



# AUDIT REPORT

---

April , 2025

For

 ALLO

# Table of Content

Table of Content	02
Executive Summary	03
Number of Security Issues per Severity	05
Checked Vulnerabilities	06
Techniques and Methods	08
Types of Severity	10
Types of Issues	11
General Recommendation	12
Functional Tests	13
Closing Summary & Disclaimer	14

# Executive Summary

<b>Project name</b>	Allo
<b>Overview</b>	RWAToken is a custom ERC20-like token with a fixed total supply of 10 billion tokens and a built-in blacklist functionality. Unlike standard ERC20 tokens, RWAToken does not inherit from OpenZeppelin's ERC20 contract but instead implements its own balance, transfer, and allowance management. Only the contract owner can mint tokens, ensuring controlled token distribution. The contract introduces a blacklist mechanism that allows the owner to block specific addresses from transferring or receiving tokens, providing an extra layer of compliance and security. The _transfer, _approve, and _spendAllowance functions handle token movement and approvals while enforcing blacklist restrictions.
<b>Audit Scope</b>	The scope of this Audit was to analyze the RWAToken Smart Contracts for quality, security, and correctness
<b>Source Code link</b>	<a href="https://bscscan.com/address/0x965908b15F647722fB9676DB2457b3D1B64e79d8#code">https://bscscan.com/address/0x965908b15F647722fB9676DB2457b3D1B64e79d8#code</a>
<b>Contracts in Scope</b>	RWAToken
<b>Branch</b>	NA
<b>Commit Hash</b>	NA
<b>Language</b>	Solidity
<b>Blockchain</b>	BSC

<b>Method</b>	Manual Analysis, Functional Testing, Automated Testing
<b>Review 1</b>	2nd April 2025
<b>Updated Code Received</b>	2nd April 2025
<b>Review 2</b>	2nd April 2025
<b>Fixed In</b>	NA

# Number of Issues per Severity



Total Issues

■ High 0 (NaN%)

■ Medium 0 (NaN%)

■ Low 0 (NaN%)

■ Informational 0 (NaN%)

Severity

Issues	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved	0	0	0	0

# Checked Vulnerabilities

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Access Management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compiler version not fixed
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arbitrary write to storage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Address hardcoded
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Centralization of control	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Divide before multiply
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ether theft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integer overflow/underflow
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improper or missing events	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ERC's conformance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logical issues and flaws	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dangerous strict equalities
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arithmetic Computations Correctness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tautology or contradiction
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race conditions/front running	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return values of low-level calls
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWC Registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Missing Zero Address Validation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Re-entrancy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private modifier
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Timestamp Dependence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revert/require functions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gas Limit and Loops	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Sends
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exception Disorder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Using suicide
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gasless Send	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Using delegatecall
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Use of tx.origin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upgradeable safety
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malicious libraries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Using throw

Using inline assembly

Unsafe type inference

Style guide violation

Implicit visibility level.

# Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments, match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

**The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.**

## Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

## Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

**Code Review / Manual Analysis**

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

**Gas Consumption**

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

**Tools And Platforms Used For Audit**

Remix IDE, Foundry, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistical analysis.

# Types of Severity

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below

## ● High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

## ■ Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

## ● Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

## ■ Informational Issues

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

# Types of Issues

<b>Open</b>  Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.	<b>Resolved</b>  Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.
<b>Acknowledged</b>  Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.	<b>Partially Resolved</b>  Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/ impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.

# General Recommendation

This is a custom ERC20 token created to serve as a RWA token for the Allo protocol. It was designed so that the circulating supply is tracked while the total supply handles the absolute maximum of the RWA token. However, it is important to mention where this token differs from the standard token. Total supply for standard token tracks the existing supply of token in circulation. For standard tokens with max cap, there is a utility library that ensures that total supply does not exceed the max cap.

In the case of Allo, users might consider total supply as the tokens in supply rather than see the circulating supply handling this. We recommend to retain `totalSupply` as the amount of tokens in supply, while utilizing the `cap utils` from Openzeppelin library. This way every function abides to the standard ERC20 token and the blacklist is done in the `_update` function, which is invoked in almost all functions.

# Functional Tests

**Some of the tests performed are mentioned below:**

- ✓ Should check for all possible reverts for non-blacklisted and blacklisted address
- ✓ Should check that a blacklisted address cannot send or receive tokens
- ✓ Should confirm that circulating supply does not exceed total supply.

# Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

# Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of Allo. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

No issues were found in the given codebase

# Disclaimer

At QuillAudits, we have spent years helping projects strengthen their smart contract security. However, security is not a one-time event—threats evolve, and so do attack vectors. Our audit provides a security assessment based on the best industry practices at the time of review, identifying known vulnerabilities in the received smart contract source code.

This report does not serve as a security guarantee, investment advice, or an endorsement of any platform. It reflects our findings based on the provided code at the time of analysis and may no longer be relevant after any modifications. The presence of an audit does not imply that the contract is free of vulnerabilities or fully secure.

While we have conducted a thorough review, security is an ongoing process. We strongly recommend multiple independent audits, continuous monitoring, and a public bug bounty program to enhance resilience against emerging threats.

Stay proactive. Stay secure.



# About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies. We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem



<b>7+</b> Years of Expertise	<b>1M+</b> Lines of Code Audited
<b>\$30B+</b> Secured in Digital Assets	<b>1400+</b> Projects Secured

Follow Our Journey



# AUDIT REPORT

---

April , 2025

For

globe ALLO



QuillAudits

Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK

[www.quillaudits.com](http://www.quillaudits.com)    [audits@quillaudits.com](mailto:audits@quillaudits.com)