



University of Energy and Natural Resources

Quality Assurance and Academic Planning Directorate

Students Evaluation of Lecturers and Courses (SELC)

Information

Lecturer: J De-Graft Ankamah
Email: jdankamah@gmail.com
Department: Mathematics

Course Code: COMP 262
Course Title: Numerical Computation and Analysis
No. on roll: 10
Academic Year: 2025
Semester: 2

Questionnaire Summary

Summary information on how students answered the evaluation questionnaire.

| Question | Answers | Mean | Percentage (%) | Remark |
|--|--|------|----------------|-----------|
| Lecturer has provided helpful course outline | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Coverage of course content by lecturer | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0 | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |
| Communication of objectives of the course | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Relevant reading materials and references provided(textbooks, links, lecturer notes, videos etc) | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Regularity in class | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 0 Excellent: 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Punctuality | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0 | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|------|--------|-----------|
| Does the course have an online teaching period on this timetable ? | Yes: No: | 1 0 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| How often does the lecturer use the online teaching period ? | Never: Rarely: Often: Very Often: Always: | 0 1 0 0 0 | 2.00 | 40.00 | Average |
| Lecturer explains the material clearly in ways that easy to understand, offer alternative explanations or additional examples and clears up confusion | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 0 0 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Encouraging student participation during learning | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 0 0 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| Interaction with students in class | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 0 0 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| The lecturer is available to students outside class time for tutoring, review work or answer questions | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 0 1 0 | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |
| The lecturer links learning materials to practical applications. | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 1 0 0 | 3.00 | 60.00 | Good |
| The lecturer is approachable; He/She demonstrates interest in and concerns for the students | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 0 0 1 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| The lecturer gives the right amounts of graded assignments, tests and quizzes, in order to fairly evaluate performance | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 1 0 0 | 3.00 | 60.00 | Good |
| Marking and discussion of class assignment. | Poor: Average: Good: Very Good: Excellent: | 0 0 1 0 0 | 3.00 | 60.00 | Good |

| | | | | |
|---|--|------|--------|-----------|
| Monitoring the the progress of the class (Attendance, Student performance) | Poor: 0 Average: 0 Good: 0 Very Good: 1 Excellent: 0 | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |
| Is this lecturer having a teaching assistant ? | Yes: 1 No: 0 | 5.00 | 100.00 | Excellent |
| How often does the teaching assistance do tutorials in the absence of the lecturer? | Never: 0 Rarely: 0 Often: 0 Very Often: 0 Always: 0 | 3.00 | 60.00 | Good |

Summary Report

The table below shows the categorical (core area) summary of the questionnaire.

| Core Area (Category) | Mean Score | Percentage Score | Remarks |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|
| Course Content | 4.75 | 95.00 | Excellent |
| Class Attendance | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |
| Mode Delivery / Interactions | 4.40 | 88.00 | Very Good |
| Assesssment of Materials Taught | 3.75 | 75.00 | Very Good |
| Use of Teaching Assistants | 4.00 | 80.00 | Very Good |

Remarks Reference

This table shows the range of mean/average scores and their corresponding remarks.

| Score Range | Percentage Range | Remark |
|-------------|------------------|-----------|
| 4.6 - 5.0 | 90-100 | Excellent |
| 4.0 - 4.59 | 60-89 | Very Good |
| 3.0 - 3.99 | 40-59 | Good |
| 2.0 - 2.99 | 20-39 | Average |
| 0.0 - 1.9 | 0-19 | Poor |

Lecturer Rating Summary

This table shows the rating summary of the lecturer for this course.

| Rating | Count | Percentage |
|--------|-------|------------|
| 5 | 1 | 100.00 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00 |

| Rating | Count | Percentage |
|--------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Average Rating | 5.00 |

Suggestion Sentiment Summary

This shows the summary of sentiments of students regarding this course and lecturer.
NB: For the actual suggestions, check the evaluation report in your portal.

| Sentiment | Count | Percentage |
|-----------|-------|------------|
| negative | 0 | 0.00 |
| neutral | 0 | 0.00 |
| positive | 1 | 100.00 |