the	the direction of
overview of the	a linked series of	presents a series of	essay is not apparent	argument. The body
argument, a body	claims supporting	linked claims	and it is not clear	presents ideas in an
that logically	the thesis and	supporting the thesis	how the author	unorganized
develops the key	introduced in a	but the order of	transitions from one	fashion. The
arguments, and a	reasonable manner.	claims and direction	paragraph to	conclusion repeats
conclusion that ties	The conclusion ties	of reasoning is not	another. There is no	statements from the
everything	things together and	clear. The	conclusion or it	introduction.
together.	addresses any	conclusion largely	simply repeats points	
	relevant remaining	reiterates relevant	made in the	
	points.	points from the	introduction.	
		introduction.		
IV. Style	Ideas are presented	Ideas are presented	Ideas are not	Ideas are presented
You should strive	clearly, concisely,	clearly but could be	presented clearly and	poorly, are neither
to present your	and precisely.	more concise.	concisely. There is	clear nor precise.
ideas clearly and	Avoids language	Language is	much content that	There is repetition
concisely,	that is vague,	occasionally vague,	can be condensed.	of ideas and many
avoiding vague	ambiguous, or	ambiguous, or	Language is often	passages that could
and ambiguous	emotionally charged.	emotionally	vague, ambiguous,	be condensed.
language and using	Key terms and	charged. Key terms	or emotionally	Much of the
well-defined terms	examples are well-	and	charged. Key terms	language is vague
and examples.	defined. No	counterexamples are	are poorly or	and ambiguous.
una examples.	irrelevant content is	left undefined.	wrongly defined.	Much of the content
	included.	Irrelevant content is	Irrelevant content is	is irrelevant.
	meradea.	included.	included. Word	15 HTCICVAIIt.
		meradea.	choice is often poor.	
V. Mechanics	There are no errors	There are minimal	There are a few	There are several
Your essay should	of spelling,	errors of spelling,	errors of spelling,	errors of spelling,
be virtually free of	punctuation, and	punctuation, and	punctuation, and	punctuation, and
problems related to	grammar. The	grammar. The	grammar. The author	grammar. The
spelling,	author employs	author mostly	employs simple	author employs
punctuation,	standard, college-	employs standard,	sentence structure.	minimally basic
grammar, and	level sentence	college-level	schicilee su ucture.	sentence structure.
	structure.	sentence structure.		sentence su uctule.
syntax.	structure.	sentence su ucture.	<u> </u>	