## MATH 230B

Name: Quin Darcy Instructor: Dr. Ricciotti

1. Let  $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $b_k > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = \lambda$ , where  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ . Prove that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is convergent. Let  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n/b_n = \lambda$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} - \lambda \right| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| - |\lambda| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{a_n}{b_n} < 1 + \lambda \Leftrightarrow a_n < (1 + \lambda)b_n$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence for any  $M \geq N$ 

$$\sum_{k=N}^{M} a_k < \sum_{k=N}^{M} (1+\lambda) b_k \le (1+\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty.$$

The last inequality holds by assumption. Noting that  $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k$  is finite, then the above inequality implies that the sequence of partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$  is bounded from above. Moreover, this sequence is monotonically increasing as all of its terms are positive. Therefore the sequence of parthial sums is convergent and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.$$

Now assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \lambda$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} - \lambda \right| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow (\lambda - \varepsilon)b_n < a_n < (\lambda + \varepsilon)b_n$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . This implies that for any  $M \geq N$ 

$$\sum_{k=N}^{M} b_k < (\lambda - \varepsilon) \sum_{k=N}^{M} a_k \le (\lambda - \varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} b_k < \infty$ , then the sequence of partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$  is bounded above. Since the sequence is monotineally increasing, then it converges. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty.$$

Due Date: 04/01/2022

Assignment: Homework 04

MATH 230B Darcy

2. Let  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent, then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges, then from Theorem 3.23 (Rudin), it follows that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = 0$ . Letting  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_k| < 1$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Since  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_k < 1$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Hence,  $a_k^2 \leq a_k < 1$  for all  $k \geq N$ . This implies that for all  $M \geq N$ 

$$\sum_{k=N}^{M} a_k^2 \le \sum_{k=N}^{M} a_k \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.$$

By Theorem 3.25 (Rudin),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 < \infty.$$

3. Let  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent, then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{a_k a_{k+1}}$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Before proceeding, we note that if  $a, b \ge 0$ , then  $(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \ge 0$ , which implies that

$$a+b-2\sqrt{ab} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}.$$
 (1)

From (1) it follows that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\sqrt{a_k a_{k+1}} \le \frac{a_k + a_{k+1}}{2}.$$

Thus for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{a_k a_{k+1}} \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k + a_{k+1} = \frac{a_1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k+1}.$$

This implies that the sequence of partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{a_k a_{k+1}}$  is bounded above and therefore converges.

4. Let  $a_k \ge 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1+a_k}$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent. Then since  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$\frac{a_k}{1 + a_k} \le a_k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.25 (Rudin) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1 + a_k} < \infty.$$

MATH 230B Darcy

Now assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1+a_k}$  is convergent. Then  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_k}{1+a_k} = 0$ . Letting  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq N$ 

$$\frac{a_k}{1 + a_k} < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow a_k < \varepsilon (1 + a_k)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow a_k (1 - \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$
$$\Leftrightarrow a_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

As  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , then  $\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \to 0$  and therefore  $a_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Since  $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = 0$ , then for any 1 < B, there exists N such that for all  $k \ge N$ , we have that  $1 + a_k < B$ . Then  $\frac{B}{1+a_k} > 1$  for all  $k \ge N$ . Thus for all  $k \ge N$ 

$$a_k \le \frac{Ba_k}{1 + a_k}.$$

By Theorem 3.23 (Rudin),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty.$$

5. Prove that if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is conditionally convergent, then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 a_k$  is not convergent.

*Proof.* If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is conditionally convergent, then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  is divergent. For contradiction, assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 a_k$  converges. Then  $\lim_{k\to\infty} k^2 a_k = 0$ . If  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq N$ ,

$$|k^2 a_k| = k^2 |a_k| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |a_k| < \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

Given that by Theorem 3.28 (Rudin),  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$  converges, then by Theorem 3.23 (Rudin),  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges. This contradicts our assumption that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges conditionally. Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 a_k$  is divergent.

6. Let  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent, then  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf k a_k = 0$ . Is it true that  $\lim_{k \to 0} k a_k = 0$ ?

*Proof.* For contradiction, assume that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf ka_k>0$ . Then no subsequences of  $\{ka_k\}$  converge to 0. Hence, there exists r>0 and some  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n\geq N$  we have that  $na_n>r$  which implies that  $a_n>r/n$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} a_k \ge \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{r}{k}.$$

Since  $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} r/k = \infty$ , then this contradicts the assumption that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is convergent.

3