



University of Energy and Natural Resources

Quality Assurance and Academic Planning Directorate

Students Evaluation of Lecturers and Courses (SELC)

Information

Lecturer: J De-Graft Ankamah
Email: jdankamah@gmail.com
Department: Mathematics

Course Code: COMP 262
Course Title: Numerical Computation and Analysis
No. on roll: 10
Academic Year: 2025
Semester: 2

Questionnaire Summary

Summary information on how students answered the evaluation questionnaire.

Question	Answers	Mean	% Score	Remark
Lecturer has provided helpful course outline	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Coverage of course content by lecturer	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0	4.00	80.00	Very Good
Communication of objectives of the course	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Relevant reading materials and references provided(textbooks, links, lecturer notes, videos etc)	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Regularity in class	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Punctuality	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0	4.00	80.00	Very Good

Does the course have an online teaching period on this timetable ?	Yes: No:	1 0	5.00	100.00	Excellent
How often does the lecturer use the online teaching period ?	Never: Rarely: Often: Very Often: Always:	0 1 0 0 0	2.00	40.00	Average
Lecturer explains the material clearly in ways that easy to understand, offer alternative explanations or additional examples and clears up confusion	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 0 0 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Encouraging student participation during learning	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 0 0 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
Interaction with students in class	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 0 0 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
The lecturer is available to students outside class time for tutoring, review work or answer questions	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 0 1 0	4.00	80.00	Very Good
The lecturer links learning materials to practical applications.	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 1 0 0	3.00	60.00	Good
The lecturer is approachable; He/She demonstrates interest in and concerns for the students	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 0 0 1	5.00	100.00	Excellent
The lecturer gives the right amounts of graded assignments, tests and quizzes, in order to fairly evaluate performance	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 1 0 0	3.00	60.00	Good
Marking and discussion of class assignment.	Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent:	0 0 1 0 0	3.00	60.00	Good

Monitoring the the progress of the class (Attendance, Student performance)	Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0	4.00	80.00	Very Good
Is this lecturer having a teaching assistant ?	Yes: 1 No: 0	5.00	100.00	Excellent
How often does the teaching assistance do tutorials in the absence of the lecturer?	Never: 0 Rarely: 0 Often: 0 Very Often: 0 Always: 0	3.00	60.00	Good

Summary Report

The table below shows the categorical (core area) summary of the questionnaire.

Core Area (Category)	Mean Score	Percentage Score	Remarks
Course Content	4.75	95.00	Excellent
Class Attendance	4.00	80.00	Very Good
Mode Delivery / Interactions	4.40	88.00	Very Good
Assesssment of Materials Taught	3.75	75.00	Very Good
Use of Teaching Assistants	4.00	80.00	Very Good

Remarks Reference

This table shows the range of mean/average scores and their corresponding remarks.

Score Range	Percentage Range	Remark
4.6 - 5.0	90-100	Excellent
4.0 - 4.59	60-89	Very Good
3.0 - 3.99	40-59	Good
2.0 - 2.99	20-39	Average
0.0 - 1.9	0-19	Poor

Lecturer Rating Summary

This table shows the rating summary of the lecturer for this course.

Rating	Count	Percentage
5	1	100.00
4	0	0.00
3	0	0.00
2	0	0.00
1	0	0.00

Rating	Count	Percentage
	Average Rating	5.00

Suggestion Sentiment Summary

This shows the summary of sentiments of students regarding this course and lecturer.
NB: For the actual suggestions, check the evaluation report in your portal.

Sentiment	Count	Percentage
negative	0	0.00
neutral	0	0.00
positive	1	100.00