University of Regina

ENSE 477: Capstone Project

REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Telport: Sasktel Telecommunications Portal

Authors
Dakota FISHER
Quinn BAST

Supervisor Dr. Yasser MORGAN

Revision History

Revision Version	Revision Author	Revision Date
1.0	Dakota Fisher	February 4, 2019

Contents

1.1 Problem Statement 1.1.1 Proposed Activities 1.1.2 Skills Required 2 Design Decisions 2.1 "Backend" 2.1.1 Server side scripting framework 2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node, js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue, js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toogl 4.3 GitKraken		1
1.1.2 Skills Required 2 Design Decisions 2.1 "Backend" 2.1.1 Server side scripting framework 2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		1
2 Design Decisions 2.1 "Backend" 2.1.1 Server side scripting framework 2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.1 "Backend" 2.1.1 Server side scripting framework 2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.1.1 Server side scripting framework 2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		4
2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline 2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.2 "Frontend" 2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken	ork	4
2.3 Frontend frameworks 2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken	n Timeline	6
2.4 Node.js (In Use) 2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		6
2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.5 JavaScript 2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.5.1 React (In Use) 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use) 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use) 2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline 3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken	n Use)	
3 Automated testing 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		
3.0.1 Mocha (In Use) 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken	n Timeline	
3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use) 3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		8
3.0.3 Chai (In Use) 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use) 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use) 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use) 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use) 4 Tools 4.1 Git 4.2 Toggl 4.3 GitKraken		8
3.0.4 Sinon (In Use)		
3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use)		8
3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use)		8
3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use)		
3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use)		8
4.1 Git		
4.2 Toggl		9
4.2 Toggl		9
		9
4.3.1 Glo Boards		9
4.4 Google Suite		9
4.5 Discord		
4.5.1 Alternative: Slack		
4.5.2 Alternative: Skype		
4.5.3 Alternative: Messenger		
4.5.4 Alternative: WhatsApp		
4.5.5 Alternative: Hangouts		9

5	Secu	rity Considerations	10
	5.1	Missing the obvious	10
	5.2	Security Research	12
		5.2.1 JSON Web Tokens	12
		5.2.2 LocalStorage	12
		5.2.3 SessionStorage	12
		5.2.4 Redux	12
		5.2.5 IndexedDB	12
		5.2.6 CSRF Tokens	12
		5.2.7 Two Factor Authentication	12
		5.2.8 Third Party Login	12
		5.2.9 OAuth	12
	5.3	API Communication	12
	5.4	Data Integrity	12
6	T I a a a	Stories	13
O			
	6.1	Log In	13
	6.2	Log Out	13
	6.3	Check Call Logs	13
	6.4	Turn on Call Forwarding Always	13
	6.5	Turn on Call Forwarding Busy	13
	6.6	Turn on Call Forwarding Selective	13
	6.7	Turn on Call Forwarding No Answer	13
	6.8	Turn on Call Forwarding	13
	6.9	Turn on Do Not Disturb	13
	6.10	View my Profile	13
			13
		Call a Phone Number	10
		Call a Phone Number	13

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

This document outlines and defines the requirements and specifications. The initial problem statement and proposed activities are suggestions given by Sasktel to provide a manageable scope. The decisions on infrastructure, aside from the Broadworks API and telephone account access are left up to us to decide.

1.1 Problem Statement

SaskTel requires a communications portal that can interwork with a Telephony Application Server and our core network to present communications and feature capabilities through a browser. This will allow for the exploration of new communications service models.

SaskTel has been pursuing the deployment of a new communications core along with the Cisco/Broadsoft Telephony Application Server branded as Broadworks. One of the drivers is to enable a richer customer experience through a converged architecture that exposes rapid development to enables new capabilities. Broadworks exposes the Application Programming Interface to access service control tools and user information. These tools and information can be used to create new communications applications or add additional value to existing applications.

The main objectives for SaskTel is to gain exposure to new and innovative communications service experience for our customers and to promote the potential internally for aligning resources, time and effort in enabling applications.

1.1.1 Proposed Activities

- Establish communications portal and platform interworking
- Gain a high-level understanding of the communications network architecture
- Gain an understanding of a method to access and use TAS APIs
- Establish base interworking and web browser communications portal
 - Access and exposure to TAS API
 - User registration through IMS
 - User presentation and interaction via portal
 - Exposure to 4-5 basic features to validate interworking i.e.
 (listed only as suggestions)
 - * Call forwarding
 - * Display of call logs (All, Incoming, Outgoing, Missed).
 - * Simple call blocking by using a slider. Simple drop down to show numbers blocked (allow for unblocking).
 - * Directory. Searchable by typing any string of characters.
- Enable WebRTC communications
- Enable the use of WebRTC for internet communications directly through the portal
- Create innovative communications experience
- Explore feature capabilities and experiment with innovative communications capabilities
- Demonstrate and showcase the ability to grow and share knowledge.

1.1.2 Skills Required

General Knowledge Requirements:

- Network Platform and service specific knowledge
- Software engineering skills
 - Client / server operation
 - IP Network Protocols (SIP, RTP, UDP, HTTP ...).
 - Internet Methods (Using XML, JSON, REST, ...).
 - Web Browser Programming (HTML, CSS).
 - Programming Languages (Java, Python, Ruby)

API Technology Comments:

- Most popular approach to delivering web APIs is REST (Representational State Transfer).
- API returns data in either XML or JSON.
- Most popular implementation is REST+JSON (not a standard but widely accepted within the industry).

API Usage Comments:

- Must consider how a resource will be manipulated not just retrieved.
- Should have strong understanding of both client-side and server-side programming.
- Should have strong understanding and experience with HTML and CSS (Cascading Style Sheets) for web programming, development, and design.
- Should have strong understanding and experience with Java Script.
- Should have a strong knowledge and experience with dynamic programming languages making Python and Ruby emerging industry favorites (Python+Django or Ruby+Rails).
- Should have knowledge and experience of the user and use of the product interface (in regard to forming the interface).

2 Design Decisions

During the creation of the project, we had full reign of the architecture and languages in which we wanted to use in order to create the solution for SaskTel. As a result, we did a significant amount of research on the different technologies available in order to make the best decisions for the project. While making these decisions, there were two different sides of the project to focus on. The backend would manage interfacing between SaskTel's servers as well as manage user credentials for the system, while the frontend would display the interface to the user. Both the backend and the frontend had may different decisions which led us to the current solution.

2.1 "Backend"

When creating the backend, initial considerations were to use a basic LAMP stack, which would include PHP as the backend language. This decision was initially chosen because it is the most commonly known web stack. Because of this, it was likely that SaskTel would have a number of employees, if required, could take over the project and understand how to make changes. After our initial meeting with SaskTel, it was indicated that there were no restrictions on the technologies that we could use for the project, and therefore, instead of using PHP, we opted to use a python backend as python was our team's preferred coding. At the same time, this allowed us to look into new frameworks to gain additional experience with new technologies. The leading technologies of python backends being Django and Flask.

2.1.1 Server side scripting framework

The choice of the server side scripting language was determined to be python after the first meeting with SaskTel. After researching the different frameworks that were available for the backend, two competitors stood out, Django and Flask. Extensive research was done into both of the technologies. Django was a full-fledged backend system, allowing for object-relational

models, MVC configuration, admin interface, templates, caching, and much more straight out of the box. Django is feature-rich, however, the general consensus is that Django is generally more restrictive than Flask because of their additional functionality. For example, Django wouldn't let you switch out their admin portal or ORM frameworks for ones that you prefer (or, if they did, it would be difficult and tedius to do so). Flask on the other hand, was a minimal backend providing less functionality, but was extremely good at providing a stable backend server, as well as allowing more freedom over which libraries to use. After trying a simple project in both Django and Flask to test the waters of both frameworks, Flask was chosen over Django. This decision was because Flask is a smaller and more stable framework while providing the ability to customize. Being more comfortable with Flask, it was the chosen as the backend server side scripting framework for this project.

2.1.2 Backend Framework Decision Timeline

Date	Design Decision	Reasoning
September 14th, 2018	Technology stack	Our initial thoughts for the technology stack
		were to use a basic LAMP stack. This decision
		was made because it would favor SaskTel, as
		it was the most common technology stack and
		would allow anyone familiar to be able to make
		changes if required.
September 24th, 2018	Technology stack	After meeting with SaskTel it was clear that
		there were no restrictions on the technology that
		we chose to use to implement the solution. As a
		result, we chose to use a Python based backend
		as it was the language of choice.
October 18th, 2018	Server side	Created sample repositories for trying out the
	frameworks	difference between Flask and Django.
October 23rd	Flask backend	After testing between Django and Flask in sam-
		ple repositories, Flask ended up being the most
		comfortable environment to develop in. As a re-
		sult, Flask was chosen to be the backend frame-
		work for the project.

2.2 "Frontend"

Information about initial frontend thoughts (HTML) conversion to choice of JS framework.

2.3 Frontend frameworks

Explain our findings between different frontend frameworks and which we ended up choosing. (Maybe won't need all the additional subsections)

2.4 Node.js (In Use)

2.5 JavaScript

- 2.5.1 React (In Use)
- 2.5.2 Angular2 + Typescript (Not In Use)
- 2.5.3 Vue.js (Not In Use)

2.5.4 Frontend Framework Decision Timeline

Date	Design Decision	Reasoning
Date	Design Decision	Reasoning

3 Automated testing

- 3.0.1 Mocha (In Use)
- 3.0.2 Jest (Not In Use)
- 3.0.3 Chai (In Use)
- 3.0.4 Sinon (In Use)
- 3.0.5 Enzyme (In Use)
- 3.0.6 Selenium (In Use)
- 3.0.7 Cypress (Not In Use)

- 4 Tools
- 4.1 Git
- 4.2 Toggl
- 4.3 GitKraken
- 4.3.1 Glo Boards
- 4.4 Google Suite
- 4.5 Discord
- 4.5.1 Alternative: Slack
- 4.5.2 Alternative: Skype
- 4.5.3 Alternative: Messenger
- 4.5.4 Alternative: WhatsApp
- 4.5.5 Alternative: Hangouts

5 Security Considerations

The main concern of our application was the security of a user who would login to our application. When a user logs into a website, their credentials should never be seen or be accessible except by the authorization agency. In our case, the authorization agency is SaskTel's API. Therefore, our server acts as a proxy between SaskTel's API and itself, forwarding authentication requests to SaskTel's servers.

Security was a huge concern, and therefore many different security methods were researched. These methods included LocalStorage, SessionStorage, Redux, IndexedDB, JSON Web tokens, CSRF Tokens, Two Factor Auth, OAuth, and 3rd Party Logins. With so many different methods of 'security' avaliable, we wanted to make sure that we were using the best of the best, leaving no room for vulnerabilities.

5.1 Missing the obvious

While reading SaskTel's API documentation, there was no indication of how a user logs into their API. Generally, when logging into a website, the user provides their username and password, and once the username and password have been confirmed, the server will provide the user's browser a 'token'. The browser sends this token every time the user makes a request so that the server can acknowledge that the user has already logged in. This prevents the user from having to enter their username and password in while navigating around the website.

Because of this knowledge, after examining the available REST endpoints we assumed the endpoint named "LoginToken" was used to generate a token for the user to use for repeated requests. After looking at the endpoint's response, this looked like exactly what we needed to authenticate a user with SaskTel. However, the only problem was that "the generated token has an expiry of 60 seconds." This caused a large problem for our application. How were we

going to keep this token alive for the user to be able to access Sasktel's servers? Generally a user won't change pages or request data every 60 seconds, thus after 60 seconds of idle activity, this token would expire. If the token expires, the user would need to login again, meaning we would need to send their username and password. We didn't want users to have to login to the site every 60 seconds as that would be extremely annoying and immediately make a user not use the application. At the same time, we did not want to store the user's credentials on our server as they would have to be stored in plaintext which is a huge security violation to the users of the website. This proved to be a difficult challenge.

Various ideas were devised including sending a refreshed token every 50 seconds to get a new token before it expired, storing the passwords in the browser, and many other options; none of which we thought were secure enough for a user to be comfortable with. After a couple of weeks, it became clear that our supposed "challenge" was not an issue at all. While examining the different types of data that SaskTel responded with from their various endpoints, we found that SaskTel's servers responded with a cookie alongside the regular HTTP request. This cookie turned out to be a token that the user could use to access SaskTel's endpoints with an unlimited expiry. Once this information had been found, authenticating a user became extremely easy using the methods outlined below. To this date we still don't know what the 'LoginToken' endpoint does or why it exists.

5.2 Security Research

- 5.2.1 JSON Web Tokens
- 5.2.2 LocalStorage
- 5.2.3 SessionStorage
- 5.2.4 **Redux**
- 5.2.5 IndexedDB
- 5.2.6 CSRF Tokens
- 5.2.7 Two Factor Authentication
- 5.2.8 Third Party Login
- 5.2.9 **OAuth**

5.3 API Communication

5.4 Data Integrity

SaskTel->XML->JSON->Client SaskTel->XML->XML->Client->JSON

6 User Stories

- 6.1 Log In
- 6.2 Log Out
- 6.3 Check Call Logs
- 6.4 Turn on Call Forwarding Always
- 6.5 Turn on Call Forwarding Busy
- 6.6 Turn on Call Forwarding Selective
- 6.7 Turn on Call Forwarding No Answer
- 6.8 Turn on Call Forwarding
- 6.9 Turn on Do Not Disturb
- 6.10 View my Profile
- 6.11 Call a Phone Number
- 6.12 Start a Call to a Phone Number from my Phone
- 6.13 View Feature Access Codes